

# **Exotic Fracture Object ( EFO )**

Adarsh Biradar

Independent Researcher

## **Abstract**

‘Oumuamua (1I/2017), the first interstellar object detected passing through the Solar System, displayed a combination of properties that do not fit neatly within known cometary or asteroidal categories. These include an extreme aspect ratio, a measurable non-gravitational acceleration in the absence of any detectable coma, chaotic tumbling motion, a largely neutral reflectance spectrum, and an inbound velocity close to the Local Standard of Rest (LSR). Taken together, these features have proven difficult to explain using existing models without relying on fine-tuned assumptions or invoking speculative artificial origins.

The Exotic Fracture Object (EFO) Theory proposes that ‘Oumuamua belongs to a previously unrecognized class of interstellar debris formed during violent stellar-scale events, such as tidal disruption, supernova shock–driven fragmentation, or extreme hypervelocity collisions. In such environments, parent bodies may undergo catastrophic mechanical failure, producing thin, elongated, and volatile-depleted fragments with unusually high strength-to-mass ratios. Objects with these characteristics can plausibly reproduce the observed morphology, absence of detectable outgassing, and long-term structural stability.

Within this framework, the observed non-gravitational acceleration is attributed to surface-level momentum exchange processes, such as weak thermal desorption or radiation-mediated forces, rather than conventional cometary activity. The chaotic tumbling state and neutral reflectance are interpreted as outcomes of asymmetric fracture geometries combined with prolonged exposure to energetic radiation in interstellar space. The EFO framework therefore introduces a distinct category of interstellar objects, separate from classical comets and asteroids, capable of accounting for the full set of observed anomalies.

## **1.**

## **INTRODUCTION**

An Exotic Fracture Object (EFO) is defined as a thin, irregular shard of solid material formed during violent stellar- or planetary-scale events. A small fraction of such fragments survive initial formation and are ejected into interstellar space at high velocities, where they can persist for millions of years. During this extended interstellar phase, EFOs undergo gradual physical evolution driven by radiation exposure, thermal cycling, and micrometeorite processing, shaping their distinctive observable properties.

## **2. Properties of EFOs**

### **2.1 Extreme Shape**

EFOs are expected to exhibit thin, elongated, or flattened geometries with very high aspect ratios, often taking cigar-like or pancake-like forms. Such extreme shapes arise naturally from violent fragmentation processes, which favor the production of irregular, fractured remnants rather than gravitationally relaxed bodies.

### **2.2 Chaotic Tumbling**

Because of their irregular shapes and non-uniform mass distributions, EFOs are expected to rotate in non-principal-axis, or chaotic, tumbling states. This rotational behavior produces complex and irregular light curves, consistent with those observed for ‘Oumuamua.

### **2.3 Volatile Depletion**

Over millions of years of interstellar travel, EFOs lose the vast majority of their original volatile ices through repeated thermal cycling and long-term cosmic-ray irradiation. Only trace quantities of gas are expected to remain, trapped within internal fractures or microscopic voids.

### **2.4 Dust-Poor Nature and Absence of a Coma**

Surface dust layers are gradually removed during prolonged exposure to the interstellar environment. As a result, EFOs are expected to exhibit little to no dust emission when passing near a star and therefore do not develop a visible coma or tail, even during close perihelion passages.

### **2.5 Micro-Outgassing**

Small amounts of residual gas may be released slowly through microscopic fractures when the object is heated by stellar radiation. This weak and continuous outgassing can generate a gentle non-gravitational acceleration, remaining below direct detection thresholds while still producing a measurable effect on the object’s trajectory.

### **2.6 Surface Reflectance and Neutral Color**

Continuous exposure to cosmic rays and micrometeorite impacts over interstellar timescales progressively alters and polishes the surfaces of EFOs. This process leads to relatively smooth, featureless surfaces with neutral or slightly metallic reflectance, in agreement with available spectroscopic observations.

