IMAT5235 Coursework Marking Scheme (Presentations)

Name: Student Number: Final Mark:

Marking Scheme for Presentations (30% of Overall Mark)

Group part worth 10% of overall mark	0 – 44% Fail	45-49% Marginal Fail	50-54% Pass	55-59% Pass	60-69% Merit	>70% Distinction
structure,	Poorly structured. Little or no evidence of preparation/collaboration. Poor delivery and little or no supporting materials.	does not exist or not followed. Only limited evidence	Satisfactory approach to structure and some evidence of appropriate preparation.	Well-structured and prepared, clear group identity and standardisation that is mostly followed but with some limitations.	Very well structured and prepared. Some	Highly professional approach. Clear group identity, collaboration and excellent planning and delivery. Excellent supporting materials. Very minor or no limitations
Individual part worth 20% of overall mark	0 – 44% Fail	45-49% Marginal Fail	50-54% Pass	55-59% Pass	60-69% Merit	>70% Distinction
Structure. Evidence of preparation: quality of supporting	preparation. Poor delivery & poor or no supporting	Only limited evidence of any	approach to	'''	ictriictiirad and	Highly professional approach. Excellent supporting materials. Very minor or no limitations

materials (e.g. slides/ handouts)			appropriate preparation.			
Content	Little or no real content. Very poor standard.	Some relevant information provided but generally weak in terms of content.	Satisfactory level of content but lacking in depth.	and evidence of a fair understanding of the associated	content. Very well researched and evidence of good understanding. Some minor	Evidence of thorough research into subject area. Expressed in a way that show a sound understanding of the area and associated issues. Very minor or no limitations.
Handling of questions	unable to answer	Some attempt to answer questions but answers generally unsatisfactory.	Satisfactory attempt to answer questions.	handling questions and any associated discussion	generally handled very well and very good ability to	Excellent answers provided to all or almost all questions. Able to discuss area with authority.

Comments:	

IMAT5235 Coursework Marking Scheme (Report)

Name: Student Number: Final Mark:

Marking scheme for the Project Report (70% of Overall Mark)

Group part worth	0 – 44%	45-49%	50-54%	55-59%	60-69%	>70%
10% of overall mark	Fail	Marginal Fail	Pass	Pass	Merit	Distinction
presentation and management of	Poorly structured. Little or no evidence of preparation/collaboration. Poor delivery and little or	not exist or not	Satisfactory approach	Clear group identity	Very well structured and prepared in line with group identity and plans with clear individual work. Some minor limitations.	Highly professional approach to both report writing and report presentation. Clear group identity, collaboration and excellent project planning with clear delivery on both group and individual tasks. Excellent supporting materials. Very minor or no limitations
Individual part worth	0 – 44%	45-49%	50-54%	55-59%	60-69%	>70%
60% of overall mark	Fail	Marginal Fail	Pass	Pass	Merit	Distinction
Coverage of area, including background, planning, literature review.	Not acceptable	Some attempt to cover the area but with serious limitations.	Brief with significant limitations.	Good coverage, but with some notable limitations.	Very good coverage of area and associated issues with good review of literature.	Excellent coverage, showing a sound understanding of topic. Excellent critical review of

						literature. Ready for publication
Practical (e.g. implementation or experimental work)	Very little of value	Weak, with substantial limitations. Some effort evident.	Satisfactory amount of work. Significant limitations in design & documentation.	Good work, with some limitations.	Very good work, very good documentation and design. Only minor limitations	Challenging work, well documented, well designed, enough contribution for conference publication
Conclusions, recommendations, critical evaluation, new ideas, etc.	Missing or poor or not meaningful	A minimal attempt with serious limitations. Not acceptable.	Satisfactory but with significant limitations.	Good, but with some notable limitations. Lacks depth.	Very good, comprehensive, with good ideas.	Excellent, follows logically from body of report and contains excellent and original ideas.
Structure and presentation. References, bibliography.	No clear structure, and presentation very weak. Poor or no bibliography, reference list, citations in report.	Weak structure poor presentation. Poor bibliography, reference list, citations in report.	Satisfactory approach to structure and presentation. List of references present but with significant limitations.	Well structured and presentation good. Most references in correct format from both web and traditional sources.	Very well structured but not in full conference template, still some grammatical and spelling problems	Presented in the relevant conference template, appropriate lengths of sections with main stress on contribution sections

Comments:			