## Asg 4 - Debugging

Value: 20%

Due date: 16-Oct-2015

Return date: 06-Nov-2015

## Submission method options

EASTS (online)

Alternative submission method

Bug 1: Game does not pay out at correct level

When player wins on 1 match, balance does not increase.

# Task 1 – Replication

| **Test Name** | Winning wager payout increase balance Test | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Test Description:** | Tests the increase of the Player object’s balance variable when a wager is won for all payout levels; 3 to 1, 2 to 1, and 1 to 1. | | | |
| **Pre-conditions** | * Main object exists * Dice object exists * Player object exists * Game object exists * DiceValue list exists * One winning bet has occurred | | | |
| **Post-conditions** | * The Player’s balance increases by 5 (standard bet) multiplied by the odds, resulting in an increase by 15 for 3 to 1 odds, 10 for 2 to 1 odds and 5 for 1 to 1 odds * Game’s turn variable increases by 1 * Game’s matches variable = the appropriate matches * Turn details are displayed in console | | | |
| **Notes** | When betting with odds, a winner is expected to get back their initial bet plus the winnings.  This test demonstrates the known bug 1, it is expected to fail. | | | |
| **Result (Pass/Fail/Warning/Incomplete)** | Fail, bug exists. | | | |
| **Expected bug output** | Player balance does not increase after winning a bet. | | | |
| **TEST STEP** | | **EXPECTED TEST RESULTS** | P | F |
| Test 1 – Bet won with 1 to 1 odds, balance increases by 5 | | | | |
| 1. Run program | | * Player’s balance variable increases by Game’s winnings variable (5) * Game’s turn variable increases by 1 * Game’s matches variable = 1 * Turn details are displayed in console | X |  |
| Test 2 – Bet won with 2 to 1 odds, balance increases by 10 | | | | |
| 1. Run program | | * Player’s balance variable increases by Game’s winnings variable (10) * Game’s turn variable increases by 1 * Game’s matches variable = 2 * Turn details are displayed in console |  | X |
| Test 3 – Bet won with 3 to 1 odds, balance increases by 15 | | | | |
| 1. Run program | | * Player’s balance variable increases by Game’s winnings variable (15) * Game’s turn variable increases by 1 * Game’s matches variable = 3 * Turn details are displayed in console |  | X |

# Task 2 – Simplification

Junit bug simplification tests in project.

# Task 3 – Tracing

## **Debugging Log**

*Hypothesis 1* – Main’s winnings variable is infected and incorrect

*Prediction 1* – The initializing method (Game’s playRound()) causes winnings to be incorrect

*Note:* If tracing the winnings variable origin and calculation does not reveal the bug, it is in the variables used to call the playRound() method (player, pick or bet).

*Test 1* – Backward observation from Main’s winnings variable

* The winnings variable is created by calling the playRound() method which returns a value, therefore we can hypothesize that the playRound() method’s return value is infected, we will observe this first.
* Tracing backwards through the playRound() method we observe that the return value is a variable called ‘winnings,’ this we hypothesize is infected.
* Secondly we encounter an if statement:

**if** (matches > 0) {

player.receiveWinnings(winnings);

}

For this hypothesis, tracing through to the Player class is out of scope, however if we determine that Main’s winning variable is not infected, we will create another hypothesis that the Player’s recieveWinnings() method causes the defect.

* Thirdly, we observe the initialization of the winnings variable:

**int** winnings = matches \* bet;

We must examine the matches and bet variables.

* By demonstrating that the matches and bet variables are sane in Hypothesis 2 and 4, we should be able to conclude that the immediately above statement is the origin of the defect, however the winnings variable is only the additional winnings, not including the return of the initial bet to the players balance also. We observed before that the recieveWinnings() method might cause the defect, *jump to Hypothesis 5.*

*Hypothesis 2* – Game’s playRound() method matches variable is infected and incorrect

*Prediction 2* – matches is incorrectly determined

*Test 2* – Backward observation from the initialization of the winnings variable

* Tracing backwards we encounter a for statement:

**for** ( Dice d : dice) {

d.roll();

**if** (d.getValue().equals(pick)) {

matches += 1;

}

}

Inside the for statement is an if statement which if true will increase the matches variable by 1.

As a result of Hypothesis 3, we determine that there is no error in the if statement. By simply observing we also determine that the matches +=1; statement can cause no error, therefore Hypothesis 2 is disproven and the matches variable is sane.

*Hypothesis 3* – Dice’s getValue() method controlling the for statement that determines how many matches are found when comparing a dice face with the players pick causes the bug

*Prediction 3* – The getValue() method returns an incorrect dice face which was determined by the above Dice roll() method

*Test 3* – Backward observation from the d.getValue() method controlling the if statement

* Inside the Dice class we find the getValue() method:

**public** DiceValue getValue() {

**return** value;

}

It simply returns the value which is determined by the Dice constructor:

**public** Dice() {

value = DiceValue.*getRandom*();

}

Which in turn initializes the value variable by calling the DiceValue getRandom() method

* Inside the DiceValue class we find the getRandom() method:

**public** **static** DiceValue getRandom() {

**int** random = *RANDOM*.nextInt(DiceValue.***SPADE***.ordinal());

**return** *values*()[random];

}

By observing this method, we find that it picks a random dice face and returns it to the value variable in the Dice class. Which is retrieved by getValue method in the Dice class called by the if statement we were examining in Hypothesis 2. It is then compared to the pick variable which determined in the Main class by using the DiceValue getRandom() method also. We can conclude that no error lies in the DiceValue getRandom() method, Dice getValue() method and ultimately the if statement being observed in Hypothesis 2.

