Peer Rewiew №3: OMS on FES

Nikolay Kozyrskiy, Gleb Balitskiy

May 2021

1. **Reproducibility of results.** We have run all the presented tests successfully. Everything worked fine, all the results presented in the report are correct and the launch itself was very simple.

2. **Results Description.** The proposed results are considered in the team's report. The team got the theoretically expected results. Which means that IHT is implemented correctly and PI(sklearn implementation) is correctly used in their pipeline. Moreover, they gave an interpretation of the results obtained and determined where each of the methods works better. Unfortunately, we didn't find the third method described in the project proposal. But we believe that the team has done a great job without that and in future they will add this into their project.

3. **Real-world use cases.** This project is good for researching implemented methods, especially for people who are familiar with Kedro library. One small problem is a larger number of logs. Very simple configuration and documentation. Also, one can test real and synthetic data, which is also a big benefit of the project. We see this project as a tool for researching purposes.