

ANN Assignment 2

(Q1) write the algorithm steps for perceptron learning rule.

→ 1) Initialize weights (w) and bias 'b' to small random values or zero, set the learning rate η .

2) Repeat until convergence:

For each training sample: (x, d)

- compute net 'Input' $\Rightarrow w \cdot x + b$

- Apply activation function: $y = 1$ if $\text{net} \geq 0$
else $y = -1$

- compute error: $e = d - y$, $(d_i - o_i)$

- update weight and bias:

- $w = w + \eta e x$; $b = b + \eta e$

3) Stop when all sample are correctly classified or max iteration reached.

4) Use trained perceptron for classification with updated weight vector.

(Q2) Derive the weight update equation for delta learning rule.

→ Step 1:

Least Squared error between o_i and d_i

Calculating the gradient vector w.r.t.
 w_i of squared error.

$$E \triangleq \frac{1}{2} (d_i - o_i)^2$$

d_i = desired output
 o_i = actual output

Step ②:

compute the gradient to minimize error

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} \left(\frac{1}{2} (d_i - o_i)^2 \right)$$

$$\nabla E = \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} \left[\frac{1}{2} (d_i - f(w_i^T n))^2 \right]$$

using the chain rule for differentiation,

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial f(w_i^T n)} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial f(w_i^T n)} \cdot \frac{\partial f(w_i^T n)}{\partial (w_i^T n)} \cdot \frac{\partial (w_i^T n)}{\partial w_i}$$

1) calculating:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial E}{\partial f(w_i^T n)} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial f(w_i^T n)} (d_i - f(w_i^T n))^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \times 2 (d_i - f(w_i^T n)) (0-1) \\ &= -[d_i - f(w_i^T n)] \end{aligned}$$

$$2) \frac{\partial f(w_i^T n)}{\partial (w_i^T n)} = f'(w_i^T n)$$

$$3) \frac{\partial (w_i^T n)}{\partial w_i} = n \quad (\text{its transpose and not power})$$

put values,

$$\nabla E = -[d_i - f(w_i^T n)] f'(w_i^T n) n \rightarrow \text{error gradient}$$

∇E

Step ③:

since the minimization of the error requires the weight changes to be in the negative gradient direction, we take;

$$\Delta w_i = \eta \Delta E$$

$\Delta w = \eta \times \text{learning signal} \times n \leftarrow \text{deduced from observation}$

$$\Delta w = -\eta \Delta E$$

Substitute

$$\Delta w = -\eta [-(d_i - o_i) f'(w_i^{t_n}) n]$$

$\therefore \Delta w = \eta (d_i - o_i) f'(w_i^{t_n}) n \leftarrow \text{Hence proved}$
which is change in weight.

new weight w

$$w_{\text{new}} = w_{\text{old}} - \eta \Delta E$$

$$w_{\text{new}} = w_{\text{old}} + \Delta w$$

Q3) Explain the Hebbian learning rule and Widrow Hoff learning rule.

→

Hebbian learning rule:

- proposed by Donald Hebbian 1949.
- inspired by neuro-biological principles 'cells that fire together, wire together'
- Unsupervised learning rule where it does not require labelled data.

$$\boxed{\Delta w = c \cdot f(w^{t_n}) n}$$



Learning signal.

- The rule states that if the cross product of o_{ip} and i_{ip} or correlation term of m_{ij} is positive this results in an increase of weight w_{ij}, otherwise the weight decreases. It can be seen that the o_{ip} is strengthened in term for each input.

presented. Hence, frequent input patterns will have most influence at neurons weight vector.

Widrow-Hoff Learning Rule

(Least mean squares) LMS learning rule (1962).

- Applied for supervised learning of neural n/w.
- Independent of Activation function as it minimizes the squared error between the desired o/p (d_i) and neuron activation value i.e. $net_i = w_i^T n$.
- Learning signal for this value rule is given as,

$$e_i = d_i - w_i^T n$$

The weight vector increment under this rule is,

$$\Delta w_i = c \cdot (d_i - w_i^T n) n$$

for signal weight adjustment

$$\Delta w_{ij} = c (d_i - w_i^T n) n_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

- can be considered special case of delta learning rule.
- (4) compare all learning rules w.r.t. weight adjustment, initial weight and types of learning.

