

Tama Belief and Practice in Ancient Japan

Gary L. Ebersole

Recalling, Binding, and Pacifying Spirits of the Dead

Beliefs and practices surrounding the *tama*, the animating spirit that gives life to the physical body, constitute a central symbolic and ritual complex in early Japan. This is particularly apparent in the *Ten Thousand Leaves* (*Man'yōshū*, hereafter *MYS*), the anthology of Japanese poetry that is often cited as the earliest extant work of Japanese literature. It will be recalled that the *Ten Thousand Leaves* contains the lines "I cannot make out the sleeves / she waves in farewell" (*imo ga mode saya nimo miezu*, *MYS* 2:135). Although it is not clear from the translation, this is an obvious reference to the performance of a spirit-beckoning (lit., "spirit shaking," *tama-furi*) ritual. The intention behind the action of the poet's wife is to guarantee his safe return. The belief in the efficacy of this action is related in a general way to the familiar Western custom, now largely attenuated into metaphorical usage, of keeping a candle burning in the window while someone is away. In both cases, the belief is that the action will help the absent person find his or her way home again safely.

There are a large number of references to spirit-beckoning rituals in the extant poetry from early Japan, as well as in prose passages from the three texts. Again in the *Ten Thousand Leaves* (*MYS* 2:132; Levy 1981:99), Kakinomoto Hitomaro (d. ca. 708–715) says in one of the set of poems on parting from his wife:

Iwami no ya	O does my wife
Takatsuno-yama no	see the sleeves I wave
hi no ma yori	from between the trees
waga furu sode	on Takatsuno Mountain
imo mitsuramu ka	in Iwami?

Here again the ritual action of spirit-beckoning is visible. The following are the last lines of *Ten Thousand Leaves* (*MYS* 2:27; Levy 1981: 134–135), a ritual funeral

lament by Hitomaro on, according to the headnote, the death of his wife. A messenger had just brought news of her passing.

iwamu sube
namu sube shira ni
oto nomi o
kikite ari eneba
waga kouru
chie no hito e mo
nagasamuru
kokoro mo ariya
wagimoko ga
tomazu idemishi
Karu no ichi ni
waga tachikiheba
tamatasuki
Unebi no yama ni
naku tori no
koe mo kiezu
tamahoko no
michi yuku hito mo
hitori dani
niteshi yukaneba
sube o nami
imo ga na yobite
sode so furitsuru

I did not know what to say,
what to do,
but simply could not listen
and so, perhaps to solace
a single thousandth
of my thousandfold longing,
I stood at the Karu market
to where often she had gone
and listened,
but could not hear
the voices of the birds
that cry on Unebi Mountain
where the maidens
wear strands of jewels,
and of the ones who passed me
on that road,
straight as a jade spear,
not one resembled her.
I could do nothing
but call my wife's name
and wave my sleeves.

Various elements of early Japanese belief and practice are found here. Note the frequent use of homonyms of *tama* here—*tamatasuki* and *tamahoko*—in addition to *tamazusa* earlier. The reference to the crying of birds alludes to the belief that the departing spirit of the dead often assumed the form of a bird. In waving his sleeves, Hitomaro was performing a spirit-beckoning rite to call back his wife's spirit. Unless one understands this, Hitomaro's action of waving his sleeves is meaningless. The early Japanese did not consider death to be a permanent or irreversible state, at least for a short period after an individual had expired. Since death was believed to be a result of the spirit having left the body, various spirit-beckoning-related rituals were performed in an effort to attract the animating spirit back into the body. In this light, even in the twentieth century we can appreciate Hitomaro's action as a desperate attempt to deny the reality of the death of a loved one. Though the specific ritual expression is historically and culturally bound, the informing emotional response to death is recognizably more universal.

The mobility of the spirit was a source of anxiety for the early Japanese, as we have seen. In addition to rituals to recall a departed spirit, they had rituals to bind it (*tama musubu*) and others to restrict or impose boundaries on its movement. The latter practice is alluded to in two elegies (*banka*) found in *Ten Thousand Leaves* (MYS 2:151, 154; Levy 1981: 108, 109), from the time of the temporary enshrinement of the corpse of the Emperor Tenji (r. 661–671):

(151)
kakaramu no
kokoro shiriseba
ōmi-fune
hateshi tomari ni
shimeyu wa mashi o

If I had known
it would come to this,
I would have tied signs of interdiction
around the harbor
where the imperial craft did berth.

