

# KÄHLER GEOMETRY

ARUN DEBRAY

JULY 12, 2017

## CONTENTS

### 3. Holomorphic line bundles: 7/12/17

1

Note: I missed the first two lectures.

### 3. HOLOMORPHIC LINE BUNDLES: 7/12/17

Today's going to be about holomorphic vector bundles, with a focus on holomorphic line bundles.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $X$  be a complex manifold. A **holomorphic vector bundle** of rank  $k$  over  $E$  is a complex manifold  $E$  and a holomorphic map  $\pi: E \rightarrow X$  such that

- $\pi$  makes  $E \rightarrow X$  into a complex vector bundle of rank  $k$ , and
- $E$  admits **holomorphic trivializations**, i.e. there's an open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  trivializing  $E$  such that for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , there's a biholomorphic map  $\varphi: E|_U \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{C}^k$  commuting with projection to  $U$  that is complex linear on each fiber.

A rank-1 holomorphic vector bundle is called a **holomorphic line bundle**.

Equivalently,  $E \rightarrow X$  is holomorphic iff admits local holomorphic sections.

**Definition 3.2.** A **homomorphism** of holomorphic vector bundles  $f: E \rightarrow F$  over  $X$  is a homomorphism of complex vector bundles that is holomorphic as a map between complex manifolds.

In particular, it must commute with the projection down to  $X$  and be complex linear on each fiber. If in addition it's invertible on each fiber,  $f$  is called an **isomorphism**.

**Exercise 3.3.** Show that if  $f: E \rightarrow F$  is an isomorphism of holomorphic vector bundles, it's a biholomorphism on their total spaces.

*Remark.* Some authors, such as Huybrechts, add an extra condition, that the dimension of the rank of a homomorphism of vector bundles is constant, thus ensuring the (fiberwise) kernel and cokernel of a morphism are again holomorphic vector bundles. Other authors, such as Griffiths-Harris, do not require this, and we'll follow that convention.  $\blacktriangleleft$

In the hyperkähler geometry minicourse, we saw a different definition of holomorphic vector bundles in terms of the  $\bar{\partial}_E$  operator  $\bar{\partial}_E: C^\infty(X, E) \rightarrow C^\infty(X, T^{0,1}X \otimes E)$ . This is equivalent, and one way to understand this is to use a local trivialization: given a holomorphic identification  $E|_U \cong U \times \mathbb{C}^k$  (for an open  $U \subset X$ ) and a section  $\psi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ , define

$$\bar{\partial}_E(\psi) := \bar{\partial}\psi = \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\bar{z}^\alpha} d\bar{z}^\alpha.$$

Then, check that this glues on overlaps, producing a well-defined operator on smooth sections of  $E$ .

Another way to understand holomorphic vector bundles is through transition functions.

**Proposition 3.4.** *There is a bijective correspondence between the set of isomorphism classes of rank- $k$  holomorphic vector bundles on  $X$  and the set of open covers  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $X$  and holomorphic functions  $\varphi_{ab}: U_a \cap U_b \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{C})$  for all  $U_a, U_b \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $\varphi_{ab}\varphi_{bc} = \varphi_{ac}$  and  $\varphi_{aa} = \mathrm{id}$ , modulo equivalence on a common refinement of the open cover.*

*Proof sketch.* Given a vector bundle  $E$ , let  $\mathfrak{U}$  be an open cover for which  $E$  has holomorphic local trivializations. For  $U_a, U_b \in \mathfrak{U}$  that intersect,  $\varphi_{ab}: U_a \cap U_b \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  is the transition function

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & (U_a \cap U_b) \times \mathbb{C}^k & \\ f \nearrow & \downarrow \varphi_{ab} & \\ E|_{U_a \cap U_b} & & \\ g \searrow & & \\ & (U_a \cap U_b) \times \mathbb{C}^k & \end{array}$$

Here  $f$  is the transition function for  $U_a$  and  $g$  is the transition function for  $U_b$ .

Conversely, given the data  $\mathfrak{U}$  and  $\{\varphi_{ab}\}$ , one can define

$$E := \coprod_{U_a \in \mathfrak{U}} U_a \times \mathbb{C}^k / (x, v) \simeq (x, \varphi_{ab}v),$$

where  $x \in U_a \cap U_b$  and  $v \in \mathbb{C}^k$ , over all pairs  $U_a, U_b \in \mathfrak{U}$ . Then one must check that equivalent data defines isomorphic line bundles.  $\square$

For  $k = 1$ , this proposition identifies the set of isomorphism classes of line bundles with the first Čech cohomology  $\check{H}^1(X; \mathcal{O}_X^*)$ , i.e. valued in the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X^*$  of holomorphic functions into  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ . This is because  $\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^\times$ .

Pretty much every natural operation you can do to vector spaces extends to holomorphic vector bundles  $E, F \rightarrow X$ , including

- the dual  $E^* \rightarrow X$ ,
- the direct sum  $E \oplus F \rightarrow X$ ,
- the tensor product  $E \otimes F \rightarrow X$ ,
- the wedge product  $\Lambda^r E \rightarrow X$ ,
- the pullback  $f^*E \rightarrow Y$  given a holomorphic map  $f: Y \rightarrow X$ ,
- and so on.

