

I Logic: Propositions and Operations

Def: A proposition is a statement that can be unambiguously evaluated as true or false.

Ex: $p = \text{"There is life on Mars"} \leftarrow \text{unambiguously false}$

$q = \text{"My name is Annalise"} \leftarrow \text{unambiguously true}$

Non-Ex: $r = \text{"What time is it now?"}$

$s = \text{"More people have been in Moscow than I have."}$

• grammatically correct but non-sensical

$t = \text{"ttssppp"}$

$(a+b)^2 = a^2 + 2ab + b^2$ is a proposition in algebra

$p = \text{"Don't do it"} \rightarrow \text{not a proposition because it can't be assigned a truth value}$

$p_1 = \text{"x+1=2"} \rightarrow \text{not a proposition because we don't know what x is}$

$p_2 = \text{"A square has 4 sides"} \rightarrow \text{true proposition}$

$p_3 = \text{"x}^2 \geq 0 \rightarrow \text{not a proposition because x is not necessarily a real number}$

1.1 Negation:

Def let p be a proposition. Then $\neg p$ or \bar{p} is the proposition, called negation of p .

$\neg p = \text{"It is not the case that p"}$

Ex let $p = \text{"Jane is healthy"}$

$\neg p = \text{"It is not the case that Jane is healthy"} \text{ or } \text{"Jane is sick"}$

Ex let $p = \text{"I got the highest score on the exam"}$

$\neg p = \text{"I didn't get the highest score on the exam"}$

Truth Tables	p	$\neg p$
	F	T
	T	F

1.2 Logical AND, or Conjunction

Def Let p and q be two propositions. Then the conjunction of p and q is the proposition $p \wedge q$, given by

Ex Let $p = \text{"I am vegetarian"} \text{ (true)}$

Let $q = \text{"Sarah likes apples"} \text{ (false)}$

(false) $p \wedge q = \text{"I am vegetarian and Sarah likes apples"}$

p	q	$p \wedge q$
F	F	F
F	T	F
T	F	F
T	T	T

① Logic: Propositions and Operations

1.3 Logical OR, or disjunction

Def let p and q be two propositions. Then the disjunction of p and q is the proposition $p \vee q$ is given by

Ex $p = \text{"I want to visit France"} (t)$

$q = \text{"I want to visit Spain"} (t)$

$p \vee q = \text{"I want to visit France or Spain"} (t)$

p	q	$p \vee q$
F	F	F
F	T	T
T	F	T
T	T	T

1.4 Exclusive OR, or XOR

Truth table $p \oplus q$

p	q	$p \oplus q$
F	F	F
T	F	T
F	T	T
T	T	F

Ex $p = \text{"You can take a cake"}$

$q = \text{"You can take a muffin"}$

$p \oplus q = \text{"You can take a cake or a muffin, but not both."}$

* specify by using "or both" or "but not both" *

1.5 Conditional Statement or implication

Def Let p, q be two propositions. Then the conditional statement

$p \rightarrow q$ is the proposition given by

Ex Let $p = \text{"I am the president"}$

Let $q = \text{"I will lower taxes"}$

$p \rightarrow q = \text{"If I am the president, I will lower taxes."}$

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$
F	F	T
F	T	T
T	F	F
T	T	T

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

president fulfilled the promise

president lied

president did not break promise

president did not break promise

Ex Let $p = \text{"We live on mars"} (f)$

let $q = \text{"2 + 2 = 10119"} (f)$

$p \rightarrow q = \text{"If we live on Mars, then '2 + 2 = 10119' = true"}$

* if you assume nonsense, any statement can be true *

$p \rightarrow q$: If p then q : p is sufficient for q : q provided that p

① Logic: Propositions and Operations

1. In $p \rightarrow q$

p is called condition or premise

q is called conclusion or consequence

Def Let $p \rightarrow q$ be a conditional statement

Then $q \rightarrow p$ is called converse

$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ is called contrapositive

$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ is called inverse

1.6 Biconditional Statements

Def let p and q be two propositions. Then the compound proposition $p \leftrightarrow q$ is called biconditional or equivalence and given by the table

p	q	$p \leftrightarrow q$
F	F	T
F	T	F
T	F	F
T	T	T

Ex $p =$ "You can get a flight"

$q =$ "You have a ticket"

$p \leftrightarrow q =$ "You can fly if and only if you have a ticket"

p is equivalent to q or p is exactly when q

"Sarah will go for a run unless weather is bad" (T)

• if weather is good, Sarah will run and if it is bad, she will not.

$p =$ "Sarah runs" $q =$ "weather is good" $p \leftrightarrow q$

"You can't win the lottery unless you buy a ticket"

• if you don't buy you can't win and if you do you can

$p =$ "you can't win a lottery" $q =$ "you don't buy a ticket" $q \rightarrow p$ $\neg L \rightarrow H$

"A luncheon is healthy unless it has a lymphoma" (T)

• if luncheon is healthy it doesn't have lymphoma

• if luncheon is sick it has a lymphoma

• if luncheon has lymphoma it is sick

• if it doesn't have lymphoma it is healthy

last question
on midterm 1

Translation between logic and language

• p unless q means $\neg q \rightarrow p$

• p only if q means $p \rightarrow q$

• p is sufficient for q means $p \rightarrow q$

• p is necessary for q means $q \rightarrow p$

• p is necessary and sufficient for q means $p \leftrightarrow q$

① Logic: Predicates and Quantifiers

Ex Domain: all people, $P(x)$ = "x is a chess player."

