

INTRODUCTION TO SPECTRAL SEQUENCES

ARUN DEBRAY

MAY 8, 2017

CONTENTS

1. Introduction to the general formalism: 5/8/17

1

1. INTRODUCTION TO THE GENERAL FORMALISM: 5/8/17

Today, Adrian spoke about what a spectral sequence is and where they come from. The next four lectures will be interesting examples, even if today is somewhat dry.

Definition 1.1. A (homological) spectral sequence is the data of

- modules over a ring¹ $E_{p,q}^r$ indexed by $r \geq N$ for some positive N and $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, and
- maps $d_r : E_{p,q}^r \rightarrow E_{p-r, q-1+r}^r$, called **differentials**,

subject to the following conditions:

- $d_r^2 = 0$, and
- for all p, q , and r , $E_{p,q}^{r+1}$ is the homology of the chain complex $(E_{p-r\bullet, q-1+r\bullet}^r, d_r)$ at $E_{p,q}^r$.

The way in which the differentials affect the grading is pretty opaque, so let's see what it looks like for small r .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 E_{p,q}^0 & & & & E_{p-2,q+1}^2 \\
 \downarrow d_0 & & E_{p-1,q}^1 \xleftarrow{d_1} E_{p,q}^1 & & \nwarrow d_2 \\
 E_{p,q-1}^0 & & & & E_{p,q}^2
 \end{array}$$

The differentials swing from downward to leftward, and comes closer and closer to pointing northwest.

This is a lot of structure, and one usually visualizes it as a book, with **pages** $E_{\bullet,\bullet}^r$, and each page is thought of as a lattice with the differentials:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 \cdots & \xleftarrow{E_{p+1,q-1}^r} & E_{p+1,q}^r & \xleftarrow{E_{p+1,q+1}^r} & \cdots & & \\
 \cdots & \xleftarrow{E_{p,q-1}^r} & E_{p,q}^r & \xleftarrow{E_{p,q+1}^r} & \cdots & & \\
 \cdots & \xleftarrow{E_{p-1,q-1}^r} & E_{p-1,q}^r & \xleftarrow{E_{p-1,q+1}^r} & \cdots & & \\
 & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots
 \end{array}$$

The point of this heavy machinery is that there's a machine which takes filtered objects and functors satisfying an excision property to spectral sequences, and such pairs arise in many contexts in algebra, topology, and geometry.

¹In the general setup, one has to be somewhat agnostic about what these are: in any context where one can do homological algebra, one can define spectral sequences: abelian groups, modules over a ring, objects in an abelian category...

Definition 1.2. Let \mathbb{Z} denote the **poset category** of the integers, i.e. there's a unique arrow $m \rightarrow n$ iff $m \leq n$. Then, a **filtered object** in a category \mathbf{C} is a functor $X: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$.

The idea is a topological space X together with inclusions $X_i \hookrightarrow X_{i+1}$, such that X is the union of all of the X_i . More generally, one can let X be the colimit over i of $X(i)$. One example is the CW filtration of a CW complex X , where $X(n)$ is the n -skeleton of X .

Definition 1.3. Let \mathbf{C} be either \mathbf{Top}_* , the category of pointed topological spaces, or $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{Mod}_A)$, the category of chain complexes of A -modules for a ring A .

- Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a \mathbf{C} -morphism, so that we can take its mapping cone C_f and obtain a sequence $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow C_f$. If we iterate this construction, $C_{Y \rightarrow C_f}$ is weakly equivalent to ΣX , and the mapping cone of this is weakly equivalent to ΣY , so we obtain a sequence

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y \longrightarrow C_f \longrightarrow \Sigma X \xrightarrow{\Sigma f} \Sigma Y \longrightarrow \Sigma C_f \longrightarrow \dots$$

Such a sequence is called a **cofiber sequence**.²

- A **functor satisfying excision** is a covariant or contravariant functor $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ taking cofiber sequences to long exact sequences.³

To see why $C_{Y \rightarrow C_f} \simeq \Sigma X$, one can work with particularly nice maps, so that $Y \rightarrow C_f$ is an injection, and its mapping cone crushes Y to a point, producing ΣX . The cofiber C_f is the topological analogue of the quotient Y/X .

