Skoltech

Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

Learning with implicit functions

Geometric Computer Vision

GCV v2021.1, Module 6

Alexey Artemov, Spring 2021

Lecture Outline

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§1. Implicit functions: recap [5 min]

1.1. Implicit vs. other 3D representations

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions [30 min]

2.1. Reconstruction: problem setting

2.2. Algorithms: Moving Least Squares, Poisson Surface Reconstruction

2.3. Neural approximators for implicit functions

§1. Implicit functions: recap

Implicit vs. other 3D representations

1.1. Implicit function representation

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§1. Implicit functions: recap

- Implicit representation: signed distance function (SDF); extract 0-level set



- Assumes the existence of a function

$$f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

with value > 0 outside the shape and < 0 inside

- Extract zero-level set

$$\{\mathbf{x} : f(\mathbf{x}) = 0\}$$

Slide Credit: Denis Zorin

1.1. Implicit function representation

§1. Implicit functions: recap

- **Occupancy map**: a binary function $\text{OCC}(\mathbf{x}) : \Omega \rightarrow \{0,1\}$
- **Signed distance function** (SDF): an array of signed distance values $\text{SDF}(\mathbf{x}) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
  - Positive values outsize the shape (free space), negative inside (occupied space)
- **Truncated SDF** (TSDF): $\text{TSDF}(\mathbf{x}) : \Omega \rightarrow [-\sigma, \sigma]$
  - Set max value to a fixed value $\text{TSDF}(\mathbf{x}) = \min(\max(\text{SDF}(\mathbf{x}), -\sigma), \sigma)$

1.1. Implicit function: defined on a grid

§1. Implicit functions: recap



Occupancy map



SDF



TSDF

Figure credit: Representing geometry

1.1. Implicit function: defined on a point set

§1. Implicit functions: recap

- Recall point set representations from week 4
- We can define SDF/TSDF values in XYZ points only
  - Non-uniform support compared to volumetric grids



Figure 2: *Different forms of point cloud artifacts, shown here in the case of a curve in 2D.*

Figure credit: Berger, M., Tagliasacchi, A., Seversky, L.M., Alliez, P., Guennebaud, G., Levine, J.A., Sharf, A. and Silva, C.T., 2017, January. A survey of surface reconstruction from point clouds. In *Computer Graphics Forum* (Vol. 36, No. 1, pp. 301-329).

1.1. Implicit function representation

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§1. Implicit functions: recap

- **Surface reconstruction:** create a surface representation from sparse input points
  - This week: create an **implicit surface representation** (fit an implicit function): recover a signed distance function (SDF) with values < 0 inside the shape and > 0 outside
  - Some reconstruction algorithms include:
    - Radial Basis Functions
    - Moving Least Squares
    - Poisson Surface Reconstruction

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

Reconstruction: problem setting

Geometry Acquisition Pipeline

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

Scanning: results in range images

Registration: bring all range images to one coordinate system

Stitching/ reconstruction: Integration of scans into a single mesh

Postprocess:
- Topological and geometric filtering
- Remeshing
- Compression



Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Digital Michelangelo Project

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

1G sample points → 8M triangles

4G sample points → 8M triangles

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Input to Reconstruction Process

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- Input option 1: just a set of 3D points, irregularly spaced
  - Need to estimate normals ➔ next class

- Input option 2:
  normals come from the range scans



set of raw scans

reconstructed model

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

How to Connect the Dots?

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- **Explicit reconstruction:**
  stitch the range scans together





"Zippered Polygon Meshes from Range Images", Greg Turk and Marc Levoy, ACM SIGGRAPH 1994

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

How to Connect the Dots?

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- **Explicit reconstruction:**
  stitch the range scans together



- Connect sample points by triangles
- Exact interpolation of sample points
- Bad for noisy or misaligned data
- Can lead to holes or non-manifold situations

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

How to Connect the Dots?

