

Synth-RAG: A Hybrid Retrieval-Augmented Generation System for MIDI Synthesizer Manuals using ColPali Vision-Language Models

Adhithya Bhaskar

ISE 547 Project

adhithya@usc.edu

December 7, 2025

Abstract

Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) systems have become essential for querying large document collections, yet traditional approaches struggle with visually rich documents containing tables, diagrams, and complex layouts. This project presents Synth-RAG, a hybrid RAG system designed for querying PDF manuals of MIDI synthesizers. Our approach leverages ColPali, a vision-language model that processes PDF pages directly as images, preserving visual information that text-only methods discard. We implement a novel two-stage retrieval architecture: the first stage uses HNSW-indexed mean-pooled multivectors alongside dense (FastEmbed) and sparse (BM25) embeddings for fast candidate retrieval, while the second stage performs precise reranking using original ColPali multivectors with MaxSim scoring. The system is augmented with a LangGraph-powered agentic workflow that combines manual retrieval with web search fallback for comprehensive question answering. We evaluate performance using the RAGBench dataset with RAGAS and TruLens metrics. *TODO: Add 1-2 sentences summarizing key quantitative results once available.*

1. Introduction

Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) has emerged as a powerful paradigm for building question-answering systems over large document collections. By combining retrieval mechanisms with large language models (LLMs), RAG systems can provide grounded, accurate responses with citations to source documents. However, traditional RAG approaches face significant limitations when dealing with visually rich documents such as technical manuals, which contain tables, diagrams, flowcharts, and complex page layouts that carry semantic meaning beyond their textual content.

MIDI synthesizer manuals exemplify this challenge. These technical documents contain intricate signal flow diagrams, parameter tables, button layouts, and visual representations of synthesis architectures that are essential for understanding the equipment. Traditional OCR-based approaches lose critical visual information:

- **Visual layout and structure:** The spatial arrangement of elements conveys relationships
- **Table structures:** Parameter mappings and specifications are often tabular
- **Diagrams and figures:** Signal flows and user interfaces are visual
- **Font emphasis:** Bold, italic, and sizing indicate importance

This project addresses these limitations by developing Synth-RAG, a hybrid retrieval-augmented generation system with the following objectives:

1. **Vision-Language Processing:** Utilize ColPali, a state-of-the-art vision-language model, to process PDF pages directly as images, capturing both textual and visual semantics.
2. **Hybrid Search Architecture:** Implement a multi-vector search strategy combining dense embeddings (FastEmbed), sparse embeddings (BM25), and ColPali multivector representations for robust, query-agnostic retrieval.
3. **Two-Stage Retrieval:** Develop an efficient retrieval pipeline using mean-pooled multivectors for fast first-stage retrieval with HNSW indexing, followed by precise reranking with original ColPali embeddings.
4. **Agentic Workflow:** Create a LangGraph-powered agent that intelligently combines manual retrieval with web search for comprehensive answers.
5. **Systematic Evaluation:** Benchmark the system using the RAGBench dataset with established metrics including RAGAS faithfulness/context relevancy and TruLens groundedness/context relevance.

The remainder of this report is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the datasets used, Section 3 details the experimental setup and system architecture, Section 4 presents results, Section 5 provides discussion and analysis, Section 6 outlines future directions, and Section 7 concludes.

2. Data

This section describes the datasets used for development and evaluation of the Synth-RAG system.

2.1. Primary Dataset: MIDI Synthesizer Manuals

The primary dataset consists of PDF manuals for Elektron MIDI synthesizers, specifically:

- **Elektron Digitone II:** FM synthesis workstation manual
- **Elektron Digitakt:** Drum machine and sampler manual
- Additional synthesizer documentation

The dataset is organized into two subsets:

Subset	Number of PDFs	Purpose
Test	3	Development and quick iteration
Full	8	Complete evaluation

Table 1: MIDI synthesizer manual dataset organization

These manuals exhibit characteristics that make them challenging for traditional text-based RAG:

- **Complex visual layouts:** Multi-column pages with sidebars and callouts
- **Technical diagrams:** Signal flow charts, block diagrams, and interface layouts
- **Parameter tables:** MIDI CC mappings, synthesis parameters, and specifications
- **Annotated screenshots:** UI elements with numbered references

2.2. Benchmarking Dataset: RAGBench

For systematic evaluation, we utilize the RAGBench dataset from Hugging Face ([rungalileo/ragbench](#)), specifically the `emanual` sub-dataset which contains electronic manual question-answer pairs.

Split	Number of Examples
Train	1,054
Validation	132
Test	132
Total	1,318

Table 2: RAGBench `emanual` dataset statistics

Each RAGBench example includes:

- A question about the manual content
- Ground truth documents (relevant passages)
- Ground truth response (expected answer)
- Metadata for evaluation (adherence, relevance, utilization, completeness scores)

The RAGBench dataset provides standardized evaluation with pre-computed ground truth labels, enabling comparison with published baselines.

