

RB5: A Low-Cost Wheeled Robot for Real-Time Autonomous Large-Scale Exploration

Adam Seewald¹, Marvin Chancán¹, Connor M. McCann², Seonghoon Noh¹, Omeed Fallahi¹, Hector Castillo¹, Ian Abraham¹, and Aaron M. Dollar¹

Abstract—In this paper, we present a robotic system-of-systems involving a six-wheel mobile robot with resilient autonomy, as well as mapping, planning, and navigation capabilities to explore complex ground and underground environments.

Index Terms—Article submission, IEEE, IEEEtran, journal, LATEX, paper, template, typesetting.

I. INTRODUCTION

WIDELY used in cluttered environments [1]–[4], mobile robots can both substitute [5] and outperform humans in, e.g., areas that are too far or too dangerous to navigate [6]. In these areas, robots are often required to identify their surroundings by sensing the environment [7] and planning and executing complex trajectories [8] with little or no human interaction [9]—a problem known as autonomous exploration [8]. Despite recent advancements, autonomy is limited and costly. Many approaches that tackle autonomous exploration integrate commercial robots with sensing equipment that is both prohibitively expensive and difficult to maintain [10], [11]. Furthermore, in areas that are ambiguous or challenging to traverse—albeit autonomous—state-of-the-art approaches rely on humans for supervision and high-level decision-making [3].

As a result, robots often operate close to humans or require expensive network equipment, such as a mesh of communication devices [2], [3], or existing network infrastructure [12]–[14]. Conversely, our methodology exploits LoRa—an inexpensive long-range and low-power communication technology from the internet-of-things domain—with a customized communication protocol.

II. RELATED WORK

A. Exploratory Robots

Legged robots have recently demonstrated to be physically capable of robustly traversing challenging real-world terrains [3], including slopes, stairs, gaps, obstacles, and soft, hard or slippery soils. Although, tracked or wheeled robotic platforms

might struggle to attempt such mobility under certain conditions, wheeled robots still offer a number of attractive advantages for autonomous exploration. These include (i) high-speed motion and stability, (ii) no need for overhead computation for gait adaptation and planning, and, more importantly, (iii) low-cost compromises on sensory richness, computational power, and communication capabilities [15].

B. Autonomous Exploration

Frontier-based navigation [16] has been one of the most successful methods applied for autonomous robot exploration. These have been adapted for diverse sensing modalities of the environment, spanning from raw sensor measurements to topological, metric, semantic or hybrid map representations [11], [17].

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Kohlbrecher, J. Meyer, T. Graber, K. Petersen, U. Klingauf, and O. von Stryk, “Hector open source modules for autonomous mapping and navigation with rescue robots,” in *RoboCup 2013: Robot World Cup XVII*. Springer, pp. 624–631. 1
- [2] M. Kulkarni, M. Dharmadhikari, M. Tranzatto, S. Zimmermann, V. Reijgwart, P. De Petris, H. Nguyen, N. Khedekar, C. Papachristos, L. Ott, R. Siegwart, M. Hutter, and K. Alexis, “Autonomous teamed exploration of subterranean environments using legged and aerial robots,” in *International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA’22)*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 3306–3313. 1
- [3] M. Tranzatto, F. Mascarich, L. Bernreiter, C. Godinho, M. Camurri, S. Khattak, T. Dang, V. Reijgwart, J. Löje, D. Wisth, S. Zimmermann, H. Nguyen, M. Fehr, L. Solanka, R. Buchanan, M. Bjelonic, N. Khedekar, M. Valceschini, F. Jenelten, M. Dharmadhikari, T. Homberger, P. De Petris, L. Wellhausen, M. Kulkarni, T. Miki, S. Hirsch, M. Montenegro, C. Papachristos, F. Tresoldi, J. Carius, G. Valsecchi, J. Lee, K. Meyer, X. Wu, J. Nieto, A. Smith, M. Hutter, R. Siegwart, M. Mueller, M. Fallon, and K. Alexis, “CERBERUS: Autonomous legged and aerial robotic exploration in the tunnel and urban circuits of the DARPA Subterranean Challenge,” *Field Robotics*, vol. 2, pp. 274–324, 2022. 1
- [4] H. Kim, H. Kim, S. Lee, and H. Lee, “Autonomous exploration in a cluttered environment for a mobile robot with 2d-map segmentation and object detection,” *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 6343–6350, 2022. 1
- [5] “A review of mobile robots: Concepts, methods, theoretical framework, and applications,” *International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems*, vol. 16, no. 2, p. 22, 2019. 1
- [6] T. Miki, J. Lee, J. Hwangbo, L. Wellhausen, V. Koltun, and M. Hutter, “Learning robust perceptive locomotion for quadrupedal robots in the wild,” *Science Robotics*, vol. 7, no. 62, p. 14, 2022. 1
- [7] Y. Mei, Y.-H. Lu, C. Lee, and Y. Hu, “Energy-efficient mobile robot exploration,” in *International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA’06)*. IEEE, 2006, pp. 505–511. 1
- [8] R. Shrestha, F.-P. Tian, W. Feng, P. Tan, and R. Vaughan, “Learned map prediction for enhanced mobile robot exploration,” in *International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA’19)*. IEEE, 2019, pp.