Learning rule	Weight Adjustment	Initial Weights	Type of Learning	Error Signal	Activation function
Perception	$\Delta w_{ij} = c(d_{ij} - o_j) x_i$	small random values or zeros	Supervised	$d_{ij} - o_j$ (binary error)	Step function.
Hebbian	$\Delta w_{ij} = \alpha o_i o_j$	small random values or zeros	Un-supervised	None (activation based)	None (linear)
Widrow Hoff	$\Delta w = c(d_{ij} - w^T x_i) x_i$	-11-	Supervised	$d_{ij} - w^T x_i$ (linear error)	Linear.
Correlation	$\Delta w = c d m$	-11-	Supervised	d (target o/p)	Linear
Winner Take all	$\Delta w_{ij} = c m_i o_j$ (only for winning neuron)	-11-	Unsupervised	Non competitive (learning)	Competitive (max function)
Delta	$\Delta w = c(d - o) f'(w^T x) x$	-11-	Supervised	$d - o$	Non linear (sigmoid tanh)

Q5) Train a NW using Perceptron learning rule.

$$n_1 = [-1 \ 3 \ -2 \ 1] \quad d_1 = 1$$

$$n_2 = [-1 \ 2 \ -1 \ 0] \quad d_2 = -1$$

$$n_3 = [-1 \ -3 \ 0 \ -2] \quad d_3 = 1$$

$$w = [0.2 \ 0.4 \ 1 \ 0] \quad c = 1$$

→ ① consider n_1 with ' $d_1 = 1$

$$\text{net}_1 = w^T n_1$$

$$= [0.2 \ 0.4 \ 1 \ 0] \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = -0.2 + 1.2 - 2 + 0 = -1$$

$$f(\text{net}_1) = \text{sign}(\text{net}_1) = \text{sign}(-1) = -1$$

$\therefore d_1 \neq 0$

Hence, updating the weight vector,

$$w_1 = w + c(d_1 - o_1)n_1$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 \\ 0.4 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + 1(1 - (-1)) \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$w_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1.8 \\ 6.4 \\ -3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$② \text{net}_2 = [-1.8 \ 6.4 \ -3 \ 2] \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 1.8 + 2.8 + 3$$

$$= 17.6$$

$$f(\text{net}_2) = 1 \quad d_2 = -1$$

$$\therefore w_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1.8 \\ 6.4 \\ -3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + 1(-1 - 1) \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1.8 + 2 \\ 6.4 - 4 \\ -3 + 2 \\ 2 - 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 \\ 2.4 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$w_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 \\ 2.4 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \text{net}_3 = [0.2 \ 2.4 \ -1 \ 2] \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -3 \\ 0 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} = -0.2 - 7.2 - 4$$

$$f(\text{net}_3) = -1$$

$$d_3 = +1$$

$$\therefore w_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 \\ 2.4 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + 1(1+1) \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -3 \\ 0 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 - 2 \\ 2.4 - 6 \\ -1 \\ 2 - 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1.8 \\ -3.6 \\ -1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence found the updated weight vectors.

Q.6) Implement the perceptron rule training of N/w from figure using $f(\text{net}) = \text{sgn}(\text{net})$.
 $c=1$

$$w_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (x_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, d_1 = -1) \quad (x_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, d_2 = 1)$$

Repeat the training sequence $(x_1, d_1), (x_2, d_2)$ until two correct responses in a row are achieved.

