

GROUP ACTIONS ON S^3

ARUN DEBRAY
MARCH 28, 2016

ABSTRACT. This week, we'll discuss group actions on S^3 and construct the Poincaré homology sphere as the quotient of S^3 by the binary icosahedral group I^* . Then, we will show that this really is the Poincaré homology sphere by demonstrating its equivalence to the link of the singularity for $p(z_1, z_2, z_3) = z_1^2 + z_2^3 + z_3^5$ at the origin.

1. GROUP ACTIONS ON S^3

Recall that a group action of a group G on a set S is the data of maps $\varphi_g : S \rightarrow S$ for each $g \in G$ such that $\varphi_e = \text{id}$ and $\varphi_g \circ \varphi_h = \varphi_{gh}$. That is, we realize the group as some group of symmetries of a set. If S has additional structure, we can require that the φ_g preserve that structure; therefore, when one speaks of a group acting on a smooth manifold M , the maps φ_g must be smooth, and in fact diffeomorphisms. Similarly, if G has additional structure compatible with M , we often want this action to be compatible with that structure; for example, if G is a Lie group, we would like the action map $G \times M \rightarrow M$ sending $(g, m) \mapsto \varphi_g(m)$ to be a smooth map; in this case, one says that the action is **smooth**.

Given a smooth action of a Lie group G on a smooth manifold M , we can form the quotient space M/G as the set of G -orbits, and we'd like this to have more structure too. Specifically, is it a manifold? Not always: \mathbb{R}^\times acts on \mathbb{R} by multiplication, and the quotient isn't even Hausdorff: the closure of the orbit of all nonzero points contains 0, the other orbit.

However, for sufficiently well-behaved actions, there is a smooth manifold structure on the quotient.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a Lie group acting smoothly on a manifold M , and suppose that*

- (1) *the action is free, and*
- (2) *the action is **proper** (meaning the map $(g, m) \mapsto (\varphi_g(m), m)$ is a proper map).*

Then, M/G has a unique smooth manifold structure such that the quotient map $M \rightarrow M/G$ is a submersion, and has dimension $\dim M - \dim G$.

This is Theorem 9.16 in [2], where it is proven.

In particular, suppose Γ is a finite subgroup of a compact Lie group G . Then, Γ acts on G by left multiplication, which is smooth. Since G is compact, this is automatically proper, and if $g \in \Gamma$ and $h \in G$ are such that $g \cdot h = h$, then $g = e$, so this action is free.

Corollary 1.2. *If G is a compact Lie group and $\Gamma \leq G$ is a finite subgroup acting by left multiplication, then the quotient $M = G/\Gamma$ is a smooth manifold with the same dimension as G .*

Since Γ is finite, the projection $G \rightarrow M$ is a covering map with deck transformation group Γ : Γ is discrete, so each orbit of Γ is discrete, so every $x \in G$ has a neighborhood that doesn't intersect $\Gamma \cdot x$ except at x itself, and so this neighborhood projects down homeomorphically onto the quotient.

This also tells us that $\pi_n(G) = \pi_n(M)$ if $n \geq 2$, and $\pi_1(G) = \pi_1(M)/\Gamma$.

We're going to use this to construct the Poincaré homology sphere as the quotient of a group action on the 3-sphere. To do this, we would like to think of S^3 as the Lie group $\text{SU}(2)$.

- S^3 sits inside \mathbb{R}^4 as the unit sphere, and since \mathbb{R}^4 can be identified with the quaternions \mathbb{H} , S^3 is identified with the group of unit quaternions, making it into a compact Lie group.
- It's also possible to explicitly identify the unit quaternions with $\text{SU}(2)$, the 2×2 complex matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} a & -\bar{b} \\ b & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix}$ such that $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$.
- If q is a quaternion, then conjugation $r_q : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ defined by $x \mapsto qxq^{-1}$ is smooth, and if q is a unit quaternion, it's norm-preserving and orientation-preserving. Moreover, it fixes 1, and therefore also

must fix $\text{span}(1)^\perp$, which is a copy of \mathbb{R}^3 ; thus, r_q restricts to a rotation of \mathbb{R}^3 . This defines a map $r : \text{SU}(2) \rightarrow \text{SO}(3)$ sending $q \mapsto r_q$, which one can show is a smooth group homomorphism. Moreover, the kernel is $\{\pm 1\}$, since both of those don't affect $\text{span}(1)^\perp$; thus, r is a double covering map.

