

# Noncommutative Harmonic Analysis on SO(3)

Taeyoung Lee

## I. HARMONIC ANALYSIS ON SO(3)

### A. Representation on a Lie group

Let  $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The (left) representation  $U(g)$  of  $G$  is  $GL(\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , i.e., the set of linear transformation on  $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  defined such that

$$(U(g)f)(x) = f(g^{-1}x). \quad (1)$$

It is straightforward to show the representation is a homomorphism,

$$(U(g_1)(U(g_2)f))(x) = f(g_2^{-1}g_1^{-1}x) = (U(g_1g_2)f)(x).$$

By selecting a basis for the invariance subspaces of  $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $U(g)$  can be represented by a matrix, which is called a matrix representation of  $G$ .

Two matrix representations are *equivalent*, if one can obtained by a similarity transform of the other. Any representation can be transformed into a *unitary* representation by a similarity transform so that  $U(g)U^*(g) = I$ , or  $U(g^{-1}) = U^*(g)$ . A matrix representation is *reducible*, if it is equivalent to the direct sum of others, or equivalently, it can be block-diagonalized. One can redefine (1) with the group acting on the right side of  $x$ . Each irreducible representation acts only on the corresponding subspace, and the choice between the left action or the right action does not matter in the definition of the representation.

### B. Irreducible Unitary Representation on SO(3)

Since there is two-to-one homomorphism from  $SU(2)$  to  $SO(3)$ , the representation of  $SO(3)$  should be a subset of those for  $SU(2)$ , which is a set of linear transformation on  $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{C}^2)$ . The set of homogeneous polynomials is a basis of  $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{C}^2)$ , and one can find the matrix representation of  $SU(2)$  with (1), which results in generalizations of the associated Legendre function [1] that is also shown to be unitary, and irreducible. An irreducible unitary representation of  $SO(3)$  is constructed by taking the terms with integer indices.

The wigner-D function is another irreducible unitary representation on  $SU(2)$  (or  $SO(3)$ ) that is equivalent to the above representation based on the generalized associated Legendre function. Specifically, the wigner-D function is given by  $D_{m,n}^l(R) \in \mathbb{C}$ , where the index  $l$  is a non-negative integer, and the integer indices  $m, n$  vary in  $-l \leq m, n \leq l$ . When the low indices are dropped,  $D^l(R)$  is considered as a square matrix where the row index (resp. the column index) corresponds to  $m$  (resp.  $n$ ) varying from  $-l \leq m, n \leq l$ . As such  $D^l(R) \in \mathbb{C}^{2l+1, 2l+1}$ .

Let  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in [0, 2\pi] \times [0, \pi] \times [0, 2\pi]$  be the 3-2-3 Euler angles, i.e.,

$$R(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \exp(\alpha \hat{e}_3) \exp(\beta \hat{e}_2) \exp(\gamma \hat{e}_3).$$

Then,  $D_{m,n}^l(R(\alpha, \beta, \gamma))$  is given by

$$D_{m,n}^l(R(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) = e^{-im\alpha} d_{m,n}^l(\beta) e^{-in\gamma},$$

where  $d_{m,n}^l(\beta)$  is a real-valued wigner-d function. A recursive formulation to evaluate the wigner-d function is presented in [2]. As  $D^l(R)$  is unitary, we have  $D^l(R)(D^l(R))^* = I_{2l+1}$  or equivalently  $(D^l(R))^{-1} = (D^l(R))^*$ . Furthermore, as it is a homomorphism,  $D^l(R)D^l(R^T) = I_{2l+1}$ . Therefore,

$$D^l(R^T) = (D^l(R))^{-1} = (D^l(R))^*, \\ D^l(I_{3 \times 3}) = I_{2l+1}, \quad D_{m,n}^l(R(0, 0, 0)) = \delta_{m,n},$$

Since  $d^l(\beta) = D^l(R(0, \beta, 0))$ , it follows

$$d^l(-\beta) = (d^l(\beta))^{-1} = (d^l(\beta))^T, \\ d^l(0) = I_{2l+1}, \quad d_{m,n}^l(0) = \delta_{m,n}.$$

While this formulation is based on the particular 3-2-3 Euler angles, 3-1-3 Euler angles can be used without any modification, as it will be equivalent anyway [1]. Another nice feature is that  $D_{m,n}^l$  is given by a product of three terms, which depend solely on each of three Euler angles. This follows directly from the use of a Gel'fand-Tsetlin basis, i.e., a basis that respects the decomposition of the restriction to the subgroup  $SO(2)$  [3]. This is useful for devising fast Fourier transforms on  $SO(3)$ . Alternatively, the wigner-D matrix is formulated in terms of quaternions and rotation matrices in [4].

