

# SPRING 2017 GEOMETRIC LANGLANDS SEMINAR

ARUN DEBRAY  
JANUARY 20, 2017

These notes were taken in David Ben-Zvi's student seminar in Spring 2017, with lectures given by David Ben-Zvi. I live-TeXed them using `vim`, and as such there may be typos; please send questions, comments, complaints, and corrections to `a.debray@math.utexas.edu`.

## CONTENTS

1. A categorified version of the Fourier transform: 1/20/17	1
References	4

### 1. A CATEGORIFIED VERSION OF THE FOURIER TRANSFORM: 1/20/17

We've seen that for two-dimensional gauge theories with group  $G$ , there's a relationship with the Fourier transform for  $G$ . Today, we're going to talk about a categorified version of this, and in a few weeks we'll connect it to three-dimensional gauge theory.

Let's recall some facets of the Fourier transform. Let  $G$  be a locally compact abelian (LCA) group, and let  $\widehat{G} = \text{Hom}_{\text{TopGrp}}(G, \text{U}(1))$  be its Pontrjagin dual. This is a dual in that  $\widehat{\widehat{G}} \cong G$ .

The Fourier transform is an isomorphism  $L^2(G) \xrightarrow{\cong} L^2(\widehat{G})$  sending pointwise multiplication to convolution and vice versa. There's a nice dictionary between the two sides:

- A representation of  $G$  is sent to a family of vector spaces on  $\widehat{G}$ .
- Finite groups are sent to finite groups.
- Lattices are sent to tori.
- A vector space is sent to its dual vector space.

Today, we're going to talk about Cartier duality, an algebraic analogue of this.

Let  $G$  be an algebraic group: this is the notion of a group in algebraic geometry just as Lie groups are the correct notion of groups in differential geometry. One can think of algebraic groups as functors from rings to groups; this is the functor-of-points perspective.

We have no analogue of  $\text{U}(1)$  in this setting, so we consider all characters  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m = \text{GL}_1$ ; the codomain is defined by the group of units functor  $\text{Ring} \rightarrow \text{Grp}$  sending  $R \mapsto R^\times$ . As a scheme, this is  $\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus 0$  or  $\text{Spec } k[x, x^{-1}]$ .

The *Cartier dual* of  $G$  is  $\widehat{G} = \text{Hom}_{\text{AlgGrp}}(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$ . That is, for any ring  $R$ ,  $G(R) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Grp}}(G(R), R^\times)$ . For “nice  $G$ ,” we'd like  $G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}}$ . But what kinds of groups meet this condition?

$G$  had better be abelian (since  $\widehat{G}$  always is), and in fact we'll need it to be a *finite flat group scheme*. This idea might be new if you're used to thinking of algebraic geometry over  $\mathbb{C}$ , where these are exactly the finite abelian groups, but over other fields, it might be different.

**Example 1.1.** Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}/n$ . Then, its dual is  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}/n} = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/n, \mathbb{G}_m)$ , which can be identified with the group of  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity,  $\mu_n$ . Over  $\mathbb{C}$ , this is  $\langle e^{2\pi i/n} \rangle$  and therefore identified with  $\mathbb{Z}/n$ , but over fields with characteristic dividing  $n$ , there are fewer  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity. We're not going to worry too much about this. ◀

Akin to Pontrjagin duality, if we let  $G = \mathbb{G}_m$ , we get  $\widehat{G} = \mathbb{Z}$ , and if  $G$  is a torus,  $\widehat{G}$  is the dual lattice in it.

For the Fourier transform, we want to look at vector spaces, e.g. the *additive group*  $\mathbb{G}_a = \mathbb{A}^1$ . We want to understand homomorphisms  $\mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ . We know that these would be given by  $x \mapsto e^{xt}$ , but this doesn't make sense unless  $t$  is nilpotent, so that the exponential

$$e^{xt} = \sum \frac{(xt)^n}{n!}$$

is a finite sum! That is, we want the dual of the  $x$ -line  $\mathbb{G}_a$  to be the  $t$ -line, but we don't get very far along  $t$ . Since we don't know what order  $t$  is, we obtain the *formal completion*

$$\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a = \varinjlim_n \operatorname{Spec} k[t]/(t^n),$$

heuristically a union of  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order thickenings of 0. Here, the hat is completion, not dual.

More generally, let  $V$  be a vector space. Then, its Cartier dual is the formal completion of the dual vector space: we want to take  $e^{\langle v, v^* \rangle}$ , but we need  $v^*$  to be nilpotent.

