

# SPRING 2017 HOMOTOPY THEORY SEMINAR

ARUN DEBRAY  
APRIL 19, 2017

## CONTENTS

1. Higher $K$ -theory: 1/25/17	1
2. The additivity theorem: 2/1/17	4
3. Algebraic $K$ -theory of spaces: 2/8/17	7
4. Constructible sheaves: 2/22/17	9
5. Universal Local Acyclicity: 3/1/17	11
6. Universal Local Acyclicity II: 3/8/17	12
7. A model for the assembly map: 3/22/17	13
8. The assembly map: 4/19/17	16

## 1. HIGHER $K$ -THEORY: 1/25/17

Today, Nicky spoke on a few approaches to higher  $K$ -theory.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a pointed  $\infty$ -category with finite colimits (as in Lurie's approach) or a category with cofibrations and weak equivalences satisfying certain axioms (as in Waldhausen's approach).

Recall that  $K_0(\mathcal{C})$  was defined to be the free abelian group on isomorphism classes of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  modulo  $[X] = [X'] + [X'']$  whenever we have a pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ * & \longrightarrow & X'' \end{array}$$

We want to generalize to a based space  $W$  such that  $\pi_1(W) = K_0(\mathcal{C})$ , and satisfying a universal property for  $\mathcal{C}$ : every object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  should determine a path  $p_X$  from  $*$  to  $*$  in  $W$ , and for any cofiber sequence  $X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow X''$ , we'd like the 2-cell bounded by the paths  $p_X$ ,  $p_{X'}$ , and  $p_{X''}$  to be contractible in  $W$ .

*Remark.* Given a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , we'll let  $Y/X$  denote the cofiber of  $f$ . Waldhausen is working with maps that are already cofibrant (since he works with categories that already have special classes of maps), but the suitable cofibrant replacement also exists for  $\infty$ -categories. This notation implies that in  $K_0$ ,  $[Y] = [X] + [Y/X]$ .  $\blacktriangleleft$

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$  be a cofiber sequence. Then,  $[Z] = [X] + [Y/X] + [Z/Y]$ .*

*Proof.* One way to prove this is to observe that  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$  means that the following two sequences are cofiber sequences:

$$\begin{array}{c} X \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow Z/X \\ Y/X \longrightarrow Z/X \longrightarrow Z/Y. \end{array}$$

Alternatively, you could observe that the following two sequences are cofiber sequences:

$$\begin{array}{c} Y \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow Z/Y \\ X \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow Y/X. \end{array}$$

□

These two proofs of this identity are two homotopies between the paths  $p_Z$  and  $p_X \circ p_{Y/X} \circ p_{Z/Y}$ :

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & * & \xrightarrow{p_{Y/X}} & * \\ p_{Z/Y} \nearrow & & & \nwarrow p_Y & \\ * & \xrightarrow{p_{Z/X}} & * & \xrightarrow{p_X} & * \\ & \searrow p_Z & & & \end{array}$$

We'd like for these two homotopies to be homotopic: the two proofs of Proposition 1.1 define a map  $\partial\Delta^3$  into the diagram (1.2), and we want this to extend to a map from  $\Delta^3$ . In a similar way, we'd like to have a similar "coherence" statement corresponding to sequences  $X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_n$ .

Waldhausen's  $S_\bullet$ -construction does this all formally for us. It works by gluing classifying spaces of these sequences together, which feels like a homotopy coherent nerve but isn't quite one. One way to think about is that there are choices made when making a quotient; the  $S_\bullet$  construction keeps them around as simplicial data. More explicitly, given the sequence  $X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_n$ , you want the 0<sup>th</sup> face map to arise from a sequence  $\cdots \rightarrow X_i/X_1 \rightarrow X_{i+1}/X_1 \rightarrow \cdots$ , but there are choices made in picking these maps.

The formalism of the  $S_\bullet$  construction will involve some homotopy theory of posets, but is nicer than last semester's stuff. Let  $P$  be a poset, and set

$$P^{(2)} := \{(i, j) \in P \times P \mid i \leq j\},$$

which is also  $\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, P)$ .

**Definition 1.3.** A  $P$ -gapped object in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a functor  $X: N(P^{(2)}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  such that for all  $i \in P$ ,  $X(i, i) = *$  and for all  $i \leq j \leq k$  in  $P$ , we have a pushout square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(i, j) & \longrightarrow & X(i, k) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ * = X(j, j) & \longrightarrow & X(j, k). \end{array}$$

This is a functorial notion: if  $P \rightarrow Q$  is a map of posets, we get a map  $N(P) \rightarrow N(Q)$ , and  $f$  takes  $Q$ -gapped objects to  $P$ -gapped ones. That is, " $(-)$ -gapped objects" is a functor from the simplicial indexing functor to  $\infty$ -categories. We're going to bundle this up into a simplicial set.

As usual, let  $[n]$  denote the poset  $\{0 < 1 < 2 < \cdots < n\}$ . Let  $\text{Gap}_{[n]}(\mathcal{C})$  denote the  $\infty$ -category of  $[n]$ -gapped objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Concretely, this is a diagram category for the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} * = X(0, 0) & \longrightarrow & X(0, 1) & \longrightarrow & X(0, 2) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \longrightarrow X(0, n) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & * = X(1, 1) & \longrightarrow & X(1, 2) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \longrightarrow X(1, n) \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & * = X(2, 2) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \longrightarrow X(2, n) \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & & & * = X(n, n). \end{array}$$

There's a nice animation of this available at <https://www.ma.utexas.edu/users/ysulyma/>.