We define an inner product on  $\mathcal{L}^2(SO(3))$  as

$$\langle f(R), g(R) \rangle = \int_{SO(3)} f(R) g^*(R) dR.$$

For the 3-2-3 Euler angles, the Haar measure is written as  $dR = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \sin \beta d\alpha d\beta d\gamma$  that is normalized such that  $\int_{SO(3)} dR = 1$ .

Due to the Peter-Weyl theorem, the collection of the irreducible unitary representations on  $SO(3)$  form a complete orthogonal basis for  $\mathcal{L}^2(SO(3))$  over the above inner product. Specifically

$$\langle D_{m_1, n_1}^{l_1}(R), D_{m_2, n_2}^{l_2}(R) \rangle = \frac{1}{2l_1 + 1} \delta_{l_1, l_2} \delta_{m_1, m_2} \delta_{n_1, n_2}.$$

When  $m_1 = m_2$  and  $n_1 = n_2$ , this reduces to

$$\int_0^\pi d_{m,n}^{l_1}(\beta) d_{m,n}^{l_2}(\beta) \sin \beta d\beta = \frac{2}{2l_1 + 1} \delta_{l_1, l_2}.$$

The various identities, symmetry relatives, and derivatives of  $D_{m,n}^l$  are provided in [5]. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} D_{m,n}^l(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(l+m)(l-m+1)} e^{-i\alpha} D_{m-1,n}^l(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(l-m)(l+m+1)} e^{i\alpha} D_{m+1,n}^l(\alpha, \beta, \gamma). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

### C. Character

The character of the representation is given by

$$\chi^l(R) = \text{tr}[D^l(R)] = \sum_{m=-l}^l e^{-im(\alpha+\gamma)} d_{m,m}^l(\beta).$$

It is a *class function* as it is invariant under the conjugation, i.e., for any  $Q \in \text{SO}(3)$

$$\chi(Q^T R Q) = \text{tr}[D(Q^T R Q)] = \text{tr}[D(Q^T) D(R) D(Q)] = \chi(R).$$

As such, the character only depends on the rotation angle, i.e., when  $R = \exp(\theta \hat{r})$  for any  $r \in S^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi^l(\exp(\theta \hat{r})) \\ &= \chi^l(R(0, \theta, 0)) = \sum_{m=-l}^l d_{m,m}^l(\theta) \\ &= \chi^l(R(\theta, 0, 0)) = \sum_{m=-l}^l e^{-im\theta} = \frac{\sin(\frac{2l+1}{2}\theta)}{\sin \frac{\theta}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let a rotation matrix parameterized by spherical coordinates  $(\lambda, \nu, \theta) \in [0, 2\pi] \times [0, \pi] \times [0, \pi]$ , where  $\lambda$  and  $\nu$  are the polar and azimuthal angles for the axis of rotation, and  $\theta$  is the angle of rotation. In these coordinate, the normalized Haar measure is given by  $dR = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \nu d\lambda d\nu d\theta$ . We can show the orthogonality of the character as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \chi^{l_1}(R), \chi^{l_2}(R) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \sin\left(\frac{2l_1+1}{2}\theta\right) \sin\left(\frac{2l_2+1}{2}\theta\right) \sin \nu d\theta d\nu d\lambda \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \sin\left(\frac{2l_1+1}{2}\theta\right) \sin\left(\frac{2l_2+1}{2}\theta\right) d\theta = \delta_{l_1, l_2}. \end{aligned}$$

It has been shown that a representation  $\chi(R)$  is irreducible if and only if  $\|\chi(R)\| = 1$ . Consequently, the above orthogonality implies that  $D^l(R)$  is irreducible for any  $l$ .

