### DIFFERENTIAL COHOMOLOGY

#### ARUN DEBRAY SEPTEMBER 18, 2019

These notes were taken in a learning seminar on differential cohomology in Fall 2019, organized by Dan Freed. I live-TeXed them using vim, and as such there may be typos; please send questions, comments, complaints, and corrections to a.debray@math.utexas.edu.

### Contents

1.	Introduction: 8/28/19	1
2.	Chern-Weil theory and equivariant de Rham cohomology: 9/18/19	5
Ref	ferences	8

# 1. Introduction: 8/28/19

"These are the ordinary chain maps of singular homology... except they're not."

At the first meeting, Dan gave a short introduction to the ideas of differential topology.

Let X be a smooth manifold. Its first differential cohomology group is the space

$$\check{H}^1(X) := \operatorname{Map}(X, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

We should think of this as an infinite-dimensional abelian Lie group. The group structure is pointwise addition.

Treating this as an abelian Lie group, there are a few natural questions we can ask.

- First, what is its Lie algebra? The answer is the space of maps from X to  $\mathbb{R}$ , which is identified with  $\Omega^0(X)$ .
- Next, an abelian Lie group can only have two nonzero homotopy groups,  $\pi_0$  and  $\pi_1$ . This is also true for  $\check{H}^1(X)$ , even though it's infinite-dimensional:  $\pi_0\check{H}^1(X) \cong H^1(X)$  and  $\pi_1\check{H}^1(X) \cong H^0(X)$ . All higher homotopy groups of  $\check{H}^1(X)$  vanish.
- The exponential map from the Lie algebra to the Lie group is  $f \mapsto (f \mod 1)$ . The image is the identity component of  $\check{H}^1(X)$ , which is the functions that have a logarithm. The kernel of the exponential map is  $\pi_1 \check{H}^1(X)$ .

There's a map  $\omega \colon \check{H}^1(X) \to \Omega^1(X)_{\operatorname{cl}}$  which sends  $\overline{f} \mapsto \operatorname{d} \overline{f}$ . The "cl" means it lands in closed forms. The map isn't surjective; its image is those forms with *integral periods*, i.e. those 1-forms  $\alpha$  such that the integral of  $\alpha$  around any smoothly embedded circle is an integer.

Closed forms have a map dR to de Rham cohomology  $H^1_{dR}(X) = H^1(X; \mathbb{R})$ , which is a surjective map from an infinite-dimensional vector space to a finite-dimensional vector space.  $H^1(X; \mathbb{R})$  also sits inside  $H^1(X; \mathbb{R})$  as a lattice. The preimage under dR of 0 is the space of exact 1-forms, and preimages of other elements of  $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$  form affine spaces modeled on the space of exact 1-forms. The union of all such preimages is precisely the 1-forms with integral periods.

To summarize the situation, we have maps

(1.2) 
$$\check{H}^{1}(X) \xrightarrow{\omega} \Omega^{1}(X)_{cl} \\
\downarrow^{\pi_{0}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{dR} \\
H^{1}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^{1}(X; \mathbb{R}).$$

This is a commutative diagram of abelian Lie groups. It is *not* a pullback diagram! This is because, for instance,  $\omega$  has kernel. This tells you that  $\check{H}^1(X)$  somehow contains some extra information, and this is the magic that makes differential cohomology interesting.

The fiber of  $\omega$ , i.e.  $\omega^{-1}(0)$ , is  $H^0(X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ , the locally constant maps from X to  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ . This can be identified with  $H^0(X; \mathbb{R})/H^0(X; \mathbb{Z})$ .

We can also explicitly identify the next differential cohomology group  $\check{H}^2(X)$ : as a set, it is the isomorphism classes of principal  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ -bundles on X together with a connection, or equivalently isomorphism classes of Hermitian line bundles with compatible connection; the group structure is tensor product.