(154)
Sasanami no
oyamamori wa
taga tame ka
yama ni shimeyuu
himi mo aranaku ni

For whom does the guardian
of Sasanami's imperial mountains
post his signs of interdiction,
now that you, my Lord,
are no longer?

Here, following the death of Tenji, the imperial consorts retrospectively lament the fact that when he had fallen ill they had not had rituals performed that might have kept his life spirit from leaving his body and passing into the mountains, the realm of the dead.

If the conditions of death were not deemed proper, the spirit leaving the body could be in a state of aggravation that required pacification. The recitation of poems to pacify certain spirits, a major type of ritual poetry in early Japan, is found in different forms throughout the Japanese religious tradition. Although most of the poems collected in the *Ten Thousand Leaves* concern the imperial family or courtiers, there are others that deal with anonymous figures. Here is a good example of this type of poem (MYS 2:22; Levy 1981: 141–143):

*Sanuki no Samine no shima ni, ishi no
naka ni mimakareru hito o mite, Kakinomoto Asomi no Hitomaro no tsukuru
uta isshu narabe ni tanka*

Poem by Kakinomoto Hitomaro upon
Seeing a Dead Man Lying among the
Rocks on the Island of Samine in Sa-
nuki, with Tanka

tamamo yoshi
Sanuki no kuni wa
kuni kara ka
miredomo akanu
hamu kara ka
kokoda tōtoki
ametsuchi
hi tsuki to tomo ni
tariyukamu
kami no mi omo to
tsugite kuru
Naka no minato yu
funa ukete
waga hōgi kureba
toki tsu kaze
kumo i ni fuku ni
oki mireba
toi nami tachi

The land of Sanuki,
fine in sleek seaweed:
is it for the beauty of the land
that we do not tire
to gaze upon it?
Is it for its divinity
that we deem it most noble?
Eternally flourishing,
with the heavens
and the earth,
with the sun
and the moon,
the very face of a god—
so it has come down
through the ages.

Casting off
from Naka harbor,

he mireba
 shiranami sawaku
 isana tori
 umi o kashikomi
 yuku funa no
 kajihiki orite
 ochikochi no
 shima wa ôke do
 mei kuwashi
 Samine no shima no
 ariso mo ni
 iorite mireba
 nami no to no
 shigeki hamabe o
 shikita no
 makura ni nashite
 ara doko ni
 koro fusu kimi ga
 ie shiraba
 yukite mo tsugemu
 tsuma shiraba
 ki mo towamashi o
 tamahoko no
 michi dani shirazu
 oboboshiku
 machi ka kôramu
 washiki tsumara wa

hanka nishu
 tsuma mo araba
 tsumite tagemashi
 Sami no yamano no
 e no uwagi
 tōgi ni kerazu ya

okitsu nami
 kiyoru ariso o
 shikita no
 makura to makite
 naseru kimi kamo

we came rowing.
 Then tide winds
 blew through the clouds;
 on the offing
 we saw the rustled waves,
 on the strand
 we saw the roaring crests.
 Fearing the whale-hunted seas,
 our ship plunged through—
 we bent those oars!
 Many were the islands
 near and far,
 but we beached on Samine—
 beautiful its name—
 and built a shelter
 on the rugged shore.

Looking around,
 we saw you
 lying there
 on a jagged bed of stones,
 the beach
 for your finely woven pillow,
 by the breakers' roar.
 If I knew your home,
 I would go and tell them.
 If your wife knew,
 she would come and seek you out.
 But she does not even know the road
 straight as a jade spear.
 Does she not wait for you,
 worrying and longing,
 your beloved wife?
 [Two envoys]
 If your wife were here,
 she would gather and feed you
 the starwort that grows
 on the Sami hillsides,
 but is its season not past?

Making a finely woven pillow
 of the rocky shore
 where waves from the offing
 draw near,
 you, who sleep there!

