# **[3] a right to strike is key to support property rights.**
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In addition, in terms of the concept of “self-ownership” we are all owners of our own bodies and therefore should not be forced to do anything with our bodies against our will. We can do whatever we wish with our bodies, provided that we are not aggressive to others who also have “self-ownership” over their bodies (Cohen Self-ownership, Freedom and Equality (1995) 68). Since we own our bodies, we also own the labour that we can perform with our bodies just as we do any other property. Being forced to work without the right to strike could therefore be seen as an infringement of one’s property rights. One may also argue that our body belongs to us and hence is our property. By striking we are withholding the use of our body and any prevention of the right to strike would thus be a violation of our property rights. Israel has argued that the denial of the right to strike violates one’s freedom from forced labour. He argues that by prohibiting strikes or imposing criminal and civil sanctions upon strikers, one would be forcing employees to work, which would be a violation of their right not to be subjected to forced labour (Israel International Labour Standards (1989) 25). The right to strike is also a violation of one’s right to dignity. Workers find a sense of self-worth in their work, which is hindered if they are exploited by employers and have no say in this environment. One of the most effective ways in which workers can have a meaningful say in the workplace is if they have the power to halt production (Harmer “The Right to Strike Charter Implications and Interpretations” 1992 47 University of Toronto Faculty of Law Review 438).