Let  $S_n(C)$  denote the underlying Kan complex of  $\text{Gap}_{[n]}(C)$ : it's not necessarily a groupoid, but we can throw away all non-invertible arrows.<sup>1</sup> Thus,  $S_\bullet(C)$  is a simplicial Kan complex,<sup>2</sup> and we're going to geometrically realize it. In low degrees, this recovers things we've seen before:

- $S_0(C) = \text{Gap}_{[0]}(C)$  is the full subcategory of 0-objects, which is contractible.
- $\text{Gap}_{[1]}(C) \cong C$  (diagrams of the form  $* \rightarrow X \rightarrow *$ ), and  $S_1(C)$  is equivalent to the category of isomorphisms in  $C$ .
- $\text{Gap}_{[2]}(C)$  is equivalent to the  $\infty$ -category of cofiber sequences in  $C$ .

Now, we can define  $K$ -theory.

**Definition 1.4.** The **algebraic  $K$ -theory** of  $C$  is

$$K(C) := \Omega|S_\bullet(C)|.$$

Because  $S_\bullet(C)$  is a simplicial Kan complex, we must specify the geometric realization; you can either geometrically realize the diagonal or geometrically realize one axis with topology on the sets of simplices.

The  $K$ -**groups** of  $C$  are  $K_n(C) := \pi_n K(C) = \pi_{n+1}|S_\bullet(C)|$ .

These agree with the  $K$ -groups we defined in low dimensions, but this is a theorem.

*Remark.*

- Eventually, we will see how to promote this from a space to a spectrum.
- If  $S_\bullet(C)$  is contractible, then  $|S_\bullet(C)|$  is connected.
- Let  $F: C \rightarrow D$  be a functor between suitably nice<sup>3</sup>  $\infty$ -categories; then, we obtain a map  $K(C) \rightarrow K(D)$ .
- The projections  $C \leftarrow C \times D \rightarrow D$  are nice, so

$$K(C \times D) \cong K(C) \times K(D).$$

- The coproduct functor  $\amalg: C \times C \rightarrow C$  is sufficiently nice, so there's a multiplication map  $m: K(C) \times K(C) \rightarrow K(C)$ , which is coherently associative and commutative. In fact,  $K(C)$  has an  $E_\infty$ -structure.

◀

We'd like to compare the new  $K_0$  and the old  $K_0$ .  $|S_\bullet(C)|$  is a direct limit across the **skeleton functors**  $\text{sk}_i$  sending  $X$  to its  $i$ -skeleton:

$$|S_\bullet(C)| = \text{colim}(\text{sk}_0|S_\bullet(C)| \longrightarrow \text{sk}_1|S_\bullet(C)| \longrightarrow \text{sk}_2|S_\bullet(C)| \longrightarrow \cdots).$$

- We know the 0-skeleton:  $\text{sk}_0|S_\bullet(C)| = S_0(C)$  is contractible.
- For the 1-skeleton,  $\text{sk}_1|S_\bullet(C)| = \Sigma S_1 C = \Sigma \text{iso } C$ . Thus, we have a map  $\Sigma \text{iso } C \rightarrow |S_\bullet(C)|$  whose adjoint begins a sequence

$$\text{iso } C \longrightarrow \Omega|S_\bullet(C)| \longrightarrow \Omega^2|S_\bullet S_\bullet(C)| \longrightarrow \cdots$$

These are the maps that will define the  $K$ -theory spectrum.

Thus, we know  $K_0(C) = \pi_0(K(C)) = \pi_1(S_\bullet(C))$ , which is generated by isomorphisms classes of objects in  $C$ . The relations are generated by things in  $\text{sk}_2|S_\bullet(C)|$ : we've glued in 2-cells in  $S_2(C)$  to introduce relations. That is, the relations are defined by  $\pi_0(S_2(C))$ , which is the set of cofiber sequences. Thus,  $K_0(C)$  is the abelian group generated by objects and modulo cofiber sequences, as desired.

**Algebraic  $K$ -theory as a spectrum.** Since  $\text{sk}_1|S_\bullet(C)|$  is obtained from  $\text{sk}_0|S_\bullet(C)|$  by attaching  $S_1 C \times \Delta^1$  and  $\text{sk}_0|S_\bullet(C)|$  is contractible, then  $\text{sk}_1|S_\bullet(C)| \simeq \Sigma S_1(C) \simeq \Sigma \text{iso } C$ .

The 1-skeleton includes into the whole space, so by adjunction, we have an inclusion  $\text{iso } C \hookrightarrow \Omega|S_\bullet(C)|$ . Thus we can begin to define a spectrum, in fact an  $\Omega$ -spectrum.

<sup>1</sup>Alternatively, if you're working with categories of weak equivalences, rather than  $\infty$ -categories, you're throwing out everything but the weak equivalences.

<sup>2</sup>By a **simplicial Kan complex**, we mean a bisimplicial set such that each  $S_n(C)$  is a Kan complex.

<sup>3</sup>Probably pointed and with finite colimits.

**Definition 1.5.** The **algebraic K-theory spectrum**  $\tilde{K}(C)$  is the spectrum assigning

$$n \longmapsto |\text{iso } \underbrace{S_\bullet S_\bullet \cdots S_\bullet}_n C|,$$

with the maps induced from the adjunction above.

*Remark.* There's a technicality here with basepoints. Waldhausen solved this by requiring exact functors to be based, but typically for  $\infty$ -categories, one requires a functor to send a zero object to a zero object. This is an issue for setting up functoriality, which is worth being aware of. One way to solve this is to quotient out by these choices. In practice, however, exact functors tend to strictly preserve the basepoint.  $\blacktriangleleft$

Some things to notice here: the  $n^{\text{th}}$  term is  $(n-1)$ -connected (since the 0-skeleton of the  $S_\bullet$ -construction is contractible). This is an ingredient in the additivity theorem, an important result that will be presented next time. This will imply that the K-theory spectrum is an  $\Omega$ -spectrum, allowing a more concise definition of K-theory space:

$$K(C) = \varinjlim_n \Omega^n |S_\bullet^{(n)}(C)| = \Omega^\infty |S_\bullet^{(\infty)}(C)|.$$

This is helpful because it shows that  $K(C)$  is an infinite loop space, and this is how we get the  $E_\infty$  structure. The point is, the  $\Omega$ -spectrum structure gives you the infinite loop space structure on the nose; you don't have to take a colimit.