The poet opens by praising the geographical place in terms reminiscent of the *kunimi* poems discussed earlier. This praise is not accidental, for the poem

participates in the same symbolic and ritual complex. The headnote provides the purported occasion of the poem's genesis, yet the long first section does not introduce any human figures. Rather, it is devoted exclusively to praise of the land, which it declares beautiful and divine (*miredomo akanu kamu kara ka*, etc.). The identity of the drowning victim is unclear, as even Hitomaro does not know his name or hometown. Why, then, does Hitomaro use the long form (*chōka*) for this *banka*? Most scholars agree that such poems must have been part of a ritual performed for the pacification of the spirit of the accident victim, whose fate had denied him the normal funeral rites. Itō Haku, for example, sees this *chōka* and the envoys as a product of Hitomaro's participation in the traditional belief and ritual complex surrounding travel and of his service in the imperial court. Here Hitomaro seeks to pacify both the spirit of the land, through stylized praise, and the spirit of the dead, through recalling the love of the dead man's wife and suggesting that if only she knew where the corpse was, she would dutifully lament at his grave site.

The poem, however, is even more complex, for if the *chōka* is read carefully, one can see that Hitomaro also identifies himself with the drowning victim; he, too, is on a dangerous journey over the same seas that claimed this man's life. The effect of this rhetorical identification is once again to focus, although in a deflected or oblique manner, on the danger Hitomaro and his companions face in undertaking a journey ordered by a member of the imperial family. Ostensibly a poem on an anonymous drowning victim, Hitomaro takes the opportunity to turn it into another variety of a "boastful complaint" as he rehearses the horrors of the storm that forced his ship to beach on Samine. The poem, then, is quite complex in intention and in address, for it is directed in part to the *kami* of the place, praising the site's beauty and offering thanks for the party having found refuge there; in part to the spirit of the drowning victim; and in part to the assembled traveling companions of Hitomaro and ultimately to his patron in the imperial family. This fact suggests that Hitomaro was himself enmeshed in the rationality informing court society. In the middle section of the *chōka* he is not so much performing a ritual of pacification of the spirit of the dead man as he is rhetorically positioning himself within the ranks of court functionaries. This internal linkage of the poet's own situation with that of the drowning victim was not lost on the compilers of the *Ten Thousand Leaves*, for the very next poem in the anthology, 2:223, is reputed to be Hitomaro's lament on his own impending death far from his wife and home.

Kakinomoto Asomi no Hitomaro,
 Iwami no kuni ni arite mimakaramu to
 suru toki, mizukara itamite tsukuru
 uta isshu

Kamo yama no
 iwa neshi makeru
 ware o kamo

Poem by Kakinomoto Hitomaro in His
 Own Sorrow as He Was about to Die in
 the Land of Iwami

Not knowing
 I am sleeping with the rocks
 on Mount Kamo for a pillow,

shira ni to imo ga
machi tsutsu aramu

is my wife waiting,
waiting for me?

Ten Thousand Leaves 3:426–437 are all similar in theme, concerning both men and women whose corpses had been discovered, and all are categorized as *banka*. According to the headnotes, the corpses of the women in 428 and 429–430 were apparently cremated, indicating that this Buddhist practice was not unknown in Japan in Hitomaro's lifetime.

Two Types of Imperial Funeral Laments

The discernible differences in rhetorical content and effect in *banka* are a function of the respective positions of the deceased in the line of succession. For those who occupied critical positions in the court hierarchy, *banka* tend to be much more political and mythological, in an effort to legitimate the succession and the newly reconstituted court hierarchy. On the other hand, for individuals who were neither serious contenders in the succession nor pivotal figures in its determination, *banka* tend to rehearse the sense of loss felt by a surviving spouse. Such *banka* are much closer to the type of laments performed for individuals outside the imperial family.

Poem 2:199–202 is an example of the *banka* concerned with the imperial succession, whereas 2:196–198 (Levy 1981: 124–126, adapted) is an example of the second type of imperial *banka*. The latter reads:

Asuka no himemiko Kinoe no araki no
miya no toki, Kakinomoto Asomi no
Hito maro no tsukuru uta isshu narabe
ni tanka

tobu tori no
Asuka no kawa no
kamitsu se ni
iwahashi watashi
(hitotsu ni iu, iwanami)
shimotsu se ni
uchihashi watasu
iwahashi ni
(hitotsu ni iu, iwa nami ni)
oinabikeru
tamamo mo zo
tayureba ouru
uchihashi ni
oi o oreru
kawamo mo zo
harureba hayuru
nani shi kamo

