

# GROMOV-WITTEN THEORY LEARNING SEMINAR

ARUN DEBRAY  
FEBRUARY 5, 2018

## CONTENTS

1. An Overview of Gromov-Witten Theory: 1/29/18	1
2. Quantum Cohomology: 2/5/18	3
References	5

### 1. AN OVERVIEW OF GROMOV-WITTEN THEORY: 1/29/18

Today, Jonathan spoke, delivering an overview of Gromov-Witten theory and how associativity of quantum cohomology leads to applications in enumerative geometry. Today we always work over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and follow Fulton-Pandharipande's notes [FP96].

Classically, if  $X$  is a nonsingular projective variety and  $\beta \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ , we want to know how many algebraic curves in  $X$  represent the class  $\beta$ . This relates to very classical questions, such as: if you have  $3d - 1$  points in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , how many degree- $d$  curves pass through them?

**Definition 1.1.** To simplify notation, let  $A_d(X) := H_{2d}(X; \mathbb{Z})$ , and similarly  $A^d(X) := H^{2d}(X; \mathbb{Z})$ .

**The moduli space of stable maps.** Another important ingredient, whose construction we will punt on, is the *moduli space of stable maps*. Here we summarize its definition. Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety and  $\beta \in A_1(X)$ . The moduli space of stable maps, denoted  $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(X, \beta)$  is the moduli space of isomorphism classes of pointed maps

$$(1.2) \quad u: (C, p_1, \dots, p_n) \longrightarrow X$$

where  $C$  is a projective nonsingular curve of genus  $g$ ,  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  are distinct marked points in  $C$ , and  $u_*([C]) = \beta$ . We must impose a stability condition which ensures these maps have finitely many automorphisms, where an automorphism  $(C, p_1, \dots, p_n) \rightarrow (C', p'_1, \dots, p'_n)$  must send  $p_i \mapsto p'_i$  and commute with the maps to  $X$ .

This is all right, but we really want something compact, and therefore will have to consider stable maps which are slightly worse. The compactification  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta) \supset \mathcal{M}_{g,n}(X, \beta)$  is the space of stable maps as in (1.2), subject to the following conditions.

- $C$  is a projective, connected, reduced, genus- $g$  curve with at worst nodal singularities, and the  $p_j$  are distinct smooth points.
- Stability: for every irreducible compact  $E \subset C$  such that if  $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$  and  $u(E) = \{\text{pt}\}$ , then  $E$  contains at least 3 of the points  $p_i$ .
- If  $E$  is genus 1 and  $u(E) = \{\text{pt}\}$ , then  $E$  contains at least one of the points  $p_i$ .

Why is this a compactification? The idea is that if  $u: (C, p_1, \dots, p_n) \rightarrow X$  is a smooth curve, we can let two points collide. In the compactified moduli space, the collision is avoided by adding another  $\mathbb{P}^1$  to  $C$  intersecting near the collision point; then, the two points can live in distinct irreducible components.

The next question is: what's the dimension of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta)$  be? Naïvely, the expected dimension is

$$(1.3) \quad n + \int_{\beta} c_1(X) + (\dim X - 3)(1 - g) = n + 3g - 3 + \chi(TX|_C).$$

Here  $c_1$  is the first Chern class, and  $\int_\beta c_1(X)$  represents the cap product pairing  $A_1(X) \otimes A^1(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\chi(TX|_C)$  denotes its Euler characteristic:

$$(1.4) \quad \chi(TX|_C) := h^0(C; TX|_C) - h^1(C; TX|_C).$$

This does not depend on the choice of  $C$  representing  $\beta$ , which is a fun fact about characteristic classes.

Why is (1.3) a reasonable guess? Here's what's going on.

- The  $3g - 3$  represents the dimension of the moduli space of the curve  $C$ , hence representing how  $C$  can change on its own.
- The  $\chi(TX|_C)$  represents how  $C$  can deform in  $X$ .
- The  $n$  is the extra data corresponding to the marked points.

