



# VIT<sup>®</sup>

**Vellore Institute of Technology**  
(Deemed to be University under section 3 of UGC Act, 1956)

**Name:** ADARSH KUMAR TEMANI

**Registration Number:** 18BCE2441

**Course Code:** MAT2001

**Course:** Statistics for Engineers

**Prof.:** Palanivel K

**Slot:** L15 + L16

## **Digital Assignment 4**

**Z - Test for Single Proportion,**  
**Difference of Proportions, Single**  
**Mean and Difference of Means**

---

**1. Experience has shown that 20% of a manufactured product is of top quality. In one day's production of 400 articles, only 50 are of top quality. Write down the R programming code to test whether the production of the day chosen is a representative sample at 95% confidence level.**

```
> # let the null hypothesis is H0:- p0=0.2
> pbar = 50/400
> p0 = 0.20 # hypothesized value
> n = 400 # sample size
> z = (pbar-p0)/sqrt(p0*(1-p0)/n)
> z# test statistic
[1] -3.75
> # We then compute the critical value at .05 significance level
> alpha = .05
> z.alpha = qnorm(1-alpha)
> -z.alpha # critical value
[1] -1.644854
```

**># Hence, the test statistic 3.75 is not less than the critical value of 1.6449. Thus, at .05 significance level, we reject null hypothesis.**

**2. Before an increase in excise duty on tea, 800 people out of a sample of 1000 were consumers of tea. After the increase in duty, 800 people were consumers of tea in a sample of 1200 persons. Write down the R programming code to test whether the significant decrease in the consumption of tea after the increase in duty at 1 % level of significance.**

```
> # Let p1 be the propotion of the tea consumers before the increase in duty and p2 be the
proportion of the tea consumers after the increase in duty.
> # H0 = p1=p2 and H1 = p1>p2
> prop.test(c(800,800),c(1000,1200),alternative=c("less"),correct=FALSE)

2-sample test for equality of proportions without continuity correction
```

---

data: c(800, 800) out of c(1000, 1200)

X-squared = 48.889, df = 1, p-value = 1

alternative hypothesis: less

95 percent confidence interval:

-1.0000000 0.1638933

sample estimates:

prop 1 prop 2

0.8000000 0.6666667

> # Hence, the p-value= 1<2.33, so calculated value is less than the critical value.  
Thus, we accept the null hypothesis and we will reject the alternative hypothesis.

**3. A sample of 900 items is found to have a mean of 3.47 cm. write down the R programming code to test whether it can be reasonably regarded as a simple sample from a population with mean 3.23 cm and SD 2.31 cm at 99% level of confidence.**

#The null hypothesis is that  $\mu_0=3.23$

> xbar=3.47 #sample mean

> mu0=3.23 #hypothesized value

> sigma=2.31 #population standard deviation

> n=900 #sample size

> z=(xbar-mu0)/(sigma/sqrt(n))

> z#test statistic

[1] 3.116883

> #Computing the critical values at 0.01 significance level

> alpha=0.01

> z.half.alpha=qnorm(1-alpha/2)

> c(-z.half.alpha,z.half.alpha)

[1] -2.575829 2.575829

---

> #The test statistic 3.116883 does not lies between the critical values -2.575829 and

2.575829.Hence, at 0.01 significance level, we reject the null hypothesis that it can be reasonably regarded as a simple sample from the population.

**4. The average mark scored by 32 boys is 72 with a standard deviation of 8, while that for 36 girls is 70 with a standard deviation of 6. Write down the R programming code to test whether the boys are performing better than girls on the basis of average mark at 5 % level of significance.**

```
> z.test2sam=function(var.a,var.b)
+ {
+ n.a=32 +
+ n.b=36 +
+ mu.a=72 +
+ mu.b=70 +
+ var.a=64 +
+ var.b=36
+ zeta=(mu.a-mu.b)/(sqrt(var.a/n.a+var.b/n.b)) +
+ return(zeta)
+ }
> zeta(64,36)
> zeta(var.a,var.b)
>[1] 1.1574

> # The test statistic calculated value is 1.1574 and the critical table value at 5% level of significance is 2.33

> # Hence, the calculated value < table value.

> # Thus, we can accept null hypothesis H0.

> # Conclusion:- Boys do not perform better than girls.
```

---