Poem by Kakinomoto Hitomaro during
the Period of the Temporary Enshrine-
ment Palace of Princess Asuka, with
Tanka

Crossing a bridge of stone
(one source says "stepping stones")
over the upper shallows
of the Asuka River,
where the birds fly,
crossing a plank bridge
over the lower shallows.
Even when the *tamamo* [water plants]
trailing from
the bridge of stone
(one source says "stepping stones")
breaks, it grows;
even when the river weeds
spreading
beneath the plank bridge
withers, it sprouts again.
Why, then,

wago ôkimi no
tataseba
tamamo no mokoro
koyaseba
kawatamo no gotoku
nabikaishi
yoroshiki kimi ga
asamiya o
wasuretamau ya
yuiniya o
somukitamau ya
utsusomi to
omoishi toki
harube wa
hana orikazashi
aki tateba
momijiba kazashi
shikitaie no
sode tazusawari
kagami nasu
miredomo akazu
mochitsuki no
iya mezurashimi
omôshishi
kimi to tokidoki
idemashite
asobitamaishi
mi ke mukau
Kinoe no miya o
toho miya to
sadametamaite
ajisawau
megoto mo taenu
shikare kamo
(hitotsu ni iu, soko oshimo)
aya ni kanashimi
nuetori no
katakoi tsuma
(hitotsu ni iu, shitsutsu)
asatori no
(hitotsu ni iu, asakiri no)
kayowasu kimi ga
natsukusa no
omoishinaete
yûtsutsu no
kayuki kakuyuki
ôbune no
tayutau mireba

do you, our Princess,
forget
the morning palace
of our splendid Lord
who, when you rose,
yielded to you
like the *tamamo*,
who, when you lay down,
stretched out
like the river weeds?
And turn away from
the evening palace?
I recall the time
you were in this world,
how in spring
you broke off and decorated
yourself with blossoms,
and when autumn came
decorated yourself
with colored leaves,
how your hempen sleeves
crossed.
Like a mirror
you never tired of gazing
on the Lord,
who you thought
as precious as
the full moon.
Sometimes with the Lord
you would go out
on formal excursions
to the palace at Kinoe,
where the sacred food trays
face each other.
But now that you have established
that palace for all eternity,
gone are the eyes that met him,
your words he heard.
Is that why our Lord,
(one source says "in deep regret")
choked with sorrow,
moaning his unrequited love
like the tiger thrush,
goes back and forth,
like the morning birds,
(one source says "the morning mist")
to attend you?
When we see him

nagasamuru
kokoro mo aranu
soko yue ni
semu sube shireya
oto nomi mo
na nomi mo taezu
ametsuchi no
iya tōnagaku
shinoyukamu
mi-na ni kakaseru
Asuka-gawa
yorozuyo made ni
hashikiyashi
wago ōkimi no
katami ka koko o

tanka nishu

Asuka gawa
shigaramiwatashi
seka maseba
nagaruru mizu mo
nodo ni ka aramashi
(hitotsu ni iu, mizu no
yodo ni ka aramashi)

Asuka-gawa
ashita dani
(hitotsu ni iu, sae) mimu
to omoeyamo (hitotsu ni iu, omoie kamo)
wago ōkimi no
mi-na wasuresenu
(hitotsu ni iu, mi-na
Wasuraenu)

This lament was probably presented by Hitomaro as a surrogate for the husband of Princess Asuka. Princess Asuka, a daughter of the Emperor Tenji and Tachibana no Iratsume, died on 4/4/700. The lament comes out of the period of temporary interment of the corpse in the *mogari no miya*. The number of variants mentioned in the interlinear notes suggest that this lament may have been performed numerous times, either at this temporary enshrinement palace or, quite possibly, for others who had died at other times. If the early Japanese practice was similar to other cultures where oral laments are performed, a number of reciters or singers probably offered the same basic lament.

The *chōka* opens by recounting the journey to the site of the princess's *katami*,

wilting like the summer grass,
staggering
like an evening star,
reeling
like a great ship,
with a heart that cannot be consoled,
we know not what to do.
Thus, at least let us remember
if only the sound,
if only the name,
forever far and long
as heaven and earth.
Let us remember
for ten thousand years
the Asuka River
that bears her precious name—
this the *katami* of our beloved Princess!