To compute the Lie algebra, consider a path of connections on the trivial bundle; these are 1-forms, but because we consider them up to isomorphism, we end up with  $\Omega^1(X)/d\Omega^0(X)$ .

The homotopy groups are similar, but shifted up once:  $\pi_0\check{H}^1(X) \cong H^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$  (equivalence classes of bundles, where we forget the connection, only remembering the global information) and  $\pi_1\check{H}^2(X) \cong H^1(X;\mathbb{Z})$ . The higher homotopy groups vanish. Now something new can happen:  $H^2(X)$  can have torsion, e.g. for  $\mathbb{RP}^n$  for some n.

Again there is a map  $\omega \colon \check{H}^2(X) \to \Omega^2(X)_{\rm cl}$  which sends a connection to its curvature; the image is again closed 2-forms with integral periods, again a union of affine spaces. Here, "integral periods" has a slightly different definition: using Chern-Weil theory, you can think of them as the forms which are curvatures of connections, which is an integrality condition.

The degree-2 version of (1.2) is

(1.3) 
$$\dot{H}^{2}(X) \xrightarrow{\omega} \Omega^{2}(X)_{cl} \\
\downarrow^{\pi_{0}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow_{dR} \\
H^{2}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^{2}(X; \mathbb{R}).$$

Again this is a commutative diagram of abelian Lie groups but not a pullback diagram;  $\ker(\omega) = H^1(X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ , which unlike  $H^0(X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ , is not a torus; it need not be connected. Instead, its path components are identified with the torsion subgroup of  $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ . Said differently, a flat connection on a circle bundles does not imply that it's trivial. There is a short exact sequence

$$(1.4) 0 \longrightarrow T^{1}(X) := H^{1}(X; \mathbb{R})/H^{1}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^{1}(X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Tors} H^{2}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The presence of torsion makes the description of  $\check{H}^2(X)$  slightly more interesting.

Differential cohomology has more structure: multiplication and integration. Recall that integral and de Rham cohomology are rings under cup product, and differential forms are a ring under wedge product. The maps in (1.2) and (1.3) are compatible with these structures, so we might expect a map  $\check{H}^1(X) \times \check{H}^1(X) \to \check{H}^2(X)$  compatible with the ring structures on differential forms and cohomology (and indeed we will get one).

This is somewhat strange, though: to define this map we want to, given  $\overline{f}_1, \overline{f}_2 \colon X \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , produce a principal  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ -bundle with connection.

If  $\overline{f}_1$  has a logarithm  $f_1: X \to \mathbb{R}$  (i.e.  $f \mod 1 = \overline{f}$ ), then we could take  $f_1 \operatorname{d} \overline{f}_2$  as our connection 1-form, but in general it's a little fancier. Given  $\overline{f}_1, \overline{f}_2$ , we can define  $\overline{f}_1 \times \overline{f}_2: X \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ . There is a universal principal  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ -bundle with connection  $P \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  whose curvature is  $\operatorname{d} x \wedge \operatorname{d} y$ ; then we pull that back to X via  $\overline{f}_1 \times \overline{f}_2$ , and that's the product  $\overline{f}_1 \cdot \overline{f}_2$ .

In general, we don't have as explicit geometric models for differential cohomology, and we'll have to define everything more abstractly, but for an introduction the geometric viewpoint is beneficial.

Next, let's define integration. Just as with ordinary cohomology, we'll need an orientation on X, which we now assume. Let  $\check{x} = (P, \theta) \in \check{H}^2(X)$ . We can integrate the curvature  $d\theta$  over a closed, oriented 2-manifold  $\Sigma$ , and because  $\omega(\check{x})$  has integral periods, this is an element of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Chern-Weil theory tells us this integral is topological, not geometric: it depends on P but not  $\theta$ . This is an example of a primary (topological) invariant.

But we can also integrate  $\check{x}$  over a closed, oriented 1-manifold C, which we define for now as the holonomy of  $\theta$  around C. This depends on  $\theta$  and lives in  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , and we call it a secondary (geometric) invariant.