We said “naïve,” and indeed (1.3) is not the dimension of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta)$  in all cases. But it is true in nice cases, and then you can do some cool stuff.

**Gromov-Witten invariants.** There are natural *evaluation maps*  $p_i: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta) \rightarrow X$  sending

$$(1.5) \quad (u: (C, p_1, \dots, p_n) \rightarrow X) \mapsto u(p_i).$$

We can pull back cohomology classes along these maps: suppose  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in A^*(X)$ . Then, let

$$(1.6) \quad I_\beta(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) := \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta)]} p_1^*(\gamma_1) \smile \dots \smile p_n^*(\gamma_n).$$

This is called a *Gromov-Witten invariant* for  $X$ . The thing that we're integrating over requires some very technical work to define in general, but for spaces which are “nice” (convex and homogeneous, which we'll discuss later), it's not so bad.  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is an example of such a space.

Suppose  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$  have the correct dimensions such that (1.6) is a number. Then there's an enumerative interpretation of (1.6) (in the convex case): the number of pointed maps  $u: \Sigma_g \rightarrow X$  such that  $u_*([\Sigma_g]) = \beta$  and if  $\Gamma_i$  is a subvariety representing the Poincaré dual to  $\gamma_i$ ,<sup>1</sup> then  $u(p_i) \in \Gamma_i$ . Here  $\Sigma_g$  is a curve of genus  $g$ .

### Important properties.

**Proposition 1.7.** *If  $\beta = 0$ , the only nonzero Gromov-Witten invariants occur when  $n = 3$ .*

*Proof sketch.* If  $\beta = 0$ , there's an identification  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X, \beta) \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n} \times X$ , which carries all of the evaluation maps to projection onto  $X$ , where  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$  is the (compactified) moduli space of genus-0 curves with  $n$  marked points. Call this map  $\pi$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} I_\beta(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) &= \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X, 0)} p_1^*(\gamma_1) \smile \dots \smile p_n^*(\gamma_n) \\ &= \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n} \times X} \pi^*(\gamma_1 \smile \dots \smile \gamma_n) \\ &= \int_{\pi_*([\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n} \times X])} \gamma_1 \smile \dots \smile \gamma_n. \end{aligned}$$

If  $n < 3$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$  is empty, because any choice of  $n$  points in  $\mathbb{P}^1$  doesn't have a finite automorphism group. For  $n > 3$ ,  $\pi$  has positive-dimensional fibers.  $\square$

If  $n = 3$ , then

$$(1.8) \quad I_0(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) = \int_X \gamma_1 \smile \gamma_2 \smile \gamma_3,$$

so this Gromov-Witten invariant isn't too hard to calculate.

**Proposition 1.9.** *Suppose  $\gamma_1 = 1 \in A^0(X)$ . Then,  $I_\beta(1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n)$  is nonzero only when  $\beta = 0$  and  $n = 3$ .*

<sup>1</sup>We can dodge the Steenrod realizability problem because every even-degree homology class of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is represented by a complex subvariety.

*Proof sketch.* If  $\beta \neq 0$ ,  $p_1^*(1) \smile \cdots \smile p_n^*(\gamma_n)$  is the pullback of a class in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n-1}(X, \beta)$  along the map

$$(1.10) \quad \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X, \beta) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n-1}(X, \beta)$$

which forgets the first point. There's a projection formula which then finishes the proof in a similar way to Proposition 1.7.  $\square$

In the case  $\beta = 0$  and  $n = 3$ , there's a similar formula to (1.8):

$$(1.11) \quad I_0(1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) = \int_X \gamma_2 \smile \gamma_3.$$

**Proposition 1.12.** *If  $\gamma_1 \in A^1(X)$ , then*

$$I_\beta(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) = \left( \int_\beta \gamma_1 \right) I_\beta(\gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n).$$

Since  $\int_\beta \gamma_1$  is the number of choices for  $p_i \in C$  to map to  $\Gamma_1$ , where  $\Gamma_1$  is a Poincaré dual to  $\gamma_1$ . The proof idea has something to do with the pushforward map (1.10) again.

