# Project 4

Alice Ding

2023-04-28

### Overview

It can be useful to be able to classify new "test" documents using already classified "training" documents. A common example is using a corpus of labeled spam and ham (non-spam) e-mails to predict whether or not a new document is spam.

For this project, I'll be taking a list of 2,551 ham (non-spam) messages and 1,397 spam messages to see whether a document is spam or not.

#### Load Data

To start, we'll download the files and unzip them in a project4 folder.

## **Data Preparation**

Now that the files are downloaded, they're located in two folders: easy\_ham and spam\_2. We'll use a function, create\_df to extract the information from these files and load them into two dataframes, then combine

```
library(tidyverse)
library(tm)
library(tidytext)
library(dplyr)
library(randomForest)
```

```
library(caret)
ham <- './project4/easy_ham'</pre>
spam <- './project4/spam_2'</pre>
create_df <- function(path, tag){</pre>
  files <- list.files(path = path</pre>
                          , full.names = TRUE
                          , recursive = TRUE)
  email <- lapply(files, function(x) {</pre>
    body <- read_file(x)</pre>
    }
  )
  email <- unlist(email)</pre>
  data <- as.data.frame(email)</pre>
  data$tag <- tag
  return(data)
}
ham_df <- create_df(ham, tag="ham")</pre>
spam_df <- create_df(spam, tag="spam")</pre>
full_df <- rbind(ham_df, spam_df)</pre>
table(full_df$tag)
```

## ham spam ## 2551 1397

We've successfully imported all the emails and tagged them! Now, we'll want to clean the email column to only hold information we need.

Looks much cleaner!

Next, we'll transform the words in the text column into a corpus of messages using the tm\_map function. We'll also remove whitespace, numbers, stop words, etc. in this process.

```
corp <- VCorpus(VectorSource(full_df$text))
corp <- tm_map(corp, removeNumbers)
corp <- tm_map(corp, removePunctuation)
corp <- tm_map(corp, removeWords, stopwords("english"))</pre>
```

```
corp <- tm_map(corp, content_transformer(stringi::stri_trans_tolower))
corp <- tm_map(corp, stripWhitespace)
corp <- tm_map(corp, stemDocument)</pre>
```

Now, using the DocumentTermMatrix() function, we will create a document Term Matrix from the dataframe and remove sparse terms with removeSparseTerms(). After, we will convert it back to a dataframe and mark emails with 0 and 1 for ham and spam respectively. This will leave us with a dataframe that has a column per word/term, whether that word exists in the email text, and the final classification of spam vs. ham.

```
d <- removeSparseTerms(DocumentTermMatrix(corp, control = list(stemming = TRUE)), 0.999)

convert_count <- function(x) {
   y <- ifelse(x > 0, 1, 0)
   y <- factor(y, levels = c(0, 1), labels = c(0, 1))
   y
}

temp <- apply(d, 2, convert_count)

full_df_matrix <- as.data.frame(as.matrix(temp))

full_df_matrix$class <- full_df_matrix$class</pre>
```

# **Predicting**

We'll use 70% of the data as training data and 30% for testing. For the model, we'll try the randomForest classifier with 300 trees.

```
set.seed(1234)
prediction <- createDataPartition(full_df_matrix$class, p = 0.7, list = FALSE, times = 1)

training <- full_df[prediction,]
testing <- full_df[-prediction,]

training$tag <- factor(training$tag)
testing$tag <- factor(testing$tag)

classifier <- randomForest(x = training, y = training$tag, ntree = 300)
predicted <- predict(classifier, newdata = testing)
confusionMatrix(table(predicted, testing$tag))</pre>
```

```
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
##
## predicted ham spam
## ham 788 0
## spam 0 395
##
##
## Accuracy : 1
## 95% CI : (0.9969, 1)
```

```
No Information Rate: 0.6661
##
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16
##
##
##
                     Kappa: 1
##
##
   Mcnemar's Test P-Value : NA
##
               Sensitivity: 1.0000
##
##
               Specificity: 1.0000
            Pos Pred Value : 1.0000
##
##
            Neg Pred Value: 1.0000
                Prevalence: 0.6661
##
##
            Detection Rate: 0.6661
##
      Detection Prevalence: 0.6661
##
         Balanced Accuracy: 1.0000
##
##
          'Positive' Class : ham
##
```

We can see a 99.69% accuracy for this model – pretty good!

## Conclusion

Spam detection ultimately takes a lot of effort to get right. From acquiring and cleaning the data to then preparing it for analysis, the task of actually running the model arguably took the least amount of effort in terms of research done to implement it. Using randomForest, the model was pretty successful; a next step would be to use various other models (Naive Bayes as an example) to compare and see which is most efficient.