Instructions: Answer at most one of the following two questions.

1. (20 points) Consider the following problem. The input consists of a positive integer L and a collection

$$\mathcal{I} = \{I_1 = (a_1, b_1), I_2 = (a_2, b_2), \dots I_n = (a_n, b_n)\}\$$

consisting of n open intervals over the real line. Further each a_i and each b_i is an integer in the range between 0 and L inclusive, and $a_i < b_i$. So no interval starts before 0 and no interval ends after L, and all intervals are of positive length. The intervals being open means that they do not include their end points. So neither a_i or b_i is in the open interval (a_i, b_i) . A feasible solution is subset \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{I} such that no pair of intervals in \mathcal{C} intersect (contain a point in common). The objective is the minimum average squared length of the gaps formed by the intervals in \mathcal{C} . Note that the output for the problem is the optimal objective value, not the set \mathcal{C} .

To formally define this objective let $\mathcal{C} = \{I_{\sigma(1)}, \dots I_{\sigma(k)}\}$, where

$$a_{\sigma(1)} < b_{\sigma(1)} \le a_{\sigma(2)} < b_{\sigma(2)} \le \dots \le a_{\sigma(k)} < b_{\sigma(k)}.$$

Then the objective value for \mathcal{C} is

$$\frac{a_{\sigma(1)}^2 + \sum_{i=2}^k (a_{\sigma(i)} - b_{\sigma(i-1)})^2 + (L - b_{\sigma(k)})^2}{k+1}$$

Consider for example the input where L = 10 and

$$\mathcal{I} = \{(0,4), (3,7), (4,6), (9,10), (5,9), (1,7)\}$$

Then $C_1 = \{(3,7), (0,4), (9,10)\}$ is infeasible because (3,7) and (0,4) intersect. Then $C_2 = \{(0,4), (4,6), (9,10)\}$ is feasible and has objective value $(0^2 + 0^2 + 3^2 + 0^2)/4 = 9/4$. Then $C_3 = \{(1,7), (9,10)\}$ is feasible and has objective value $(1^2 + 2^2 + 0^2)/3 = 4/3$. So C_3 is a better answer than C_2 .

- (a) Either give a recursive algorithm for this problem (which may run in exponential time), or give a pruning rule for a tree that enumerates all feasible solutions (this includes explaining the tree). Pseudo-code is fine, but it is not sufficient in and of itself, you must also have some explanation of your algorithm.
- (b) Using your answer for the above subproblem give an $O(n^3)$ -time dynamic programming algorithm. Don't forget to explain how to compute the final answer from the filled in array. Pseudo-code is fine, but it is not sufficient in and of itself, you must also have some explanation of your algorithm.
- (c) Define intended meaning of the entries in your array in your dynamic programming algorithm.

2. (10 points) Consider the following problem. The input consists of a positive integer L and a collection

$$\mathcal{I} = \{I_1 = (a_1, b_1), I_2 = (a_2, b_2), \dots I_n = (a_n, b_n)\}\$$

consisting of n open intervals over the real line. Further each a_i and each b_i is an integer in the range between 0 and L inclusive, and $a_i < b_i$. So no interval starts before 0 and no interval ends after L, and all intervals are of positive length. The intervals being open means that they do not include their end points. So neither a_i or b_i is in the open interval (a_i, b_i) . A feasible solution is subset \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{I} such that no pair of intervals in \mathcal{C} intersect (contain a point in common). The objective is the minimum aggregate squared length of the gaps formed by the intervals in \mathcal{C} . Note that the output for the problem is the optimal objective value, not the set \mathcal{C} .

To formally define this objective let $C = \{I_{\sigma(1)}, \dots I_{\sigma(k)}\}\$, where

$$a_{\sigma(1)} < b_{\sigma(1)} \le a_{\sigma(2)} < b_{\sigma(2)} \le \dots \le a_{\sigma(k)} < b_{\sigma(k)}.$$

Then the objective value for C is

$$a_{\sigma(1)}^2 + \sum_{i=2}^k (a_{\sigma(i)} - b_{\sigma(i-1)})^2 + (L - b_{\sigma(k)})^2$$

Consider for example the input where L = 10 and

$$\mathcal{I} = \{(0,4), (3,7), (4,6), (9,10), (5,9), (1,7)\}$$

Then $C_1 = \{(3,7), (0,4), (9,10)\}$ is infeasible because (3,7) and (0,4) intersect. Then $C_2 = \{(0,4), (4,6), (9,10)\}$ is feasible and has objective value $(0^2 + 0^2 + 3^2 + 0^2) = 9$. Then $C_3 = \{(1,7), (9,10)\}$ is feasible and has objective value $(1^2 + 2^2 + 0^2) = 4$. So C_3 is a better answer than C_2 .

- (a) Either give a recursive algorithm for this problem (which may run in exponential time), or give a pruning rule for a tree that enumerates all feasible solutions (this includes explaining the tree). Pseudo-code is fine, but it is not sufficient in and of itself, you must also have some explanation of your algorithm.
- (b) Using your answer for the above subproblem give an $O(n^2)$ -time dynamic programming algorithm. Don't forget to explain how to compute the final answer from the filled in array. Pseudo-code is fine, but it is not sufficient in and of itself, you must also have some explanation of your algorithm.
- (c) Define intended meaning of the entries in your array in your dynamic programming algorithm.