Two Tanka

If they had piled branches
across the Asuka River
to stop its course,
even the streaming waters
would have become quiet.
(one source says "would have
become a pool")

Asuka River!
Even tomorrow alone
(one source says "if only")
I want to see you!
(one source says "hope to")
I cannot forget
the precious name of my Princess.
(one source says "I will not
forget the precious name")

a site that is especially associated with the deceased and where it was believed to be especially easy to make contact with the spirit of the deceased. Misaki Hisashi has pointed out that the term *uchihashi*, here rendered as "a plank bridge," is found in five other *Man'yōshū* verses (4:528, 7:1193, 1:256 and 262, and 17:399), four of which refer to the meeting of lovers. Thus, the basic theme of the *banka*, the love of the bereaved prince and the deceased Princess Asuka, is heightened by even the nouns used. The poet then draws a pointed contrast between two types of water plants that seem to die yet return to life and the death of Princess Asuka. Then in accusatory form the deceased is reprimanded: "Why, then, / do you, our Princess / forget / the morning palace / of our splendid Lord?" The tone may strike the modern reader as irreverent, but oral laments often include this type of recrimination (Alexiou 1974: 46, 106–107).

A prose endnote appended to 2:202, an envoy to a *banka* for Prince Takechi, clearly indicates that the early Japanese themselves recognized this emotional aspect of the grieving process in the performance of oral laments. The widowed princess presents offerings at the shrine for her husband but also voices her bitterness at his death. The endnote says: "The *Forest of Classified Verse* [Ruiju *karin*] says the above poem is 'by Princess Hinokuma in her resentment against the Nakisawa Shrine.'" The speaker, here said to be Hitomaro, is a surrogate for the bereaved. Thus, we must not be misled into assuming that the first-person "I" in such verses refers to the poet and indicates his personal emotional responses; rather, such an "I" often indicates the surviving spouse and at times even the deceased.

The *chōka* then goes on to recall the past and the joyous times the princess and her husband had. Aoki Takako has argued that *banka* on a deceased spouse often recall an idyllic past. Although not mythical per se, it is described in such a way that the "ravages of time" do not appear. The idyllic love of the prince and princess, which would seem to have lasted forever, is recalled in order to bring out in stark contrast the emotional desolation the princess's death has caused. The tone is still accusatory in that in dying, Princess Asuka is said to have turned her back on her faithful and loving husband. The responsibility for the suffering he is experiencing, as well as the sense of disorientation everyone in the court feels, is laid squarely at the princess's feet. But then the lament shifts to note the fact that the Asuka River shares the princess's name and flows by the palace at Kinoe where the couple used to travel together. Thus, this place has become her *katami*. In addition to expressing regret, the envoys (termed *tanka*, although they function here and are normally referred to as *hanka*) seem to allude to ritual efforts to pacify the spirit of the deceased (*tama shizume*) and to call it back. If only the prince had done something differently, perhaps time, like the flow of the river, could have been stopped and the princess's *tama*, like the once surging water, could have become calm and settled. Note, though, that the poem as a whole focuses not only on the deceased but also on the living. Part of the professional poet's duty in such cases seems to have been to declare formally the undying love of the surviving spouse for the deceased.

Nowhere in the entire poem, though, is there ever any mention of other con-

temporary historical events. The focus is entirely on the imperial couple and their love. The imperial succession and the survivor's relative status in the court hierarchy find no mention. In this, 2:196–198 is similar to another *banka*, 2:194–195, performed by Hitomaro for Princess Hatsusebe and Prince Osakabe. This poem is in sharp contrast, however, to 2:199–202, a *banka* for Prince Takechi, a son of the Emperor Temmu. It is the longest sequence in the *Man'yōshū* and has been stirringly rendered by Levy (Levy 1981: 127–131; 1984: 137–146). It is not necessary to cite the entire sequence here. Instead, I will merely draw out a few of the elements that distinguish this type of “political” public *banka* from what we have just seen.