One way to prove this is to write down their transition functions: suppose  $\mathfrak{U}$  is an open cover of  $X$  which holomorphically trivializes both  $E$  and  $F$  (by taking common refinements, such a cover always exists), and suppose  $\varphi_{ab}$  are the transition functions for  $\mathfrak{U}$  for  $E$ , and  $\psi_{ab}$  are those for  $F$ . Then,

- $E^*$  has transition functions  $(\varphi_{ab}^T)^{-1}$ ,
- $E \oplus F$  has transition functions

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{ab} & 0 \\ 0 & \psi_{ab} \end{pmatrix},$$

- $E \otimes F$  has transition functions  $\varphi_{ab} \otimes \psi_{ab}$ , and
- $\Lambda^r E$  has transition functions  $\Lambda^r \varphi_{ab}$ . In particular, if  $r = k = \mathrm{rank}(E)$ , then  $\Lambda^k \varphi_{ab} = \det(\varphi_{ab})$ .
- Given a holomorphic map  $f: Y \rightarrow X$ ,  $f^*E$  has transition functions  $\varphi_{ab} \circ f$ . Hence holomorphicity of  $f$  is necessary. This uses the trivializing open cover  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{U})$ .

*Remark.* The set of isomorphism classes of holomorphic line bundles is a group under  $\otimes$ , called the **Picard group**  $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ . The identity is the **trivial bundle**  $\underline{\mathbb{C}} := X \times \mathbb{C}$ , and the inverse of a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  is  $\mathcal{L}^*$ , because  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^* = \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{L})$ , which has a global nonvanishing section that's the identity on each fiber. Hence  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^* \cong \underline{\mathbb{C}}$ .  $\triangleleft$

**Example 3.5.** Let  $X$  be a complex manifold. Then, the holomorphic tangent bundle  $T^{1,0}X$  and the holomorphic cotangent bundle  $T^{*,0}X$  are holomorphic vector bundles. Hence, since the wedge product of holomorphic vector bundles is holomorphic, the canonical bundle  $K_X := \Lambda^{n,0}T^*X = \Lambda^n(T^{*,0}X)$  is a holomorphic line bundle.  $\triangleleft$

*Proof.* Let  $(z^\alpha)$  be holomorphic coordinates on (an open neighborhood of a given point in)  $X$ . This defines a local trivialization of  $T^{1,0}X$ , namely

$$\left( z^1, \dots, z^n, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^n} \right).$$

If  $(w^\beta)$  is another set of holomorphic coordinates, the transition functions are

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha} = \sum_{\beta} \frac{\partial w^\beta}{\partial z^\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial w^\beta}.$$

This is the Jacobi matrix  $\left(\frac{\partial w^\beta}{\partial z^\alpha}\right)$ , which is holomorphic.  $T^{*1,0}X$  is similar.  $\square$

However,  $\Lambda^{p,q}T^*X$  is *not* a holomorphic vector bundle in general! For example, the transition functions on  $T^{*0,1}X$  are antiholomorphic rather than holomorphic.

**Example 3.6.** The **tautological bundle** on  $\mathbb{CP}^n$  is

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1) := \{(\ell, v) \in \mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \mid v \in \ell\},$$

i.e., a point  $\ell \in \mathbb{CP}^n$  is a line in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ , hence we can say the fiber over  $\ell$  is  $\ell$  regarded as a line. This is a holomorphic line bundle. The total space looks like  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  with a  $\mathbb{CP}^n$  “glued in” at the origin; this is the local model of a blowup.

We can describe the local trivializations explicitly. Let  $U_0 = \{z_0 \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$ . Then, the map  $U_0 \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)|_{U_0}$  sends

$$([z_0 : \dots : z_n], \lambda) \mapsto \left([z_0 : \dots : z_n], \lambda \cdot \left(1, \frac{z_1}{z_0}, \dots, \frac{z_n}{z_0}\right)\right),$$

and you can check that the transition functions for  $U_0 \cap U_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  (where  $U_1$  is the locus where  $z_1 \neq 0$ ) is the map  $[z_0 : \dots : z_n] \mapsto z_1/z_0$ , which is biholomorphic (and hence this actually is a holomorphic line bundle).  $\blacktriangleleft$

**Definition 3.7.** Using the tautological bundle, we can define a bunch of other line bundles on  $\mathbb{CP}^n$ :

- Let  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(0) := \mathbb{C}$ , the trivial bundle.
- Let  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)$ .
- If  $k > 0$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(k) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)^{\otimes k}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-k) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)^{\otimes k}$ .

Hence  $k \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(k)$  defines a group homomorphism  $\Phi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** *In fact,  $\Phi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathbb{CP}^n)$  is an isomorphism.*

We won’t prove this. It’s nontrivial: for complex line bundles, you can use  $H^2(\mathbb{CP}^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , but then you have to prove that each has a unique holomorphic structure.

**Proposition 3.9.** *For  $k > 0$ , the space of holomorphic sections of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(k)$  is isomorphic to the space of degree- $k$   $k$ -homogeneous polynomials in  $n+1$  variables.*

*Proof sketch.* Suppose we’re given such a homogeneous polynomial  $P(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ . On the trivialization  $U_0 \times \mathbb{C}$ , define a section by

$$[z_0 : \dots : z_n] \mapsto P(1, z_1/z_0, \dots, z_n/z_0) \in \mathbb{C},$$

which is holomorphic. It hence suffices to check that these local sections transform correctly according to the transition functions. On, for example,  $U_0 \cap U_1$ , we have that

$$P\left(1, \frac{z_1}{z_0}, \dots, \frac{z_n}{z_0}\right) = \left(\frac{z_1}{z_0}\right)^k P\left(\frac{z_0}{z_1}, 1, \frac{z_2}{z_1}, \dots, \frac{z_n}{z_1}\right).$$

One can then show that these sections span  $\Gamma(\mathbb{CP}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(k))$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.10.** *The canonical bundle on  $\mathbb{CP}^n$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-n-1)$ .*

The proof idea is again to use the local trivialization  $U_i$  to define the local section

$$[1 : z_1 : \dots : z_n] \mapsto dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n$$

and compute transition functions.