# Radio Triangulation with Scratch & Python A Guided Math and Coding Workbook

# April 2025

# Contents

1	Wh	Why Triangulation?	
2	Angle Basics and the Need for atan2		
	2.1	Interpreting the $t = \frac{\rho}{2} = \dots$ Annotation	3
3	Two-Receiver Geometry in Depth		
	3.1	Why Can We Set $\mathbf{p}_1 = \mathbf{p}_2$ ?	3
	3.2	Step-by-Step Elimination	3
	3.3	Python Helper to Check Our Hand Algebra	4
	3.4	Visualising the Geometry (Jupyter Cell)	4
4	Fro	m Algebra to Code – Scratch vs. Python	5
5	Three Receivers and Least Squares, Step By Step		
	5.1	Re-using the Same Vector Form	5
	5.2	What Does $A\hat{\mathbf{p}} = \mathbf{b}$ Mean?	5
	5.3	Walking Through $(A^{T}A)$	6
	5.4	Finding the Inverse by Hand $(2\times 2 \text{ Case})$	6
	5.5	Why Does This Work?	7
	5.6	Covariance Revisited	
6	Put	ting It All Together	7

# 1 Why Triangulation?

Finding an emitter's location from measurements at a distance is a classic applied-math problem. The same ideas drive GPS, seismic localization, and even wildlife-tracking collars. This workbook teaches the underlying geometry and linear-algebra step by step, then shows how to implement each formula first in Scratch (for intuition) and finally in Python + NumPy (for power and realism).

# 2 Angle Basics and the Need for atan2

When we convert Cartesian differences  $(\Delta x, \Delta y)$  into an angle we want two things:

- 1. an unambiguous angle for all four quadrants and
- 2. continuity at the  $\pm 180^{\circ}$  wrap-around.

The one-argument inverse tangent  $\tan^{-1}(y/x)$  fails on both counts, while  $\mathtt{atan2}(dy,dx)$  succeeds by inspecting the signs of both arguments. We formalise that idea in Equation (1) and will reference it throughout.

$$\theta = \operatorname{atan2}(y, x); \quad -\pi < \theta \le \pi$$
 (1)

Why this matters. By returning an angle on the open interval  $(-\pi, \pi]$ , Equation (1) produces a unique bearing no matter which quadrant the point (x, y) lies in. This eliminates the ambiguity that would otherwise plague our later algebra when we subtract bearings.

$$\theta = \operatorname{atan2}(y, x)$$
Quadrant II
$$0 \le \theta < \pi$$
Quadrant II
$$0 \le \theta < 1/\pi$$

$$x, y$$

$$\theta$$
Quadrant IV
$$-\pi < \theta \le -1/2\pi$$
Quadrant IV
$$-1/2\pi < \theta < 0$$

$$t = \frac{\rho}{2} = -\theta - \frac{\rho}{t} = -x$$

Figure 1: Quadrant handling by atan2. The line under the diagram,  $t = \frac{\rho}{2} = \dots$ , is explained in Section 2.1.

# 2.1 Interpreting the $t = \frac{\rho}{2} = \dots$ Annotation

The extra formula sometimes shown beneath quadrant diagrams originates from polar-to-Cartesian conversion proofs. Let  $\rho$  be the radial distance and  $\theta$  the polar angle. Setting  $t = \rho/2$  and rearranging  $x = \rho \cos \theta$ ,  $y = \rho \sin \theta$  one can show

$$t = -\theta \iff (x, y) = \left(-\frac{\rho}{t}, \dots\right),$$

which is simply an algebraic trick for eliminating  $\rho$ . It is *not* something we will use operationally, but you may see it in textbooks; now you know where it comes from!

# 3 Two-Receiver Geometry in Depth

#### 3.1 Why Can We Set $p_1 = p_2$ ?

Each receiver's line-of-bearing (LOB) is the set of all points that satisfy its parametric equation

$$\mathbf{p}_i(t_i) = \mathbf{r}_i + t_i \mathbf{d}_i.$$

If the transmitter lies on *both* LOBs simultaneously, then it must satisfy both equations. Therefore the true location  $\mathbf{p}_{\text{true}}$  obeys

$$\mathbf{p}_{\text{true}} = \mathbf{p}_1(t_1) = \mathbf{p}_2(t_2).$$

Replacing  $\mathbf{p}_{\text{true}}$  by our estimated  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$  gives us two linear equations in the two unknowns  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ . Solving those yields  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ . The algebraic steps follow, and each one is annotated with its geometric meaning.

