Impact of grids and dycores in CESM2.2 on the meteorology and climate of the Arctic

Adam R. Herrington [1], Marcus Lofverstrom [2], Peter H. Lauritzen [1] and Andrew Gettelman [1]

[1]National Center for Atmospheric Research, 1850 Table Mesa Drive, Boulder, Colorado, USA
[2]Department of Geosciences, University of Arizona, 1040 E. 4th Street, Tucson, AZ USA

Key Points:

- enter point 1 here
- enter point 2 here
- enter point 3 here

Corresponding author: =name=, `=email address=`

Abstract

[enter your Abstract here]

Plain Language Summary

[enter your Plain Language Summary here or delete this section]

1 Introduction

General Circulation Models (GCMs) are a powerful tool for understanding the meteorology and climate of the poles, which are among the most sensitive regions on Earth to global and environmental change. Despite their importance, numerically, not all GCMs treat the polar regions the same way as they do elsewhere in the domain due to the *pole-problem* (Williamson, 2007). The wide variety of grids structures and numerical techniques used to represent polar regions in conventional GCMs are evaluated in this study for their impacts on the meteorology and climate of the Arctic.

The pole-problem refers to instability arising from the convergence of meridians into a polar singularity on latitude-longitude grids. In the 1970's this issue was largely defeated through wide-spread adaption of the spectral transform methods in GCMs, which transforms grid point fields into an isotropic representation in wave space. But as computing power has increased, local numerical methods have become more desirable for their ability to run efficiently on massively parallel systems. The pole-problem has thus re-emerged in contemporary climate models using latitude-longitude grids, and some combination of reduced grids and polar filters are necessary to subdue this instability (Jablonowski & Williamson, 2011).

An alternative approach is to use unstructured grids. Unstructured grids permit quasi-uniform grid spacing globally, thereby eliminating the pole-problem. They allow for more flexible grid-structures than latitude-longitude grids, and can support regional grid refinement that may be used to capture higher resolution in the polar regions. Regional refinement of polar regions may be desirable as latitude-longitude grids, by virtue of the convergence of meridians, have higher horizontal resolution in polar regions compared to quasi-uniform grids containing the same degrees of freedom.

The meteorology and climate of the Arctic is charcterized by a range of processes and scales that are difficult to represent in GCMs (Bromwich et al., 2001; Smirnova & Golubkin, 2017; van Kampenhout et al., 2018). The Arctic geography consists of a polar ocean surrounded by the northern coasts of the northern hemisphere continents and the large island of Greenland. Greenland is covered in a kilometers thick ice sheet, and receives a substantial portion of its snowfall from synoptic-scale storms traversing up the eastern sea-board of North America that rain out over its steep margins. Intense cold season Polar Lows traverse the Arctic's melange of sea-ice and open ocean, inducing gale-speed winds. Large volumes of fresh water drain into the ocean through river outlets in Canada and Russia, iceberg discharge and melt water runoff emanating from the margins of GrIS. As such, the Arctic serves as a test-bed for comparing the simulated meteorology and climate to different numerical treatments of polar regions.

The atmospheric component of the Community Earth System Model, version 2.2 (CESM; `http://www.cesm.ucar.edu/models/cesm2/`), the Community Atmosphere Model, version 6.3 (CAM; `https://github.com/ESCOMP/CAM/wiki`), supports a diverse number of atmospheric dynamical cores (hereafter referred to as *dycores*). These range from dycores using latitude-longitude grids, the finite-volume (FV; Lin, 2004) and eulerian spectral transform (EUL; Collins et al., 2006) models, and dycores built on unstructured grids, the spectral-element (SE; Lauritzen et al., 2018) and finite-volume 3 (FV3; Putman & Lin, 2007) models. The EUL dycore is the oldest dycore in CAM, and is the least



Figure 1. .

supported of all the dycores. FV3 is the newest dycore in CESM, but it was not fully incorporated into the model at the time this work commenced, and so is omitted from this study. The authors instead focus on the two most well supported dycores in CESM, SE and FV. The goal of this study is to characterize the representation of polar regions using the SE and FV dycores, as these models treat the high-latitudes, e.g., the pole-problem, in very different ways.

The FV dycore is assessed using grids with Δx of 1° and 2° grid spacing (Δx refers to the average equatorial grid spacing). These are compared to the equivalent 1° SE grid, referred to as ne30pg3. This grid does not refer to the SE dycore proper, but a variant in which the dry dynamics are solved using the SE method, and tracer advection computed using the conservative semi-Lagrangian advection method (SE-CSLAM; Lauritzen et al., 2017). In SE-CSLAM the physical parameterizations are computed on the finite-volume tracer advection grid. Optionally, one can compute the physics on a finite-volume grid that is $\frac{3}{2} \times \Delta x$ of the tracer-advection grid (Herrington et al., 2019). A comparison of the lower-resolution physics grid version of ne30pg3, referred to as ne30pg2, over the Arctic is included in this study. All variants of the SE dycore discussed herein are available as part of the CESM2.2 release.

