DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL MATHEMATICS

Compare the quality of forecasting models for value at risk

Author: Hafees Adebayo Yusuff Supervisor:

Prof. Ralf Korn

April 16, 2021



SociovestixLabs

This thesis is written in collaboration with Sociovestix Labs as a requirement for the completion of my degree of Master of Science at Technical University of Kaisers lautern.

Contents

1	Introduction 1.1 Motivation 1.2 Literature review 1.3 Thesis Structure	2 2 2 3
2	Value-at-Risk: Concept, fundamental properties and popular estimation methods 2.1 Concept	4 4 5
3	Hyperlinks and references 3.1 The package hyperref	6 6 6
4	Figures, tables, enumerate and itemize 4.1 Figures	7 7 7 9
5	Appendix, footnotes, todos and index 5.1 Appendix 5.2 Footnotes 5.3 Todos 5.4 Index	10 10 10 10 10
A	Just an example appendix A.1 Bla blup	11 11
В	Another example B.1 More stuff	12 12
Bi	bliography	13
Li	st of Figures	14
Li	st of Tables	15
In	dex	16

Introduction

1.1 Motivation

Management of risk in financial institutions in order to maintain solvency. One of the most important types of risk is the market risk, which is the measurable uncertainty associated with changes in the interest rates, exchange rates and financial instruments price values.

Value at Risk(VaR) is the most common way of measuring market risk. It determines the greatest possible loss, assuming an α significance level under a normal market condition at a set time period.

Many VaR estimation methods have been developed in order to reduced uncertainty. It is however of interest to compare these method and determine the prevalence of one VaR estimation approach over others.

1.2 Literature review

The first papers involving the comparison of VaR methodologies, such as those by Beder (1995, 1996), Hendricks (1996), and Pritsker (1997), reported that the Historical Simulation performed at least as well as the methodologies developed in the early years, the Parametric approach and the Monte Carlo simulation. These papers conclude that among earlier methods, no approach appeared to perform better than the others. The evaluation and categorization of models carried out in the work by McAleer, Jimenez-Martin and Perez-Amaral(2009) and Shams and Sina (2014), among others, try to determine the conditions under which certain models predict the best. Researchers compared models in periods of varying volatility-before the crisis and after the crisis (When there was no high volatility and when volatility was high, respectively). However, this confirms that some models have good predictions before the start of the crisis, but their quality reduces with increased volatility. Others are more conservative during periods of low volatility, but in the time of the crisis the number of errors made by these models is relatively low.

Bao et al.(2006), Consigli(2002) and Danielson(2002), among others, show that in stable periods, parametric models provide satisfactory results that become less satisfactory during high volatility periods. Additional studies that find evidence in favour of parametric methods are Sarma et al.(2003), who compare Historical simulation and Parametric methods, and Danielson and Vries(2000) in a similar comparison that also includes Extreme value theory methods. Chong(2004), who uses parametric methods to estimate VaR under a Normal distribution and under a Student's t-distribution, finds a better performance under Normality. McAleer et al.(2009) showed that RiskMetricsTM was the best fitted model during a crisis, while Shams and Sina(2014) recognized GARCH(1,1) and GJR-GARCH as well forecasting models. In contrast to the results obtained by McAleer et al.(2009), the level of quality of forecasts generated by the RiskMetricsTM model was considered unsatisfactory by them.

However, attention needs to be drawn to one difference in the samples, on which the study was conducted, i.e. the first one comes from a developed country (USA, S&P500), and the second one from a developing country (Iran, TSEM).

The above indicates that there is no full approval in the evaluation of which models should be used during periods of calm (low volatility), and which ones during crisis (High volatility).

1.3 Thesis Structure

The next chapter of discusses the properties and basic methods to estimate VaR. Subsequent chapters discuss use of Neural Network in Estimating Value at Risk and numerical comparison of the methods with examples. Findings are summarized in the last chapter.

Value-at-Risk: Concept, fundamental properties and popular estimation methods

2.1 Concept

Higher volatility in exchange markets, credit defaults, even endangering countries, and the call for more regulation drastically changed the circumstances in which banks operate. These situations of uncertainty are called risks and managing them is of great importance to financial institutions (e.g Banks) in order to keep them afloat. A possible method of measurement is the evaluation of losses likely to be incurred when the price of the portfolio falls. Value at Risk (VaR) does this.

