Transportation Assignment

Adari Lohit

2022-10-11

##set transportation matrix

```
library(lpSolve)
library(lpSolveAPI)
cost_1 \leftarrow matrix(c(22, 14, 30, 600, 100,
                      16,20,24,625,120,
                      80,60,70,"-","-"),ncol=5,byrow= TRUE)
colnames(cost_1)<- c("Warehouse1","Warehouse 2","Warehouse 3","Production cost","Production Capacity")</pre>
rownames(cost_1)<-c("PlantA", "Plant B", " Monthly Demand")
cost_1
##
                     Warehouse 1 Warehouse 2 Warehouse 3 Production cost
                     "22"
                                 "14"
                                               "30"
                                                            "600"
## PlantA
                     "16"
                                 "20"
                                               "24"
                                                             "625"
## Plant B
                                               "70"
                                 "60"
                                                             ^{\prime\prime} – ^{\prime\prime}
## Monthly Demand "80"
##
                     Production Capacity
## PlantA
                     "100"
## Plant B
                     "120"
## Monthly Demand "-"
```

The Objective function is to Minimize the TC Min T C = 622x11 + 614x12 + 630x13 + 0x14 + 641x21 + 645x22 + 649x23 + 0x24 Subject to the following constraints: Supply X11 + X12 + X13 + X14 <= 100 X21 + X22 + X23 + X24 <= 120 Subject to the following constraints: Demand X11 + X21 >= 80 X12 + X22 >= 60 X13 + X23 >= 70 X14 + X24 >= 10 Non-Negativity Constraints Xij >= 0 Where i = 1,2 and j= 1,2,3,4 #The capacity = 220 and Demand = 210. We will add a "Dummy" row for Warehouse_4.

```
trans.cost_1<- matrix(c(622,614,630,0, 641,645,649,0),ncol =4, byrow=TRUE)
trans.cost_1
```

```
## [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4]
## [1,] 622 614 630 0
## [2,] 641 645 649 0
```

##Set up constraints r.h.s(supply side)

```
row.signs<- rep("<=",2)
row.rhs<- c(100,120)
```

#Supply function cannot be greater than the specified units ##Demand Side

```
col.signs<- rep(">=",4)
col.rhs<- c(80,60,70,10)</pre>
```

##demand function can be greater

```
library(lpSolve)
lptrans<-lp.transport(trans.cost_1,"min",row.signs,row.rhs,col.signs,col.rhs)
lptrans$solution</pre>
```

```
## [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4]
## [1,] 0 60 40 0
## [2,] 80 0 30 10
```

80 AEDs in Plant 2 - Warehouse 1 60 in Plant 1 - Warehouse 2 40 AEDs in Plant 1 - Warehouse 3 30 AEDs in Plant 2 - Warehouse 3 The above mentioned should be the production in each plant and distribution to the three wholesaler warehouses to minimize the overall cost of production as well as shipping

##Value of nvariables

lptrans\$objval

[1] 132790

The combined cost of production and shipping for the defibrilators is \$132,790

lptrans\$duals

```
## [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4]
## [1,] 0 0 0 0
## [2,] 0 0 0 0
```

#2. Formulate the dual of this transportation problem - Since the primal was to minimize the transportation to the dual of it would be to maximize the value added (VA). u and v will be the variables for the dual.

#Objective function

```
f.obj <- c(100,120,80,60,70)
```

#transposed from the constraints matrix in the primal

Success: the objective function is 139120

Success: the objective function is 139120

```
lp("max",f.obj,f.con,f.dir,f.rhs)$solution
```

```
## [1] 614 633 8 0 16
```

Z=139,120 and variables are: u1 = 614 u2 = 633 v1 = 8 v3 = 16

#3.Make an economic interpretation of the dual

Economic Interpretation of the dual From the above, the minimal Z(Primal) = 132790 and the maximum Z(Dual) = 139120. We understood that we should not be shipping from Plant(A/B) to all the three Warehouses. We should be shipping from:

60X12 which is 60 Units from Plant A to Warehouse 2. 40X13 which is 40 Units from Plant A to Warehouse 3. 80X13 which is 60 Units from Plant B to Warehouse 1. 30X13 which is 60 Units from Plant B to Warehouse 3. We will Max the profit from each distribution to the respective capacity.

```
row.rhs1 <- c(101,120)
row.signs1 <- rep("<=",2)
col.rhs1 <- c(80,60,70,10)
col.signs1 <- rep(">=",4)
row.rhs2 <- c(100,121)
row.signs2 <- rep("<=",2)
col.rhs2 <- c(80,60,70,10)
col.signs2 <- rep(">=",4)
lp.transport(trans.cost_1,"min",row.signs,row.rhs,col.signs,col.rhs)
```

Success: the objective function is 132790

```
lp.transport(trans.cost_1,"min",row.signs1,row.rhs1,col.signs1,col.rhs1)
```

Success: the objective function is 132771

lp.transport(trans.cost_1,"min",row.signs2,row.rhs2,col.signs2,col.rhs2)

Success: the objective function is 132790

Here we are taking the min of the specific function and observing the number go down by 19 this indicates the shadow price is 19, that was found from the primal and adding 1 to each of the Plants. Plant B does not have a shadow price. From the dual variable v1 where Marginal Revenue \leq Marginal Cost. The equation was

lp("max", f.obj,f.con, f.dir,f.rhs)\$solution

[1] 614 633 8 0 16

Warehouse1= Plant1 + 621 i.e. MR1 >= MC1 Marginal Revenue i.e. The revenue generated for each