The SE dycore (not SE-CSLAM) supports regional grid refinement via its variable resolution configuration. Two variable resolution meshes were developed as part of the CESM2.2 release that contains grid refinement over the Arctic. The ARCTIC grid is a $\Delta x = 1^{\circ}$ grid with $\Delta x = \frac{1}{4}^{\circ}$ regional refinement over the broader Arctic region. The ARCTICGRIS grid is similar to the ARCTIC grid, but additionally contains a patch covering the big island of Greenland with $\Delta x = \frac{1}{8}^{\circ}$ resolution. These Arctic refined grids are depicted in Figure 1. The surface mass balance of the GrIS is compared across all grids and dycores in this study, and the ARCTCGRIS grid is certainly at an advantage due to its higher resolution over the ice sheet.

The study is laid out as follows. Section 2 consists of documentation of the grids, dycores and physical parameterizations used in this study. The Arctic refined grids were developed by the author, and this section serves as their official documentation. Section 2 also contains a description of the experiments along with the observational datasets and post-processing software used for validating the models. Section 3 contains the results of the experiments and Section 4 provides some discussion and conclusions.



Figure 2. .

2 Methods

2.1 Grids

2.2 Dynamical cores

2.2.1 Finite-volume model

2.2.2 Spectral-element model

2.3 Physical parameterizations

2.4 Experimental desing

2.5 Observational datasets

2.5.1 ERA5

2.5.2 LIVVkit 2.1

2.6 TempestExtremes

2.7 StormCompositer

3 Results

3.1 Tropospheric temperatures

3.2 Inter-annual variability

3.3 Synoptic-scale storm characteristics



Figure 3. .



Figure 4. .

ment 1852977. Computing and data storage resources, including the Cheyenne supercomputer (doi:10.5065/D6RX99HX), were provided by the Computational and Information Systems Laboratory (CISL) at NCAR.

The data presented in this manuscript is available at `https://github.com/adamrher/2020-arcticgrids`.

References

Bromwich, D. H., Cassano, J. J., Klein, T., Heinemann, G., Hines, K. M., Steffen, K., & Box, J. E. (2001). Mesoscale modeling of katabatic winds over greenland with the polar mm5. *Monthly Weather Review*, *129*(9), 2290–2309.



Figure 5. .

Collins, W. D., Rasch, P. J., Boville, B. A., Hack, J. J., McCaa, J. R., Williamson, D. L., . . . Zhang, M. (2006). The formulation and atmospheric simulation of the community atmosphere model version 3 (cam3). *Journal of Climate*, *19*(11), 2144–2161.

Herrington, A. R., Lauritzen, P. H., Reed, K. A., Goldhaber, S., & Eaton, B. E. (2019). Exploring a lower resolution physics grid in cam-se-cslam. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, *11*.

Jablonowski, C., & Williamson, D. L. (2011). The pros and cons of diffusion, filters and fixers in atmospheric general circulation models., in: P.H. Lauritzen, R.D. Nair, C. Jablonowski, M. Taylor (Eds.), Numerical techniques for global atmospheric models. *Lecture Notes in Computational Science and Engineering, Springer*, *80*.

Lauritzen, P. H., Nair, R., Herrington, A., Callaghan, P., Goldhaber, S., Dennis, J., . . . Dubos, T. (2018). NCAR CESM2.0 release of CAM-SE: A reformulation of the spectral-element dynamical core in dry-mass vertical coordinates with comprehensive treatment of condensates and energy. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.*. doi: 10.1029/2017MS001257

Lauritzen, P. H., Taylor, M. A., Overfelt, J., Ullrich, P. A., Nair, R. D., Goldhaber, S., & Kelly, R. (2017). CAM-SE-CSLAM: Consistent coupling of a conservative semi-lagrangian finite-volume method with spectral element dynamics. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, *145*(3), 833-855. doi: 10.1175/MWR-D-16-0258.1

Lin, S.-J. (2004). A 'vertically Lagrangian' finite-volume dynamical core for global models. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, *132*, 2293-2307.

Putman, W. M., & Lin, S.-J. (2007). Finite-volume transport on various cubed-sphere grids. *J. Comput. Phys.*, *227*(1), 55-78.

Smirnova, J., & Golubkin, P. (2017). Comparing polar lows in atmospheric reanalyses: Arctic system reanalysis versus era-interim. *Monthly Weather Review*, *145*(6), 2375–2383.

van Kampenhout, L., Rhoades, A. M., Herrington, A. R., Zarzycki, C. M., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Sacks, W. J., & van den Broeke, M. R. (2018). Regional grid refinement in an earth system model: Impacts on the simulated greenland surface mass balance. *The Cryosphere Discuss.*. doi: 10.5194/tc-2018-257

Williamson, D. (2007). The evolution of dynamical cores for global atmospheric models. *J. Meteor. Soc. Japan*, *85*, 241-269.