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- **Implicit reconstruction**: estimate a signed distance function (SDF); extract 0-level set mesh using Marching Cubes



Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

How to Connect the Dots?

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- **Implicit reconstruction**: estimate a signed distance function (SDF); extract 0-level set mesh using Marching Cubes



Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

How to Connect the Dots?

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- **Implicit reconstruction**: estimate a signed distance function (SDF); extract 0-level set mesh using Marching Cubes



  - Approximation of input points
  - Watertight manifold results by construction

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

How to Connect the Dots?

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- **Implicit reconstruction**: estimate a signed distance function (SDF); extract 0-level set mesh using Marching Cubes



- Approximation of input points
- Watertight manifold results by construction

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Implicit vs. Explicit

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions



Input Implicit Explicit

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

SDF from Points and Normals

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- Compute signed distance to the tangent plane of the closest point

- Normals help to distinguish between inside and outside



"Surface reconstruction from unorganized points", Hoppe et al., ACM SIGGRAPH 1992
http://research.microsoft.com/en-us/um/people/hoppe/proj/recon/

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

SDF from Points and Normals

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- Compute signed distance to the tangent plane of the closest point

- Problem??



Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

SDF from Points and Normals

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- Compute signed distance to the tangent plane* of the closest point

- The function will be discontinuous



* The Hoppe92 paper computes the tangent planes slightly differently (by PCA on k-nearest-neighbors of each data point, see next class), but the consequences are still the same.

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Smooth SDF

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- Instead find a smooth formulation for F.
- Scattered data interpolation:
  - $F(\mathbf{p}_i) = 0$
  - F is smooth
  - Avoid trivial $F \equiv 0$



"Reconstruction and representation of 3D objects with radial basis functions", Carr et al., ACM SIGGRAPH 2001

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Smooth SDF

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- Scattered data interpolation:
  - $F(\mathbf{p}_i) = 0$
  - F is smooth
  - Avoid trivial $F \equiv 0$
- Add off-surface constraints



$$F(\mathbf{p}_i + \varepsilon \mathbf{n}_i) = \varepsilon$$
$$F(\mathbf{p}_i - \varepsilon \mathbf{n}_i) = -\varepsilon$$

"Reconstruction and representation of 3D objects with radial basis functions", Carr et al., ACM SIGGRAPH 2001

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Radial Basis Function Interpolation

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- **RBF**: Weighted sum of shifted, smooth kernels

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} w_i \, \varphi(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}_i\|)$$

Scalar weights
Unknowns

Smooth kernels
(basis functions)
centered at constrained
points.
For example:

$$\varphi(r) = r^3$$

$$N = 3n$$

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Radial Basis Function Interpolation

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- Interpolate the constraints:

$$\{\mathbf{c}_{3i}, \mathbf{c}_{3i+1}, \mathbf{c}_{3i+2}\} = \{\mathbf{p}_i,\ \mathbf{p}_i + \varepsilon\mathbf{n}_i,\ \mathbf{p}_i - \varepsilon\mathbf{n}_i\}$$

$$\forall j = 0, \ldots, N-1, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} w_i\, \varphi(\|\mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{c}_i\|) = d_j$$



Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Radial Basis Function Interpolation

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- Interpolate the constraints:

$$\{\mathbf{c}_{3i}, \mathbf{c}_{3i+1}, \mathbf{c}_{3i+2}\} = \{\mathbf{p}_i,\ \mathbf{p}_i + \varepsilon\mathbf{n}_i,\ \mathbf{p}_i - \varepsilon\mathbf{n}_i\}$$

- Symmetric linear system to get the weights:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi(\|\mathbf{c}_0 - \mathbf{c}_0\|) & \dots & \varphi(\|\mathbf{c}_0 - \mathbf{c}_{N-1}\| \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varphi(\|\mathbf{c}_{N-1} - \mathbf{c}_0\|) & \dots & \varphi(\|\mathbf{c}_{N-1} - \mathbf{c}_{N-1}\|) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_0 \\ \vdots \\ w_{N-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_0 \\ \vdots \\ d_{N-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