2.3. Data Preprocessing

The ingestion pipeline performs the following preprocessing steps:

1. **PDF Rendering:** Each PDF page is rendered to RGB images at 2x scale using `pypdfium2`, producing high-quality inputs for the vision-language model.
2. **Text Extraction:** Plain text is extracted per page using `pymupdf` for:
 - Dense and sparse text embeddings
 - Payload metadata in search results
 - Human-readable context snippets
3. **Semantic Chunking:** Extracted text is chunked using `semantic-text-splitter` with 512-token chunks and 50-token overlap for text-based embeddings.

3. Experimental Setup

This section details the technical architecture, models, and evaluation methodology.

3.1. Embedding Models

The system employs three complementary embedding approaches:

3.1.1. ColPali Vision-Language Model

ColPali ([vidore/colpali-v1.3](#)) is a vision-language model that generates multivector embeddings from document images. For each page, ColPali produces approximately 1,030 vectors of 128 dimensions (32×32 image patches plus special tokens).

Variant	Dimensions	Purpose
Original	1030×128	Precise reranking (no HNSW)
Row-pooled	32×128	Fast vertical structure matching
Col-pooled	32×128	Fast horizontal structure matching

Table 3: ColPali embedding variants

Mean-pooling the original multivectors by rows and columns creates compact representations that can be efficiently indexed with HNSW while preserving structural information.

3.1.2. Dense Embeddings (FastEmbed)

Dense text embeddings are generated using `sentence-transformers/all-MiniLM-L6-v2` via FastEmbed, producing 384-dimensional vectors optimized for semantic similarity.

3.1.3. Sparse Embeddings (BM25)

Sparse keyword-based embeddings use `Qdrant/bm25` with IDF weighting for exact term matching, complementing the semantic dense embeddings.

3.2. Vector Database Schema

All embeddings are stored in Qdrant with the following named vector configuration:

```
{
  "colpali_original": [1030 × 128], // No HNSW (reranking only)
  "colpali_rows": [32 × 128],      // HNSW indexed
  "colpali_cols": [32 × 128],      // HNSW indexed
  "dense": [384],                  // HNSW indexed
  "sparse": {indices, values}     // Inverted index
}
```

Each point stores payload metadata including manual name, page number, extracted text, and image path.

3.3. Two-Stage Retrieval Architecture

The retrieval pipeline implements a two-stage approach for efficiency and accuracy:

3.3.1. Stage 1: Fast Prefetch

The first stage retrieves candidate documents using HNSW-indexed vectors:

- Dense embeddings (semantic similarity)
- Sparse embeddings (keyword matching)
- Mean-pooled ColPali rows (vertical structure)
- Mean-pooled ColPali columns (horizontal structure)

Each prefetch retrieves the top 50 candidates, which are then merged for reranking.

3.3.2. Stage 2: Precise Reranking

The second stage uses original ColPali multivectors with MaxSim scoring:

$$\text{MaxSim}(Q, D) = \sum_{i=1}^{|Q|} \max_{j=1}^{|D|} \text{sim}(q_i, d_j)$$

where Q is the query embedding and D is the document embedding. This scoring function finds the maximum similarity for each query vector across all document vectors, providing fine-grained matching.

3.4. Agentic RAG with LangGraph

The system includes a LangGraph-powered agent with two tools:

1. **Manual Retriever Tool:** Always called first; performs hybrid search with ColPali reranking to find relevant manual pages.
2. **Web Search Tool:** Fallback tool using Brave Search API; called only when manual retrieval is insufficient.

The agent follows strict behavioral rules:

- Always query manuals first (no exceptions)
- Cite sources with manual name and page number
- Structure responses with clear sections
- Use web search only as supplementary information

3.5. Language Model

Response generation uses OpenAI `gpt-4o-mini` with temperature 0 for deterministic outputs. The model receives retrieved contexts and generates grounded answers with citations.

3.6. Evaluation Metrics

Performance is evaluated using two complementary frameworks:

3.6.1. RAGAS Metrics

- **Faithfulness:** Measures how grounded the response is in retrieved contexts
- **Context Relevancy:** Measures how relevant retrieved contexts are to the question

3.6.2. TruLens Metrics

- **Groundedness:** Similar to faithfulness; checks if response is supported by context
- **Context Relevance:** Evaluates if contexts contain information to answer the question

3.6.3. Aggregate Metrics

- **Hallucination AUROC:** Area under ROC curve for hallucination detection
- **Relevance RMSE:** Root mean squared error for relevance predictions
- **Performance:** Query time, generation time, total latency

4. Results

TODO: This section will present experimental results once benchmarking is complete.

4.1. Retrieval Performance

TODO: Present retrieval metrics including:

- *Precision@k* for different k values

- *Recall@k measurements*
- *Mean Reciprocal Rank (MRR)*
- *Comparison of hybrid search vs. individual retrieval methods*

Method	P@5	R@5	MRR
Dense only	TODO	TODO	TODO
Sparse only	TODO	TODO	TODO
ColPali only	TODO	TODO	TODO
Hybrid (ours)	TODO	TODO	TODO

Table 4: Retrieval performance comparison on test set

4.2. RAGBench Benchmark Results

TODO: Present RAGBench evaluation results with RAGAS and TruLens metrics.