This double cover is the same double cover $S^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^3$, and is an example of the more general double cover $\text{Spin}(n) \rightarrow \text{SO}(n)$ (hence, $\text{SU}(2)$ is also $\text{Spin}(3)$).

Putting these two threads together, we see that if $G < \text{SU}(2)$ is a finite subgroup, then the left multiplication action of G on $\text{SU}(2)$ can be regarded as an action of G on S^3 , and the quotient S^3/G is a smooth 3-manifold. Since S^3 is simply connected, $\pi_1(S^3/G) \cong G$. This is a nice way to generate 3-manifolds; for example, there are **lens spaces** $L(p, 1)$, which are the quotients of S^3 by a \mathbb{Z}/p -subgroup acting through a rotation by $2\pi/p$.

2. THE BINARY ICOSAHEDRAL GROUP AND THE POINCARÉ HOMOLOGY SPHERE

Consider an icosahedron in \mathbb{R}^3 .

- The symmetry group of the icosahedron is A_5 : any symmetry can be generated by the rotations around a face, an edge, and a vertex, because these allow you to send any vertex to any other vertex, and then rotate. Using these rotations, the symmetries of the icosahedron are $\langle a, b \mid (ab)^2 = a^3 = b^5 = 1 \rangle$, which is a presentation of A_5 . These symmetries are rotations of \mathbb{R}^3 , and so define an inclusion $A_5 \hookrightarrow \text{SO}(3)$.
- The preimage of A_5 under the double cover $\text{SU}(2) \rightarrow \text{SO}(3)$ is a subgroup $I^* \leq \text{SU}(2)$;¹ since this was a double cover, $|I^*| = 120$. This group is called the **binary icosahedral group**.
- Left multiplication defines a continuous action of I^* on $\text{SU}(2)$, and the diffeomorphism $\text{SU}(2) \cong S^3$ means this action can be regarded as an action of I^* on S^3 .
- I^* has a similar-looking presentation, $\langle s, t \mid (st)^2 = s^3 = t^5 \rangle$.
- Since this is an action by left multiplication of a finite subgroup of a Lie group, it's a smooth, free, and proper action, so the quotient $P = S^3/I^*$ is a smooth 3-manifold. This will be the Poincaré homology sphere.
- We can also realize P as $\text{SO}(3)/A_5$, since the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -action realizing $\text{SO}(3)$ as a quotient of S^3 makes A_5 the quotient of I^* . This is pretty cool and geometric: it means that the Poincaré homology sphere can be thought of as the rotations of 3-space where we identify rotations that preserve the icosahedron (up to symmetry).

3. THIS REALLY IS THE POINCARÉ HOMOLOGY SPHERE

Define $p : \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $p(z_1, z_2, z_3) = z_1^2 + z_2^3 + z_3^5$ and $V = Z(p)$ be its zero set in \mathbb{C}^3 . At a previous talk, Michelle showed that a link of the origin in V is the Poincaré homology sphere.

Proposition 3.1. *P is diffeomorphic to a link of the origin of \mathbb{C} , and hence is the Poincaré homology sphere.*

Proof. We follow the proof in [1, pp. 128-32]. Since we've regarded I^* as a subgroup of $\text{SU}(2)$, its action on S^3 extends to an action on all of $\mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{C}^2$, where an $A \in \text{SU}(2)$ acts by matrix multiplication. We will show there is a biholomorphic map $g : (\mathbb{C}^2/I^*) \setminus 0 \rightarrow V \setminus 0$, which will restrict to a map from $P = S^3/I^*$ to a link around the origin in V . There are four steps:

- (1) First, we'll define three homogeneous polynomials $g_1, g_2, g_3 : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and let $\bar{g} = (g_1, g_2, g_3) : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$; \bar{g} is I^* -invariant and therefore defines a map $g : \mathbb{C}^2/I^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$.
- (2) $g_1^2 + g_2^3 + g_3^5 = 0$, so $\text{Im}(g) \subset V$.
- (3) Then, we will show that $d\bar{g}$ has rank 2 on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus 0$, so g is a covering map away from the origin.
- (4) We'll show that \bar{g} is a 120-fold cover, and therefore g is a bijection. Since $d\bar{g}$ has full rank away from the origin, this further implies g is biholomorphic, and since g is homogeneous, this restricts to a diffeomorphism from S^3/I^* to a link around the origin in V .