### D. Operational Properties

Given the matrix representation  $D^l(R)$ , we can define a representation of  $\mathfrak{so}(3) \simeq \mathbb{R}^3$  as

$$u^l(\eta) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} D^l(\exp(\epsilon \hat{\eta})),$$

where  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . It satisfies

$$u^l([\eta, \zeta]) = [u^l(\eta), u^l(\zeta)].$$

As it is a linear operator,

$$u^l\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \eta_i e_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \eta_i u^l(e_i).$$

As such, we need to compute  $u^l(e_1), u^l(e_2), u^l(e_3) \in \mathbb{C}^{(2l+1) \times (2l+1)}$  only.

Since  $\exp(\epsilon \hat{e}_3) = R(\epsilon, 0, 0)$ ,

$$u_{m,n}^l(e_3) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} e^{-im\epsilon} d_{m,n}^l(0) = -im \delta_{m,n}. \quad (3)$$

Since  $\exp(\epsilon \hat{e}_2) = R(0, \epsilon, 0)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{m,n}^l(e_2) &= \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} D_{m,n}^l(R(0, \epsilon, 0)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(l+m)(l-m+1)} \delta_{m-1,n} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(l-m)(l+m+1)} \delta_{m+1,n} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} c_n^l \delta_{m-1,n} + \frac{1}{2} c_{-n}^l \delta_{m+1,n}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

with  $c_n^l = \sqrt{(l-n)(l+n+1)}$ , which is obtained from (2). Last,  $u^l(e_1)$  can be obtained by  $u^l(e_1) = u^l(e_2 \times e_3) = [u^l(e_2), u^l(e_3)]$  as

$$u_{m,n}^l(e_1) = -\frac{1}{2} i c_n^l \delta_{m-1,n} - \frac{1}{2} i c_{-n}^l \delta_{m+1,n}. \quad (5)$$

### E. Fourier Transform on $\text{SO}(3)$

Consequently, any  $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\text{SO}(3))$  has the following decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} f(R(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m,n=-l}^l (2l+1) \bar{f}_{m,n}^l D_{m,n}^l(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) \text{tr}[(\bar{f}^l)^T D^l(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)], \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where  $\bar{f}_{m,n}^l \in \mathbb{C}$  is obtained by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}_{m,n}^l &= \langle f(R), D_{m,n}^l(R) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} f(R(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) (D_{m,n}^l(R(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)))^* d\alpha d\beta d\gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Several variations of the above definition exists. For example, in [6],  $D_{m,n}^l$  is normalized such that the factor  $2l+1$  does not appear.

We also have the Plancherel theorem:

$$\langle f_1(R), f_2(R) \rangle = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) \langle \bar{f}_1^l, \bar{f}_2^l \rangle,$$

with the inner product  $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{tr}[A^* B]$  on  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ . Also,

$$\|f(R)\|^2 = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) \|\bar{f}^l\|^2$$

### F. Sampling

A function  $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\text{SO}(3))$  is called *band-limited* with the band  $l_{\max}$  if  $\bar{f}^l = 0$  for any  $l > l_{\max}$  in (6).

Consider the following uniform grid for  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in [0, 2\pi] \times [0, \pi] \times [0, 2\pi]$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Chirikjian and A. Kyatkin, *Engineering applications of noncommutative harmonic analysis*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 2001.
- [2] M. Blanco, M. Flórez, and M. Bermejo, "Evaluation of the rotation matrices in the basis of real spherical harmonics," *Journal of Molecular Structure*, vol. 419, pp. 19–27, 1997.
- [3] D. K. Maslen and D. N. Rockmore, "Generalized FFTs - a survey of some recent results," in *Groups and Computation II*, vol. 28. American Mathematical Soc., 1997, pp. 183–287.
- [4] R. Lynden-Bell and A. Stone, "Reorientational correlation functions, quaternions and wigner rotation matrices," *Molecular Simulation*, vol. 3, pp. 271–281, 1989.
- [5] D. Varshalovich, A. Moskalev, and V. Khersonskii, *Quantum Theore of Angular Momentum*. World Scientific, 1988.
- [6] P. J. Kostelec and D. N. Rockmore, "FFTs on the rotation group," *Journal of Fourier analysis and applications*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 145–179, 2008.