In general, on a closed, oriented d-manifold, integration will be a map  $\check{H}^2(X) \to \check{H}^{2-d}(\mathrm{pt})$ ; what we just said fits in, where we define  $\check{H}^0(X) := H^0(X)$ , the space of maps to  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

There's plenty more to say here: what Stokes' theorem means, gluing, manifolds with boundary, etc. There's also the new stuff afforded by geometry, e.g. the Lie derivative of a form and Cartan's formulas for commutators of these operators. These enhance to the world of differential cohomology, so differential cohomology is expressing calculus of local objects which have an integrality condition.

Historically, differential cohomology was first studied by Cheeger and Simons [CS85], following the work of Chern and Simons, both in the early 1970s. Cheeger and Simons introduced something called differential characters.

**Definition 1.5** (Cheeger-Simons [CS85]). Let X be a smooth manifold. A degree-k differential character is a homomorphism  $\chi: Z_{k-1}(X) \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  such that there exists an  $\omega(\chi) \in \Omega^k(X)$  such that

(1.6) 
$$\chi(b) = \int_C \omega(\chi) \bmod 1,$$

where  $b = \partial c$  for some  $c \in C_k(X)$ . Here  $Z_{k-1}$  and  $C_k$  are the *smooth* chains of degrees k-1, resp. k: we only consider formal sums of smooth maps of the standard n-simplex into X, not just continuous ones.

Remark 1.7. Cheeger and Simons use a different degree convention in their original paper; don't get tripped up by that!

It follows from the definition that  $\omega$  is unique, and is closed. The degree-k differential characters define a group  $\check{H}^k(X)$ , and this is isomorphic to our explicit constructions of  $\check{H}^1(X)$  and  $\check{H}^2(X)$ : the character is the map from a chain to the integral over the chain.

Deligne approached differential cohomology in a different way: fix a k > 0 and consider the cochain complex  $\mathbb{Z}(k)$  given by

$$(1.8) 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \Omega^{0}(X) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{\longrightarrow} \Omega^{1}(X) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{\longrightarrow} \Omega^{k-1}(X) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let's approach this with Čech cohomology, say in the case k = 1, where we have  $0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \Omega^0(X) \to 0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{U}$  be a good open cover of X; then we have a double complex

(1.9) 
$$\prod_{U \in \mathfrak{U}} \Omega^{0}(U) \xrightarrow{\delta} \prod_{U \neq V \in \mathfrak{U}} \Omega^{0}(U \cap V)^{\delta} \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} \cdots$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow$$

$$\prod_{U \in \mathfrak{U}} H^{0}(U; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \prod_{U \neq V \in \mathfrak{U}} H^{0}(U \cap V) \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots$$

In most degrees, this is the usual cohomology of X, either with  $\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  coefficients. But for degree k, this is something interesting, and you can directly check that for k = 0, 1, 2 we get  $\check{H}^k(X)$  as we explictly described it.

**Applications.** One reason to like differential cohomology is to consider generalizations of the integration maps we considered on  $\check{H}^1$  and  $\check{H}^2$  (both the primary and secondary invariants). For example, if G is a Lie group and  $\lambda \in H^k(BG; \mathbb{Z})$ , then  $\lambda$  defines a characteristic class of principal G-bundles  $P \to M$  on a closed, oriented manifold M, namely  $\int_X \lambda(P) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

There is a refinement of  $\lambda(P)$  to a class  $\check{\lambda}(P,\theta) \in \check{H}^k(X)$  which depends both on a bundle and a connection, and in a sense all of Chern-Weil theory refines to the homomorphism  $\omega \colon \check{H}^k(X) \to \Omega^k(X)_{\operatorname{cl}}$ . If we integrate over a closed, oriented k-manifold, this recovers the topological invariant, but if we integrate over a closed, oriented (k-1)-manifold, you get the classical Chern-Simons invariant. A sufficiently robust integration theory, with a good geometric model, would yield things like integration on manifolds with boundary, or an integral over a (k-2)-manifold as a Hermitian line (since it should live in  $\check{H}^2(\operatorname{pt})$ ), and this should all stitch together nicely into an invertible field theory. One might expect more examples of invertible field theories coming from other bordism invariants or cohomology theories.

