

## Question 5

Let  $A$  denote the set of all integers of the form,  $2^r 3^s 5^t$ . Evaluate  $\sum_{n \in A} \frac{1}{n}$  and  $\sum_{n \in A} \frac{1}{n^2}$ .

### Solution

Let  $\mathbb{N}^0$  denote the set of natural numbers including 0. We can clearly write

$$\sum_{n \in A} \frac{1}{n} = \sum_{r,s,t \in \mathbb{N}^0} \frac{1}{2^r 3^s 5^t}.$$

Now we have that  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\ell^{-k}|$  converges for all  $\ell > 1$ , so we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r,s,t \in \mathbb{N}^0} \frac{1}{2^r 3^s 5^t} &= \left( \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}^0} \frac{1}{2^r} \right) \left( \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}^0} \frac{1}{3^s} \right) \left( \sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}^0} \frac{1}{5^t} \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{3}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{5}} \right) \\ &= \frac{15}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar manner we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in A} \frac{1}{n^2} &= \sum_{r,s,t \in \mathbb{N}^0} \frac{1}{(2^r 3^s 5^t)^2} \\ &= \sum_{r,s,t \in \mathbb{N}^0} \frac{1}{4^r 9^s 25^t} \\ &= \left( \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}^0} \frac{1}{4^r} \right) \left( \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}^0} \frac{1}{9^s} \right) \left( \sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}^0} \frac{1}{25^t} \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{4}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{9}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{25}} \right) \\ &= \frac{25}{16}. \end{aligned}$$

## Question 6

A slightly generalised version of Bertrand's postulate states that, for  $n \geq 6$ , there are at least 2 primes between  $n$  and  $2n$ . Use this to prove that  $p_{k+2} \leq p_k + p_{k+1}$ .

### Solution

By this extended Bertrand's postulate we have that

$$\begin{aligned} p_{k+2} &< 2p_k \\ &< p_{k+1} + p_k \end{aligned}$$

We just have to tidy up cases when  $p_k < 6$ . See that

$$2 + 3 \leq 5$$

$$3 + 5 < 11$$

$$5 + 7 < 13$$

So we have that  $p_{k+2} \leq p_k + p_{k+1}$  which can be strengthened to  $p_{k+2} < p_k + p_{k+1}$  when for  $k > 1$ .  $\square$

## Question 7

**a**

Use Bertrand's Postulate to prove that for  $m \geq 2$ , if  $m! = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r}$  then  $\alpha_i = 1$  for at least one value of  $i$ .

**b**

Deduce that  $m!$  is never a  $k$ th power for any  $k \geq 2$ .

## Solution

**a**

Write  $m = 2k + 1$  or  $m = 2k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . In either case there must exist a prime  $k < p < 2k$ . Just considering  $m > 4$  we have that in the factorization  $m! = m \cdot (m - 1) \cdot (m - 2) \dots p \dots 2$  there is only one power of  $p$  because for  $p > 2$  we have that  $p^2 > 2p > m > p$ . In the language used above, that is simply to say that there exists an  $i$  such that  $\alpha_i = 1$ .

To tidy up the remaining cases it is clear to see that

$$4! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 2^2$$

$$3! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3$$

$$2! = 1 \cdot 2$$

$$1! = 1$$

and so the result holds.  $\square$

**b**

It is clear that for any number of the form  $s = x^k$  with  $x, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s$  must have a prime factorization with  $s = p_1^{k\alpha_1} \dots p_n^{k\alpha_n}$ . For  $m!$ , however, we have proven that there are prime factors which only occur once (not  $k > 1$  times). This is to say  $m!$  cannot be written in the form  $x^k$ .  $\square$

## Question 8

Use Bertrand's postulate to prove that for every integer  $k > 2$ , there is a prime  $p$  such that  $p < k < 2p$ .

**Solution**

Consider  $r = \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$  with  $k > 2$ . We know that there must exist a prime  $p$  such that  $r < p < 2r$ . Then we have that  $2r < 2p$ .

If  $k = 2r$  then we are finished.

If  $k = 2r + 1$  then  $p \geq r + 1$  so  $2p \geq 2r + 2$  which implies  $2p > 2r + 1$ .

In conclusion we have that for all  $k > 2$  there exists a prime  $p$  such that  $p < k < 2p$ . □