$$\rightarrow \textcircled{1} \quad \text{net}_1 = [0 \ 1 \ 0] \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = 1$$

$$f(\text{net}_1) = 1, \therefore d_1 = -1$$

$$\therefore w_2 = w_1 + c(d_1 - 0_1)x_1$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + 1(-1-1) \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \text{net}_2 = w_2^T x_2$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -4 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = 0 + 1 - 2 = -1$$

$$f(\text{net}_2) = -1, d_2 = 1$$

$$\therefore w_3 = w_2 + c(d_2 - o_2) n_2$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} + 1(1 - (-1)) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Q8) Train a n/w using Widrow Hoff rule (LMS)

$$n_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, d_1 = 1$$

$$n_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, d_2 = -1$$

$$c = 0.25, w_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow \textcircled{1} o_1 = d_1 - o_1$$

$$\therefore \Delta w = c(d_i - o_i)n_i \quad \text{independent of activation function}$$

$$w_2 = w_1 + c(d_1 - o_1) n_1$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 0.25(1 - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}) \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\therefore w_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\textcircled{2} w_3 = w_2 + c(d_2 - o_2) n_2$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 0.25(-1 - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}) \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= -11 + 0.25(-1 - (-1+1)) \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0.25 \\ 0.50 \\ -0.25 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\therefore w_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1.25 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.75 \end{bmatrix}$$

Q9) Train some n/w using correlation learning rule.

→ For correlation learning rule,

$$\Delta w = cdn$$

$$w_1 = \text{ext. } [1 \ 0 \ 1]$$

$$w_2 = w_1 + \Delta w$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 0.25(1) \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -0.25 \\ 0 \\ 0.50 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$w_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.75 \\ 0 \\ 1.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$w_3 = w_2 + \Delta w$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0.75 \\ 0 \\ 1.5 \end{bmatrix} + 0.25(-1) \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0.75 \\ 0 \\ 1.5 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0.25 \\ 0.50 \\ -0.25 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$w_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0.5 \\ 1.25 \end{bmatrix}$$

Q10) Train a neural n/w using delta learning rule.

$$n_1 = [1 \ -2 \ 0 \ -1] \quad d_1 = 1$$

$$n_2 = [0 \ 1.5 \ -0.5 \ -1] \quad d_2 = -1$$

$$n_3 = [-1 \ 1 \ 0.5 \ -1] \quad d_3 = 1$$

$$w_1 = [1 \ -1 \ 0 \ 0.5]$$

$$c=1, \lambda=1$$

$$\rightarrow ① \text{ net}_1 = w^T_{11} = [1 \ -1 \ 0 \ 0.5] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = -1.5$$

$$f(\text{net}_1) = \frac{2}{1+e^{-\text{net}_1}} - 1 = \frac{2}{1+e^{1.5}} - 1 = -0.6351$$

$$f'(net_1) = \frac{1}{2} (net_1)^2 = \frac{1}{2} (-0.6351)^2 = 0.2017$$

$$w_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix} + 1 (1 - (-0.6351)) 0.2017 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix} + 0.3298 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3298 \\ -1.6596 \\ 0 \\ 0.1702 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$② \text{ net}_2 = w^T_{22} = [1.3298 \ -1.6596 \ 0 \ 0.1702]$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ +1.5 \\ -0.5 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= -1$$

$$f(\text{net}_2) = \frac{2}{1+e^{-\text{net}_2}} - 1 = \frac{2}{1+e^{-1}} - 1 = -0.4621$$

$$f'(net_2) = \frac{1}{2} (1)^2 = \frac{1}{2} = 0.5$$

$$w_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1.3298 \\ -1.6596 \\ 0 \\ 0.1702 \end{bmatrix} + 1 (-1 - (-0.4621)) (0.5) \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 1.5 \\ 0.5 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\therefore w_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1.3298 \\ -2.0631 \\ -6.1345 \\ 6.4392 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \text{net}_3 = w_3^T \gamma_3 = [1.3298 \quad -2.0631 \quad -6.1345 \quad 6.4392] \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0.5 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= -3.8994$$

$$f(\text{net}_3) = \frac{2}{1+e^{-\text{net}_3}} - 1 = -0.9603$$

$$f'(\text{net}_3) = \frac{1}{2} (1 - (0.9603))^2 = 1.9214$$

$$w_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1.3298 \\ -2.0631 \\ -6.1345 \\ 6.4392 \end{bmatrix} + 1(1+0.9603) 1.9214 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0.5 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$w_4 = \begin{bmatrix} -2.4367 \\ 1.7034 \\ 1.7488 \\ -3.3273 \end{bmatrix}$$