```
cs310 3[ab] Adam_Minter
Apr 01, 12 6:21
                                                                    Page 1/5
   who= cs310 3[ab] Adam_Minter
   here= /home/aminter1/Project-3-CS310/310.3
   total 32
   4 drwxr-xr-x 2 aminter1 aminter1 4096 2012-04-01 06:20 .
   4 drwxr-xr-x 10 aminter1 aminter1 4096 2012-03-30 03:08 .
   8 -rw-r--r- 1 aminter1 aminter1 5773 2012-04-01 06:20 15.lisp
   4 -rw-r--r-- 1 aminter1 aminter1 1134 2012-04-01 03:57 answers3a.txt
   4 -rw-r--r- 1 aminter1 aminter1 1115 2012-04-01 05:46 answers3b.txt
   4 -rw-r--r-- 1 aminter1 aminter1 273 2012-04-01 05:32 answers3c.txt
10 4 -rw-r--r- 1 aminter1 aminter1 238 2012-03-10 00:12 main.lisp
   running ...
   ;testing !RANDS
   ;testing !TIME-IT
   testing !ZERO;
   testing !ONE
20 ;testing !AGE
   293
   ;testing !OLDEST
   ; pass : 5 = 100.0%
   ; fail : 0 = 0.0%
   ====| 15.lisp |=============
   Paul Graham's chapter 15 (see http://goo.gl/10Cc5) from Ansi COMMON
  LISP (see http://www.paulgraham.com/acl.html) implements a minimal
   Prolog system. It can't do everything that Prolog can do but, heh,
   what do you expect of 100 lines of code.
   (defun match (x y &optional binds)
     (cond
      ((eql x y)
                      (values binds t))
      ((assoc x binds) (match (binding x binds) y binds))
      ((assoc y binds) (match x (binding y binds) binds))
      ((var? x)
                      (values (cons (cons x y) binds) t))
      ((var? y)
                      (values (cons (cons y x) binds) t))
       (when (and (consp x) (consp y))
        (multiple-value-bind (b2 yes)
50
                            (match (car x) (car y) binds)
          (and yes (match (cdr x) (cdr y) b2)))))))
   (defun var? (x)
     (and (symbolp x)
         (eql (char (symbol-name x) 0) #\?)))
   (defun binding (x binds)
     (let ((b (assoc x binds)))
          (or (binding (cdr b) binds)
              (cdr b)))))
   (defvar *rules* (make-hash-table))
65
   (defmacro <- (con &optional ant)
     '(length (push (cons (cdr ',con) ',ant)
                   (gethash (car ',con) *rules*))))
70 (defun prove (expr &optional binds)
     (case (car expr)
      ; cs310 students! insert new code here
                    (prove-code (second expr) binds) (list binds))
        (do
```

Apr	01, 12 6:21 cs310 3[ab] Adam_Minter	Page 2/5
75 80	<pre>(say</pre>	
80		
85	<pre>(defun prove-code (expr binds) (labels ((lets (binds want)</pre>	
90	<pre>(defun prove-simple (pred args binds) (mapcan #'(lambda (r)</pre>	
95	binds) (when yes (if (cdr r)	
100	<pre>(mapcar #'change-vars</pre>	
105	<pre>(defun change-vars (r) (sublis (mapcar #'(lambda (v) (cons v (gensym "?")))</pre>	
110	<pre>(defun vars-in (expr) (if (atom expr) (if (var? expr) (list expr)) (union (vars-in (car expr))</pre>	
115	<pre>(defun prove-and (clauses binds) (if (null clauses) (list binds)</pre>	
	<pre>(defun prove-or (clauses binds) (mapcan #'(lambda (c) (prove c binds))</pre>	
125	<pre>(defun prove-not (clause binds) (unless (prove clause binds) (list binds)))</pre>	
130	<pre>(defun prove-operator (operator args binds) (when (prove-code (cons operator args) binds) (list binds)))</pre>	
135	<pre>;(defun prove-is (arg1 arg2 binds) ; (prove-code (match arg1 arg2 binds))(list binds)) (defmacro with-answer (query &body body) (let ((binds (gensym))) '(dolist (,binds (prove ',query)) '(let ('proper # t/lambda (r))</pre>	
140	<pre>(let ,(mapcar #'(lambda (v)</pre>	
145	<pre>(defun data0 () (clrhash *rules*)</pre>	

```
cs310 3[ab] Adam Minter
Apr 01, 12 6:21
                                                                        Page 4/5
   ====| answers3a.txt |============
   1. (match '?x 1 nil) returns the following:
   ((?X . 1))
   2. Why does the match function return two values?
   The first return is a list of associated lists that show each match found. The
   second return is a flag T or nil, indicating whether or not the match was
230 successful.
   3. Give an example for the kind of structure that would satisfy var.
   ?adam minter
235 4. Give an example for the kind of structure that would not satisfy var.
   5. Why does binding call itself recursively before it looks at the cdr of the
   current binding?
240 This function has to be recursive, becasue matching can build up binding lists
   in which a variable is only indirectly associated with it's value: ?x might be
   bound to an in virtue of the list containing both (?x . ?y) and (?y . a).
   (p 250)
245 6. The function datal includes a predicate 'sameSex' that matches to two
   persons. Except for gender, every other field has a suffix that is either
    '1' or '2'. Does gender need a suffix? Why or why not?
   Gender can only have 2 different values, male or female. There isn't any other
   option, so there doesn't need to be any extra organization to the rule.
   ====| answers3b.txt |============
   1. Why does prove-simple use mapcan as the list mapping operator?
255 Hint: "nil".
   A failed proof returns nil, otherwise, mapcan returns all the lists, and
   doesn't return the nils
   2. The following functions all return lists of multiple bindings:
260 prove-simple, prove-and, prove-or, prove-not.
   Why don't we just return one binding?
   Because there are often times multiple bindings. Only returning one binding
   would, for instance, return only one of the parents. Returning multiple
   bindings will return both bindings containing both parents in question.
   3. Given an example of "r" before and after it is processed by change-vars.
   Each expression is rehashed using the value passed in for r.
   4. The function prove-code evals a structure called code that creates a
270 let statement which defines some vars (local variables) then calls some
   code expr. What is going on here?
   The let creates the list of bindings that hold each variable, code creates
   the code block that declares each binding, inserting the expression inside
   each. Prove-code returns the entire expression with each binding set in an
275 environment.
   ====| answers3c.txt |============
280 1. In âM-^@M-^X15.lispâM-^@M-^Y, the equality test is handled a funny way: (<- (
   = ?x ?x).
   Is that a typo? Should not the second "?x" be different to the first?
   And should there be a body to the rule?
   It's not a typo. A variable is always equal to itself, so it needs to stay as
   is.
285
   ====| main.lisp |============
   (handler-bind ((style-warning #'muffle-warning))
    (mapc 'load '(
                   "../tricks.lisp"
```

```
cs310 3[ab] Adam_Minter
Apr 01, 12 6:21
                                                                                              Page 5/5
                         "15.lisp"
                         ))))
295 (defun ! () (load "main.lisp"))
    (defun main () (tests))
    (defun hello (&optional (who "world"))
            (format nil "hello ~a~%" who))
```