

Energy-Aware Ergodic Search: Continuous Long-Term Exploration for Multiagent Systems

Adam Seewald¹, Marvin Chancán¹, Cameron J. Lerch¹, Hector Castillo¹, Aaron M. Dollar¹, and Ian Abraham¹

Abstract—

Index Terms—Motion and Path Planning; Energy and Environment-Aware Autonomation.

I. INTRODUCTION

A^A

II. PROBLEM FORMULATION

This work addresses the problem of exploring a bounded space with multiple agents, continuously, and proportionally to a spatial distribution. In the remainder of the text, we will use the term “continuously” to indicate that there is at least one agent that is exploring the space at all times.

Canonical ergodic search [1] does not deal with continuous exploration. It derives an agent’s control so that its trajectory maximizes an ergodic metric defined in the spectral domain [2].

Problem II.1 (Ergodic search). Consider a bounded space $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}^D$ of dimension D with $D \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ and a spatial distribution ϕ . *Ergodic search problem* is the problem of deriving a control action $\mathbf{u}(t) \in \mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^V$ with $V \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ so that the trajectory $\mathbf{q}(t) \in \mathcal{Q}$ is proportional to the spatial distribution ϕ .

Here the notation \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{N} indicates reals and naturals, $\mathbb{N}_{>0}$ strictly naturals. Bold notation is used for vectors.

We extend the canonical ergodic search problem to multi-agent continuous ergodic search, i.e., exploration with multiple agents under spatial distribution and battery constraints.

Problem II.2 (Multi-agent continuous ergodic search). Consider a set of n agents $\alpha := \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, a bounded space \mathcal{Q} , and a spatial distribution ϕ similar to Problem II.1. *Multi-agent continuous ergodic search problem* is the problem of deriving each agent α control action $\mathbf{u}(t)$ so that its trajectory $\mathbf{q}(t)$ is proportional to the spatial distribution ϕ on a continuous time horizon.

We will provide a solution to Problem II.2 (see Sec. III), assuming that there are one or more areas in \mathcal{Q} – i.e., charging stations – where the agents α can land and recharge the battery, e.g., using wireless charging (see Sec. IV).

¹A. S., M. C., C. J. L., H. C., A. M. D., and I. A. are with the Department of Mechanical Engineering and Materials Science, Yale University, CT, USA. Email: adam.seewald@yale.edu;

III. METHODS

A. Ergodic search

For the purposes of defining the spatial distribution in both Problem II.1 and II.2, let us consider a Gaussian mixture model (GMM)

$$\phi(\delta, \mathbf{q}) := \sum_{k=1}^m \delta_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{q} | \mu_k, \Sigma_k), \quad (1)$$

composed of m Gaussians. Each has a covariance matrix $\Sigma_k \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times D}$, a center $\mu_k \in \mathcal{Q}$, and a positive mixing coefficient $\delta_k \in \delta$ such that the sum of the δ s is less or equal to one. They indicate how well is each Gaussian in the GMM considered.

The goal of the ergodic search is to minimize an ergodic metric [1]

$$\mathcal{E}(\delta, \mathbf{q}(t)) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \Lambda_k (c_k(\mathbf{q}(t)) - \phi_k(\delta))^2, \quad (2)$$

where ϕ_k are coefficients derived utilizing the Fourier series on the spatial distribution ϕ and c_k on the trajectory $\mathbf{q}(t)$. They are detailed in Equation (6) and (4) respectively. Λ_k is a weight factor, i.e., if

$$\Lambda_k = (1 + \|k\|^2)^{(-D-1)/2}, \quad (3)$$

lower frequencies have more weight [3]. $\mathcal{K} \in \mathbb{N}^D$ is a set of index vectors that covers $[K] \times \dots \times [K] \in \mathbb{N}^{K^D}$ where K is a given number of frequencies including the fundamental frequency [2]. The notation $[K]$ indicates positive naturals up to K .