Metric	Mean	Std Dev
RAGAS Faithfulness	TODO	TODO
RAGAS Context Relevancy	TODO	TODO
TruLens Groundedness	TODO	TODO
TruLens Context Relevance	TODO	TODO
Hallucination AUROC	TODO	–
Relevance RMSE	TODO	–

Table 5: RAGBench emanual evaluation metrics

4.3. Query Latency

TODO: Present timing measurements for the retrieval and generation pipeline.

Stage	Mean Time (seconds)
Query embedding generation	TODO
Hybrid search + reranking	TODO
LLM response generation	TODO
Total	TODO

Table 6: Query latency breakdown

4.4. Qualitative Examples

TODO: Include 2-3 example queries with retrieved contexts and generated responses demonstrating system capabilities.

5. Discussion

TODO: This section will provide analysis and interpretation of results.

5.1. Interpretation of Results

TODO: Discuss what the quantitative results indicate about system performance, including:

- How faithfulness/groundedness scores compare to baselines
- Whether hybrid search outperforms individual methods
- Quality of retrieved contexts for different query types

5.2. ColPali vs. Text-Only Retrieval

A key research question is whether vision-language processing provides benefits over text-only approaches. The current benchmarking uses text-only embeddings (FastEmbed + BM25) for RAGBench evaluation to establish a baseline.

TODO: Compare ColPali-enhanced retrieval on the MIDI manuals dataset vs. text-only retrieval, analyzing:

- Cases where visual understanding improves retrieval
- Computational cost tradeoffs
- Indexing time differences

5.3. Limitations

Several limitations should be acknowledged:

1. **Benchmarking Scope:** The RAGBench evaluation currently uses text-only embeddings rather than the full ColPali pipeline due to dataset format constraints.
2. **Single Domain:** Evaluation focuses on the `emanual` sub-dataset; generalization to other domains requires additional testing.
3. **Agentic Evaluation:** The benchmarking system evaluates hybrid search only; the agentic RAG workflow requires separate evaluation methodology.
4. **Computational Requirements:** ColPali requires significant GPU memory (2GB model), limiting deployment options.

TODO: Add any unexpected findings or challenges encountered during evaluation.

6. Potential Future Directions

Based on the current implementation and identified limitations, several concrete extensions are possible:

6.1. Full ColPali Integration with Benchmarking

The RAGBench evaluation pipeline currently uses text-only embeddings for compatibility. Future work could:

- Render RAGBench documents as images for ColPali processing
- Compare ColPali retrieval against text-only baselines
- Measure the impact of visual understanding on specific query types

6.2. Agentic RAG Evaluation

Develop evaluation methodology for the LangGraph agent:

- Measure tool selection accuracy
- Evaluate multi-step reasoning quality
- Compare agent responses vs. single-retrieval responses

6.3. Extended Dataset Evaluation

RAGBench provides 12 sub-datasets spanning different domains:

- `covidqa`: Medical/COVID-19 information
- `finqa`: Financial document understanding
- `techqa`: Technical support documentation
- Additional domains for generalization testing

6.4. Domain Adaptation

Fine-tune ColPali on synthesizer manual layouts:

- Create domain-specific training data
- Improve recognition of signal flow diagrams
- Enhance MIDI-specific terminology understanding

6.5. Retrieval Optimization

- Experiment with different mean-pooling strategies
- Implement query expansion techniques
- Explore cross-encoder reranking alternatives

7. Conclusion

TODO: Complete this section after results are available.

This project presented Synth-RAG, a hybrid retrieval-augmented generation system for querying MIDI synthesizer manuals. The system addresses the challenge of processing visually rich technical documents by combining:

- **ColPali vision-language embeddings** that process PDF pages as images, preserving visual layout and structure
- **Hybrid search** combining dense, sparse, and multivector representations for robust retrieval
- **Two-stage retrieval** with efficient HNSW-indexed prefetch and precise MaxSim reranking
- **Agentic workflow** with intelligent tool selection and web search fallback

TODO: Add 2-3 sentences summarizing key findings from results section.

The key takeaway is that vision-language models offer a promising approach for RAG systems dealing with documents where visual information carries semantic meaning. By processing documents as images rather than extracted text, systems can better understand tables, diagrams, and layouts that are essential for technical documentation.

8. Supporting Links

8.1. Demo Video

TODO: Insert demo video link

Link: [TODO: Add YouTube/Vimeo link to demo video]

8.2. GitHub Repository

Link: <https://github.com/adbX/synth-rag>

The repository contains:

- Complete source code for ingestion, query, and agent modules
- Documentation with architecture diagrams
- Benchmarking scripts and evaluation tools
- Example queries and usage instructions

8.3. Project Website

TODO: Add project website link if applicable, or remove this section.