Another application: let  $E \to X$  be an oriented, rank-r real vector bundle, and let  $c(E) \in H^r(X; \mathbb{Z})$  be the Bockstein of the Stiefel-Whitney class  $w_{r-1}(E) \in H^{r-1}(X; \mathbb{Z})$ . This is the Euler class, which is 0 when r is even but generally nonzero when r is odd. One can study this with differential cohomology, and this viewpoint is amenable to generalizations (e.g. to differential K- and KO-theory, where it's particularly useful).

Differential cohomology has some applications to physics. Recall Maxwell's equations for a 2-form F on a Lorentzian 4-manifold X:

$$dF = 0$$

$$d \star F = j_E,$$

where  $j_E$  is a 3-form thought of as the electric current: if we have worldlines of a bunch of particles with charges  $q_i$ , we can take the dual and obtain a (generally distributional) 3-form.<sup>1</sup>

In classical electromagnetism, the charges are real numbers, but Dirac pointed out that in quantum mechanics, and once we have a nonzero magnetic current, the charges must be quantized. Thus we're in need of calculus with an integrality condition, leading us to differential cohomology.

Some general theory. Let Man denote the category whose objects are smooth manifolds and whose morphisms are smooth maps. By a presheaf (on Man) we mean a contravariant functor  $F: Map^{op} \to \mathcal{S}et$ . You can think of this as something like a distribution, except instead of evaluating them on test functions we're evaluating them on test manifolds.

Remark 1.11. You may be used to presheaves on a single smooth manifold M; this is related to the general notion we defined above. Specifically, we just restrict to the subcategory of open subsets of M as objects and inclusions as morphisms.

**Example 1.12.** Differential k-forms define a presheaf  $\Omega^k$ , sending  $M \mapsto \Omega^k(M)$  (which for now we only regard as a set); functoriality is by pullback. The same is true for  $\check{H}^1$ .

A smooth manifold X defines a presheaf  $F_X$ , with  $F_X(M) := \operatorname{Map}(M, X)$ . There's a general lemma in category theory, called the Yoneda lemma, that  $F_X$  knows X: we can use that to get the set of points of X, and learn about its topology by seeing which points can be connected by a map from  $\mathbb{R}$ , etc. If we think only about smooth maps, it's possible to see the smooth structure on X.

A presheaf naturally isomorphic to  $F_X$  for some X is called *representable*. For example,  $\check{H}^1 \simeq F_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}$ .

**Example 1.13.** The space  $\operatorname{Map}(X,Y)$  is not a smooth manifold – it's infinite-dimensional for X,Y not discrete. But if we only need to care about finite-dimensional families, then we can use the presheaf  $\operatorname{Map}(X,Y)$ , whose value on M is the set  $\operatorname{Map}(M\times X,Y)$ . This is regarding  $\operatorname{Map}(X,Y)$ , and presheaves in general, as generalizations of smooth manifolds.

If we want to consider things such as principal bundles and  $\check{H}^2$ ,  $\&ensuremath{\mathcal{S}} et$  is not the correct target: principal bundles on X have morphisms between them, so we should really consider groupoid-valued presheaves (or more generally, presheaves valued in simplicial sets). For example, if G is a Lie group, we can let  $B_{\nabla}(G)$  denote the groupoid of principal G-bundles with connection on M, which defines a groupoid-valued presheaf, and  $\check{H}^2$  is precisely  $\pi_0 B_{\nabla}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ .

But now we can ask fun questions like, what's the de Rham complex for  $B_{\nabla}G$ ? This amounts to finding differential forms on each manifold compatible under pullback, or some sort of natural differential forms. This relates to early work of Thurston.