Hypothesis 4 - Game’s playRound() method bet variable is infected and incorrect

Prediction 4 - bet is incorrect

Test 4 – Trace statement and observation

* By implementing a simple trace statement:

System.***out***.println(bet);

In the console we can see that the bet is always 5:

Turn 28: Fred bet 5 on HEART

5

Rolled HEART, CROWN, ANCHOR

Fred won 5, balance now 50

Turn 29: Fred bet 5 on HEART

5

Rolled HEART, CROWN, ANCHOR

Fred won 5, balance now 50

Turn 30: Fred bet 5 on ANCHOR

5

Rolled HEART, CROWN, ANCHOR

Fred won 5, balance now 50

* We also observe that the bet variable is sent as a parameter to the playRound() method
* Tracing backward to the original statement examined in Hypothesis 1 (when the winnings variable is initialized in the Main class) we see that the bet variable is never manipulated. It is simply initialized to the value of 5 and never changes:

**int** bet = 5;

Therefore we conclude that the bet variable is sane.

Hypothesis 5 – Player’s receiveWinnings() method causes the defect.

Prediction 5 – The winnings variable is incorrectly added to the Player’s balance variable.

Test 5 – Observation

* In observing the recieveWinnings() method we see that an if statement will be true if the winnings variable is greater than zero:

**if** (winnings < 0) **throw** **new** IllegalArgumentException("Winnings cannot be negative.");

balance = balance + winnings;

* If true, the balance variable will increase by the winnings.
* Until now the balance variable was sane, tracing back we see that the takeBet() method is called from the playRound() method:

player.takeBet(bet);

The takeBet() method simply subtracts the bet(always 5, explained in Hypothesis 4) from the balance.

The balance variable is initially created in the Main class and set to 100:

**int** balance = 100;

It is then sent as a parameter to the Player constructer:

player = **new** Player(name, balance);

* However, it is infected by the statement below (the same as in the first bullet point):

balance = balance + winnings;

Here, the additional winnings (determined to be sane by Hypothesis 1) is added to the balance, but this is not a typical return when winning a bet. To explain; if a bet of 5 is played on 1 to 1 odds and is won, the winner will expect a return of their initial bet + winnings (5 + 1 \* 5 = 10), same goes for 2 to 1 odds (5 + 2 \* 5 = 15), and so on. However, the above statement only returns the additional winnings, using the same example on 1 to 1 odds the winner is returned the additional winnings only (1 \* 5 = 5), and the same for 2 to 1 odds (2 \* 5 = 10), and so on. In all cases of the current statement, the player’s balance variable will only ever increase when a bet of 2 to 1 odds and 3 to 1 odds is one, and will remain the same for 1 to 1 odds.

Ultimately, the above statement is the origin of the defect and Hypothesis 5 is proven.



Figure 1 - Origin of Bug 1

# Task 4 – Resolution

Bug 2: Player cannot reach betting limit:

Limit set to 0, but game ends with player still with 5 (dollars) remaining.

# Task 1 – Replication

| **Test Name** | Betting limit reached Test | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Test Description:** | Tests the ending of the game when a Player’s balance reaches the 0 limit. | | | |
| **Pre-conditions** | * Main object exists * Dice object exists * Player object exists * Game object exists * DiceValue list exists * One game has completed | | | |
| **Post-conditions** | * One game completes when the Player’s balance variable reaches the Player’s limit variable (0) * Main’s i variable (controlling the amount of games) increases by 1 * All elapsed turn details displayed in the console * End game details displayed with the i variable and limit variable (0) | | | |
| **Notes** | This test demonstrates the known bug 2, it is expected to fail. | | | |
| **Result (Pass/Fail/Warning/Incomplete)** | Fail, bug exists. | | | |
| **Expected bug output** | Program ends when the players balance has 5 remaining. | | | |
| **TEST STEP** | | **EXPECTED TEST RESULTS** | P | F |
| Test 1 – Game completes when balance reaches limit | | | | |
| 1. Run program | | * One game complete (one iteration of the Main for statement controlled by the i variable * Player’s balance variable reaches the limit variable (0) * Main’s i variable (controlling the amount of games) increases by 1 * All elapsed turn details displayed in the console * End game details displayed with the i variable and limit variable (0) |  | X |

# Task 2 – Simplification

Junit bug simplification tests in project.

# Task 3 – Tracing

# Task 4 – Resolution

Bug 3: Odds in the game do not appear to be correct.

Crown and Anchor games have an approximate 8% bias to the house. So the win: (win + lose) ratio should approximately equal 0.42. This does not appear to be the case.

# Task 1 – Replication

| **Test Name** | Win ratio calculation Test | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Test Description:** | Tests the expectation that the 8% house bias should produce an approximately 0.42 win ratio. | | | |
| **Pre-conditions** | * Main object exists * Dice object exists * Player object exists * Game object exists * DiceValue list exists * 100 games have completed | | | |
| **Post-conditions** | * Win ratio calculated by multiplying the totalWins (turn wins) by 100 and dividing the result by the totalWins + totalLosses * Win ratio displayed in the console | | | |
| **Notes** | This test demonstrates the known bug 3, it is expected to fail. | | | |
| **Result (Pass/Fail/Warning/Incomplete)** | Fail, bug exists. | | | |
| **Expected bug output** | Win ratio has large outliers, far away from approximately 0.42. | | | |
| **TEST STEP** | | **EXPECTED TEST RESULTS** | P | F |
| Test 1 – 100 games completed and approximately 0.42 win ratio calculated | | | | |
| 1. Run program | | * Win ratio of approximately 0.42 calculated by multiplying the totalWins (turn wins) by 100 and dividing the result by the totalWins + totalLosses * Win ratio displayed in the console |  | X |

# Task 2 – Simplification

Junit bug simplification tests in project.

# Task 3 – Tracing

# Task 4 – Resolution