*Remark.* The  $S_\bullet$ -construction looks a little bit like a suspension, and there's a way in which this can be made precise. Another way of looking at this is that if you don't shift up and deloop, you have an  $\Omega$ -spectrum after the  $0^{\text{th}}$  level. This relates to the fact that the  $S_\bullet$ -construction is not a Kan complex, but after one subdivision, it becomes one. The class of simplicial sets with this property is formally interesting.  $\blacktriangleleft$

## 2. THE ADDITIVITY THEOREM: 2/1/17

Today, Ernie talked about the additivity theorem. Reference: McCarthy, "Fundamental theorems in algebraic K-theory," which gives the coolest proof, presented today. It's only about four pages long. The running question is: what hypotheses do we even need for this proof? The answer is "not very much," and it can be generalized further than we go today.

Recall that  $S_2 C$  was the cofiber sequences in  $C$ .

**Theorem 2.1** (Additivity, 1-categorical case). *Let  $C$  be a Waldhausen category. The exact functor  $S_2 C \rightarrow C \times C$  sending  $(a \rightarrow c \rightarrow b) \mapsto (a, b)$  induces a homotopy equivalence  $S_\bullet S_2 C \rightarrow S_\bullet(C \times C) \cong S_\bullet C \times S_\bullet C$ .*

Lurie's notes state this slightly differently:

**Theorem 2.2** (Additivity,  $\infty$ -categorical case). *Let  $C$  be a pointed  $\infty$ -category with finite colimits. Then, the exact functor  $\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, C) \rightarrow C \times C$  sending  $(\alpha: a \rightarrow c) \mapsto (a, \text{cofib } \alpha)$  induces a homotopy equivalence  $S_\bullet S_2 C \rightarrow S_\bullet(C \times C) \cong S_\bullet C \times S_\bullet C$ .*

In particular, this induces an equivalence of K-theory spectra.

**Corollary 2.3.** *The functor  $(a, b) \mapsto (a \rightarrow a \vee b \rightarrow b)$  is a homotopy inverse to the functor in Theorem 2.1, and therefore induces an isomorphism on K-theory.*

That is, K-theory splits short exact sequences. The additivity theorem is the main structural theorem about algebraic K-theory, and says that, in a universal-property sense, K-theory splits exact sequences. You may find this extremely emotionally satisfying.

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $C$  and  $D$  be Waldhausen categories (or pointed  $\infty$ -categories with finite colimits) and  $F' \rightarrow F \rightarrow F''$  be a **cofiber sequence** of functors  $C \rightarrow D$ , i.e. for any cofibration  $A \rightarrow B$ , the map  $FA \amalg_{F'A} F'B \rightarrow FB$  is a cofibration.<sup>4</sup> Then, they induce cofiber sequences pointwise. Then, on K-theory,  $K(F) \simeq K(F') \vee K(F'')$ .*

<sup>4</sup>This is an example of a **latching condition**; it can often be suppressed in the  $\infty$ -categorical world, though perhaps at the expense of more work somewhere else. Conditions like this show up when establishing model-categorical structures.

**Example 2.5.** Consider the cone and suspension functors  $\text{Cone}, \Sigma: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ .

There is a pullback square of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{id} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Cone} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ * & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Sigma. \end{array}$$

Thus, on  $K$ -theory, the cone functor is homotopic to  $\text{id} \vee \Sigma$ , but the cone is null-homotopic, so  $\Sigma$  acts by “ $-1$ ” on  $K$ -theory.<sup>5</sup> ◀

Not all Waldhausen categories have a cone functor, but this tells us that, when we do have it,  $K$ -theory is a stable invariant.

The proof will involve simplicial homotopy theory. Let  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be an exact functor of Waldhausen categories. Following McCarthy’s notation, let  $S_\bullet F|D$  denote the bisimplicial set whose  $(m, n)$ -simplices are the pairs of cofiber sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 = c_0 & \longrightarrow & c_1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & c_m \\ 0 = d_0 & \longrightarrow & dc_1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & d_m \longrightarrow e_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow e_n \end{array}$$

such that for each  $i$ ,  $F(c_i) = d_i$  and for  $0 \leq i < m$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(c_i) & \longrightarrow & F(c_{i+1}) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ d_i & \longrightarrow & d_{i+1}. \end{array}$$

Such sequences are written with bars:

$$\frac{0 = c_0 \longrightarrow c_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow c_m}{0 = d_0 \longrightarrow dc_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow d_m \longrightarrow e_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow e_n}$$

We use this to obtain an easier condition to prove.

**Proposition 2.6.** *The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $S_\bullet F: S_\bullet \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S_\bullet \mathcal{D}$  is a homotopy equivalence.
- (2) The bisimplicial map  $S_\bullet F|D \rightarrow S_\bullet DR$  is a homotopy equivalence.

Here, if  $X \in \text{sSet}$ ,  $XR$  denotes the bisimplicial set with  $(m, n)$ -simplices  $XR_{m,n} := X_m$ , i.e. it’s constant in the first entry. The analogous construction  $XL$  operates in the other index. We need this because  $S_\bullet D$  is merely a simplicial set.

*Proof.* Given a  $\mathbf{c}/\mathbf{de} \in (S_\bullet F|D)_{m,n}$ , we can forget  $\mathbf{d}$  and  $\mathbf{e}$  to obtain the cofiber sequence of the  $c_i$ . This defines a map  $\pi_F: S_\bullet F|D \rightarrow S_\bullet CL$ . Similarly, there is a map  $\rho_F: S_\bullet F|D \rightarrow S_\bullet DR$  sending

$$\mathbf{c}/\mathbf{de} \mapsto 0 = e_0/e_0 \longrightarrow e_1/e_0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow e_n/e_0.$$

These fit into a diagram of bisimplicial sets

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} S_\bullet DR & \xleftarrow{\rho_F} & S_\bullet F|D & \xrightarrow{\pi_F} & S_\bullet CL \\ \parallel & & \downarrow F & & \downarrow S_\bullet F \\ S_\bullet DR & \xleftarrow{\rho_{\text{id}}} & S_\bullet \text{id}|D & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\text{id}}} & S_\bullet DL. \end{array}$$

Using the following lemma, one can show that  $\rho_{\text{id}}$ ,  $\pi_{\text{id}}$ , and  $\pi_F$  are homotopy equivalences.

**Lemma 2.8 (Realization).** *Let  $f: X_{\bullet,\bullet} \rightarrow Y_{\bullet,\bullet}$  be a map of bisimplicial sets such that  $f_{\bullet,n}: X_{\bullet,n} \rightarrow Y_{\bullet,n}$  is a weak equivalence for all  $n$ . Then,  $f$  is a weak equivalence.*

This means that along the outer square of (2.7), if  $S_\bullet F$  is a homotopy equivalence, so is  $\rho$ , and vice versa: they’re connected by a zigzag of homotopy equivalences. ◻

<sup>5</sup>In fact,  $\Sigma$  acts by precisely  $-1$  on  $K_0(\mathcal{C})$ .

**Corollary 2.9.** Let  $E_n: S_\bullet F|D_{\bullet,n} \rightarrow S_\bullet F|D_{\bullet,n}$  be the simplicial map sending

$$\mathbf{c}/\mathbf{de} \mapsto \mathbf{0}/\mathbf{0}(e_0/e_\emptyset \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow e_n/e_0).$$

Suppose that for all  $n$ ,  $E_n$  is a weak equivalence; then,  $S_\bullet F: S_\bullet C \rightarrow S_\bullet D$  is a weak equivalence.

*Proof.* The map  $\rho_F: S_\bullet F|D_{\bullet,n} \rightarrow S_\bullet DR_{\bullet,n}$  is split by a map  $I_n$  that puts the zeros in front; then,  $I_n \circ \rho = E_n$ , and the assumptions and Lemma 2.8 finish it off.  $\square$

Now we can get down to proving the additivity theorem.

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Let  $F: (a \rightarrow c \rightarrow b) \mapsto (a, b)$  be the functor in question. We'll check that  $E_n$  is a homotopy equivalence for all  $n$ . Let  $\Gamma: S_\bullet F|C_{\bullet,n}^2 \rightarrow S_\bullet F|C_{\bullet,n}^2$  be the functor sending the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} a_\emptyset & \rightarrow & a_1 & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & a_m \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ c_\emptyset & \rightarrow & c_1 & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & c_m \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ b_\emptyset & \rightarrow & a_1 & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & b_m \\ \hline a_\emptyset & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & a_m & \rightarrow & s_\emptyset \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow s_m \\ b_\emptyset & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & b_m & \rightarrow & t_\emptyset \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow t_m \end{array}$$

to the diagram where  $a_i$  has been set to 0,  $\mathbf{c}$  with  $\mathbf{b}$ , and  $s_i$  with  $s_i/s_0$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ b_\emptyset & \rightarrow & b_1 & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & b_m \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ b_\emptyset & \rightarrow & a_1 & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & b_m \\ \hline 0 & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & s_0/s_\emptyset \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow s_m/s_0 \\ b_\emptyset & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & b_m & \rightarrow & t_\emptyset \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow t_m. \end{array}$$

Thus,  $\Gamma$  projects onto the subcomplex  $\mathcal{X}$  of these diagrams with all  $a_i = 0$ .

**Proposition 2.10.**

- (1) As maps  $S_\bullet F|C_{\bullet,n}^2 \rightarrow S_\bullet F|C_{\bullet,n}^2$ ,  $\Gamma \simeq \text{id}$ .
- (2) On  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $E_n|_{\mathcal{X}} \simeq \text{id}_{\mathcal{X}}$ .

*Proof sketch.* For the second part, on  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $\Gamma$  behaves akin to the nerve of a category, but with an additional terminal object.<sup>6</sup>

For the first part, we'll need to write an explicit homotopy down. Recall that a simplicial homotopy is data of the form  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$  and maps  $h_i: X_q \rightarrow Y_{q+1}$  whenever  $0 \leq i \leq q$  plus tons of rules for the face and degeneracy maps that encode what a map  $I \times X \rightarrow Y$  means. So what's our desired homotopy?

<sup>6</sup>I didn't fully follow this. What happened?

Given  $\mathbf{a}$ ,  $\mathbf{c}$ , and  $\mathbf{s}$ , let  $X_i := c_i \amalg_{a_i} s_0$ , and let  $h_i(e)$  produce the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccc}
 0 & \xrightarrow{a_0} & a_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\quad} & a_i & \xrightarrow{\quad} & s_0 & \xlongequal{\quad} & s_0 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \cdots & \xlongequal{\quad} & s_m \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \xrightarrow{c_0} & c_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\quad} & c_i & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X_i & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X_m \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \xrightarrow{b_0} & b_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\quad} & b_i & \xrightarrow{\quad} & b_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & b_{i+2} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\quad} & b_m \\
 \hline
 & & 0 & \xrightarrow{a_0} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\quad} & a_i & \xrightarrow{\quad} & s_0 & \xlongequal{\quad} & s_0 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \cdots & \xlongequal{\quad} & s_0 \\
 & & 0 & \xrightarrow{b_0} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\quad} & b_i & \xrightarrow{\quad} & b_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\quad} & & & b_m
 \end{array}$$

Then, one can check  $d_0 h_0 = \Gamma$ ,  $d_{m+1} h_m = \text{id}$ , and (though it's laborious to check), the  $h_i$  satisfy the needed simplicial identities.

This finishes off the proof of the additivity theorem.  $\square$

If the simplicial argument is terrifying or murky, look at the proof of Quillen's theorem A, which could be a good warm-up for this argument.

**Exercise 2.11.** What's needed from your functor  $F$  such that  $S_\bullet F$  satisfies the additivity theorem? A lot of this can be relaxed, but not all of it.

We're going to skip a lot of Lurie's lectures, since we care more about the assembly map than algebraic K-theory this semester.

### 3. ALGEBRAIC K-THEORY OF SPACES: 2/8/17

Today, Zhu talked about the algebraic K-theory of spaces, corresponding to lecture 21 in Lurie's notes.

Today we'll be working in  $\infty$ -categorical language, and will use a lot of Kan extensions.  $X$  will always denote a topological space, which we'll think of as a Kan complex, and  $\mathcal{C}$  will denote an  $\infty$ -category.

**Definition 3.1.** A **local system on  $X$  with values in  $\mathcal{C}$**  is a map of  $\infty$ -categories (i.e. a simplicial map)  $X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . The category of  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued local systems on  $X$  is denoted  $\mathcal{C}^X$ .

**Exercise 3.2.** We're used to thinking of local systems with values in a group or a ring. How does that definition fit into this one?

**Example 3.3.** For example, if  $\mathcal{C}$  is an ordinary category, we think of its higher simplices as just being identities, so a local system on  $X$  valued in  $\mathcal{C}$  factors through the fundamental groupoid  $\pi_{\leq 1}(X)$  of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is a Kan complex and so everything in this is invertible, these will also factor through the maximal subgroupoid of  $\mathcal{C}$  — it only sees invertible morphisms.  $\triangleleft$

**Example 3.4.** If  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Top}$  is the  $\infty$ -category of spaces, then we get what's called a **local system of spaces**. The collection of these local systems forms the functor category  $\text{Fun}(X, \text{Top})$ , which is equivalent to the category of spaces over  $X$ ,  $\text{Top}_{/X}$ : a space over  $X$  such as  $p: Y \rightarrow X$  is sent to the local system of homotopy fibers  $x \mapsto p^{-1}(x)$ .

If  $X = BG$ , a space over  $BG$  can be pulled back from a map  $\varphi: Y \rightarrow BG$ , defining a  $G$ -space  $Y_* = \varphi^{-1}(EG \rightarrow BG)$ . This is related to Lurie's straightening and unstraightening construction, and is also an example of a Grothendieck construction; it demonstrates the interplay between the perspective of spaces over  $BG$  and  $G$ -spaces. (There may be some technical details incorrect here.)  $\triangleleft$

**Example 3.5.** Let  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Top}_*$  be the category of based systems. Then, local systems on  $X$  valued in  $\mathcal{C}$  can be identified with  $\text{Top}_{X//X}$ , the category of spaces that retract to  $X$ , since such a local system defines maps  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X$  (TODO: why?) whose composition is  $\text{id}_X$ .  $\triangleleft$

Let's consider a local system  $\mathcal{L}$  valued in the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Sp}$  of spectra. Since  $\text{Sp}$  is complete and cocomplete, we can define  $C_*(X; \mathcal{L}) := \text{colim } \mathcal{L}$  and  $C^*(X; \mathcal{L}) := \text{lim } \mathcal{L}$ . If  $\mathcal{L}$  is the constant system valued in  $E$ , then  $C_*(X; \mathcal{L}) = E \wedge X_+$  and  $C^*(X; \mathcal{L}) = E^X$ .<sup>7</sup>

<sup>7</sup>If you like sheafy things, you might like that spectra-valued local systems are locally constant presheaves of spectra on  $X$ .

Given a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  induces a map on local systems  $f^*: \mathrm{Sp}^Y \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}^X$ , and by categorical nonsense,  $f^*$  will have a left and a right adjoint: the left adjoint is denoted  $f_!$  and read “ $f$  lower-shriek,” and the right adjoint is denoted  $f_*$  and read “ $f$  lower-star.” They’re given by Kan extensions.

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $F: A \rightarrow B$  and  $H: A \rightarrow C$  be functors, where  $A$  is small and  $B$  is complete and cocomplete.

- The **left Kan extension** of  $F$  along  $H$ , denoted  $LK.F$ , is defined by  $LK.F(c) := \mathrm{colim} F|_{A \downarrow c}$ , and comes with a natural transformation to  $F$ .
- The **right Kan extension** of  $F$  along  $H$ , denoted  $RK.F$ , is defined by  $RK.F(c) := \mathrm{lim} F|_{A \downarrow c}$ , and comes with a natural transformation from  $F$ .

This allows us to define the two adjoints: if  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Sp}^X$ ,  $(f_!\mathcal{F})_y := C_*(X_y; \mathcal{F}|_{X_y})$  and  $(f_*\mathcal{F})_y = C^*(X_y; \mathcal{F}|_{X_y})$ .  $i_!$  is a colimit, so can be thought of as a total space construction, or something sort of like this.

**Proposition 3.7.** *The category  $\mathrm{Sp}^X$  is compactly generated.*

Recall that  $C$  is **compactly generated** if it’s equivalent to the ind-category of its full subcategory of compact objects. The desired functor  $F: \mathrm{Ind}(C_{\mathrm{cpt}}) \rightarrow C$  is a left Kan extension, and is sometimes called **Yoneda extension**.

*Proof.* Let  $C$  denote the category of compact objects in  $\mathrm{Sp}^X$  and  $F: \mathrm{Ind}(C) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}^X$  be inclusion. By general categorical theory,  $F$  has a right adjoint  $G$ , and it suffices to prove  $G$  is **conservative**, namely that for any morphism  $\alpha$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}^X$ ,  $G(\alpha)$  is equivalent implies that  $\alpha$  is equivalent.

Let  $i: x \hookrightarrow X$  be inclusion of some point into  $X$  and  $i^*: \mathrm{Sp}^X \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$  be the pullback. This has a left adjoint  $i_!: \mathrm{Sp} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}^X$ . As this is a left adjoint of a left adjoint, it preserves compact objects, and so if  $E \in \mathrm{Sp}$  is compact and  $\alpha: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$  is a morphism of local systems, the adjunction tells us

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Map}(i_!E, G(\mathcal{L})) & \xrightarrow{G(\alpha)} & \mathrm{Map}(i_!E, G(\mathcal{L}')) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathrm{Map}(E, i^*\mathcal{L}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathrm{Map}(E, i^*\mathcal{L}'), \end{array}$$

so if the bottom map is an equivalence, then by compactness,  $\alpha$  must also be an equivalence.  $\square$

*Remark.* The theory of compact generation and being determined under filtered colimits by the compact objects is a formal way of saying there’s a theory of cell complexes. For example, in  $\mathrm{Sp}$ , the compact objects (which coincide with dualizable objects) are finite cell complexes, and taking filtered colimits gives you all cell complexes. So if you have control over the homotopy theory, something like this tends to occur; for shape theory and pro-spaces and pro-spectra, this doesn’t quite work.

This is related to the fact that cohomology theories are *a priori* only defined on finite objects, and to why Bousfield localization works as generally as it does.  $\blacktriangleleft$

Spectra are particularly nicely generated (by a single object!), so  $\mathrm{Sp}^X$  is generated by  $\mathrm{Im}(i_!|_{\mathrm{Comap}(\mathrm{Sp})})$ . In particular, if  $X$  is connected, then  $\mathrm{Sp}^X$  has a single compact generator  $i_!\mathbb{S}$ . By general theory of  $\infty$ -categories, this means  $\mathrm{Sp}^X \cong \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{End}(i_!\mathbb{S})}$  (the  $\infty$ -category of modules over the ring spectrum  $\mathrm{End}(i_!\mathbb{S})$ ). But  $\mathrm{End}(i_!\mathbb{S}, i_!\mathbb{S}) \cong \mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{S}, i^*i_!\mathbb{S}) = i^*i_!\mathbb{S}$ . Replacing  $i$  with a fibration  $E\Omega X \rightarrow X$  (whose fiber is  $\Omega X$ ), we get that  $i^*i_!\mathbb{S} = (i_!)_x = C_*(\Omega X; \mathbb{S})$ , which is the same thing as the spherical group ring  $\mathbb{S}[\Omega X]$ . This is analogous to thinking about the cohomology of local systems, and this can actually be recovered from the general formalism. The theory of transfers can also be derived from this, an idea going back to Becker and Gottlieb, and now has a modern derivation using parameterized homotopy theory. This is one of the reasons parameterized spectra are pretty cool (technical details notwithstanding): you can use them to construct maps that you care about in the nonequivariant case.

We can now define the algebraic  $K$ -theory of spaces through the  $K$ -theory of  $\infty$ -categories.

**Definition 3.8.** The **algebraic  $K$ -theory** of  $X$ , denoted  $A(X)$ , is defined to be  $A(X) := K(C)$ , where  $C$  is the full subcategory of compact objects in  $\mathrm{Sp}^X$ .



The point is that  $\mathcal{C}$  is the same thing as  $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{S}[\Omega X]}$ , a big generalization of looking at modules over  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]$ . There are enough colimits to show that stabilization induces an isomorphism in  $K$ -theory, so you can feed unstable information to it without worrying. This will be useful when applying this to geometric topology. Since  $A(X)$  is a  $K$ -theory construction, it's an infinite loop space, and hence refines to a spectrum. It's not always an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$  ring spectrum, however.

The first few homotopy groups of  $A(X)$  are well-understood.

- $\pi_0(A(X)) = K_0(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)])$ , as we defined purely algebraically.
- $\pi_1(A(X))$  is  $K_1(\mathbb{Z}[G]) := \text{GL}_\infty(\mathbb{Z}[G])^{\text{ab}}$ .

Now we can talk about the assembly map. There is a sequence of functors

$$\text{Top}_X \xrightarrow{(-)_+} \text{Top}_*^X \xrightarrow{\Sigma^\infty} \text{Sp}^X,$$

so if  $F: \text{Top} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$  is a functor, consider left Kan extension  $F_+$  of  $F|_{\{*\}}$  (i.e. restricted to the one-point space) along the inclusion  $\{\hookrightarrow\} \text{Top}$ . That is,

$$F_+(X) := \text{colim}_{*\downarrow X} F(*).$$

The category  $*\downarrow X$  is the points of  $X$ , so  $F_+(X) = F(*) \wedge X_+$ , and this maps to  $F(X)$  by functoriality.

For  $F = A$ , this map  $A(*) \wedge X_+ \rightarrow A(X)$  is called the **assembly map**, and its cofiber is called the **Whitehead spectrum** of  $X$ , denoted  $\mathcal{W}h(X)$ .

One can calculate that  $\pi_0(A(*)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\pi_1(A(*)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ , so running the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence for a connected space  $X$ ,

$$H_0(X; A(*)) \cong H_0(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

and there's a sequence

$$H_0(X; \pi_1(A(*))) \longrightarrow H_1(X; A(*)) \rightrightarrows H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \dots$$

so  $H_1(X; A(*)) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \pi_1(X)^{\text{ab}}$ . Therefore we have a long exact sequence

$$\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \pi_1(X)^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{\beta} K_1(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]) \longrightarrow \pi_1 \mathcal{W}h(X) \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z} \rightrightarrows K_0(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]) \longrightarrow \pi_0 \mathcal{W}h(X) \longrightarrow 0.$$

In particular,  $\pi_0 \mathcal{W}h(X) \cong \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)])$  and  $\pi_1 \mathcal{W}h(X)$  is the Whitehead group of  $X$ . Thus, the Whitehead spectrum generalizes the Whitehead group into stable homotopy theory.

We're now going to study the assembly map in more detail. It exists for pretty much any enriched functor in great generality, and in our case it relates to cool things relating to constructible sheaves and parameterized spectra.

The general construction for a functor  $F$  is that the sequence  $A \rightarrow \text{Map}(B, A \wedge B) \rightarrow \text{Map}(F(B), F(A \wedge B))$ , by an adjunction, produces a sequence  $A \wedge F(B) \rightarrow F(A \wedge B)$ , which is where this map comes from.

#### 4. CONSTRUCTIBLE SHEAVES: 2/22/17

Today, Yuri spoke about constructible sheaves. Be wary that Lurie's notes on constructible sheaves have a bunch of typos, because they've been adapted from Lurie's 287 notes (on algebraic  $L$ -theory, for determining when a space is homotopy equivalent to a smooth manifold).

Last time, we constructed the algebraic  $K$ -theory of spaces, which fits into a sequence  $C_*(X; A(*)) \rightarrow A(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}h(X)$ , where  $A(X)$  is Waldhausen's algebraic  $K$ -theory of  $X$ ,  $A(*) = K(\mathbb{S})$ , and  $C_*(X; A(*))$  is also denoted  $A(*)[x] := A(*) \wedge X_+$ . The Whitehead spectrum measures the failure of  $A$  to preserve limits. We also saw that  $A(X) = K(\mathbb{S}[\Omega X]) = K(\text{Sp}^X)$ .

Throughout today's lecture,  $\mathcal{C}$  denotes an  $\infty$ -category. We have the  $\infty$ -category of spectra in mind, but the theory is more general.

Recall that if  $X$  is a topological space, then a sheaf on  $X$  with values in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a functor  $\text{Top}(X)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  satisfying a descent condition. Here,  $\text{Top}(X)$  is the category whose objects are open subsets of  $X$  and whose morphisms are inclusions  $U \hookrightarrow V$ . We would like a notion of sheaves for our simplicial objects, so let's recall how we got there. Let  $X$  be a finite polyhedron, so it admits a PL triangulation, which produces a finite poset  $\Sigma(X)$  of simplices.

In the Quillen model structure on  $\mathbf{sSet}$ , the singular complex  $\mathrm{Sing}(X) \simeq N(\Sigma(X))$ , but we want an equivalence as categories. In the Joyal model structure,  $\mathrm{Sing}(X)$  is weakly equivalent to the “Kanification” or “groupoidification”  $\mathrm{Gpd}(N(\Sigma(X)))$  of  $N(\Sigma(X))$ , which is how we get a space ( $\infty$ -groupoid) from an  $\infty$ -category.

The local systems on  $X$  valued in  $\mathbf{C}$  are  $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Sing}(X), \mathbf{C}) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Gpd}(\Sigma(N(X))), \mathbf{C})$ . But these are particular instances of  $\mathrm{Fun}(N(\Sigma(X)), \mathbf{C})$ . That is, for functors  $F: N(\Sigma(X)) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , we’ve removed the requirement that if  $\sigma \subseteq \tau$  then  $F(\sigma) \simeq F(\tau)$ .

**Definition 4.1.** A sheaf on  $X$  with values in  $\mathbf{C}$  which is **constructible** with respect to the triangulation  $X$  is a functor  $N(\Sigma(X)) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ . The category of such constructible sheaves is denoted  $\mathrm{Sh}_\Sigma(X; \mathbf{C})$ .

This is approximately the same definition as a constructible sheaf in algebraic geometry. A constructible sheaf is a  $\mathbf{C}$ -valued sheaf on  $X$  whose restriction to the interior of each simplex of  $\Sigma(X)$  is constant.

Of course, we’d like to say things which are independent of triangulation, but we cannot escape the triangulations entirely.

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $\Sigma'$  and  $\Sigma$  be two posets of simplices on  $X$ . Then,  $\Sigma'$  **reifies**  $\Sigma$ , written  $\Sigma' \prec \Sigma$ , if every simplex of  $\Sigma'$  maps linearly into  $\Sigma$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** Suppose  $\Sigma'$  reifies  $\Sigma$ . Then, there is an adjunction  $\iota_+ : \mathrm{Sh}_\Sigma(X; \mathbf{C}) \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Sh}_{\Sigma'}(X; \mathbf{C})$  whose right adjoint is fully faithful.

This is equivalent to showing that its counit is an equivalence. First, though, we must define these functors: for any simplices  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  and  $\sigma' \in \Sigma'$ , let

$$(i_+ F)(\sigma) := \varinjlim_{\sigma' \in \Sigma'} F(\sigma')$$

$$(i^* G)(\sigma') := G(f(\sigma')),$$

where  $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma'$  is the linear inclusion of simplices.

*Proof sketch of Proposition 4.3.* Our first step is to write everything as a colimit: for all  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  and  $G \in \mathrm{Sh}_\Sigma$ ,

$$\varinjlim_{\substack{\sigma' \in \Sigma' \\ \sigma' \subseteq \sigma}} G(f(\sigma')) \longrightarrow G(\sigma) = \varinjlim_{\substack{\sigma' \in \Sigma' \\ \sigma' \subseteq \sigma}} G(\sigma).$$

Let  $P_0 = \{\sigma' \in \Sigma' \mid \sigma' \subseteq \sigma\}$  and  $P_1 = \{\sigma' \in P_0 \mid f(\sigma') = \sigma\}$ , so that  $P_1$  is left cofinal in  $P_0$ .

Here we quote a preexisting fact: if  $M$  is a PL manifold with boundary equipped with a triangulation and  $Q$  is the poset of simplices in  $\Sigma(M)$  not contained in  $\partial M$ , then  $Q \hookrightarrow \Sigma(M)$  is left cofinal.