**Theorem 1.14.** The de Rham complex of  $B_{\nabla}G$  is  $\operatorname{Sym}^{2\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G$ , i.e. invariant even-degree G-invariant polynomials on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and the differential vanishes.

So Chern-Weil theory sees all of the invariant differential forms, which is nice. See Freed-Hopkins [FH13] for a proof.

One possibly strange aspect of the above calculation is that the de Rham complex is levelwise finitedimensional, which is unusual.

There are three versions of BG in the world of (pre)sheaves of groupoids:

- $B_{\nabla}G$ , as above,
- $B_{\bullet}G$ , which assigns the groupoid of principal bundles without any connection, and
- BG, which assigns to M the set Map(M, BG).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This is part of why differential forms where functions are replaced with distributions are called *currents*.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ TODO: groupoid structure?

There are maps  $B_{\nabla}G \to B_{\bullet}G \to BG$ .

One can also put Deligne's complex  $\mathbb{Z}(k)$  into this world, e.g. using the Dold-Thom theorem to pass from a chain complex to an abelian group. For even k, there are maps

$$(1.15) H^k(BG; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^k(B_{\bullet}G; \mathbb{Z}(k/2)) \longrightarrow H^k(B_{\nabla}G; \mathbb{Z}(k)),$$

which sends  $\lambda \mapsto \check{\lambda}$ . For suitably chosen G, this provides a geometric construction of a certain central extension of  $Diff_+(S^1)$  that appears in conformal field theory. This runs into old work of Bott and Haefliger, work on characteristic classes of foliations, who certainly knew plenty of this in different language.

# 2. Chern-Weil theory and equivariant de Rham cohomology: 9/18/19

Today, Greg Parker spoke about Chern-Weil theory and equivariant de Rham cohomology.

First, let's start with some motivation — why should anything like Chern-Weil theory exist? Let's begin by recalling a very classical and very cool theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** (Gauss-Bonnet). Let  $\Sigma$  be a closed, oriented surface with a Riemannian metric. Let K denote the Gaussian curvature of  $\Sigma$ ; then

(2.2) 
$$\int_{\Sigma} K = 2\pi \chi(\Sigma).$$

So the geometric data of the Gaussian curvature is telling us something topological.

First, though, what's the Gaussian curvature? Recall that the Riemannian curvature R on an oriented surface is an  $\mathfrak{so}_2$ -valued 2-form. Locally, this has the form

(2.3) 
$$R = \begin{pmatrix} [r]0 & R_{12} \\ -R_{12} & 0 \end{pmatrix} dx_1 \wedge dx_2.$$

Then the Gaussian curvature of  $\Sigma$  is  $K := R_{12} = \sqrt{\det R}$ .

Now integration is really something we do to differential forms, so we've rephrased the left-hand side of (2.2) as  $\langle [\det R], [\Sigma] \rangle$ , where [-] on the left means the class of the differential form in cohomology.

On the right-hand side, we maybe don't know what characteristic classes are yet, but  $\chi(\Sigma) = \langle e(\Sigma), [\Sigma] \rangle$ for a certain degree-2 characteristic class e called the Euler class. So this tells us that the Gaussian curvature refines to a de Rham representative of the Euler class. Chern-Weil theory generalizes this, and ideas such as this one entered into the first definitions of characteristic classes.

For the general story, we should talk about connections on vector bundles. Let M be a compact manifold and  $\pi \colon E \to M$  be a rank-k vector bundle, either real or complex. Choose an inner product  $\langle -, - \rangle$  on E, either Euclidean if it's real or Hermitian if it's complex; this refines the structure group of E to  $O_k$  (real case) or  $U_k$  (complex case).

A connection allows you to differentiate sections of E along a path in M. The key difficulty is that the fibers of E are not canonically identified, so it's not clear how to add or subtract elements in different fibers, as one usually does when defining the directional derivative. We need something to connect these vector spaces, hence the name "connection."