Alternatively, since Cartier duality is symmetric, the Cartier dual of the formal completion of the additive group is  $\mathbb{G}_a$ . That is, if  $x$  is nilpotent,  $e^{xt}$  makes sense for arbitrary  $t$ .

Since we're doing algebraic geometry, it's good to think of this in terms of functions. If  $G$  is a group,  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  is not just a ring, but also has a *comultiplication* pulling functions back along multiplication:  $\mu^* : \mathcal{O}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes \mathcal{O}(G)$ . This makes  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  into a *coalgebra*, and it's cocommutative iff  $G$  is commutative.

If  $G$  is finite, then you can dualize explicitly:  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  is a finite-dimensional vector space, so  $\mathcal{O}(G)^\vee$  has a convolution operator induced from the comultiplication. This is the same as convolution of distributions. In fact, it's possible to prove that the Cartier dual is  $\widehat{G} = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(G)^\vee, *)$ . Functions on  $\widehat{G}$ , with multiplication, are the same as distributions on  $G$ , with convolution. This is what we had in the analytic setting, albeit with a little more care to functions versus distributions.

A point of  $\widehat{G}$  defines an algebraic function on  $G$ : it's a character  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ , so composing with the inclusion  $\mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ , we get a map  $G \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ . We can assemble this into a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & G \times \widehat{G} & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ G & & \widehat{G}, \end{array}$$

and there's a tautological function on  $G \times \widehat{G}$ , which is evaluation:  $(g, \chi) \mapsto \chi(g) \in \mathbb{A}^1$ . This is akin to the exponential  $(x, t) \mapsto e^{xt}$ .

If  $G$  is infinite, you have to be more careful with topology. For example,  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_m) = k[x, x^{-1}]$ , which sort of looks like the group algebra  $k[\mathbb{Z}]$  over the integers, but there we have to restrict to finite expressions.

**A sheaf-theoretic perspective.** Rather than looking at functions, which don't behave very well in this context, let's look at sheaves.

There are three tensor categories associated to any group  $G$ .

- (1) Since  $R = \mathcal{O}(G)$  is a commutative ring, we can use  $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}(G)}$  to generate the category  $\mathbf{QC}(G)$  of quasicoherent sheaves on  $G$ .<sup>1</sup> The commutative tensor product  $\otimes_R$  on  $\mathbf{Mod}_R$  extends to a symmetric monoidal structure on  $\mathbf{QC}(G)$ . This does not require  $G$  to be a group.
- (2) Since  $G$  is a group,  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  is a bialgebra (actually a Hopf algebra), so  $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}(G)}$  has a monoidal structure given by tensoring over the base field  $k$  rather than over  $R$ . That is, if  $M$  and  $N$  are  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ -modules,  $M \otimes_k N$  has an  $R \otimes R$ -module structure, and then we can induce along the map  $R \rightarrow R \otimes R$  to obtain an  $R$ -module structure.

This monoidal structure is a convolution:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & G \times G & \\ \swarrow & \downarrow \mu & \searrow \\ G & & G \\ & \downarrow & \\ & G & \end{array}$$

<sup>1</sup>If  $G$  is an affine scheme, the categories are the same.

Here, we take  $M$  and  $N$  over  $G$  and realize them over  $G \times G$  using the exterior product  $M \boxtimes N$ , and then pushforward along the multiplication map. This is the same category  $\mathrm{QC}(G)$ , but with a completely different structure, and this is one of the advantages of sheaves: instead of having to keep functions and distributions apart, sheaves can both pull back and push forward.

- (3) The third approach is to take the category of representations of  $G$ , which can be tensored together. How can you say this geometrically?  $G$ -representations are  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ -comodules, vector spaces  $V$  with a coaction map  $V \rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}(G)$  satisfying *coassociativity*, i.e. that the following diagram is an equalizer diagram:

$$V \longrightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}(G) \rightrightarrows V \otimes \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes \mathcal{O}(G).$$

In a sense, this encodes the notion that representations are modules over the group algebra, but we don't have distributions, so the arrows go the other way. This is a symmetric monoidal category, where the tensor product has the coalgebra structure defined by composing the maps

$$V \otimes W \longrightarrow V \otimes W \otimes \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes \mathcal{O}(G)$$

and  $\mathcal{O}(G) \otimes \mathcal{O}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(G)$ .

