Identification, Inference, and Prediction

Adam M. Lauretig

12/18/2019

Welcome

- ► Talk: Parameter identification, what it means for inference and prediction
- ► Latent Variable Models: Factorization Machines, Interactive Fixed Effects
- Stan code + simulations!

Who I Am

- Data Scientist
- Previously, Ph.D. in political science (at THE Ohio State University)
- ▶ Word Embeddings, Causal Inference, Bayesian Statistics

Why We Model

- Descriptive Inference: is there an association between X and y?
- Prediction: with X and y, what is y for a new X?
- Causal Inference: does changing X, change y?
- ► Shameless plug: Book Chapter insert link

Parameter Identification

- Unique solution to the model
- ► Necessary for causal inference
- Allows for uncertainty and interpretability

Latent Variable Models

- Learning parameters to reconstruct observed data
- Ex: Principal Components Analysis, Factor Analysis, Word2vec, etc
- lackbrack Data $oldsymbol{X}_{N imes J}$ is decomposed into two low rank matrices: $oldsymbol{\gamma}_{N imes K}$ and $oldsymbol{\delta}_{K imes J}$
- lacktriangle Various assumptions about the structure of γ and δ

► Combine regression with a latent variable model on the residuals

But Why?

- Regression Model, for one observation
- ► Categorical predictors $\mathbf{x}_{n \in N}$, $\mathbf{x}_{j \in J}$
- Outcome y
- \triangleright Parameters β

$$y_{nj} = \mathbf{x}_n \beta_1 + \mathbf{x}_j \beta_2 + \varepsilon_{nj}$$

With Interactions

$$y_{nj} = \boldsymbol{x}_n \beta_1 + \boldsymbol{x}_j \beta_2 + \boldsymbol{x}_n \times \boldsymbol{x}_j \beta_3 + \varepsilon_{nj}$$

Problems! - We can only estimate β_3 for observed interactions - As N and J grow, β_3 increases N * J

Solution!

- ▶ Replace β_3 with the dot product of low-rank latent factors:
- $ightharpoonup \gamma_{N \times K}$
- \triangleright $\delta_{J\times K}$
- $ightharpoonup eta_3$ is now $\gamma_n \cdot \delta_j^{\top}$

► Interaction model:

$$y_{nj} = \boldsymbol{x}_n \beta_1 + \boldsymbol{x}_j \beta_2 + \gamma_n \cdot \delta_j^\top + \varepsilon_{nj}$$

▶ Depending on our assumptions about $\gamma_n \cdot \delta_j^\top$, we can now create FMs or IFEs

Factorization Machines

- **Each** element of δ_i and γ_n is Normally distributed
- Automatic Relevance Determination (ARD) prior to shrink the matrix rank

$$y_{nj} \sim N(\boldsymbol{x}_n \beta_1 + \boldsymbol{x}_j \beta_2 + \gamma_n \cdot \delta_j^{\top}, 1)$$
 $\boldsymbol{\beta} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$
 $\gamma_{n,k} \sim N(0, \psi_k)$
 $\delta_{j,k} \sim N(0, 1)$
 $\psi_k \sim \operatorname{Gam}(a, b)$
 $a \sim \operatorname{Gam}(1, 1)$
 $b \sim \operatorname{Gam}(1, 1)$

Simulate a Factorization Machine:

```
seed_to_use = 123
N = 1
J = 1
K = 1
set.seed(seed_to_use)
# number of levels for first covariate
N <- N
group_1 <- paste0("i", 1:N)
# number of levels for second covariate
J <- J
group_2 <- paste0("j", 1:J)
# number of latent dimensions
K <- K
# observed data ----
predictors <- expand.grid(group 1 = group 1, group 2 = group 2)
X mat <- sparse.model.matrix(~ factor(group1) + factor(group 2) - 1, data = predictors)
# for sparsity, since here, we're assuming we have only dummies
# creating numeric values for each individual FE
predictors as numeric <- cbind(as.numeric(factor(predictors[, 1])), as.numeric(factor(predictors[, 2])))
# the regression part of the equation
betas <- matrix(rnorm(n = ncol(X_mat), 0, 2))
linear_predictor <- X_mat %*% betas
```