Let x(t) be a path in M; then  $\psi_{x(t)}$  is a path in E, so we can make sense of  $\frac{\mathrm{d}\psi_{x(t)}}{\mathrm{d}t} \in T_{\psi_{x(t)}}E$ . Intuitively, what we actually want is the "vertical component" of this: TE is an extension of the "vertical vectors"  $\ker(\mathrm{d}\pi)$  by the "horizontal vectors" (isomorphic to TM) — but to project down to the vertical vectors, we need a splitting. A connection is a choice of such splitting. This allows us to define parallel transport as TODO, and we define a connection in terms of such a parallel transport  $\varphi_t$  of vectors in E.

**Definition 2.4.** The *covariant derivative* with respect to a connection A is

(2.5) 
$$\nabla_x \psi \coloneqq \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \Big|_{t=0} \varphi_{-t} \psi_{x(t)}.$$

To typecheck,  $\varphi_{-t}\psi_{x(t)} \in E_{x(0)}$ , so we can compute this derivative, since everything lives in the same vector space.

The covariant derivative is an operator  $\nabla^A \colon \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(T^*M \otimes E)$  (the latter space is sometimes also denoted  $\Omega_M^1(E)$ ; it's  $C^{\infty}(M)$ -linear in the vector field x, so

(2.6) 
$$\nabla_{fx}^{A}\psi = f\nabla_{x}^{A}\psi,$$

and it satisfies a Leibniz rule in  $\psi$ , i.e.

(2.7) 
$$\nabla_x^A(f\psi) = \mathrm{d}f \otimes \psi + f \nabla \psi.$$

Given a connection  $\nabla^A$  on E, several more connections are canonically induced: there's a dual connection on  $E^*$ , for example, and a pullback connection on  $f^*E$  for any smooth map  $f: Y \to X$ . Given another vector bundle with connection  $(F, \nabla^B)$  on M, there is a connection  $\nabla^{AB}$  on  $E \otimes F$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** The difference of two connections is a 1-form; hence the space of connections is an infinite-dimensional affine space modeled on  $\Omega^1_M(\mathfrak{o}(E))$ .

Here,  $\mathfrak{o}(E) = \operatorname{End}(E)$ , the endomorphism bundle.

*Proof.* The idea is that two Leibniz rules will cancel out:

(2.9) 
$$(\nabla^A - \nabla^B)(f\psi) = \mathrm{d}f \otimes \psi + f\nabla^A \psi - \mathrm{d}f \otimes \psi - \nabla^B \psi$$
$$= f(\nabla^A \psi - \nabla^B \psi).$$

Remark 2.10. The space of connections is contractible, suggesting that some things which appear to depend on a connection, if they vary nicely enough in paths, are actually topolgical invariants.

### Example 2.11.

- (1) The de Rham differential d is a connection on the trivial bundle  $\mathbb{R}^n \to M$ .
- (2) In a local trivialization, we can write any connection as  $\nabla = d + A$ , where  $A \in \Omega^1_M(\mathfrak{o}_k)$ . This follows because (1) d is a connection here, and (2) any two connections differ by a endomorphism-valued 1-form.

On End(E) =  $E^* \otimes E$ , locally a section  $B \in \Omega^0_M(\text{End } E)$  is differentiated by

$$(2.12) \overline{\nabla}^A B = dB + [A, B].$$

**Definition 2.13.** A connection is *compatible* with the metric  $\langle -, - \rangle$  if

(2.14) 
$$d\langle \psi, \varphi \rangle = \langle \nabla \psi, \varphi \rangle + \langle \psi, \nabla \varphi \rangle.$$

Finally, we'd like to know that connections exist in general. This is true because they exist locally and form a convex space, so it's true using a partition of unity.