RBF Kernels

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

$$\varphi(r) = r^3$$

- Triharmonic:
  - Globally supported
  - Leads to dense symmetric linear system
  - **C^2 smoothness**
  - Works well for highly irregular sampling

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

RBF Kernels

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- Polyharmonic spline

$$\varphi(r) = r^k \log(r), \;\; k = 2, 4, 6 \ldots$$
$$\varphi(r) = r^k, \;\; k = 1, 3, 5 \ldots$$

- Multiquadratic

$$\varphi(r) = \sqrt{r^2 + \beta^2}$$

- Gaussian

$$\varphi(r) = e^{-\beta r^2}$$

- B-Spline (compact support)

$$\varphi(r) = \text{piecewise-polynomial}(r)$$

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

RBF Reconstruction Examples

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions



"Reconstruction and representation of 3D objects with radial basis functions", Carr et al., ACM SIGGRAPH 2001

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Off-Surface Points

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions



Insufficient number/
badly placed off-surface points



Properly chosen off-surface points

"Reconstruction and representation of 3D objects with radial basis functions", Carr et al., ACM SIGGRAPH 2001

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Comparison of the various SDFs so far

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

Distance
to plane



Compact RBF



Global RBF
Triharmonic

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

RBF – Discussion

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- Global definition!

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} w_i \, \varphi(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}_i\|)$$

$$\{\mathbf{c}_{3i}, \mathbf{c}_{3i+1}, \mathbf{c}_{3i+2}\} = \{\mathbf{p}_i, \ \mathbf{p}_i + \varepsilon \mathbf{n}_i, \ \mathbf{p}_i - \varepsilon \mathbf{n}_i\}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi(\|\mathbf{c}_0 - \mathbf{c}_0\|) & \dots & \varphi(\|\mathbf{c}_0 - \mathbf{c}_{N-1}\| \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varphi(\|\mathbf{c}_{N-1} - \mathbf{c}_0\|) & \dots & \varphi(\|\mathbf{c}_{N-1} - \mathbf{c}_{N-1}\|) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_0 \\ \vdots \\ w_{N-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_0 \\ \vdots \\ d_{N-1} \end{pmatrix}$$



- Global optimization of the weights, even if the basis functions are local

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Moving Least Squares

Moving Least Squares (MLS)

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- Do purely **local** approximation of the SDF
- Weights change depending on where we are evaluating
- The beauty: the "stitching" of all local approximations, seen as one function $F(\mathbf{x})$, is smooth everywhere!
  - We get a **globally** smooth function but only do **local** computation



"Interpolating and Approximating Implicit Surfaces from Polygon Soup", Shen et al., ACM SIGGRAPH 2004

http://graphics.berkeley.edu/papers/Shen-IAI-2004-08/index.html

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Moving Least Squares (MLS)

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- Do purely **local** approximation of the SDF
- Weights change depending on where we are evaluating
- The beauty: the "stitching" of all local approximations, seen as one function $F(\mathbf{x})$, is smooth everywhere!
  - We get a **globally** smooth function but only do **local** computation



"Interpolating and Approximating Implicit Surfaces from Polygon Soup", Shen et al., ACM SIGGRAPH 2004

http://graphics.berkeley.edu/papers/Shen-IAI-2004-08/index.html

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Moving Least Squares (MLS)

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- Do purely **local** approximation of the SDF
- Weights change depending on where we are evaluating
- The beauty: the "stitching" of all local approximations, seen as one function $F(\mathbf{x})$, is smooth everywhere!
  - We get a **globally** smooth function but only do **local** computation



"Interpolating and Approximating Implicit Surfaces from Polygon Soup", Shen et al., ACM SIGGRAPH 2004

http://graphics.berkeley.edu/papers/Shen-IAI-2004-08/index.html

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Moving Least Squares (MLS)