Manuscript received: Month, Day, Year; Revised Month, Day, Year; Accepted Month, Day, Year.

This paper was recommended for publication by Editor Editor A. Name upon evaluation of the Associate Editor and Reviewers’ comments.

¹A. S., C. M., S. N., O. F., H. C. I. A., and A. M. D. are with the Department of Mechanical Engineering and Materials Science, Yale University, CT, USA. Email: adam.seewald@yale.edu;

C. M. C. is with the School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, MA, USA.

Digital Object Identifier (DOI): see top of this page.

- [9] M. B. Alatise and G. P. Hancke, "A review on challenges of autonomous mobile robot and sensor fusion methods," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 39 830–39 846, 2020. [1](#)
- [10] I. Lluvia, E. Lazkano, and A. Ansuategi, "Active mapping and robot exploration: A survey," *Sensors*, vol. 21, no. 7, 2021. [1](#)
- [11] J. A. Placed, J. Strader, H. Carrillo, N. Atanasov, V. Indelman, L. Carlone, and J. A. Castellanos, "A survey on active simultaneous localization and mapping: State of the art and new frontiers," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.00254*, 2022. [1](#)
- [12] K. Ismail, R. Liu, J. Zheng, C. Yuen, Y. L. Guan, and U.-X. Tan, "Mobile robot localization based on low-cost LTE and odometry in GPS-denied outdoor environment," in *International Conference on Robotics and Biomimetics (ROBIO'19)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 2338–2343. [1](#)
- [13] "ROS-Based unmanned mobile robot platform for agriculture," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 9, p. 13, 2022. [1](#)
- [14] F. Voigtlander, A. Ramadan, J. Eichinger, C. Lenz, D. Pensky, and A. Knoll, "5g for robotics: Ultra-low latency control of distributed robotic systems," in *International Symposium on Computer Science and Intelligent Controls (ISCSIC'17)*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 69–72. [1](#)
- [15] M. Müller and V. Koltun, "Openbot: Turning smartphones into robots," in *2021 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, 2021, pp. 9305–9311. [1](#)
- [16] B. Yamauchi, "A frontier-based approach for autonomous exploration," in *Proceedings 1997 IEEE International Symposium on Computational Intelligence in Robotics and Automation CIRA'97. 'Towards New Computational Principles for Robotics and Automation'*, 1997, pp. 146–151. [1](#)
- [17] A. Batinovic, T. Petrovic, A. Ivanovic, F. Petric, and S. Bogdan, "A multi-resolution frontier-based planner for autonomous 3d exploration," *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 4528–4535, 2021. [1](#)