### **2.7 Thermal and Structural Properties**

EFOs are expected to be brittle, crystalline fragments rather than cohesive rubble piles. Their extreme thinness results in low thermal inertia, allowing rapid heating and cooling while preventing the long-term retention of significant internal volatile reservoirs.

### **2.8 Interstellar Age and Velocity**

After formation, EFOs drift through interstellar space for millions of years. During this time, repeated weak gravitational encounters and scattering events gradually randomize their motion, naturally leading to velocities close to the Local Standard of Rest.

### **2.9 Formation Signature**

The combined physical properties of EFOs point to formation in highly energetic astrophysical environments, such as tidal disruption events, supernova shock interactions, hypervelocity collisions, or intense stellar radiation–driven peeling.

No.	Quantity	Physical constraints
1.	Aspect ratio	$L/d \gtrsim 10\text{--}100$
2.	Thickness	$d \ll L$
3.	Non-Gravitational Acceleration	$a \ll g \approx 10^{-6} \text{ m s}^{-2}$
4.	Mass loss rate	$\dot{m} \ll 1 \text{ g s}^{-1}$
5.	Volatile Fraction	$\ll 1\%$
6.	Tumbling Damping Time	$\tau_{\text{damp}} \gg \text{interstellar travel time}$

**Table 2.1 Representative Physical Quantities for Exotic Fracture Objects**

### **3. Formation Mechanisms of EFOs**

#### **3.1. Tidal Disruption Events**

When a comet-sized or icy body passes too close to a star or a giant planet, tidal forces can become strong enough to overcome the object’s internal strength. This can tear the body apart, producing long, thin shards or sheet-like fragments with very high aspect ratios.

#### **3.2. Supernova Shock Fragmentation**

In the vicinity of a supernova, intense shock waves and extreme heating can act on nearby solid bodies. These conditions can strip outer layers and break the material into thin, brittle fragments, often forming plate-like or partially crystalline structures that are expelled into interstellar space.

#### **3.3. Hypervelocity Collisions**

Hypervelocity impacts between icy or rocky bodies generate enormous mechanical stresses. Instead of forming rounded or gravitationally relaxed debris, such collisions tend to produce splinters, slabs, and irregular plate-like fragments.

#### **3.4. Stellar Radiation–Peeling**

Long-term exposure to strong stellar ultraviolet radiation can gradually remove volatile-rich surface layers from a solid body. Over time, this process may leave behind thin, rigid crusts that can detach as shell-like fragments.

An Exotic Fracture Object may form through a single violent astrophysical event. This event produces thin, irregular shards or plates that are ejected into interstellar space. After formation, the fragment undergoes long-term gentle evolution. Over millions of years, cosmic-ray irradiation, micrometeorite polishing, thermal cycling, and slow volatile loss shape the object into its characteristic of an EFO.

## **4. How EFOs Explain the Anomalies of ‘Oumuamua**

### **4.1 Extreme Shape**

Violent fragmentation processes naturally generate thin plates or elongated splinters with very high aspect ratios. As such objects tumble chaotically, their projected cross-section changes significantly with time, providing a natural explanation for the large brightness variations observed in ‘Oumuamua’s light curve.

### **4.2 Chaotic, Non-Principal-Axis Tumbling**

Irregular fracture geometries and non-uniform mass distributions lead EFOs to rotate in complex, non-principal-axis states immediately after formation. This rotational behavior is consistent with the non-periodic tumbling inferred from ‘Oumuamua’s photometric observations.

### **4.3 Absence of a Coma, Dust, or Jets**

After spending millions of years in interstellar space, EFOs are expected to lose nearly all primordial ices and surface dust through prolonged thermal cycling and cosmic-ray processing. Only trace amounts of gas may remain trapped within internal fractures, naturally accounting for the complete absence of any detectable coma, dust, or jet activity in ‘Oumuamua.