Next time we'll talk about the quantum cohomology ring, and show that its associativity provides recursive formulas for enumerative invariants.

## 2. QUANTUM COHOMOLOGY: 2/5/18

Today, Jonathan spoke again, discussing quantum cohomology and an explicit example of how its associativity produces enumerative data on convex varieties.

Recall that last time, we discussed the moduli spaces of stable maps  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$  given a variety  $X$ , a  $\beta \in A_1(X)$ , and an  $n \geq 0$ . We can use this moduli space, and the evaluation maps  $p_i: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X, \beta) \rightarrow X$ , to define Gromov-Witten invariants as in (1.6). We then discussed three important properties of Gromov-Witten invariants, namely Propositions 1.7, 1.9 and 1.12; they will be useful when we do calculations.<sup>2</sup>

Now we'll define quantum cohomology in a restricted setting. Some of our notation will be redundant today, but will be useful when we discuss the general case. Fix  $X = \mathbb{P}^r$  and  $T_0 = 1 \in A^0(X)$ . Let  $T_1, \dots, T_p$  be a basis for  $A^1(X)$  and  $T_{p+1}, \dots, T_m$  be a basis for the rest of  $A^*(X)$ . For  $\beta \in A_1(X)$  and  $n_{p+1}, \dots, n_m \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$(2.1) \quad N(n_{p+1}, \dots, n_m; \beta) := I_\beta(T_{p+1}^{n_{p+1}}, \dots, T_m^{n_m}).$$

For  $0 \leq i, j \leq m$ , define

$$(2.2) \quad g_{ij} := \int_X T_i \smile T_j$$

and  $g^{ij}$  be the entries of the matrix inverse to  $(g_{ij})$ . By (1.8),

$$(2.3) \quad T_i \smile T_j = \sum_{e,f} I_0(T_i, T_j, T_e) g^{ef} T_f.$$

**Definition 2.4.** The *quantum potential* of a  $\gamma \in A^*(X)$  is

$$\Phi(\gamma) := \sum_{n \geq 3} \sum_{\beta \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})} \frac{1}{n!} I_\beta(\gamma^n).$$

The summand is nonzero for only finitely many  $\beta$  for a given  $n$ , so this converges. Moreover, if  $\gamma = \sum y_i T_i$ ,

$$(2.5) \quad \Phi(y_0, \dots, y_n) := \Phi(\gamma) = \sum_{n_0 + \dots + n_m \geq 3} \sum_{\beta} I_\beta(T_0^{n_0}, \dots, T_m^{n_m}) \frac{y_0^{n_0}}{n_0!} \cdots \frac{y_m^{n_m}}{n_m!}.$$

This is a formal power series in  $y_0, \dots, y_n$ , and hence one may define

$$(2.6) \quad \Phi_{ijk} := \frac{\partial^3 \Phi}{\partial y_i \partial y_j \partial y_k} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\beta} \frac{1}{n!} I_\beta(\gamma^n, T_i, T_j, T_k).$$

<sup>2</sup>Kontsevich and Manin [KM94] take these properties as *axioms* for Gromov-Witten theory.