$\exists x P(x)$ = "there exists person x such that x is a chess player"
= "There exists a chess player" (true)

Ex Domain: all real numbers, $P(x)$ = " $x > 3$ "

$\exists x P(x)$ = "there exists number x s.t. $x > 3$ "

Ex Domain: all people on Mars, $P(x)$ = "x has a leg"

$\exists x P(x)$ = "There exists a person on Mars with a leg." (false) (no ex.)

$\forall x P(x)$ = "Every person on Mars has a leg." (true) (no counter-ex.)

• to show that $\exists x P(x)$ is true is usually easy b/c it is enough to provide an example.

Quantifiers over Finite Domains

Domain: Jessica, Mike, Bob, Alice $P(x)$ - predicate

• $\forall x P(x)$ is the same as $P(\text{Jessica}) \wedge P(\text{Mike}) \wedge P(\text{Bob}) \wedge P(\text{Alice})$

• $\exists x P(x)$ is the same as $P(\text{Jessica}) \vee P(\text{Mike}) \vee P(\text{Bob}) \vee P(\text{Alice})$

But if domain is infinite, you can't do this.

Common Mistake $P(x)$ = " $x^2 > 0$ " *must always specify domain*

$\forall x P(x)$

Domain: all pos. real num $\forall x P(x)$ - true

$\exists x P(x)$

$\exists x P(x)$ - true

Domain: all reals $\forall x P(x)$ - false $\exists x P(x)$ - true

Domain: all neg reals $\forall x P(x)$ - false $\exists x P(x)$ - false

Domain: empty $\forall x P(x)$ - true $\exists x P(x)$ - false

Logical Equivalence

Def Two expressions with predicates and quantifiers are logically equivalent if they are equivalent as propositions for all choices of domains, predicates, and specified variables.

Ex $(\forall x P(x)) \wedge (\forall y Q(y)) \equiv \forall x \forall y (P(x) \wedge Q(y))$

Notation is often simplified

Ex Domain: all reals $\forall x (x^2 > 0)$ Ex $\forall x ((x < 0) \rightarrow (x^3 < 0))$, where x is a real number.

Ex $\exists x ((x^2 = 2) \wedge (x > 0))$, where x is a real number.

Ex $\forall x < 0 (x^3 < 0)$ (Domain: all reals) Ex $\exists x > 0 (x^2 = 2)$ (Domain: all reals)

① Logic: Predicates and Quantifiers

Logical Equivalence

$$\text{Ex } \forall x [P(x) \wedge Q(x)] \equiv [\forall x P(x)] \wedge [\forall x Q(x)]$$

De Morgan Laws for Quantifiers

Ex Domain: all students $P(x)$ = "x took calculus"

$\forall x P(x)$ = "All students took calculus"

$\neg [\forall x P(x)]$ = "Not all students took calculus."

= "There is a student who didn't take calculus"

= $\exists x [\neg P(x)]$.

Ex Domain: all people $P(x)$ = "x is an honest politician"

$\exists x P(x)$ = "There exists an honest politician."

$\neg [\exists x P(x)]$ = "Every politician is dishonest." = $\forall x [\neg P(x)]$

$$\boxed{\text{Def } \neg [\forall x P(x)] \equiv \exists x [\neg P(x)]}$$

$$\boxed{\neg [\exists x P(x)] \equiv \forall x [\neg P(x)]}$$

Ex Domain: rational numbers ($5/2, 1/2, -3/6$) $\exists x (x^2 = 2)$

$\neg [\exists x (x^2 = 2)] \equiv \forall x [x^2 \neq 2]$

Ex $\forall x (x^2 > x)$, where x is a real number

$\neg [\forall x (x^2 > x)] \equiv \exists x [(x^2 < x)]$

$$\boxed{\neg (p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \wedge (\neg q)}$$

Ex $\neg (\forall x [P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)]) \equiv \exists x [\neg (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))]$

$\equiv \exists x [P(x) \wedge (\neg Q(x))]$

Ex Domain: all students $C(x)$ = "x took calculus"

$\forall x S(x) \rightarrow \forall x C(x)$

$\forall x C(x)$ = "All students took calculus."

Domain: all people $S(x)$ = "x is a student"

$\forall x [S(x) \rightarrow C(x)]$ correct. $\forall x [S(x) \wedge C(x)]$ wrong.

Ex Domain: all students $R(x)$ = "x visited Russia"

$\exists x R(x)$ = "There is a student who visited Russia"

Domain: all people $S(x)$ = "x is a student"

$\exists x [S(x) \wedge R(x)]$ correct. $\exists x [S(x) \rightarrow R(x)]$ wrong. *

Remark about variable names

Ex Domain: all cities

$P(x)$ = "x is in the U.S."

$\exists s P(s)$

Ex $P(x, y)$ = "x is a city in y."

$\exists z \exists w P(z, w)$ (can call variables anything)