According to Jorion (2001), "VaR measure is defined as the worst expected loss over a given horizon under normal market conditions at a given level of confidence. For instance, a bank might say that the daily VaR of its trading portfolio is \$2 million at the 99% confidence level. In other words, under normal market conditions, only 1% of the time, the daily loss will exceed \$2 million (99% of the time, their loss will not be more than \$2 million)."

Mathematically,

Let $r_1, r_2, ..., r_n$ be independently and identically distributed(iid) random variables representing financial returns. Use F(r) to denote the cumulative distribution function, $F(r) = Pr(r_t < r | \Omega_{t-1})$ conditional on the information set Ω_{t-1} available at time t-1. Assume that $\{r_t\}$ follows the stochastic process;

$$r_t = \mu_t + \varepsilon_t = \mu_t \sigma_t + z_t$$

$$\varepsilon_t = \sigma_t z_t \qquad z_i \sim (0, 1)$$
(2.1)

where $\sigma_t^2 = E[z_t^2 | \Omega_{t-1}]$ and z_t has a conditional distribution function G(z), $G(z) = Pr(z_t < z | \Omega_{t-1})$. The VaR with a given probability $\alpha \epsilon(0,1)$, denoted by VaR(α), is defined as the α quantile of the probability distribution of financial returns:

$$F(VaR(\alpha)) = Pr(r_t < VaR(\alpha)) = \alpha \text{ or } VaR(\alpha) = \inf\{v | P(r_t \le v) = \alpha\}$$

One can estimate this quantile in two different ways: (1) inverting the distribution function of financial returns, F(r), and (2) inverting the distribution function of innovations, with regard to G(z) the latter, it is also necessary to estimate σ_t^2 .

$$VaR(\alpha) = F^{-1}(\alpha) = \mu + \sigma_t G^{-1}(\alpha)$$
(2.2)

Hence, a VaR model involves the specification of F(r) or G(r). There are several method for these estimations which will be discussed later in this chapter.

2.2 Properties

Fix $\alpha \epsilon(0,1)$, then the Value at Risk of a portfolio where the net payoff is modelled by X at a level α is given as:

$$\operatorname{VaR}_{\alpha}(X) = \inf \{x \in \mathbb{R} | P(X \leq x) = \alpha \}$$
 has the following properties

• Monotonicity

if
$$X \leq Y$$
 then $VaR_{\alpha}(X) \leq VaR_{\alpha}(Y)$

• Positive Homogeneity

$$VaR_{\alpha}(cX) = cVaR_{\alpha}(X)$$

• Translation invariance

$$VaR_{\alpha}(X+c) = VaR_{\alpha}(X) + c$$

Hyperlinks and references

3.1 The package hyperref

The package <u>hyperref</u> is the package for referring to labeled elements of a document and hyperlinks. Now, chapters, sections, equations, figures, tables and other elements can be labeled and referred to, e.g., ??, ?? and chapter 3. These are clickable links which in the pdf redirects the reader to the referred element (with ALT+LEFT you can then go back to where you were reading). Here, different alternatives can be used, e.g., 3, chapter 3 or Chapter 3. Depending on which language you have to write something, you may need language options (e.g., ngerman for German hyperlinks).

3.2 Hyperlinks to internet sites, email and attached files

Hyperlinks can be added as, e.g., http://miktex.org/ or click me. Sending an email to a prescribed address can be done by name.lastname@address.org. If the pdf is delivered within a folder with useful files, these files can be linked in the pdf, e.g., manipulate or video.

3.3 Literature references

Bibtex files with literature information can be created either manually or using literature manager programs like Mendeley or Citavi. The bibtex file must be included in the project with <u>bibliography</u> pointing to the file, together with <u>bibliographystyle</u> and a packages for citing commands. With the commands <u>cite/p</u> elements of the included file are then cited, e.g., Hill (1952) and (Kröner, 1977). Make sure that while compiling you have chosen a procedure including bibtex (see compiling options). Sometimes it may be necessary to delete all files but not the main.tex file in order to be able to compile again the project, if bibliography styles have been changed.

Figures, tables, enumerate and itemize

4.1 Figures

In almost every document figures will be needed in order to explain a concept or just present something. The package *graphicx* is needed for embedding figures.