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- Do purely **local** approximation of the SDF
- Weights change depending on where we are evaluating
- The beauty: the "stitching" of all local approximations, seen as one function $F(\mathbf{x})$, is smooth everywhere!
  - We get a **globally** smooth function but only do **local** computation



"Interpolating and Approximating Implicit Surfaces from Polygon Soup", Shen et al., ACM SIGGRAPH 2004

http://graphics.berkeley.edu/papers/Shen-IAI-2004-08/index.html

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Moving Least Squares (MLS)

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- Do purely **local** approximation of the SDF
- Weights change depending on where we are evaluating
- The beauty: the "stitching" of all local approximations, seen as one function $F(\mathbf{x})$, is smooth everywhere!
  - We get a **globally** smooth function but only do **local** computation



"Interpolating and Approximating Implicit Surfaces from Polygon Soup", Shen et al., ACM SIGGRAPH 2004

http://graphics.berkeley.edu/papers/Shen-IAI-2004-08/index.html

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Least-Squares Approximation

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- Polynomial least-squares approximation
  - Choose degree, k

$$f \in \Pi_k^3 : f(x,y,z) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 y + a_3 z + a_4 x^2 + a_5 xy + \ldots + a_* z^k$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{a}$$

$$\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_*)^T, \;\; \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})^T = (1, x, y, z, x^2, xy, \ldots, z^k)$$

  - Find $\mathbf{a}$ that minimizes sum of squared differences

$$\underset{f \in \Pi_k^3}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left(f(\mathbf{c}_i) - d_i\right)^2 \;\; \text{or:} \;\; \underset{\mathbf{a}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left(\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{c}_i)^T \mathbf{a} - d_i\right)^2$$

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

MOVING Least-Squares Approximation

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- Polynomial least-squares approximation
  - Choose degree, k

$$f \in \Pi_k^3 : f(x,y,z) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 y + a_3 z + a_4 x^2 + a_5 xy + \ldots + a_* z^k$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{a}$$

$$\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_*)^T, \ \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})^T = (1, x, y, z, x^2, xy, \ldots, z^k)$$

  - Find $\mathbf{a_x}$ that minimizes WEIGHTED sum of squared differences

$$f_{\mathbf{x}} = \underset{f \in \Pi_k^3}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \theta(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}_i\|) \left(f(\mathbf{c}_i) - d_i\right)^2 \ \text{or:}$$

$$\mathbf{a_x} = \underset{\mathbf{a}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \theta(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}_i\|) \left(\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{c}_i)^T \mathbf{a} - d_i\right)^2$$

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

MOVING Least-Squares Approximation

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- Polynomial least-squares approximation
  - Choose degree, k

$$f \in \Pi_k^3 : f(x,y,z) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 y + a_3 z + a_4 x^2 + a_5 xy + \ldots + a_* z^k$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{a}$$

$$\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_*)^T, \ \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})^T = (1, x, y, z, x^2, xy, \ldots, z^k)$$

  - Find $\mathbf{a_x}$ that minimizes WEIGHTED sum of squared differences
  - The value of the SDF is the obtained approximation evaluated at $\mathbf{x}$:

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{a_x}$$

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

MLS – 1D Example

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- Global approximation in Π_2^1

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2$$



$$f = \underset{f \in \Pi_2^1}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left(f(c_i) - d_i \right)^2$$

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

MLS – 1D Example

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- MLS approximation using functions in $\prod_2^1$



$$F(x) = f_x(x), \quad f_x = \underset{f \in \Pi_2^1}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \theta(\|c_i - x\|) \left(f(c_i) - d_i\right)^2$$