Curvature begins with the observation that if X and Y are two vector fields, it's possible that

$$(2.15) \nabla_X \nabla_Y - \nabla_Y \nabla_X \neq 0.$$

The flows could commute if there's a horizontal distribution of E; curvature measures the (lack of) such a distribution.

**Definition 2.16.** The *curvature* of a connection  $\nabla$  is  $F_A\psi := [\nabla, \nabla]\psi$ . This means  $F \in \Omega^2_M(\operatorname{End} E)$ : given two vector fields  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , we get  $\nabla_X \nabla_Y - \nabla_Y \nabla_X \in \operatorname{End}(E)$ .

Implicitly, we claim that  $F_A$  is  $C^{\infty}$ -linear; this can be checked locally, using the fact that locally,  $\nabla = d + A$ . This implies that locally,

$$(2.17) F_A = dA + A \wedge A.$$

Here, we need to make sense of  $A \wedge A \in \Omega^2_M(\operatorname{End} E)$ . This is not automatically zero, as matrix multiplication isn't commutative. In coordinates where  $F_A = F_A^{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j$ ,

$$(2.18) F_A^{ij} = \partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i + A_i A_j - A_j A_i.$$

**Theorem 2.19** (Bianchi identity). Under the map  $d_A: \Omega^2_M(\operatorname{End} E) \to \Omega^3_M(\operatorname{End} E)$  defined by

$$(2.20) \alpha \otimes B \longmapsto d\alpha \otimes B + \alpha \otimes \nabla B,$$

 $\mathrm{d}_A F_A = 0.$ 

Now we can talk about Chern-Weil theory, beginning with invariant polynomials. On a Riemannian surface  $\Sigma$ , let  $R \in \Omega^2(\Sigma; \mathfrak{o}(T\Sigma))$  be the curvature of the Levi-Civita connection. The expression for  $\sqrt{\det R}$  might depend on your choice of coordinates, but the value of the (squart root of the) determinant doesn't.

In general, we don't get as strong invariance, but what we can do is hit  $F_A$  with an invariant polynomial, a map  $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{R}$  which is invariant under the Ad-action of G on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Here  $G = \mathcal{O}_k$  or  $\mathcal{U}_k$ , as above. Given P, we obtain  $P(F_A) \in \Omega^2_M$ . More generally, we can consider higher-degree polynomials  $P \colon \operatorname{Sym}^k(\mathfrak{g}^*) \to \mathbb{R}$ , in which case  $P(F_A) \in \Omega^2_M$ .

**Proposition 2.21.**  $dP(F_A) = 0$ , and therefore we obtain a map  $\operatorname{Sym}^k(\mathfrak{g}^*) \to H^{2k}_{dR}(M)$ .

This map is called the Chern-Weil homomorphism.

The proof idea, quickly: Ad-invariance means that if one of the arguments to P is a commutator, then the value vanishes. One can then compute that  $dP(F_A)$  is a sum of P(...) terms, all of which contain a commutator.

This is cool, but what's even cooler is that what we get is actually a topological invariant of E!

**Proposition 2.22.** The de Rham class of  $P(F_A)$  is independent both of the connection and the metric on E. Moreover, if  $E \cong E'$ ,  $P(F_A) = P(F_{A'})$  (where A' is a connection on E').

Proof sketch. For independence of A, recall that  $\nabla^t := t \nabla^A + (1-t) \nabla^{A'}$  (where A' is a connection on E) is also a compatible connection on  $E \times I \to M \times I$ . Thus we obtain  $P(F_{\nabla^t}) \in \Omega^*_{M \times I}$  and the inclusions at 0 and 1 give us  $i_0^*(P(F_{\nabla^t})) = P(F_A)$  and  $i_1^*(P(F_{\nabla^t})) = P(F_{A'})$ , but these two maps are homotopic.

 $\boxtimes$ 

The remaining two cases are analogous.

Thus for judicious choices of P, we can define interesting characteristic classes.