This is not a category of quasicoherent sheaves on  $G$ ; rather, it's  $\mathrm{QC}(\bullet/G)$ , where  $\bullet/G$  is the classifying stack (or groupoid) of  $G$ . This comes from the pushout diagram  $\bullet/G \leftarrow \bullet \rightrightarrows G$ .

Cartier duality allows these categories to interact with each other. Namely, suppose  $G$  and  $\widehat{G}$  are dual (so  $G$  is abelian, etc.). Then, Cartier duality establishes an equivalence of categories  $\mathrm{Rep}_G \cong \mathrm{QC}(\widehat{G})$ , and  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ -comodules become  $\mathcal{O}(G)^\vee$ -modules. This is just as in ordinary Pontrjagin duality: representations of  $G$  become families of functions on  $\widehat{G}$ .

(By the way, if you're holding out for examples, we'll soon see a whole bunch of them.)

In fact, the tensor structure is also in play: the duality is between the tensor product structure on  $\mathrm{Rep}_G$  (or  $\mathrm{QC}(\bullet/G)$ ) and the convolution structure on  $\mathrm{QC}(\widehat{G})$ .

We're going to abstract  $G$  away to a different duality operation  $\mathrm{QC}(\mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{QC}(\mathcal{G}^\vee)$ . In our case,  $\mathcal{G} = \bullet/G$  and  $\mathcal{G}^\vee = \widehat{G}$ . The classifying space  $\bullet/G$  (also called  $BG$ ) classifies  $G$ -bundles, and since  $G$  is abelian, you can tensor  $G$ -bundles. That is, if  $\mathcal{P}_1$  and  $\mathcal{P}_2$  are  $G$ -bundles, the relative tensor product  $\mathcal{P}_1 \times_G \mathcal{P}_2$  is again a  $G$ -bundle, meaning  $\bullet/G$  is an abelian group under the tensor product of  $G$ -bundles?

What does this actually mean? We're thinking of varieties (and generalizations such as stacks) as functors  $\mathrm{Ring} \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}$ ; that  $\bullet/G$  is an abelian group means that the assignment from a ring  $R$  to the (groupoid of)  $G$ -bundles on  $\mathrm{Spec} R$  naturally factors through the category of abelian groups. That is,  $\bullet/G$  is an abelian group object in the world of stacks.

Now, we define the *Fourier-Mukai dual*  $\mathcal{G}^\vee = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Grp}}(\mathcal{G}, B\mathbb{G}_m)$ . Here  $B\mathbb{G}_m$  classifies line bundles, so this is a version of the Picard group. However, since we've restricted to group homomorphisms, we only get what's known as multiplicative line bundles.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow G$  be a line bundle over a group  $G$  and  $\mu : G \times G \rightarrow G$  be multiplication. If  $\mu^* \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}$ , then  $\mathcal{L}$  is called a *multiplicative line bundle*.

The idea is that over  $x, y \in G$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_x \otimes \mathcal{L}_y \cong \mathcal{L}_{xy}$ .

In a sense, we've shifted the Cartier duality operation:  $(\bullet/G)^\vee = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Grp}}(\bullet/G, \bullet/\mathbb{G}_m) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Grp}}(G, \mathbb{G}_m) = \widehat{G}$  as before. So why categorify? In this stacky version, instead of a universal function on  $G \times \widehat{G}$ , there's a universal line bundle  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}^\vee$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}^\vee & \\ \swarrow \pi_1 & & \searrow \pi_2 \\ \mathcal{G} & & \mathcal{G}^\vee. \end{array}$$

This bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  is called the *Poincaré line bundle*. And it allows us to define a Fourier transform: given a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathcal{G}$ , we can pullback and pushforward to obtain  $\pi_{2*}(\pi_1^* \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \in \mathrm{QC}(\mathcal{G}^\vee)$ . This actually defines an equivalence of categories, which is known as *Cartier duality* or *Laumon-Fourier-Mukai duality*.

**Example 1.3.** The most interesting example is where  $\mathcal{G} = A$  is an abelian variety and  $\mathcal{G}^\vee = A^\vee$  is the dual variety. Then, the integral transform with the Poincaré sheaf defines an equivalence of the derived categories  $D(A) \cong D(A^\vee)$ , which is the classical *Fourier-Mukai transform*. ◀

**Example 1.4.** We could also take  $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{G}_m$  and  $\mathcal{G}^\vee = B\mathbb{Z}$ . Then, this duality tells us that  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector spaces are the same things as representations of  $\mathbb{G}_m$ . ◀

#### REFERENCES