Work and span of buySell algorithm

Assuming input of length N.

Our algorithm has 3 steps:

- buildMatrix: builds a matrix of all possible buy and sell dates
 - work: N^2
 - span: 1, there are no dependencies between elements of the matrix
- getSell: computes the best sell date for each buy date

A fold has work N and span $\log N$. We have N folds folding over N elements:

- work: N^2
- span: $\log N$, it is possible to run all N folds in parallel.
- getBuy: computes the best buy date
 - work: N span: $\log N$

In total we have

• work: $2N^2 + N$ and • span: $2 \log N + 1$

Speedup of parallelism!

Running the same algorithm sequentially and in parallel (with 2 HECs) we get a speedup of almost 2, which is pretty good.

An example run

```
% ./Stock +RTS -N2
benchmarking sequential
                       22.66 ms
                                  (22.18 ms .. 23.14 ms)
time
                       0.998 R<sup>2</sup>
                                    (0.997 R^2 \dots 0.999 R^2)
                       23.41 ms
                                  (22.99 ms .. 24.61 ms)
mean
std dev
                       1.470~\mathrm{ms}
                                   (436.5 us .. 2.567 ms)
variance introduced by outliers: 24% (moderately inflated)
benchmarking parallel
time
                       12.19 \text{ ms}
                                    (11.92 ms .. 12.67 ms)
                       0.992 R^{2}
                                    (0.982 R^2 \dots 0.998 R^2)
                       12.69 ms
                                    (12.38 ms .. 13.49 ms)
mean
std dev
                       1.222 ms
                                    (610.9 us .. 2.055 ms)
```

variance introduced by outliers: 50% (severely inflated)

The code is compiled with -Odph -rtsopts -threaded -fno-liberate-case -funfolding-use-threshold1000 -funfolding-keeness-factor1000 -fllvm -optlo-03 as is recommended in the Repa documentation.