**Example 2.23** (Chern classes). The expression  $P(x) := \det(\lambda \mathrm{id} - (1/2\pi)x)$  defines an Ad-invariant map  $\mathfrak{u}_k \to \mathbb{R}$ . Expanding,

(2.24) 
$$\det\left(\lambda \operatorname{id} - \frac{1}{2\pi}x\right) = \sum_{i} \lambda^{k-i} c_i(x).$$

The  $i^{\text{th}}$  Chern class of a complex vector bundle  $E \to M$  is  $[c_i(F_A)] \in H^{2i}(M;\mathbb{R})$ , where A is a compatible connection on E.

There are many ways to calculate with Chern classes, but this one is powerful: if someone hands you some terrible four-manifold and some vector bundle over it, this might be a mess, but it will work.

**Example 2.25** (Pontrjagin classes). Using the same P as in Example 2.23, we obtain an Ad-invariant polynomial  $\mathfrak{o}_k \to \mathbb{R}$ , but the even-degree ones will vanish, since  $\mathfrak{o}_k$  consists of skew-symmetric matrices. Thus we get *Pontrjagin classes*  $p_i \in H^{4i}(M;\mathbb{R})$  associated to a real vector bundle. ass

**Example 2.26** (Euler class). There is an Ad-invariant polynomial Pf:  $\mathfrak{o}_{2k} \to \mathbb{R}$  with Pf(A)<sup>2</sup> = det(A); then Pf( $F_A$ ) is the *Euler class* of a vector bundle.

And this approach works for many other polynomials for other choices of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ; for example, we can get the Hirzebruch L-genus and the Chern character in this way.

Remark 2.27. If E admits a flat connection (i.e. curvature is zero), its Chern classes therefore vanish. (Well, Chern classes can be defined over the integers, but here we mean their images in real cohomology.)

There are other definitions of Chern classes, but Grothendieck showed that Chern classes are characterized by four axioms, and we just have to check those.

**Equivariant de Rham cohomology.** In the last 15 minutes, we'll (briefly) discuss equivariant cohomology. Let G be a compact Lie group acting continuously on a space P. If the action is free, the G-equivariant cohomology of P is  $H_G^*(P) := H^*(P/G)$ ; if the action isn't free, then  $H_G^*(P) := H^*(EG \times_G P)$ ; the latter space is the *Borel construction* on P, or the homotopy orbits.

We'd like to imitate this construction for de Rham cohomology. First the free setting: if  $P \to M$  is a principal G-bundle, we can define  $\Omega_G^*(P) \subseteq \Omega^*(M)$ , so that  $H^*(\Omega_G^*) = H^*(\Omega(M))$ . The linearized action gives  $X_{\xi} \in \Gamma(TP)$  from  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ ; ranging over all  $\xi$ , this spans the vertical tangent space  $\ker(d\pi)$ .

TODO: more here, defining basic forms.

In general,  $\mathfrak{g}$  acts on  $\Omega^*(P)$  in two ways: by  $\xi \mapsto \iota_{\xi}$  and  $\xi \mapsto \mathcal{L}_{\xi}$ ; we also have d. We can stitch this all together into a super Lie algebra action by  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} := \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \Pi \mathfrak{g}$  with generators  $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}$ ,  $\iota_{\xi}$ , and d and the relations satisfied by the Cartan formulas.

A  $G^*$ -algebra is an algebra A with representations  $G \to \operatorname{Aut}(A)$  and  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} \to \operatorname{End}(A)$  compatible in the sense that TODO. There's also a notion of a  $G^*$ -module — and of course, this is constructed exactly such that the ring of differential forms is a  $G^*$ -algebra.

### References

- [CS85] Jeff Cheeger and James Simons. Differential characters and geometric invariants. In Geometry and Topology, pages 50–80, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1985. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. 3
- [FH13] Daniel S. Freed and Michael J. Hopkins. Chern-Weil forms and abstract homotopy theory. 2013. http://arxiv.org/abs/1301.5959. 4