Prince Takechi was a son of Temmu and led his father's troops in the Jinshin War in 672 in which Temmu (then Prince Ōama) gained the throne. He was married to a daughter of the Emperor Tenji, Princess Minabe. Following the death of the Emperor Temmu in 686, the Crown Prince Kusakabe was to have succeeded to the throne, but he died on 4/13/689 and Temmu's widowed empress acceded as the Empress Jitō. There is some evidence to suggest that at this point Takechi became the heir apparent. An entry in the *Chronicles* for 7/5/69 says, “The Imperial Prince Takechi was made Prime Minister” (Aston 1956: 2:398). This entry indicates that Takechi would have been in control of much of the daily administration of the government. He died, however, on 7/1/696 at the age of forty-two or forty-three. The *Chronicles* entry recording his death is, like that for Kusakabe's death, very brief: “His Highness the Later Imperial Prince died” (Aston 1956: 2:420). The reference to Takechi as *nochi no miko no mikoto*, the Later Imperial Prince, suggests that he succeeded Kusakabe as the heir apparent. Whatever the case may have been, it is clear that he was a major figure in the court and that his death would have had a great impact on the future succession. As it turned out, his demise made much easier the abdication of Jitō in favor of her grandson, Prince Karu (the Emperor Mommu), almost a year later.

With this brief background, it is easier to understand why the public performative *banka* at Takechi's temporary enshrinement palace would center on the imperial succession. The poem 2:199 (Levy 1981:127) evokes recent history in mythological terms. First, the death of the Emperor Temmu is recorded in mythic terms:

Asuka no
Makami no hara ni
hisakata no
amatsu mi kado o
kashikoku mo
sadametamaite
kamusabu to
iwagakurinasu

Our Lord,
who, while we trembled,
fixed the far and heavenly
halls of his shrine
on the fields of Makami in Asuka
and, godlike, has secluded himself
in the rocks there.

Dying is itself transformed into the intentional act of a divinity (*kamusabu to iwagakurimasu*)—an act that parallels Amaterasu's secluding herself in the “heav-

enly rock grotto.” The *chōka* then says that the emperor “went down / as from heaven / to the provinces,” again recalling the descent of the grandson of Amaterasu, Ninigi no mikoto, from the High Heavens to the earth. Then, like Amaterasu, the *banka* says he “gave the task to his son, he being an imperial prince [*miho nagara*] to pacify the raging rebels, / and subdue the land” (Levy 1981: 127–128). This section is followed by a long passage in which the swirl and terror of battle are conjured up (Levy 1981:128–129). Once again, though, the historical events are remembered and recounted in mythic terms: the victory of Temmu's troops is described as a result of divine assistance.

yuku tori no
arasou hashi ni
Watarai no
itsuki no miya yu
kamukaze ni
matowashi
amakumo o
hi no me mo misezu
tokoyami ni
ōtamaite

As they struggled
like zooming birds,
the divine wind
from the Shrine of our offerings
at Ise in Watarai
blew confusion upon them,
hiding the very light of day
as clouds blanketed the heavens
in eternal darkness

This rhetorical device gives the reign of the Emperor Temmu and that of his descendants legitimacy through appeal to the intervention of the kami in human history. The *chōka* then alters its focus and moves to Prince Takechi. It mentions that Takechi was positioned to succeed and establish his own rule when he passed away. It also describes an interesting ritual mourning practice in the court:

Haniyasu no
mi kado no hara ni
akane sasu
hi no kotogoto
shishi ji mono
ihaifushitsutsu
nubatama no
yube ni nareba
ōtono o
furisakemitsutsu
uzura nasu
ihaimotōri

On the fields
before the Haniyasu Palace Gate
we crawl and stumble like the deer
as long as the sun
still streams its crimson,
and when pitch-black night descends
we crawl around like quail,
turning to look up at the great hall [*ōtono*].

The focus of the *chōka* is now on the desolation and disorientation the prince's death has occasioned. This focus is similar to what we saw in the *banka* for Princess Asuka, and indeed it will be found in almost all such laments. Then the emphasis and focus shift to the site of the *mogari no miya*. Once again the frustrated expectations of the living are rehearsed, and the deceased is assured that he will never be forgotten by the living. In sharp contrast to the lament for Princess Asuka, there is no mention of the love his wives and consorts had for Takechi. Instead, his career is rhetorically situated in a larger mythistory.

To appreciate this lament, we must imagine the poem recited in the presence of a large assembly of members of the court who occupied critical positions in the hierarchy, as Takechi did. The public *banka* on the occasion of Takechi's temporary enshrinement were used to legitimate Temmu's violent assumption of power in the Jinshin War by rhetorically transforming the events surrounding it into a mythistory. In this way, in their public performative roles, poets like Hitomaro helped to give legitimacy to Temmu's successors as well.