#### 3.2 Step-by-Step Elimination

**Start with component form.** Writing out the x and y components explicitly we obtain

$$x_1 + t_1 \cos \theta_1 = x_2 + t_2 \cos \theta_2, \tag{2}$$

$$y_1 + t_1 \sin \theta_1 = y_2 + t_2 \sin \theta_2. \tag{3}$$

Interpretation. Equation (2) states that the unknown x-coordinate of the transmitter has two alternative expressions—one from each receiver. Equation (3) says the same for the y-coordinate. By equating them we force the intersection.

**Eliminate**  $t_2$ . Multiply Equation (2) by  $\sin \theta_2$  and Equation (3) by  $\cos \theta_2$  then subtract to eliminate  $t_2$ :

$$(x_1 - x_2)\sin\theta_2 + t_1(\cos\theta_1\sin\theta_2) \tag{4}$$

$$-\left[ (y_1 - y_2)\cos\theta_2 + t_1(\sin\theta_1\cos\theta_2) \right] = 0. \tag{5}$$

Use a trig identity. Factoring  $t_1$  and applying the sine-difference identity  $\sin(\theta_2 - \theta_1) = \sin\theta_2 \cos\theta_1 - \cos\theta_2 \sin\theta_1$  simplifies Equation (5) to

$$t_1 \sin(\theta_2 - \theta_1) = (x_2 - x_1) \sin \theta_2 - (y_2 - y_1) \cos \theta_2. \tag{6}$$

Finally we isolate  $t_1$  to obtain the celebrated intersection formula

$$t_1 = \frac{(x_2 - x_1)\sin\theta_2 - (y_2 - y_1)\cos\theta_2}{\sin(\theta_2 - \theta_1)}. (7)$$

Why Equation (7)? The numerator measures how far the two LOBs are offset from one another, projected into receiver 2's reference frame; the denominator rescales that distance according to the angular separation between the LOBs. A small denominator (nearly parallel LOBs) inflates  $t_1$ —in other words, a shallow intersection angle greatly amplifies positional uncertainty.

#### 3.3 Python Helper to Check Our Hand Algebra

Using symbolic math (SymPy) we can verify Equation (7) programmatically:

```
import sympy as sp
x1,x2,y1,y2,t1,t2 = sp.symbols('x1 x2 y1 y2 t1 t2', real=True)
th1, th2 = sp.symbols('th1 th2', real=True)
sol = sp.solve([
    x1 + t1*sp.cos(th1) - (x2 + t2*sp.cos(th2)),
    y1 + t1*sp.sin(th1) - (y2 + t2*sp.sin(th2))
], (t1,t2))
sp.simplify(sol[t1]) # matches Equation (t1)...
```

#### 3.4 Visualising the Geometry (Jupyter Cell)

The following cell produces a plot of the two receivers, their LOBs, and the transmitter. Run it inside your Jupyter notebook to "see" what the algebra is doing.

```
import numpy as np
   import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
2
   # receiver positions and true transmitter
   r = np.array([[0,0], [400,0]])
   p_true = np.array([180.0, 120.0])
   # bearings (deg) derived from true geometry
   th = np.degrees(np.arctan2(p_true[1]-r[:,1], p_true[0]-r[:,0]))
10
   # build LOBs for plotting
11
   L = 500 # plot length
   lob_pts = []
13
   for rx, angle in zip(r, th):
14
       direction = np.array([np.cos(np.radians(angle)), np.sin(np.radians(angle))])
15
       t = np.linspace(0, L, 100)[:,None]
       lob_pts.append(rx + t*direction)
17
18
```

```
plt.figure()
19
   plt.plot(r[:,0], r[:,1], 'ko', label='Receivers')
   plt.plot(p_true[0], p_true[1], 'r*', markersize=12, label='Transmitter')
^{21}
   for idx, line in enumerate(lob_pts):
22
       plt.plot(line[:,0], line[:,1], label=f'LOB {idx+1}')
23
   plt.axis('equal')
24
   plt.legend()
   plt.title('Two-Receiver Triangulation Geometry')
26
   plt.xlabel('x (m)')
   plt.ylabel('y (m)')
   plt.show()
```

Interpretation. Notice how the transmitter lies exactly at the intersection of the two infinite LOBs, confirming the algebraic solution.

# 4 Three Receivers and Least Squares, Step By Step

#### 4.1 Re-using the Same Vector Form

Keep each LOB in the familiar form

$$\mathbf{p}_i(t_i) = \mathbf{r}_i + t_i \mathbf{d}_i$$
  $(i = 1, 2, 3).$ 

Writing out x and y components of all three yields six linear equations. Rearranging gives Equation (??). We now dissect that equation line-by-line so you understand what every term means.

