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*Updated 6/2/23*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Features** | **Expectations** | **Scores** | | | | | |
| **Missing**  0 | **Flawed**  5 | **Below**  6 | **Meets**  7 | **Exceeds**  8 | **Not Applic.** |
| **Scope** | Practical, integrative, applied cell/ molecular biology problem, challenge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach informed by prior work |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Impacts** | Project is relevant to a current question or challenge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clear social/public benefits that outweigh costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Connecting 2+ areas we explored |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Strategy** | Specific question or target |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reasonable methods, model |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Data interpretation, Plan B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Merit** | Shows appropriate intellectual effort |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Curiosity, effort to stretch thinking |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Technical** | ***Section 1:*** 3pp, single-spaced |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *200-word description* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Background with question, context* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Approach (methods, predictions)* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Justification: benefits, challenges* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ***Section 2:*** 2pp limit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Citations* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Team contributions* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Team** | Team was fully engaged, participated |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well-integrated final product, not different parts tacked together. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Overall score: \_\_\_ / \_\_\_ = \_\_\_\_\_ %

**Comments**: