M392C NOTES: MORSE THEORY

ARUN DEBRAY AUGUST 29, 2018

These notes were taken in UT Austin's M392C (Morse Theory) class in Fall 2018, taught by Dan Freed. I live-TEXed them using vim, so there may be typos; please send questions, comments, complaints, and corrections to a.debray@math.utexas.edu. Any mistakes in the notes are my own.

Contents

1. Critical points and critical values: 8/29/18

1

Lecture 1

Critical points and critical values: 8/29/18

"The victim was a topologist." (nervous laughter)

In this course, manifolds are smooth unless assumed otherwise.

Morse theory is the study of what critical points of a smooth function can tell you about the topology of its domain manifold.

Definition 1.1. Let $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function.

- A $p \in M$ is a critical point if $df|_p = 0$.
- A $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is a *critical value* if there's a critical point $p \in M$ with f(p) = c.

The set of critical points of f is denoted Crit(f).

Example 1.2. Consider the standard embedding of a torus T^2 in \mathbb{R}^3 and let $f: T^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be the x-coordinate. Then there are four critical points: the minimum and maximum, and two saddle points. These all have different images, so there are four critical values.

If M is compact, so is f(M), and therefore f has a maximum and a minimum: at least two critical points. (If M is noncompact, this might not be true: the identity function $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ has no critical points.) In the 1920s, Morse studied how the theory of critical points on M relates to its topology.

Example 1.3. On S^2 , there's a function with precisely two critical points (embed $S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ in the usual way; then f is the z-coordinate). There is no function with fewer, since it must have a minimum and a maximum.

What about other surfaces? Is there a function on T^2 or \mathbb{RP}^2 with only two critical points? Well, that was a loaded question – we'll prove early on in the course that the answer is no.

Theorem 1.4. Let M be a compact n-manifold and $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function with exactly two nondegenerate critical points. Then M is homeomorphic to a sphere.

So, it "is" a sphere. But some things depend on what your definition of "is" is — Milnor constructed exotic 7-spheres, which are homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic to the usual S^7 , and Kervaire had already produced topological 10-manifolds with no smooth structure. Freedman later constructed topological 4-manifolds with no smooth structure. In lower dimensions there are no issues: smooth structures exist and are unique in the usual sense. In dimension 4, there are some topological manifolds with a countably infinite number of distinct smooth structures. One of the most important open problems in geometric topology is to determine whether there are multiple smooth structures on S^4 , and how many there are if so.

1

Morse studied the critical point theory for the energy functional on the based loop space ΩM of M, which is an infinite-dimensional manifold. This produced results such as the following.

Theorem 1.5 (Morse). For any $p, q \in S^n$ and any Riemannian metric on S^n , there are infinitely many geodesics from p to q.

And you can go backwards, using critical points to study the differential topology of ΩM . Bott and Samelson extended this to study the loop spaces of symmetric spaces, and used this to prove a very important theorem.

Theorem 1.6 (Bott periodicity). Let $U := \varinjlim_{n \to \infty} U_n$, which is called the infinite unitary group. Then

$$\pi_q \mathbf{U} \cong egin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & q \text{ even} \\ 0, & q \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

This theorem is at the foundation of a great deal of homotopy theory.

The traditional course in Morse theory (e.g. following Milnor) walks through these in a streamlined way. These days, one uses the critical-point data of a Morse function on M to build a CW structure (which recovers the homotopy theory of M), or better, a handlebody decomposition of M (which gives its smooth structure). We could also study Smale's approach to Morse theory, which has the flavor of dynamical systems, studying gradient flow and the stable and unstable manifolds. This leads to an infinite-dimensional version due to Floer, and its consequences in geometric topology, and to its dual perspective due to Witten, which we probably won't have time to cover. Our course could also get into applications to symplectic and complex geometry.

Milnor's Morse theory book is a classic, and we'll use it at the beginning. There's a more recent book by Nicolescu, which in addition to the standard stuff has a lot of examples and some nonstandard topics; we'll also use it. There will be additional references.

$$\sim \cdot \sim$$

Let M be a manifold and (x^1, \ldots, x^n) be a local coordinate system (or, we're working on an open subset of affine n-space \mathbb{A}^n). One defines the first derivative using coordinates, but then finds that it's intrinsic: if x = x(y) is a change of coordinates (so $x = x(y^1, \ldots, y^n)$), then

(1.7)
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} dx^i = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^\alpha} \frac{\partial y^\alpha}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^\beta} dy^\beta = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^\alpha} dy^\alpha,$$

and so this is usually just called df, and can even be defined intrinsically. For critical points we're also interested in second derivatives, but the second derivative isn't usually intrinsic:

(1.8)
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 f}{\mathrm{d}y^2} = \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 f}{\mathrm{d}x^2} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}y}\right) + \frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}x} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 x}{\mathrm{d}y^2}.$$

The second term depends on our choice of x, so it's nonintrinsic. In general one needs more data, such as a connection, to define intrinsic higher derivatives. But at a critical point, the second term vanishes, and the second derivative is intrinsic!²

Definition 1.9. Let $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in \operatorname{Crit}(f)$. Then the *Hessian* of f at p is the function $\operatorname{Hess}_p(f): T_pM \times T_pM \to \mathbb{R}$ sending $\xi_1, \xi_2 \mapsto \xi_1(\xi_2 f)(p)$, where we extend ξ_2 to a vector field near p.

Of course, one must check this is independent of the extension. Suppose η is a vector field vanishing at p. Then

(1.10)
$$\xi_1 \cdot (\eta f)(p) = \eta(\xi_1 f)(p) + [\eta, \xi] \cdot f(p) = 0 + 0 = 0,$$

so everything is good.

Lemma 1.11. The Hessian is a symmetric bilinear form.

Proof. Extend both ξ_1 and ξ_2 to vector fields in a neighborhood of p. Then

(1.12)
$$\xi_1 \cdot (\xi_2 f)(p) - \xi_2(\xi_1 f)(p) = [\xi_1, \xi_2] f(p) = 0.$$

¹The map $U_n \to U_{n+1}$ sends $A \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

²This generalizes: if the first n derivatives vanish at x, the (n+1)st derivative is intrinsic.

⋖

In order to study the Hessian, let's study bilinear forms more generally. Let V be a finite-dimensional real vector space and $B: V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$ be a symmetric bilinear form.

Definition 1.13. The kernel of B is the set K of $\xi \in V$ with $B(\xi, \eta) = 0$ for all η . If K = 0, we say B is nondegenerate.

Equivalently, B determines a map $b: V \to V^*$ sending $\xi \mapsto (\eta \mapsto B(\xi, \eta))$, and $K = \ker(b)$. Any symmetric bilinear form descends to a nondegeneratr form $\widetilde{B}: V/K \times V/K \to \mathbb{R}$.

Example 1.14.

- (1) If B is positive definite, meaning $B(\xi,\xi) > 0$ for all $\xi \neq 0$, then B is an inner product.
- (2) On $V = \mathbb{R}^3$, consider the nondegenerate and indefinite form

(1.15)
$$B((\xi^1, \xi^2, \xi^3), (\eta^1, \eta^2, \eta^3)) := \xi^1 \eta^1 - \xi^2 \eta^2 - \xi^3 \eta^3.$$

The *null cone*, namely the subspace of ξ with $B(\xi,\xi)=0$, is a cone opening in the x-direction. We can restrict B to the subspace $\{(x,0,0)\}$, where it becomes positive definite, or to the subspace $\{(0,y,z)\}$, where it's negative definite.

However, we can't canonically define anything like *the* maximal positive or negative definite subspace — the only canonical subspace is the kernel. We can fix this by adding more structure.

Lemma 1.16. Let $N, N' \subset V$ be maximal subspaces of V on which B is negative definite. Then $\dim N = \dim N'$.

This is called the index of B.

Proof. Since N and N' don't intersect K, we can pass to V/K, and therefore assume without loss of generality that B is nondegenerate. Assume dim $N' < \dim N$; then, $V = N \oplus N^{\perp}$. Let $\pi \colon V \twoheadrightarrow N$ be a projection onto N, which has kernel N^{\perp} . Then $\pi(N')$ is a proper subspace of N. Let $\eta \in N$ be a nonzero vector with $B(\eta, \pi(N')) = 0$. Then $B(\eta, N') = 0$, and so $B(\xi + \eta, \xi + \eta) < 0$ for all $\xi \in N'$, and therefore N' isn't maximal.

Applying the same proof to -N, there's a maximal dimension of a positive-definite subspace P. So B determines three numbers, dim K (the nullity), $\lambda := \dim N$ (the index), and $\rho := \dim P$. This doesn't have a name, but the signature is $\rho - \lambda$. In Morse theory we'll be particularly concerned with the index.

Proposition 1.17. There exists a basis of V, $e_1, \ldots, e_{\lambda}, e_{\lambda+1}, \ldots, e_{\lambda+\rho}, e_{\lambda+\rho+1}, \ldots, e_n$, such that

(1.18)
$$B(e_i, e_j) = 0, \qquad i \neq j, B(e_i, e_i) = \begin{cases} -2, & 1 \leq i \leq \lambda, \\ 2, & \lambda + 1 \leq i \leq \lambda + \rho \\ 0, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have the kernel $K \subset V$, and can choose a complement V' for it; then $B|_{V'}$ is nondegenerate. Let $N \subset V'$ be a maximal negative definite subspace, and N^{\perp} be its orthogonal complement with respect to $B|_{V'}$. Then $V = N \oplus N^{\perp} \oplus K$, and we can choose these bases in each subspace.

Remark 1.19. If we choose an inner product $\langle -, - \rangle$ on V and define $T: V \to V$ by

$$(1.20) B(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \langle \xi_1, T\xi_2 \rangle$$

for all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in V$, then T is symmetric and therefore diagonalizable.

$$\sim \cdot \sim$$

With the linear algebra interlude over, let's get back to topology. The Hessian is a very useful invariant, e.g. defining the curvature of embedded hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition 1.21. Let $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$ be smooth.

- (1) A $p \in Crit(f)$ is nondegenerate if $Hess_p(f)$ is nondegenerate.
- (2) If every critical function is nondegenerate, f is called a *Morse function*.

Example 1.22. For example, on the torus as above, the *y*-coordinate is a Morse function. But the *z*-coordinate is not Morse: there's a whole circle of maxima, and another one of minima, and therefore the Hessians on these circles cannot be nondegenerate.

Example 1.23. For another example, consider $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(x) = x^3$. This isn't Morse: it has one critical point, which is degenerate. Unlike the previous example, this is a degenerate critical point which is isolated.

Example 1.24. Let V be a finite-dimensional inner product space over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , and let $T: V \to V$ be a symmetric linear operator with distinct eigenvalues (i.e. its eigenspaces are one-dimensional). Then $\mathbb{P}(V)$, the set of lines through the origin (i.e. one-dimensional subspaces) in V is a closed manifold. Define $f: \mathbb{P}(V) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

(1.25)
$$L \longmapsto \frac{\langle \xi, T\xi \rangle}{\langle \xi, \xi \rangle}, \qquad \xi \in L \setminus 0.$$

It's a course exercise to show the critical points of f are the eigenlines of T, and to compute their Hessians and their indices.

It may be useful to know that there's a canonical identification $T_L\mathbb{P}(V)\cong \operatorname{Hom}(L,V/L)$. This also generalizes to Grassmannians.

The next thing we'll study is a canonical local coordinate system around a critical point of a Morse function (the Morse lemma). It's a bit bizarre to build coordinates out of nothing, so we'll start with an arbitrary coordinate system and deform it. We will employ a very general tool to do this, namely flows of vector fields. This may be review if you like differential geometry.

Definition 1.26. Suppose ξ is a vector field on M. A curve $\gamma:(a,b)\to M$ is an integral curve of ξ if for $t\in(a,b),\ \dot{\gamma}(t)=\xi|_{\gamma(t)}.$

Theorem 1.27. Integral curves exist: for all $p \in M$, there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ and an integral curve $\gamma \colon (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to M$ for ξ with $\gamma(0) = p$.

This is a geometric reskinning of existence of solutions to ODEs, as well as smooth dependence on initial data (whose proof is trickier). If you don't know the proof, you should go read it!

We can also allow ξ to depend on t with a trick: consider the vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \xi_t$ on $(a, b) \times M$. By the theorem, integral curves exist, and since this vector field projects onto $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ on (a, b), the integral curve we get projects onto the integral curve for $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. So what we've constructed is exactly the graph of γ . In ODE, this is known as the non-autonomous case.

We'd like to do this everywhere on a manifold at once.

Definition 1.28. A flow is a function $\varphi:(a,b)\times M\to M$ such that $\varphi(t,-):M\to M$ is a diffeomorphism.

We'd like to say that vector fields give rise to flows. Certainly, we can differentiate flows, to obtain a time-dependent vector field $\frac{d\varphi}{dt} = \xi_t$.

Example 1.29. For a quick example of nonexistence of flow for all time, consider $\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. You can't flow from a negative number forever, since you'll run into a hole. Now maybe you think this is the problem, but there's not so much difference with just \mathbb{R} and the vector fields $t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ or $t^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, where you will reach infinity in finite time.

One of the issues with global-time existence of flow is that the metric might not be complete. But it's not the only obstruction, as we saw above.

Theorem 1.30. Let ξ_t be a family of vector fields for $t \in (t_-, t_+)$, where $t_- < 0$ and $t_+ > 0$.

- (1) Given a $p \in M$, there are neighborhoods of p $U' \subset U$ and an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that there's a flow $\varphi \colon (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times U' \to U$ with $\frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi}{\mathrm{d}t} = \xi_t$.
- (2) If M has a complete Riemannian metric and there's a C > 0 in which $|\xi_t| \leq C$, then the flow is global: we can replace $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ with (t_-, t_+) .

A compact manifold is complete in any Riemannian metric, so for ξ arbitrary, global flows exist.

Remark 1.31. If ξ is static, i.e. independent of t, then $t \mapsto \varphi_t$ is a one-parameter group, i.e. $\varphi_{t_1+t_2} = \varphi_{t_1} \circ \varphi_{t_2}$.

³With a little more work, we can make this work over the quaternions.

4

Example 1.32. Let M be a Riemannian manifold and $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$ be smooth. Define its *gradient vector field* by

$$(1.33) df|_p(\eta) := \langle \eta, \operatorname{grad}_n f \rangle$$

for all $\eta \in T_pM$.

Let's (try to) flow by $-\operatorname{grad} f$.

Definition 1.34. Let $\omega \in \Omega^*(M)$ and ξ be a vector field with local flow φ generated by ξ . The *Lie derivative* is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\xi}\omega \coloneqq \left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\right|_{t=0} \varphi_t^*\omega,$$

which is also a differential form, homogeneous of degree k if ω is

Theorem 1.35 (H. Cartan). $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}\omega = (d\iota_{\xi} + \iota_{\xi}d)\omega$. Here ι_{ξ} denotes contracting with ξ .

With this in our pockets, let's turn to the Morse lemma.

Lemma 1.36 (Morse lemma). Let $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$ be smooth and p be a nondegenerate critical point of f of index λ . Then there exist local coordinates x^1, \ldots, x^n near p with $x^i(p) = 0$ and

$$f(x^1, \dots, x^n) = f(p) - ((x^1)^2 + \dots + (x^{\lambda})^2) + ((x^{\lambda+1})^2 + \dots + (x^n)^2).$$

The proof employs a technique of Moser. Moser used this to provide a nice proof of Darboux's theorem, that symplectic manifolds all look like affine space locally.