Figure 4.1: A figure caption beneath the figure for description of the depicted concept which sometimes can be very long

In Figure 4.1, for example, a PNG image is depicted (compiled with pdflatex). Alternatively, EPS figures can be embedded if dvips and ps2pdf compilation is used. All figures are listed in the list of figures with the command *listoffigures*.

4.2 Tables

Data can be presented in tables, e.g., as shown in Table 4.1.

	Property 1	Property 2
Criterion 1	764	23546
Criterion 2	3	34

Table 4.1: Exemplary table

Sometimes very long tables must be presented which may also go over pages. For this cases the packages *longtable* is useful, as used in

$\frac{1}{i^3}$	$2i^3$	$3i^3$
1	2	3
8	16	24
27	54	81
64	128	192
125	250	375
216	432	648
343	686	1029
512	1024	1536
729	1458	2187
1000	2000	3000
1331	2662	3993
1728	3456	5184
2197	4394	6591
2744	5488	8232
3375	6750	10125
4096	8192	12288
4913	9826	14739
5832	11664	17496
6859	13718	20577
8000	16000	24000
9261	18522	27783
10648	21296	31944
12167	24334	36501
13824	27648	41472
15625	31250	46875
17576	35152	52728
19683	39366	59049
21952	43904	65856
24389	48778	73167
27000	54000	81000
29791	59582	89373
32768	65536	98304
35937	71874	107811
39304	78608	117912
42875	85750	128625
46656	93312	139968
50653	101306	151959
54872	109744	164616
59319	118638	177957
64000	128000	192000
68921	137842	206763
74088	148176	222264
79507	159014	238521
85184	170368	255552
91125	182250	273375
97336	194672	292008
103823	207646	311469
110592	221184	331776
117649	235298	352947
125000	250000	375000
	Table 4.9	· Long Table

Table 4.2: Long Table

All tables are listed with *listoftables*.

4.3 Enumerate and itemize

If important sequential points are to presented the environment <u>enumerate</u> can be used as follows:

- 1. Some important stuff
- 2. More stuff

With the package *enumerate* some options can be used, e.g.,

- a) Some important stuff
- b) More stuff

or

- 1) Some important stuff
- 2) More stuff

Alternatively, point can be just presented without any enumeration with the environment <u>itemize</u>

- Some important stuff
- More stuff

Appendix, footnotes, todos and index

5.1 Appendix

For many reasons some concept may be important for the document but too long for the main text. In this kind of cases these concept can be presented with the environment <u>appendix</u> in appendices, e.g., as in Appendix A and Appendix B.

5.2 Footnotes

You may want to give additional information to some points¹ in the text².

5.3 Todos

With the package <u>todonotes</u> comments <u>pointing</u> to their place can be embedded into the text. These comments are veeeery useful if you are writing something for the first time or are working on a draft. The todos can be listed with <u>listoftodos</u> where you want it to appear in order to see what is unfinished or needs some more work.

like this

5.4 Index

If the document is very long, it may be very useful for a lot of readers to have an index for searching key words and certain concepts (Crtl+F is usually very helpful in PDFs but not always the best solution). For this, the package $\underline{makeidx}$, the commands $\underline{makeindex}$ and $\underline{printindex}$ and the compiling option $\underline{make\ index}$ are needed. You may want to index different words like heterogeneous materials, effective properties and homogenization.

¹Bla bla

 $^{^2}$ Blu blup

Appendix A

Just an example appendix

A.1 Bla blup

Sme stuff

$$f(x) = \int_{\Omega} g(x)dx . \tag{A.1}$$

Appendix B

Another example

B.1 More stuff

Bla bla.

Bibliography

- R. Hill. The elastic behaviour of a crystalline aggregate. Proceedings of the Physical Society. Section $A,\ 65:349-354,\ 1952.$
- E. Kröner. Bounds for effective elastic moduli of disordered materials. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, 25(3):137–155, 1977.

List of Figures

4.1	A figure caption beneath the figure for description of the depicted concept which	
	sometimes can be very long	7

List of Tables

4.1	Exemplary table																	7
4.2	Long Table																	8

Index

Effective properties, 19
Heterogeneous materials, 19
Homogenization, 19