Several different forms of ritual poetry from the imperial court have been introduced here. Oral performative poetry was an important expression of the ceremonial nature of the court, a daily spectacle of sight and sound. It was also a resource that could be used in performance by different individuals for different purposes; it served still others when some *uta* were committed to writing and recontextualized. Most important, this poetry gained its coherence and meaning in a dynamic world of political ceremony and intrigue, designed to create and demonstrate power and prestige. Rather than merely reflecting a timeless or static collective ideal, it served as a means of articulating responses to incongruities experienced by the community and of pressing individual and factional claims.

Further Reading

Margaret Alexiou, *The Ritual Lament in Greek Tradition* (London: Cambridge University Press, 1974); W. G. Aston, *Nihongi: Chronicles of Japan from the Earliest Times to A.D. 697* (London: George Allen & Unwin, 1956 [tr. 1896]); Robert H. Brower and Earl Miner, *Japanese Court Poetry* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1961); Edwin A. Cranston, "The Ramifying Vein: An Impression of Leaves—A Review of Levy's Translation of the *Man'yōshū*," *Journal of Japanese Studies* 9 (Winter 1983): 120; Gary L. Ebersole, "The Buddhist Ritual Use of Linked Poetry in Medieval Japan," *The Eastern Buddhist* n.s. 16, 2 (Autumn 1983); Gary L. Ebersole, *Ritual Poetry and the Politics of Death in Early Japan* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1989), esp. pp. 54–78, on which this article is based; Mircea Eliade, *Images and Symbols: Studies in Religious Symbolism* (New York: Sheed and Ward, 1969), esp. "The 'God Who Binds' and the Symbolism of Knots," pp. 92–124; Norbert Elias, *The Court Society*, tr. Edmund Jephcott (Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1983); J. H. Kamstra, *Encounter or Syncretism: The Initial Growth of Japanese Buddhism* (Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1967); Ian Hideo Levy, tr., *The Ten Thousand Leaves*, vol. 1 (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1981); Ian Hideo Levy, *Hitomaro and the Birth of Japanese Lyricism* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1984); Donald L. Philippi, *This Wine of Peace, This Wine of Laughter* (New York: Mushinsho Books, Grossman Publishers, 1968).

— 14 —

Japan's First Shingon Ceremony

David L. Gardiner

The title of this selection could be misleading since there are various meanings of the word *shingon*. Since it literally means "true word(s)" and is a common translation for the Sanskrit *mantra*, a "shingon ceremony" could refer to any of a wide variety of Buddhist rituals that took place in Japan from the eighth century on that employed the recitation of the potent formulae known also as *dharani*. Or, since it also designates the name of the Buddhist school founded by Kūkai (774–835) around 816 some ten years after he returned from a two-year stay in China, the title could denote the first official ceremony conducted under the auspices of this representative organization of Esoteric Buddhism in Japan. In actuality, the title draws our attention to something in between these two possible interpretations: to the first recorded ceremony performed by Kūkai after his return from China prior to his efforts to establish a recognized school. Kūkai has become such a celebrated cultural hero in Japan that it may be hard for some to imagine that there was ever a time when he was not famous, but in fact he was not a very visible public figure until several years after he came back in 806, from studying Esoteric Buddhism in the T'ang capital of Ch'ang-an. He left China in the eighth month of 806, and it is thought that he arrived on the southern island of Kyushu by the tenth month. The present text, *Votive Text on the Occasion of a Memorial Ceremony for the Departed Mother of Lieutenant Governor Tanaka of Dazaifu* (*Ten no shōni ga sembi no kisai o makuru ga tame no gammon*), was written in Kyushu in the second month of the following year and is the earliest record we have of Kūkai's activities after his travels abroad. Although traditional accounts have him leaving Kyushu within a year to reside in the capital area near Nara and Kyoto, there are indications that he may have resided in Kyushu for as long as three years before moving to the capital. In either case, his movements in these initial years are not well documented, so the present text offers an important opportunity to glimpse the early activities of the man whose later efforts were to have a profound and lasting impact on Japanese religion and culture.

The text is known in Japanese as a *gammon*, or a "votive document" that records