

# Lecture 4: First-Order Logic

Adam Hawley

April 14, 2019

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Pros &amp; Cons of Propositional Logic</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Pros . . . . .	1
1.2	Cons . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>First-Order Logic</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Atomic Sentences</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Complex Sentences</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Truth in First-Order Logic</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Problems with FOL</b>	<b>3</b>
6.1	Frame Problem . . . . .	3
6.2	Qualification Problem . . . . .	3
6.3	Ramification Problem . . . . .	3

## 1 Pros & Cons of Propositional Logic

### 1.1 Pros

- Propositional logic is **declarative**: pieces of syntax correspond to facts.
- Propositional logic allows partial/disjunctive/negated information (unlike most data structures and databases).
- Propositional logic is **compositional** (i.e. meaning of  $B_{1.1} \wedge P_{1.2}$  is derived from meaning of  $B_{1.1}$  and of  $P_{1.2}$ ).

- Meaning in propositional logic is **context-independent**.

## 1.2 Cons

Propositional logic has very limited expressive power (e.g. cannot say "pits cause breezes in adjacent squares" except by writing one sentence for each square).

## 2 First-Order Logic

Whereas propositional logic assumes world contains facts, first-order logic assumes the world contains:

**Objects** People, houses, numbers, theories etc.

**Relations** Red, round, bogus, prime, etc.

**Functions** Father of, best friend, one more than etc.

## 3 Atomic Sentences

The simplest form of first-order logic is in **atomic sentences**.

**Atomic Sentence**  $predicate(term_1, \dots, term_n)$  or  $term_1 = term_2$ .

**Term**  $function(term_1, \dots, term_n)$  or *constant* or *variable*.

## 4 Complex Sentences

Complex sentences are made from atomic sentences using connectives.

## 5 Truth in First-Order Logic

Sentences are true with respect to a model and an interpretation. Models contain  $\geq 1$  objects (**domain elements**) and relations among them.

Interpretation specifies referents for:

- constant symbols  $\implies$  objects
- predicate symbols  $\implies$  relations
- function symbols  $\implies$  functional relations

## **6 Problems with FOL**

### **6.1 Frame Problem**

Finding an elegant way to handle non-change rather than repeated frame axioms.

### **6.2 Qualification Problem**

True descriptions of real actions require endless caveats— what if gold is slippery or nailed down or . . .

### **6.3 Ramification Problem**

Real actions have many secondary consequences— what about dust on the gold, war and tear on gloves, etc.