Example 1.4. Here are some examples of these functors. First, let $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Top}_*$:

- (1) Covariant functors $\mathbf{Top}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ with excision include homology functors H_n .
- (2) For covariant functors sending fiber sequences to long exact sequences, we have homotopy groups π_i .
- (3) Contravariant functors with excision include cohomology functors H^n .

For the category of chain complexes, cofiber and fiber sequences are the same thing.

- (4) Covariant functors include homology and covariant derived functors such as $\text{Ext}^i(M, -)$ and $\text{Tor}_i(M, -)$.
- (5) Contravariant functors include cohomology and contravariant derived functors such as $\text{Ext}^i(-, M)$. \blacktriangleleft

From here, one can draw picture of the argument for why such a functor defines a spectral sequence:

(Diagram to be made later.)

From this diagram, one can see how the differentials arise, and they have the grading for the E_2 page. In particular, given the filtration $\{X_p\}$ of X , we can let $E_{p,q}^2 := H_{p+q}(X_p)$.⁴ Thus the E^1 page is

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & \\ H_2(X_0) & \xleftarrow{d_1} & H_3(X_1) & \xleftarrow{d_1} & H_4(X_2) & \leftarrow & \dots \\ & & & & & & \\ H_1(X_0) & \xleftarrow{d_1} & H_2(X_1) & \xleftarrow{d_1} & H_3(X_2) & \leftarrow & \dots \\ & & & & & & \\ H_0(X_0) & \xleftarrow{d_1} & H_1(X_1) & \xleftarrow{d_1} & H_2(X_2) & \leftarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

The key is explaining how the differentials occur. Let h be a homology theory, $X = \{X_i\}$ be a filtration, and $C_i := X_i/X_{i-1}$ be the cofibers. Then we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & h(C_1) & \longleftarrow & h(C_2) & \longleftarrow & h(C_3) \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ h(X_0) & \longrightarrow & h(X_1) & \longrightarrow & h(X_2) & \longrightarrow & h(X_3) \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

²You may prefer to call this a **cofibre sequence**.

³There's a version of this for functors taking fiber sequences to long exact sequences, but we won't need to use it.

⁴Technically, we started only with one functor H , but we can define $H_{n-1}(X) := H_n(\Sigma X)$ and extend to a family of functors, just as for homology.

Any pair \rightarrow, \uparrow fits into a long exact sequence with connecting morphism $\delta: h(C_i) \rightarrow h(\Sigma X_{i-1})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & h(C_1) & \longleftarrow & h(C_2) & \longleftarrow & h(C_3) \\
 & \swarrow \delta & \uparrow & \swarrow \delta & \uparrow & \swarrow \delta & \uparrow \\
 h(X_0) & \longrightarrow & h(X_1) & \longrightarrow & h(X_2) & \longrightarrow & h(X_3) \longrightarrow \dots
 \end{array}$$

This is how the first differentials arise: take the connecting morphism δ , then map back $h(X_{i-1}) \rightarrow h(C_{i-1})$. Considering longer sequences of maps after taking homology gives you the higher-order differentials.

What follows was a complicated diagram chase that was hard to live-TeX.

We had the E^1 page and differentials, and after taking homology, we get the E^2 page:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & E_{0,2}^2 & E_{1,2}^2 & E_{2,2}^2 & \\
 & \swarrow & \swarrow & \swarrow & \\
 & E_{0,1}^2 & E_{1,1}^2 & E_{2,1}^2 & \\
 & \swarrow & \swarrow & \swarrow & \\
 & E_{0,0}^2 & E_{1,0}^2 & E_{2,0}^2 &
 \end{array}$$