The coefficients c_k are derived using the Fourier series basis function. If we consider the trigonometric form, they can be expressed

$$c_k(\mathbf{q}(t)) := \int_{\mathcal{T}} \frac{1}{L^D} \prod_{d \in [D]_{>0}} (\cos(k_d \mathbf{q}_d(\tau) \psi) - i \sin(k_d \mathbf{q}_d(\tau) \psi)) d\tau/t, \quad (4)$$

where ψ is $2\pi/L$ for a given period $L \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, i is the imaginary unit, k_d is the d th item of k , and \mathbf{q}_d the d th item of \mathbf{q} .

\mathcal{T} is built so that the integration is between $\tau = t_0$ and t , and the notation $[D]_{>0}$ indicates strictly positive naturals up to D .

c_k is evaluated per each k in \mathcal{K} in Eq. (2).

For the purposes of deriving the coefficients ϕ_k , let us consider the GMM model in Eq. (1) on a search space \mathcal{Q} .

The space is further bounded to a symmetric set $[-L/2, L/2]^D$ since the Gaussians are symmetric about the zero axes. The resulting new model is then

$$\Phi(\delta, \mathbf{q}) := \sum_{d \in [2^D]_{>0}} \sum_{k=1}^m \delta_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{q} | A_d \mu_k, A_d \Sigma_k A_d^T) / 2^D, \quad (5)$$

where $A_d \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times D}$ are linear transformation matrices [2]. Let us call the integrand in Eq. (4) $c : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^K$. It maps the space to the spectral domain. The equivalent of Eq. (4) for the spatial distribution can be then expressed

$$\phi_k(\delta) := \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \Phi(\delta, \mathbf{q}) c(\mathbf{q}) d\mathbf{q}. \quad (6)$$

\mathcal{Q} is built so that the integration is within the points of the bounded symmetric set $\mathbf{q} \in [-L/2, L/2]^D$.

ϕ_k is evaluated per each k in \mathcal{K} in Eq. (2).

Let us first formulate the solution for Problem II.1, borrowed by canonical ergodic search. If the agent's dynamics is described by a generic differential equation $\dot{\mathbf{q}}(t) = f(\mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{u}(t))$, an optimal control problem (OCP) that selects an ergodic control action can be formulated as [4]

$$\min_{\mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{u}(t)} \int_{\mathcal{T}} \mathbf{u}(\tau)^T R \mathbf{u}(\tau) d\tau + \mathcal{E}(\delta, \mathbf{q}(t)), \quad (7a)$$

$$\text{s.t. } \dot{\mathbf{q}} = f(\mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{u}(t)), \quad (7b)$$

$$\mathbf{q}(t) \in \mathcal{Q}, \mathbf{u}(t) \in \mathcal{U}, \quad (7c)$$

$$\mathbf{q}(t_0), \mathbf{q}(t_f) \text{ are given}, \quad (7d)$$

where the ergodic metric is derived in Eq. (2), $R \in \mathbb{R}^{V \times V}$ is a control penalizing diagonal positive-definite matrix, and t_0, t_f are respectively the first and last time instants. \mathcal{T} is $[t_0, t_f]$.

To formulate the solution to Problem II.2, let us first extend the OCP in Eq. (7) to multiagent systems. Eq. (7a) becomes

$$\min_{\square} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\int_{\mathcal{T}_k} {}^k \mathbf{u}(\tau)^T R_k {}^k \mathbf{u}(\tau) d\tau + \mathcal{E}(\delta, {}^k \mathbf{q}(t)) \right), \quad (8)$$

where the ergodic metric and the control penalizing term R_k are now agent-specific. The term \square is ${}^1 \mathbf{q}(t), {}^2 \mathbf{q}(t), \dots, {}^n \mathbf{q}(t), {}^1 \mathbf{u}(t), {}^2 \mathbf{u}(t), \dots, {}^n \mathbf{u}(t)$. \mathcal{T}_k is $[{}^k t_0, {}^k t_f]$, i.e., different agents might have different duration.