We begin by explicitly writing down the generators s and t of $I^* < \text{SU}(2)$ as 2×2 complex matrices.² Let $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ be the "golden ratio;" then,

$$s = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1+i & 1+i \\ -1+i & 1-i \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad t = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \phi + \phi^{-1} & 1 \\ -1 & \phi - \phi^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

¹There are many different notations for the binary icosahedral group, including $2I$, $\text{SL}(2, 5)$, II , and $(2, 3, 5)$.

²[1] writes down all the elements of I^* , though they also don't define their notation! In any case, to check I^* -invariance of a polynomial, it suffices to check on the generators.

Now, one can check that the polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(z_1, z_2) &= (z_1^{30} + z_2^{30}) + 522(z_1^{25}z_2^5 - z_1^5z_2^{25}) - 10005(z_1^{30} + z_1^{10}z_2^{20}) \\ g_2(z_1, z_2) &= -(z_1^{20} + z_2^{20}) + 228(z_1^{15}z_2^5 - z_1^5z_2^{15}) - 494z_1^{10}z_2^{10} \\ g_3(z_1, z_3) &= -\sqrt[5]{1728}z_1z_2(z_1^{10} + 11z_1^5z_2^5 - z_2^{10}) \end{aligned}$$

are invariant under s and t , and therefore under all of I^* , satisfying (1).

You can also directly check that $g_1^2 + g_2^3 + g_3^5 = 0$, but Kirby and Scharlemann give a geometric argument. In either case, we have (2).

The next course is to compute the rank of

$$d\bar{g} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial z_1} & \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial z_2} & \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial z_3} \\ \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial z_1} & \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial z_2} & \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial z_3} \\ \frac{\partial g_3}{\partial z_1} & \frac{\partial g_3}{\partial z_2} & \frac{\partial g_3}{\partial z_3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Again, we could do this by hand, but instead, we can do three smaller calculations: let Δ_{ij} be the determinant of columns i and j . Then, each Δ_{ij} is homogeneous and I^* -invariant.

Δ_{13} has degree 40. Since it's I^* -invariant, its zero set is a union of lines through the origin, so it defines a map $\mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and since $\deg(\Delta_{13}) = 40$, we expect the number of roots to divide 40.

$\mathbb{CP}^1 \cong S^2$ and we can project the icosahedron onto this in a way compatible with the induced action of I^* on \mathbb{CP}^1 . Since Δ_{13} is I^* -invariant, its zero set is a union of orbits. However, these orbits are:

- the set of 12 vertices,
- the set of 30 centers of edges,
- the set of 20 centers of faces, and
- other orbits that have size 60.

Thus, the only possible zeros of Δ_{13} are at the centers of faces. Similarly, Δ_{12} is homogeneous of degree 48, so the only possible zeros are at the vertices; and Δ_{23} is homogeneous of degree 30, so its zeros must be at the centers of edges. In particular, at every point of \mathbb{C}^2 save the origin, at least 2 of these are nonzero, so $d\bar{g}$ has full rank.

Since \bar{g} is a polynomial map, then it's proper, and so $\bar{g}(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus 0)$ is closed in $V \setminus 0$; since g is a local homeomorphism, this image is also open. Since $V \setminus 0$ is connected, this means \bar{g} is surjective, and therefore is a covering map. Therefore g is also surjective, and hence a covering map too, meaning we're done with (3).

Finally, for (4), there's another geometric argument: since the g -preimage of a point in \mathbb{C}^3 is at least one point, the \bar{g} -preimage is at least 120 points. Then, Kirby and Scharlemann give another geometric argument for why there are at most 120 points in the preimage: the preimage of a vertex point can be shown to be the intersection of a 30-gon and a 20-gon within 12 different complex lines. Thus, there are at most 10 intersection points inside each line, so we get at most 120 points. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Kirby, R.C. and M.G. Scharleman. "Eight faces of the Poincaré homology 3-sphere." Geometric topology, Proc. Conf., Athens/Ga. (1979), 113–146.
- [2] Lee, John M. *Introduction to Smooth Manifolds*. Springer-Verlag New York, 2002.