

1 **Impact of grids and dycores in CESM2.2 on the**
2 **meteorology and climate of the Arctic**

3 **Adam R. Herrington ¹, Marcus Lofverstrom ², Peter H. Lauritzen ¹ and**
4 **Andrew Gettelman ¹**

5 ¹National Center for Atmospheric Research, 1850 Table Mesa Drive, Boulder, Colorado, USA
6 ²Department of Geosciences, University of Arizona, 1040 E. 4th Street, Tucson, AZ USA

7 **Key Points:**

- 8 • enter point 1 here
9 • enter point 2 here
10 • enter point 3 here

Corresponding author: =name=, =email address=

Abstract

[enter your Abstract here]

Plain Language Summary

[enter your Plain Language Summary here or delete this section]

1 Introduction

General Circulation Models are a powerful tool for simulating and understanding the meteorology and climate of the poles, which are among the most sensitive regions on Earth to global and environmental change. Despite their importance, the numerics of GCMs have had to grapple with the *pole-problem*, a numerical instability arising discretizing the equations of motions on a latitude-longitude grid, with the meridians converging at the poles. In the 1970's this issue was largely defeated through the wide-spread use of the global spectral-transform method in GCMs. But as computing power has increased, local numerical methods have become more desirable for their ability to run efficiently on massively parallel systems. As the pole-problem has re-emerged in contemporary climate models, some combination of reduced grids and polar filters are necessary to subdue this instability. An alternative approach is the use of unstructured grids. Unstructured grids permit quasi-uniform grid spacing globally, thereby eliminating the pole-problem. They are more flexible than structured, latitude-longitude grids, allowing for regional grid refinement that may be used to capture higher resolution in the polar regions. Regional refinement of polar regions may be desirable as latitude-longitude grids, by virtue of the convergence of meridians, have greater horizontal resolution in polar regions compared to a quasi-uniform grid containing the same degrees of freedom.

2 Methods

2.1 Grids

2.2 Dynamical cores

2.2.1 *Finite-volume model*

2.2.2 *Spectral-element model*

2.3 Physical parameterizations

2.4 Observational datasets

2.4.1 *ERA5*

2.4.2 *LIVVkit 2.1*

2.5 TempestExtremes

2.6 StormCompositer

3 Results

3.1 Tropospheric temperatures

3.2 Inter-annual Variability

3.3 Synoptic-scale storm characteristics

3.4 Orographic gravity waves emanating from Greenland

3.5 Katabatic winds emanating from Greenland

3.6 Greenland surface mass balance

4 Conclusions

Acknowledgments

This material is based upon work supported by the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR), which is a major facility sponsored by the NSF under Cooperative Agreement 1852977. Computing and data storage resources, including the Cheyenne supercomputer (doi:10.5065/D6RX99HX), were provided by the Computational and Information Systems Laboratory (CISL) at NCAR.

The data presented in this manuscript is available at <https://github.com/adamrher/2020-arcticgrids>.