

# Peer Review Summary

Gleb Balitskiy, Nikolay Kozyrskiy

May 2021

During project implementation we received 6 reviews. Some of them were very useful. As the beginning, we want to point out the the [review](#) made by FES team. They pointed out the missing description of evaluation process and proof of obtained results. We considered this issue and added simple example of baseline inference example, which we think helps users to understand the problem solved by our framework. As was mentioned in first [review](#) of MMDF Team we had lack of explanation of our implementation. Leading by this we also introduced main objects and their functions in our project. Moreover, we introduced framework's architecture. Also, code review made by FES team was very useful. We didn't controlled device compatibility of code parts. This was really a very good remark, since in systems with large computing power, where distributed computing is used, this omission could cause the inefficiency of our framework. So, during further implementation we were more focused on the correct handling of devices.