Let us consider a vector $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ – which is detailed later in Section III-B – whose trajectory $\mathbf{b}(t)$ describes the battery metrics' evolution in time. If \mathbf{b}_{SoC} is the value of the vector that expresses the battery state of charge (SoC), the expression in Eq. (8) might select ergodic metrics corresponding to trajectories that are impossible to traverse in the $\mathbf{b}_{\text{SoC}} \in (0, 1]$ domain, i.e., one or more agents' state at $\mathbf{q}(t_f)$ will not satisfy Eq. (7d).

In order to satisfy the battery SoC domain and always keep at least one agent exploring, an OCP must satisfy an additional constrain

$$\exists k \in [n] \text{ s.t. } {}^k \mathbf{b}_{\text{SoC}}(t_f) \in (0, b_f], \quad (9)$$

where $b_f \in (0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is a given desired battery SoC at the final time instant.

Finally, let us consider the realistic assumption that the optimization horizon $N \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is known and is, e.g., an

empirically collected value that corresponds to one of the agents' discharge times (see Sec. IV).

The OCP that provides a solution to Problem II.2 can be formulated as

$$\min_{\square, \delta} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{T}_k} {}^k \mathbf{u}(\tau)^T R_k {}^k \mathbf{u}(\tau) d\tau - \sum_{k=1}^m \delta_k, \quad (10a)$$

$$\text{s.t. } {}^1 \dot{\mathbf{q}}(t) = f_1({}^1 \mathbf{q}(t), {}^1 \mathbf{u}(t)), \dots, {}^n \dot{\mathbf{q}}(t) = f_n({}^n \mathbf{q}(t), {}^n \mathbf{u}(t)), \quad (10b)$$

$${}^1 \mathbf{q}(t), \dots, {}^n \mathbf{q}(t) \in \mathcal{Q}, {}^1 \mathbf{u}(t), \dots, {}^n \mathbf{u}(t) \in \mathcal{U}, \quad (10c)$$

$$\exists k \in [n] \text{ s.t. } {}^k \mathbf{b}_{\text{SoC}}(t_f) \in (0, b_f], \quad (10d)$$

$$\forall k \mathcal{E}(\delta, {}^k \mathbf{q}(t)) \leq \gamma, \quad (10e)$$

$$g_1({}^1 \mathbf{q}(t), {}^1 \mathbf{u}(t)) \leq 0, \dots, g_n({}^n \mathbf{q}(t), {}^n \mathbf{u}(t)) \leq 0, \quad (10f)$$

$$g_\delta(\delta) \leq 0, \quad (10g)$$

$${}^1 \mathbf{q}(t_0), {}^1 \mathbf{q}(t_f), \dots, {}^n \mathbf{q}(t_0), {}^n \mathbf{q}(t_f), b_f, \gamma \text{ are given}, \quad (10h)$$

where constraints in Eq. (10f) and (10g) are optional and express additional requirements, e.g., that there is always at least one agent exploring \mathcal{Q} , the agents explore the space two-by-two, etc. (see Sec. IV).

In Eq. (10), the ergodic metric is integrated into the constraint as proposed in [5]. The cost contains further mixing coefficient δ in Eq. (1) – so that one can find tradeoffs between the single Gaussians, the different agents, and the battery SoC (see Sec IV).

B. Battery modeling

For the purpose of battery modeling, let us consider an equivalent circuit model (ECM) – commonly employed in battery metrics estimation for robots and other applications, especially if equipped with rechargeable battery cells [6–11].

The ECM model we employ is a second-order RC model [12], as illustrated in Figure ??.

Formally, it can be formulated as [12]

$$\dot{\mathbf{b}}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -1/(R_1 C_1) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/(R_2 C_2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}(t) - \begin{bmatrix} -1/C_1 \\ -1/C_2 \\ 1/Q \end{bmatrix} I(t), \quad (11)$$

where $R_1, R_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ are the resistors and capacitors relative to the first and second RC elements in the ECM measured in ohms and farad respectively. $Q \in \mathbb{R}$ is the battery nominal capacity measured in amperes per hour.

