





University of New South Wales

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS

Assignment 2

Measure Theory

Author: Adam J. Gray

Student Number: 3329798

1

 $\mathbf{2}$

2.1

Suppose u and ν are σ -finite positive measures on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . Then suppose that $\mu << \nu$ and $\nu << \mu$. Then for $A \in \mathcal{F}$ we have that $\mu(a) = 0 \Rightarrow \nu(A) = 0$ and $\nu(A) = 0 \Rightarrow \mu(A)$. That is to say that $\nu(A) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mu(A) = 0$. That is to say that ν and μ have the same null sets. This argument is symmetric so it is clear that the reverse implication also holds.

We now wish to show that there is an \mathcal{F} -measurable function g that satisfies $0 < g(\omega) < +\infty$ at each $\omega \in \Omega$ and is such that $\nu(A) = \int_A g d\mu$ for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$.

3

3.1

Firstly note that the definition of the characteristic function is

$$\hat{\mu}_X(u) = \mathbb{E}[\exp(i\langle X, u \rangle)] \tag{1}$$

and so for the random vector cX with $c \in \mathbb{R}$ we have that

$$\hat{\mu}_{cX}(u) = \mathbb{E}[\exp(i\langle cX, u\rangle)] \tag{2}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\exp(i\langle X, cu\rangle)] \tag{3}$$

$$=\hat{\mu}_X(cu)\tag{4}$$

3.2

By definition we have that

$$\hat{\mu}(\mathbf{u}) = \int \exp(i\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u} \rangle) d\mathbb{P}_X(\mathbf{x}). \tag{5}$$

We can say that

$$\frac{d^{\alpha}\hat{\mu}(\mathbf{u})}{d\mathbf{u}^{\alpha}} = \frac{d^{\alpha}}{d\mathbf{u}^{\alpha}} \int \exp(i\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u} \rangle) d\mathbb{P}_{X}(\mathbf{x}). \tag{6}$$

We wish to justify taking this derivative through the integral sign. To do this we use an extension of corollary 2.28 (2) from the notes.

Claim

If the partial derivative

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha}}{\partial \mathbf{u}^{\alpha}} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \tag{7}$$

exists for all $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \in X \times [a, b]^d$ and if there is a function $g \in L^1(\mu)$ such that

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{\alpha}}{\partial \mathbf{u}^{\alpha}} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \right| \le g \tag{8}$$

for every $\mathbf{x} \in X$ and $\mathbf{u} \in (a,b)^d$ then

$$\frac{d^{\alpha}}{d\mathbf{u}^{\alpha}} \int f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) d\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{\partial^{\alpha}}{\partial \mathbf{u}^{\alpha}} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) d\mu(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{u} \in (a, b)^{d}.$$
(9)

The proof follows from induction on the dimension of \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{u} and the order of the derivative. The base case is specifically the statement of corollary 2.28 (2) from the notes.

We have that

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha}}{\partial \mathbf{u}^{\alpha}} \exp(i\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u} \rangle) = i^{|\alpha|} \prod_{k=1}^{d} x_k^{\alpha_k} \exp(i\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u} \rangle)$$
(10)

and that

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{\alpha}}{\partial \mathbf{u}^{\alpha}} \exp(i\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u} \rangle) \right| \leq \underbrace{\prod_{k=1}^{d} |x_{k}|^{\alpha_{k}}}_{\text{(11)}}.$$

Now because

$$\mathbf{E}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{d}|X_{k}|^{\alpha_{k}}\right) = \int \prod_{k=1}^{d}|x_{k}|^{\alpha_{k}} d\mathbb{P}_{X}(\mathbf{x}) < \infty \tag{12}$$

then $\circledast \in L^1(\mathbb{P}_X)$ and so we can apply our claim (the DCT) to get

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha} \hat{\mu}(\mathbf{u})}{\partial \mathbf{u}^{\alpha}} = \int \frac{\partial^{\alpha}}{\partial \mathbf{u}^{\alpha}} \exp(i\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u} \rangle) d\mathbb{P}_{X}(\mathbf{x})$$
(13)

$$= \int i^{|\alpha|} \prod_{k=1}^{d} x_k^{\alpha_k} \exp(i\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u} \rangle) d\mathbb{P}_X(\mathbf{x})$$
(14)

$$= i^{|\alpha|} \int \prod_{k=1}^{d} x_k^{\alpha_k} \exp(i\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u} \rangle) d\mathbb{P}_X(\mathbf{x})$$
 (15)

and so

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha} \hat{\mu}(\mathbf{u})}{\partial \mathbf{u}^{\alpha}} \Big|_{\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}} = i^{|\alpha|} \int \prod_{k=1}^{d} x_k^{\alpha_k} \exp(i\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0} \rangle) d\mathbb{P}_X(\mathbf{x})$$
(16)

$$=i^{|\alpha|}\int \prod_{k=1}^{d} x_k^{\alpha_k} d\mathbb{P}_X(\mathbf{x}) \tag{17}$$

$$=i^{|\alpha|}\mathbb{E}(X^{\alpha})\tag{18}$$

3.3

Let d=1 and let μ have the Lebesgue density,

$$f(x) = \frac{C}{(1+x^2)\log(e+x^2)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (19)

We wish to show that E[X] is not defined but $\hat{\mu}(u)$ is differentiable at 0. Firstly we show that E[X] is not defined.

$$E[X] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{Cx}{(1+x^2)\log(e+x^2)} dx$$
 (20)

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{C}{(x^{-1} + x)\log(e + x^2)}$$
 (21)

note that

$$\frac{C}{(x^{-1} + x)\log(e + x^2)} \sim \frac{C}{2x\log(x)}$$
 (22)

and

$$\int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{C}{2x \log(x)} dx, \text{ and } \int_{-\infty}^{b} \frac{C}{2x \log(x)} dx$$
 (23)

do not converge so $\mathbb{E}[X]$ does not exist.