**Definition 2.7.** The *quantum cup product* is

$$T_i * T_j := \sum_{e,f} \Phi_{ije} g^{ef} T_f.$$

*Remark.* This definition is kind of unenlightening — it's not clear what it's doing. Hopefully through examples we can figure out why it's defined in this way.  $\triangleleft$

**Theorem 2.8.**  $A^*(X)$  with the quantum cup product is associative, commutative, and has  $T_0$  as a unit.

The hardest part is associativity, requiring a full page of calculations. We're not going to do that today, but we'll talk about what it implies. Writing everything out,

$$\begin{aligned} (T_i * T_j) * T_k &= \sum_{e,f} \Phi_{ije} g^{ef} T_f * T_k \\ &= \sum_{e,f} \sum_{c,d} \Phi_{ije} g^{ef} \Phi_{fkc} g^{cd} T_d. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$T_i * (T_j * T_k) = \sum_{e,f} \sum_{c,d} \Phi_{jke} g^{ef} \Phi_{ifc} g^{cd} T_d.$$

Therefore associativity is equivalent to

$$(2.9) \quad \Phi_{ije} g^{ef} \Phi_{fkc} = \Phi_{jke} g^{ef} \Phi_{ifc},$$

so if we define

$$(2.10) \quad F(i, j | k, \ell) := \sum_{e,f} \Phi_{ije} g^{ef} \Phi_{fkl},$$

then associativity is equivalent to  $F(i, j | k, \ell) = F(j, k | i, \ell)$  for all  $i, j, k, \ell$ .

We can split the quantum potential into two pieces: the “classical” part  $\Phi_{\text{classical}}$ , given by  $\beta = 0$ , and the “quantum” part  $\Phi_{\text{quantum}}$ , for which  $\beta \neq 0$ . Then  $\Phi = \Phi_{\text{classical}} + \Phi_{\text{quantum}}$ , and using Proposition 1.7,

$$(2.11) \quad \Phi_{\text{classical}} = \sum_{n_1 + \dots + n_m = 3} \int_X T_0^{n_0} \smile \dots \smile T_m^{n_m} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{y_i^{n_i}}{n_i!}.$$

**TODO:** then there was a big formula for  $\Gamma(y)$  whose relation to the story was unclear to me.

**Example 2.12.** Let's actually do this on  $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ . For  $i = 0, 1, 2$ , let  $T_i \in H^{2i}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  be the generators corresponding to the orientation coming from the complex structure. That is,  $T_0$  is Poincaré dual to  $\mathbb{P}^2$ ,  $T_1$  to a embedded  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , and  $T_2$  to a point. Recall that  $g_{ij} = \int_{\mathbb{P}^2} T_i \smile T_j$ , so

$$(2.13) \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $g^{-1} = g$ .

Associativity of the quantum cup product implies that  $F(1, 1 | 2, 2) = F(1, 2 | 1, 2)$ , i.e.

$$(2.14) \quad \sum_{e,f} \Phi_{11e} g^{ef} \Phi_{f22} = \sum_{e,f} \Phi_{12e} g^{ef} \Phi_{f12}.$$

Since  $g^{ef} \neq 0$  only when  $e + f = 2$ , this sum simplifies to

$$(2.15) \quad \Phi_{110} \Phi_{222} + \Phi_{111} \Phi_{122} + \Phi_{112} \Phi_{022} = \Phi_{120} \Phi_{212} + \Phi_{121} \Phi_{112} + \Phi_{122} \Phi_{012}.$$

Now we have to actually compute some of these things.

$$(2.16) \quad \Phi_{110} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\beta} I_{\beta}(\gamma^n \cdot T_1 \cdot T_0).$$

Most of these are zero for degree reasons, and the only nonzero contribution is from  $\int_X T_1^2$ .

**TODO:** then there was another thing I didn't follow...

## REFERENCES

- [FP96] W. Fulton and R. Pandharipande. Notes on stable maps and quantum cohomology. 1996. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/alg-geom/9608011.pdf>. 1
- [KM94] M. Kontsevich and Yu. Manin. Gromov-witten classes, quantum cohomology, and enumerative geometry. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 164(3):525–562, 1994. [https://projecteuclid.org/download/pdf\\_1/euclid.cmp/1104270948](https://projecteuclid.org/download/pdf_1/euclid.cmp/1104270948). 3