$I \in \mathbb{R}$ is then the internal current which is load-dependent, e.g., the current required to run the motors, actuators, etc.

The state $\mathbf{b} := [V_1 \ V_2 \ \mathbf{b}_{\text{SoC}}] \in \mathbb{R}^3$ contains three battery metrics. $V_1, V_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ are the voltages measured in volts across the first and second RC elements, and $\mathbf{b}_{\text{SoC}} \in (0, 1]$ is the normalized battery SoC that evolves from fully charged – or from a given initial value $\mathbf{b}_{\text{SoC}}(t_0)$ – to discharged.

The battery voltage at the extremes of the ECM $V_e \in \mathbb{R}$, measured in volts, can be then formulated as [12]

$$V_e(t) = V_{\text{OC}}(\mathbf{b}_{\text{SoC}}(t)) - V_1(t) - V_2(t) - I(t)R, \quad (12)$$

where $R \in \mathbb{R}$ is the single resistor measured in ohm in Figure ??, and V_{OC} is the open circuit voltage. It is a nonlinear function of the battery SoC and can be retrieved from the battery data sheet [9].

The values of R_1, C_1, R_2, C_2, R are identified so that the model output and the physical behavior of the agents are matched as closely as possible [12] (see Sec. IV).

The battery model allows us to determine the battery SoC b_{SoC} in Eq. (10d), which is in turn utilized to find the control action $\mathbf{u}(t)$ so that there is at least one agent exploring \mathcal{Q} at all times.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Mathew and I. Mezić, “Metrics for ergodicity and design of ergodic dynamics for multi-agent systems,” *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, vol. 240, no. 4, pp. 432–442, 2011. 1
- [2] S. Calinon, *Mixture models for the analysis, edition, and synthesis of continuous time series*. Springer, 2020, pp. 39–57. 1, 2
- [3] L. M. Miller, Y. Silverman, M. A. MacIver, and T. D. Murphey, “Ergodic exploration of distributed information,” *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 36–52, 2016. 1
- [4] E. Ayvali, H. Salman, and H. Choset, “Ergodic coverage in constrained environments using stochastic trajectory optimization,” in *IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, 2017, pp. 5204–5210. 2
- [5] D. Dong, H. Berger, and I. Abraham, “Time optimal ergodic search,” in *Robotics: Science and Systems*, 2023, p. 13. 2
- [6] C. Zhang, W. Allafi, Q. Dinh, P. Ascencio, and J. Marco, “Online estimation of battery equivalent circuit model parameters and state of charge using decoupled least squares technique,” *Energy*, vol. 142, pp. 678–688, 2018. 2
- [7] X. Hu, S. Li, and H. Peng, “A comparative study of equivalent circuit models for li-ion batteries,” *Journal of Power Sources*, vol. 198, pp. 359–367, 2012. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378775311019628> 2
- [8] A. Hasan, M. Skriver, and T. A. Johansen, “eXogenous Kalman filter for state-of-charge estimation in lithium-ion batteries,” in *Conference on Control Technology and Applications (CCTA)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 1403–1408. 2
- [9] H. Hinz, “Comparison of lithium-ion battery models for simulating storage systems in distributed power generation,” *Inventions*, vol. 4, 2019. 2
- [10] S. Mousavi G. and M. Nikdel, “Various battery models for various simulation studies and applications,” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 32, pp. 477–485, 2014. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1364032114000598> 2
- [11] A. Seewald, H. García de Marina, H. S. Midtiby, and U. P. Schultz, “Energy-aware planning-scheduling for autonomous aerial robots,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS’22)*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 2946–2953. 2
- [12] S. Zhao, S. R. Duncan, and D. A. Howey, “Observability analysis and state estimation of lithium-ion batteries in the presence of sensor biases,” *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 326–333, 2017. 2, 3