#### 4.2 What Does $A\hat{p} = b$ Mean?

- A is a  $3 \times 2$  matrix whose rows contain the direction-vector components.
- $\hat{\mathbf{p}} = \langle \hat{x}, \hat{y} \rangle^{\mathsf{T}}$  is the column vector we want.
- **b** encodes the known constants  $d_{ix}x_i d_{iy}y_i$ .

If A were square we could simply invert it, but with 3 equations vs. 2 unknowns we instead find the vector that minimises the residual error. Linear-algebra shows the solution is the normal equation

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}} = (A^{\mathsf{T}}A)^{-1}A^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{b}. \tag{8}$$

Why minimising the squared error? Squared error penalises large misses more heavily than small ones and leads to a unique analytic solution for linear problems. The projection interpretation also gives geometric intuition: we are projecting **b** onto A's column space.

# 4.3 Walking Through $(A^{\mathsf{T}}A)$

Take a concrete numerical example.

```
import numpy as np
# example bearings (degrees) and receiver coords
th = np.radians([ 10, 110, 250 ])
r = np.array([[0,0], [400,0], [200,300]])
build A and b
A = np.column_stack((np.cos(th), -np.sin(th)))
b = np.cos(th)*r[:,0] - np.sin(th)*r[:,1]
# Manual multiplication
AtA = A.T @ A # 2x2
Atb = A.T @ b # 2x1
print('A^T A = \n', AtA)
print('A^T b = ', Atb)
```

Explain each product:

$$(A^{\mathsf{T}}A)_{11} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} d_{ix}^{2}, \ (A^{\mathsf{T}}A)_{12} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} (-d_{ix}d_{iy}), \text{ etc.}$$

Because  $A^{\mathsf{T}}A$  is symmetric we only need compute its upper triangle manually.

#### 4.4 Finding the Inverse by Hand $(2 \times 2 \text{ Case})$

For a  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $M = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{bmatrix}$ 

$$M^{-1} = \frac{1}{ac - b^2} \begin{bmatrix} c & -b \\ -b & a \end{bmatrix}.$$

NumPy does this for us, but it is instructive to check the determinant  $ac - b^2$  is non-zero, which requires the three bearings not to be co-linear.

```
det = AtA[0,0]*AtA[1,1] - AtA[0,1]**2
assert det != 0, 'Geometry is degenerate!'
inv = np.linalg.inv(AtA)
print('inv(AtA)=\n',inv)
# finally p_hat
p_hat = inv @ Atb
print('Estimated transmitter =',p_hat)
```

#### 4.5 Why Does This Work?

The normal-equation solution arises from setting the gradient of the squared error  $||A\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{b}||^2$  with respect to  $\mathbf{p}$  to zero, which yields  $A^{\mathsf{T}}A\mathbf{p} = A^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{b}$ . That minimum-error vector is exactly Equation (8). You can read any linear-algebra text for proof, but the intuition is we are projecting  $\mathbf{b}$  onto the column space of A.

#### 4.6 Covariance Revisited

Plugging 
$$M = (A^{\mathsf{T}}A)^{-1}$$
 into

$$Cov(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) = \sigma^2 M$$

reveals how the variances scale with geometry. In Python:

```
# assume sigma = 3° measurement noise (in radians)
sigma = np.deg2rad(3)
Cov = sigma**2 * inv
print('Position covariance matrix:\n', Cov)
print('Std-dev in x, y =', np.sqrt(np.diag(Cov)))
```

Interpret those numbers: one standard deviation encloses  $\approx 68\%$  of true transmitter locations under repeated noise trials.

# 5 Putting It All Together

- 1. Build the Scratch two-receiver demo; verify the lines intersect visually.
- 2. Run the Python script with randomised noise to see statistical scatter.
- 3. Add or move receivers; observe how  $A^{\mathsf{T}}A$  and the covariance change.

# Appendix A – Full Python Listing

```
"""Full three-receiver least-squares demo."""
import numpy as np, math, random
# receiver positions
r = np.array([[0,0], [400,0], [200,300]], float)
# true transmitter (unknown to algorithm)
p_true = np.array([180.0, 120.0])
# simulate noisy bearings
sigma_deg = 3.0
th_true = np.degrees(np.arctan2(p_true[1]-r[:,1], p_true[0]-r[:,0]))
noise = np.random.normal(0, sigma_deg, size=3)
th_meas = np.radians(th_true + noise)
# build A, b
A = np.column_stack((np.cos(th_meas), -np.sin(th_meas)))
b = np.cos(th_meas)*r[:,0] - np.sin(th_meas)*r[:,1]
# solve
p_hat = np.linalg.inv(A.T @ A) @ (A.T @ b)
print('True :', p_true, '\nEst. :', p_hat)
print('Error:', np.linalg.norm(p_hat-p_true), 'pixels')
```