Lemma 1.37. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a star-shaped open set with respect to the origin and $g: U \to \mathbb{R}$ be such that g(0) = 0. Then there exist $g_i: U \to \mathbb{R}$ with $g(x) = x^i g_i(x)$.

Proof. Well, just let

$$(1.38) g_i(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^i}(tx) \, \mathrm{d}t. \boxtimes$$

Proof of Lemma 1.36. Choose local coordinates x^1, \ldots, x^n such that

$$(1.39) \qquad \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Hess}_{p}(f) = \left(-\left(\mathrm{d}x^{1}\otimes\mathrm{d}x^{1} + \dots + \mathrm{d}x^{\lambda}\otimes\mathrm{d}x^{\lambda}\right) + \left(\mathrm{d}x^{\lambda+1}\otimes\mathrm{d}x^{\lambda+1} + \dots + \mathrm{d}x^{n}\otimes\mathrm{d}x^{n}\right)\right)_{p}.$$

Since we're only asking for this at p, we can start with any coordinate system and then apply Lemma 1.37. Set

(1.40)
$$h(x) := f(p) - ((x^1)^2 + \dots + (x^{\lambda})^2) + ((x^{\lambda+1})^2 + \dots + (x^n)^2) - f(x).$$

We're hoping for this to be zero. Also set

(1.41)
$$\alpha_t := (1-t) \left(-\left(x^1 dx^1 + \dots + x^{\lambda} dx^{\lambda}\right) + \left(x^{\lambda+1} dx^{\lambda+1} + \dots + x^n dx^n\right) \right) + t df,$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$. We claim that in a neighborhood of x = 0, we can find a vector field ξ_t such that $\iota_{\xi_t} \alpha_t = h$; in particular, h does not depend on t; and such that $\xi_t(p = 0) = 0$. We'll then use this to move the coordinates; at p everything looks right, so we'll use this to move the coordinates elsewhere.

Assuming the claim, let φ_t be the local flow generated by ξ_t , which exists at least in a neighborhood of U. Then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\varphi_t^*\alpha_t = \varphi_t^*\mathcal{L}_{\xi_t}\alpha_t + \varphi_t^*\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\alpha_t\right)$$
$$= \varphi_t^*(\mathrm{d}\iota_{\xi_t}\alpha_t + \iota_{\xi_t}\,\mathrm{d}\alpha_t - \mathrm{d}h).$$

Since α_t is exact,

$$= \varphi_t^* (\varphi_t^* d(\iota_{\mathcal{E}_t} \alpha_t - h)) = 0.$$

Therefore $\varphi_1^*(\mathrm{d}f) = \varphi_1^*\alpha_1 = \varphi_0^*\alpha_0 = \alpha_0$. In particular, φ_1 is a local diffeomorphism fixing p = 0, and it pulls $\mathrm{d}f$ back to d of something quadratic. Therefore φ_1^*f is quadratic, and has the desired form.

Now we need to prove the claim. Observe $\alpha_t(0) = 0$ and h(0) = 0. Then write

$$\alpha_t(x) = A_{ij}(t, x)x^j dx^i$$
$$h(x) = h_j(x)x^j$$
$$\xi_t = \xi^k(t, x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k},$$

so $\iota_{\xi_t} \alpha_t h$ is equivalent to

(1.42)
$$A_{ij}(t,x)x^{j}\xi^{i}(t,x) = h_{j}(x)x^{j},$$

which is implied by

(1.43)
$$A_{ij}(t,x)\xi^{j}(t,x) = h_{j}(x).$$

Since $(A_{ij}(t,0))$ is invertible, we can solve this in some neighborhood of x=0 uniform in t (it remains invertible in that neighborhood).