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Weight Functions

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions



- Gaussian
  - h is a smoothing parameter

$$\theta(r) = e^{-\frac{r^2}{h^2}}$$

$$\theta(r) = (1 - r/h)^4(4r/h + 1)$$

- Wendland function
  - Defined in $[0, h]$ and

$$\theta(0) = 1,\ \theta(h) = 0,\ \theta'(h) = 0,\ \theta''(h) = 0$$

- Singular function

$$\theta(r) = \frac{1}{r^2 + \varepsilon^2}$$

  - For small ε, weights large near r=0 (interpolation)

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Dependence on Weight Function

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- Global least squares with linear basis



- MLS with (nearly) singular weight function

$$\theta(r) = \frac{1}{r^2 + \varepsilon^2}$$



- MLS with approximating weight function

$$\theta(r) = e^{-\frac{r^2}{h^2}}$$



Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Dependence on Weight Function

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- The MLS function F is continuously differentiable if and only if the weight function θ is continuously differentiable
- In general, F is as smooth as θ

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}), \quad f_{\mathbf{x}} = \underset{f \in \Pi_k^d}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \theta(\|\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{x}\|) \left(f(\mathbf{c}_i) - d_i\right)^2$$

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Example: Reconstruction

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions



Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

MLS SDF – Possible Improvement

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- Point constraints vs. true normal constraints





-

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Global RBF vs. Local MLS

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- RBF:
  - sees the whole data set, can make for very smooth surfaces
  - global (dense) system to solve – expensive

- MLS:
  - sees only a small part of the dataset, can get confused by noise
  - local linear solves – cheap

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Poisson Surface Reconstruction

Poisson Surface Reconstruction

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- Very popular modern method, code available: M. Kazhdan, M. Bolitho and H. Hoppe, Symposium on Geometry Processing 2006
  http://www.cs.jhu.edu/~misha/Code/PoissonRecon/

- Global fitting of an *indicator function* using PDE
  - Robust to noise, sparse, computationally tractable

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Poisson Surface Reconstruction

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions



Oriented points



Indicator function

$\chi_{\mathcal{M}}$

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Poisson Surface Reconstruction

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions



Oriented points



Indicator function

$\chi_{\mathcal{M}}$

We don’t know the indicator function ☹

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Poisson Surface Reconstruction

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions



Oriented points



Indicator function
$\chi_{\mathcal{M}}$



Indicator gradient
$\nabla\chi_{\mathcal{M}}$

But we can estimate its gradient! ☺

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Poisson Surface Reconstruction

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions



Oriented points



Indicator function
$\chi_{\mathcal{M}}$



Indicator gradient
$\nabla \chi_{\mathcal{M}}$

Reconstruct χ by solving the Poisson equation

$$\Delta \chi_{\mathcal{M}} = \operatorname{div} \nabla \chi_{\mathcal{M}}$$

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Michelangelo's David

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- 215 million data points from 1000 scans
- 22 million triangle reconstruction
- Compute Time: 2.1 hours
  (this was in year 2006)
- Peak Memory: 6600MB

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

David – Chisel marks

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions



Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

David – Drill Marks

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions



Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

David – Eye

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

Slide Credit: Daniele Panozzo

Neural approximators for implicit functions

2.3. 3D Representations

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions



- **Voxels:**
  - Discretization of 3D space into grid
  - Easy to process with neural networks
  - Cubic memory $O(N^3) \Rightarrow$ limited resolution
  - Manhattan world bias

- Maturana, D., & Scherer, S. (2015, September). Voxnet: A 3d convolutional neural network for real-time object recognition. In 2015 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS) (pp. 922-928). IEEE.

2.3. 3D Representations

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions



- **Points:**
  - Discretization of surface into 3D points
  - Does not model connectivity / topology
  - Limited number of points
  - Global shape description



- Fan, H., Su, H., & Guibas, L. J. (2017). A point set generation network for 3d object reconstruction from a single image. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (pp. 605-613).