### **4.4 Small Non-Gravitational Acceleration**

Residual micro-pockets of ancient gases, such as N<sub>2</sub> and CO may be slowly released as the object is heated by solar radiation. The gradual escape of these gases through fractures produces a smooth, continuous, and low-level non-gravitational acceleration, consistent in both magnitude and temporal behavior with that measured for ‘Oumuamua.

### **4.5 Neutral Color and Smooth Reflectance**

Over interstellar timescales, continuous exposure to cosmic rays and micrometeorite impacts progressively alters and polishes the surfaces of EFOs. This process results in a largely uniform, featureless appearance with neutral or slightly metallic reflectance, in agreement with all available spectroscopic observations of ‘Oumuamua.

### **4.6 Velocity Close to the Local Standard of Rest**

Objects drifting through interstellar space for extended periods experience numerous weak gravitational interactions that gradually randomize their motion. Over time, this process drives their velocities toward the Local Standard of Rest, offering a straightforward explanation for ‘Oumuamua’s unusually low relative velocity.

## **5. Limitations of previous ‘Oumuamua models :**

### **5.1 Hydrogen Iceberg Model**

This model faces difficulties because solid H<sub>2</sub> ice is expected to sublimate rapidly in interstellar space, making it unlikely that such an object could survive for millions of years before entering the Solar System.

### **5.2 Nitrogen Ice Shard Model**

Although capable of reproducing some observational features, this scenario requires very large initial reservoirs of N<sub>2</sub> and erosion rates that are difficult to justify within known physical constraints.

### **5.3 Fractal Dust Aggregate Model**

While this model can explain non-gravitational acceleration, it struggles to account for ‘Oumuamua’s observed chaotic tumbling and the apparent mechanical strength implied by its rotational stability.

### **5.4 Outgassing Comet Model**

Traditional cometary interpretations are disfavored by the absence of any detected coma, dust emission, or gas signatures during ‘Oumuamua’s perihelion passage.

### **5.5 Solar Sail or Artificial-Origin Hypotheses**

Artificial-origin explanations are challenged by the object’s non-principal-axis tumbling, lack of controlled orientation, and the absence of any associated radio or technological signals.

## **6. Conclusion**

‘Oumuamua can be plausibly interpreted as an Exotic Fracture Object (EFO): a thin, volatile-depleted shard formed during extreme astrophysical events such as tidal disruption, supernova shocks, or hypervelocity impacts. The EFO framework introduces a new class of interstellar debris that naturally explains ‘Oumuamua’s anomalous shape, tumbling, neutral reflectance, and weak non-gravitational acceleration without detectable coma or dust. Future interstellar objects that exhibit these properties should be considered EFO candidates and prioritized for follow-up. The framework also makes clear, testable predictions that can be confirmed or falsified as more interstellar objects are observed.

## **7. References**

- [1] Meech, K. J., et al. (2017). A brief visit from a red and extremely elongated interstellar asteroid. *Nature*, 552, 378–381.
- [2] Micheli, M., et al. (2018). Non-gravitational acceleration in the trajectory of 1I/2017 ‘Oumuamua. *Nature*, 559, 223–226.
- [3] Fraser, W. C., et al. (2018). The tumbling rotational state of 1I/2017 ‘Oumuamua. *Nature Astronomy*, 2, 383–386.

- [4] Trilling, D. E., et al. (2018). Spitzer observations of ‘Oumuamua and constraints on its size and activity. *The Astronomical Journal*, 156, 261.
- [5] Bannister, M. T., et al. (2019). Col-OSSOS: ‘Oumuamua’s neutral color. *Nature Astronomy*, 3, 594–602.
- [6] Sekanina, Z. (2019). Tumbling motion, nongravitational acceleration, and origin of ‘Oumuamua. *arXiv:1905.00935*.
- [7] Desch, S. J., & Jackson, A. P. (2021). A possible origin of 1I/2017 ‘Oumuamua as a nitrogen ice fragment. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 126, e2020JE006807.
- [8] Moro-Martín, A. (2019). Lessons from ‘Oumuamua. *The Astronomical Journal*, 157, 86.