We now just have to show that $\hat{mu}(u)$ is differentiable at u=0.

$$\hat{\mu}(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{iux}C}{(1+x^2)\log(e+x^2)} dx$$
 (24)

and

$$\frac{d}{du}\hat{\mu}(u)\Big|_{u=0} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{ixe^{iux}C}{(1+x^2)\log(e+x^2)} dx \tag{25}$$

4

Let μ be the binomial distribution with n trials and probability of success p, that is $\mu = \text{Bin}(n, p)$, and let ν be the Poisson distribution with mean $\lambda > 0$.

4.1

We wish to verify that $\hat{\mu}(u) = (1 - p + pe^{iu})^n$. Because the binomial distribution is just the convolution of identical independent Bernoulli distributions then we just have to verify that $(1-p+pe^{iu})$ is the characteristic function for Bernoulli(p).

If ν is the Bernoulli measure and X has law ν then

$$\hat{\nu}(u) = \mathbb{E}[\exp(iuX)] \tag{26}$$

$$= \sum_{k \in \{0,1\}} e^{iuk} \nu_X(k) \tag{27}$$

$$= pe^{iu} + (1-p). (28)$$

Then by repeated application of the convolution theorem we get that $\hat{\mu}(u) = (1 - p + pe^{iu})^n$.

4.2

We wish to verify that $\hat{\nu}(u) = \exp(\lambda(e^{iu} - 1))$. The probability mass function of the Poisson distribution is

$$\frac{\lambda^k}{k!}e^{-\lambda} \tag{29}$$

and thus

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(iuX)] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda} e^{iuk}$$
(30)

$$=e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} (e^{iu})^k \tag{31}$$

$$=e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda e^{iu})^k}{k!} \tag{32}$$

$$=e^{-\lambda}e^{\lambda e^{iu}}\tag{33}$$

$$=e^{\lambda(e^{iu}-1)}\tag{34}$$

4.3

We wish to show that if p_n is a sequence in [0,1] such that $p_n \downarrow 0$ and $np_n \longrightarrow \lambda$ then $\mu_n \longrightarrow \nu$ in the weak sense where $\mu_n = \text{Bin}(n, p_n)$. Let $f \in C_b$ then

$$E[f(X_n)] = \sum_{k=0}^{n} f(k)\mu_n(k)$$
(35)

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} f(k)\mu_n(k)$$
 (36)

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} f(k) \binom{n}{k} p_n^k (1 - p_n)^{n-k}$$
(37)

then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E[f(X_n)] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n f(k) \binom{n}{k} p_n^k (1 - p_n)^{n-k}$$
(38)

and

$$\mathbb{E}[f(Y)] = f(x)\nu(x) \tag{39}$$

$$=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(k) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda} \tag{40}$$

(41)

5

5.1

We wish to show that $\mathbb{P}(B_n) = 1/2$ for every $n \geq 1$. Note that

$$B_n = \bigcup_{k=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} \left[\frac{2k}{2^n}, \frac{2k+1}{2^n} \right) \tag{42}$$

and thus

$$\mathbb{P}(B_n) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} \left[\frac{2k}{2^n}, \frac{2k+1}{2^n}\right)\right)$$
(43)

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} \mathbb{P}\left(\left[\frac{2k}{2^n}, \frac{2k+1}{2^n}\right)\right) \tag{44}$$

$$=\sum_{k=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} \frac{1}{2^n} \tag{45}$$

$$=2^{n-1}\frac{1}{2^n}\tag{46}$$

$$=\frac{1}{2}. (47)$$

5.2

We now wish to show that the sequence of events B_n form an infinite sequence of independent events. Take a finite subset $J \subset \mathbb{N}$ with |J| = m and $\max J = r$ then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{n\in J} B_n\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{n\in J} \bigcup_{k=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} \left[\frac{2k}{2^n}, \frac{2k+1}{2^n}\right)\right) \tag{48}$$

$$= \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{2^{r-m}-1} \left[\frac{2k}{2^r}, \frac{2k+1}{2^r}\right)\right)$$
 (49)

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{2^{r-m}-1} \mathbb{P}\left(\left[\frac{2k}{2^n}, \frac{2k+1}{2^n}\right)\right)$$
 (50)

$$=2^{r-m}\frac{1}{2^r} (51)$$

$$=\frac{1}{2^m}\tag{52}$$

$$=\prod_{n\in J}\mathbb{P}\left(B_{n}\right)\tag{53}$$

and so the sequence of events B_n form an infinite sequence of independent events.

5.3

We wish to show / argue that the probability that a randomly sampled number ω will have the sequence 5825 occur infinitely often in its decimal expansion is 1.

We use the Borel-Cantelli lemma. Ignoring possible overlaps (on the 5s) we can see that we can break any decimal expansion of ω up into blocks of 4 digits.

Then by we can define E_i as the probability of obtaining 5285 in the i-th block possition. By the same

argument as above these events are independent. The for any i we have that $\mathbb{P}(E_i) = \frac{1}{10000}$ (the same argument as above applied to a decimal expansion). Then clearly

$$\mathbb{P}(E_i) = \infty. \tag{54}$$

By the Borel-Cantelli lemma this implies

$$\mathbb{P}(\limsup_{n}(E_{n}) = 1. \tag{55}$$

Now

$$\lim_{n} \sup_{n} (E_n) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} E_j$$
 (56)

can be intuatively read as E_j happens infinitely often. Which is to say that 5285 occurs blockwise in the expansion of ω infinitely often. Clearly as allowing for overlaps allows for more configurations then the probability is 1 (it can be no more).