2.3. 3D Representations

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions



- **Meshes:**
  - Discretization into vertices and faces
  - Limited number of vertices / granularity
  - Requires class-specific template
    – or –
  - Leads to self-intersections

- Groueix, T., Fisher, M., Kim, V. G., Russell, B. C., & Aubry, M. (2018). A papier-mâché approach to learning 3d surface generation. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (pp. 216-224).

2.3. 3D Representations

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions



- Implicit representations:
  - Implicit representation ⇒ No discretization
  - Arbitrary topology & resolution
  - Low memory footprint
  - Not restricted to specific class

- Park, J. J., Florence, P., Straub, J., Newcombe, R., & Lovegrove, S. (2019). Deepsdf: Learning continuous signed distance functions for shape representation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (pp. 165-174).
- Mescheder, L., Oechsle, M., Niemeyer, M., Nowozin, S., & Geiger, A. (2019). Occupancy networks: Learning 3d reconstruction in function space. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (pp. 4460-4470).

2.3. DeepSDF

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- Represent the shape's surface using a continuous volumetric field (SDF)
  - Point magnitude: distance to the surface boundary
  - Point sign: inside (—) or outside (+) of the shape
- Implicitly encode shape's boundary as the zero-level-set of the learned function



Figure credit: DeepSDF

2.3. DeepSDF: formulation

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- A conventional definition of SDF:

$$\text{SDF}(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad \text{SDF}(\mathbf{x}) = s$$

- Represent the SDF using a neural network $f_\theta(\mathbf{x})$ (e.g. an MLP)
- Direct application for fitting SDF:
  - Use a training dataset $\mathbf{X}^\ell = \{(\mathbf{x}, s) : \text{SDF}(\mathbf{x}) = s\}$
  - Select a neural network approximator $f_\theta(\mathbf{x}) \approx \text{SDF}(\mathbf{x}), \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega$
  - Minimize a fitting loss: $\mathscr{L}(f_\theta(\mathbf{x}), s) = |\,\text{clamp}(f_\theta(\mathbf{x}), \delta) - \text{clamp}(s, \delta)\,| \to \min\limits_\theta$
  - δ controls the distance from the surface over which we expect to maintain a metric SDF



(a) Single Shape DeepSDF

Figure credit: DeepSDF

2.3. DeepSDF: formulation

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- We want a model to represent many shapes!
- Introduce a latent vector $\mathbf{z}_i$ (encoding of desired shape i)
- Now approximate $f_\theta(\mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{x}) \approx \text{SDF}_i(\mathbf{x})$
  - Model multiple SDFs with a single function $f_\theta(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x})$



(b) Coded Shape DeepSDF

Figure credit: DeepSDF

- **During training** (probabilistic formulation) find shape codes $\{\mathbf{z}_i\}_{i=1}^N$ and network parameters θ by minimizing (assuming zero-mean multivariate-Gaussian prior with a spherical cov. $\sigma^2 I$)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{K} \mathscr{L}(f_\theta(\mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{x}_j), s_j) + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \|\mathbf{z}_i\|_2^2 \right) \rightarrow \min_{\theta, \{\mathbf{z}_i\}_{i=1}^N}$$

2.3. DeepSDF: results

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions

- Renderings of DeepSDF interpolating between two shapes in the latent space of $\mathbf{z}_i$s



Figure credit: DeepSDF

2.3. Occupancy networks: formulation

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions



- Key idea:
  - Do not represent 3D shape explicitly
  - Instead, consider surface implicitly
  - as decision boundary of a non-linear classifier:

$$f_\theta : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

3D Location — Condition (eg, Image) — Occupancy Probability



Mescheder, Oechsle, Niemeyer, Nowozin and Geiger: Occupancy Networks: Learning 3D Reconstruction in Function Space. CVPR, 2019.

2.3. Occupancy networks: results

Skoltech
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology

§2. Reconstruction: estimating implicit functions



Figure credit: DeepSDF