

Tutorial 9

March 26, 2020

Question 1

Consider a random sample from a $\text{Gamma}(2, \theta)$ distribution:

$$f(x_i; \theta) = \begin{cases} \theta^{-2} x e^{-x/\theta} & x > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where θ is unknown.

- (a) Find the MLE of θ . Check if it is unbiased.

We start by obtaining the likelihood function. The likelihood function is the same as the joint density, but is a function of θ rather than the x_i s.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\theta; x_1, \dots, x_n) &= f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \theta^{-2} x_i \exp \{-x_i / \theta\} \\ &= \theta^{-2n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \exp \left\{ -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\theta} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

It is often easier to work with the log-likelihood, which is obtained by taking the (natural) logarithm of the likelihood function.

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\theta; x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \ln(\mathcal{L}(\theta; x_1, \dots, x_n)) \\ &= -2n \ln(\theta) + \ln \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right) - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\theta} \end{aligned}$$

From elementary calculus, the extrema occur where the first derivative is equal to zero.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\ell}{d\theta} &= -\frac{2n}{\theta} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\theta^2} \\ \frac{d\ell}{d\theta} \Big|_{\theta=\hat{\theta}} &= 0 \\ \iff -\frac{2n}{\hat{\theta}} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\hat{\theta}^2} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Longleftrightarrow \quad \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\widehat{\theta}^2} &= \frac{2n}{\widehat{\theta}} \\
\Longleftrightarrow \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x_i &= 2n\widehat{\theta} \\
\Longleftrightarrow \quad \widehat{\theta} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{2n}
\end{aligned}$$

To verify that $\ell(\widehat{\theta})$ is indeed a maximum, we need to check that the second derivative is less than zero (concave-down) at $\widehat{\theta}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^2\ell}{d\theta^2} &= \frac{2n}{\theta^2} - \frac{2\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\theta^3} \\
\left. \frac{d^2\ell}{d\theta^2} \right|_{\theta=\widehat{\theta}} &= \frac{2n}{\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{2n}\right)^2} - \frac{2\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{2n}\right)^3} \\
&= \frac{(2n)^3}{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)^2} - \frac{2(2n)^3 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)^3} \\
&= \frac{(2n)^3}{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)^2} - \frac{2(2n)^3}{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)^2} \\
&= -\frac{(2n)^3}{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)^2} < 0
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\widehat{\theta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{2n} = \frac{\overline{X}}{2}$$

is the MLE for θ .

$\widehat{\theta}$ is an unbiased estimator of θ if $\mathbf{E}(\widehat{\theta}) = \theta$. Recall that the mean of a $\text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ distribution is $\alpha\beta$. Then for $X \sim \text{Gamma}(2, \theta)$, $\mathbf{E}(X) = 2\theta$.

$$\mathbf{E}(\widehat{\theta}) = \mathbf{E}\left(\frac{\overline{X}}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{E}(\overline{X}) = \frac{1}{2}2\theta = \theta$$

As $\mathbf{E}(\widehat{\theta}) = \theta$, we conclude that $\widehat{\theta}$ is an unbiased estimator of θ .

(b) Find the cdf, F , of f .

$$\begin{aligned}
F(x; \theta) &= \int_{-\infty}^x f(t; \theta) dt \\
&= \int_0^x \theta^{-2} t e^{-t/\theta} dt
\end{aligned}$$

$$g(t) = t \quad h(t) = -\theta e^{-t/\theta}$$

$$g'(t) = 1 \quad h'(t) = e^{-t/\theta}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \theta^{-2} \left[-\theta t e^{-t/\theta} \Big|_{t=0}^{t=x} + \theta \int_0^x e^{-t/\theta} dt \right] \\ &= \theta^{-2} \left[-\theta t e^{-t/\theta} - \theta^2 e^{-t/\theta} \right]_{t=0}^{t=x} \\ &= \theta^{-2} \left[\left(-\theta x e^{-x/\theta} - \theta^2 e^{-x/\theta} \right) - (0 - \theta^2) \right] \\ &= \theta^{-2} \left[(-\theta x - \theta^2) e^{-x/\theta} + \theta^2 \right] \\ &= \left(-\frac{x}{\theta} - 1 \right) e^{-x/\theta} + 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$F(x; \theta) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \leq 0 \\ 1 - \left(1 + \frac{x}{\theta}\right) e^{-x/\theta} & x > 0 \end{cases}$$

- (c) Find the median of F . That is, the value $\tilde{\mu}$ such that $F(\tilde{\mu}) = 1/2$. **Hint:** since there is no closed form for the median, you will have to use numerical methods to invert F . I.e. Use software to solve $F(x) = 1/2$ or $F(x) - 1/2 = 0$.

Recall that if:

$$X \sim \text{Gamma}(2, \theta), \quad \text{then} \quad Y := \frac{X}{\theta} \sim \text{Gamma}(2, 1).$$

If we solve for the value $\tilde{\mu}$ such that $F_Y(\tilde{\mu}) = 1/2$, then

$$F_Y(\tilde{\mu}) = \mathbf{P}(Y \leq \tilde{\mu}) = \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{X}{\theta} \leq \tilde{\mu}\right) = \mathbf{P}(X \leq \theta\tilde{\mu}) = F_X(\theta\tilde{\mu}) = 1/2$$

In other words, if $X \sim \text{Gamma}(2, \theta)$, given $\tilde{\mu}$, the median of F_X is $\theta\tilde{\mu}$. Using **R**, it is found that $\tilde{\mu} \approx 1.678$ (see supplementary file for code and output).

- (d) Use the invariance principle for MLEs to compute the MLE of the median of F found in (c).

The invariance principle for MLEs states that if $\hat{\theta}$ is a MLE for θ , then $g(\hat{\theta})$ is a MLE for $g(\theta)$. As

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{\bar{X}}{2}$$

was a MLE for θ , then

$$g(\hat{\theta}) = \hat{\theta}\tilde{\mu} = \frac{\bar{X}\tilde{\mu}}{2}$$

is a MLE for $g(\theta) = \theta\tilde{\mu}$.

- (e) Suppose we observe the following sample: Compute the MLE of θ and the MLE of the median.

2.5 1.2 3.7 1.8 2.1 2.4

Using the given data, $\bar{x} = 2.283$.

An estimate for θ is:

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{\bar{x}}{2} = 2.283/2 = 1.1417$$

An estimate for $\theta\tilde{\mu}$ is:

$$g(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{\bar{x}\tilde{\mu}}{2} = \frac{2.283 * 1.678}{2} = 1.9157$$