Thus, we only have to check on the left cofinal subsystem, which is much easier.  $\square$

Anyways, we’ve seen that if  $\Sigma' \prec \Sigma$ , then  $\mathrm{Sh}_\Sigma(X; \mathbf{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_{\Sigma'}(X; \mathbf{C})$ . Now we can define constructible sheaves without reference to a triangulation.

**Definition 4.4.** The **PL-constructible sheaves on  $X$  with values in  $\mathbf{C}$**  is the  $\infty$ -category

$$\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{PL}}(X; \mathbf{C}) := \varinjlim_{\text{triangulations } \Sigma \text{ of } X} \mathrm{Sh}_\Sigma(X).$$

We would like this to be functorial. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a PL map of finite polyhedra. If  $\Sigma(X)$  and  $\Sigma(Y)$  are compatible triangulations,  $f$  induces a map  $r : \Sigma(X) \rightarrow \Sigma(Y)$ , which we saw last semester is a Cartesian fibration. Thus we obtain a map  $f^* : \mathrm{Sh}_{\Sigma(Y)}(Y; \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_{\Sigma(X)}(X; \mathbf{C})$ , and if  $\mathbf{C}$  has enough adjoints (which the  $\infty$ -category of spectra does), then Kan extension defines a right adjoint  $f_* : \mathrm{Sh}_{\Sigma(X)}(X; \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_{\Sigma(Y)}(Y; \mathbf{C})$ . Since  $r$  is a Cartesian fibration, the formula is a little simpler than the general case: for any  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Sh}_{\Sigma(X)}(X; \mathbf{C})$  and  $\tau \in \Sigma(Y)$ , it says that

$$(f_* \mathcal{F})(\tau) = \varprojlim_{f(\sigma) = \tau} F(\sigma).$$

You can check that both  $f_*$  and  $f^*$  are compatible with refinements, and therefore there is an adjunction

$$f_* : \mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{PL}}(X; \mathbf{C}) \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{PL}}(Y; \mathbf{C}) : f^*.$$

On the level of triangulations,  $f^*$  also has a right adjoint  $f_! : \text{Sh}_{\Sigma(X)}(X; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{\Sigma(Y)}(Y; \mathbb{C})$ , but this is not compatible with triangulations. Moreover, since this is an adjunction between sheaf categories (technically, it arises from a **geometric morphism** between toposes), so the left adjoint preserves finite limits.<sup>8</sup> (By the general theory of adjunctions, it automatically preserves all colimits.)

We'll use this to study parameterized polyhedra, and then use constructible sheaves to build homology theories. Constructibility is the finiteness condition that makes this work; we'll end up building a sheaf of spectra and use it to characterize  $A(*) \wedge X_+$ .

## 5. UNIVERSAL LOCAL ACYCLICITY: 3/1/17

*"Quillen's Theorem A is a way of life."*

Today, Nicky spoke about universal acyclicity: if  $X$  is a triangulation of a polyhedron with a map to a space  $S$  and  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Sh}_{\text{PL}}(X; \mathbb{C})$  is a constructible sheaf, when are the fibers  $\mathcal{F}_s$  on  $X_s$  well-behaved?

For concreteness, suppose  $X$  and  $S$  are topological spaces and  $f : X \rightarrow S$  is continuous, and  $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow S$  is a path in  $S$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_p$  denote the pullback of  $\mathcal{F}$  along  $p$  to a sheaf on  $X \times [0, 1]$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_p^0 = \mathcal{F}_p|_{X \times (0, 1]}$ ,  $j : X \times (0, 1] \rightarrow X \times [0, 1]$  be inclusion, and let  $\mathcal{G} := j_* \mathcal{F}_p^0|_{X \times_S \{0\}}$ . This  $\mathcal{G}$  is called the **nearby cycle sheaf**.

There's a natural map  $\theta : \mathcal{F}|_{X \times_S \{0\}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ ; its fiber is called the **vanishing cycles sheaf** of  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $p(0)$ , in the direction of  $p$ .

Heuristically, universal local acyclicity (ULA) means there are no vanishing cycles for any point  $s \in S$  and any path  $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow S$ . This has to do with deforming objects, e.g. in algebraic geometry where  $S$  is a moduli space, so we're deforming some family of objects over  $S$ . For example, you might have a family of tori where one fiber is singular, and this notion can tell you how bad the singularity is: rather than working over all of  $S$ , looking at paths in a single direction can tell you better information about the singularity. Often, you'd prefer to work with elements of the tangent space instead of paths.

Now assume  $X$  and  $S$  are finite polyhedra and  $f : X \rightarrow S$  is piecewise linear. Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be an  $\infty$ -category with finite limits. Throughout we choose triangulations of  $X$  and  $S$ , producing posets  $\Sigma X$  and  $\Sigma S$ , which make  $f$  simplicial.

**Definition 5.1.** A constructible sheaf  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Sh}_{\Sigma X}(X; \mathbb{C})$  is **universally locally acyclic (ULA)** with respect to  $f$  at a simplex  $\sigma \in \Sigma X$  if for all  $\tau \in \Sigma S$  containing  $f(\sigma_0)$ , the canonical map

$$(5.2) \quad \mathcal{F}(\sigma_0) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{\substack{\sigma_0 \subset \sigma \\ f(\sigma) = f(\sigma_0)}} \mathcal{F}(\sigma)$$

is an equivalence in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

$\mathcal{F}$  is **universally locally acyclic at a point**  $x \in X$  if it's ULA at all simplices containing  $x$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is ULA at all points  $x \in X$ , one simply says  $\mathcal{F}$  is **universally locally acyclic**.

This is a complicated condition; we will exhibit the right-hand side of (5.2) as a Kan extension, however.

The set of  $x \in X$  where  $\mathcal{F}$  is ULA is open, and its complement is a subpolyhedron.

*Remark.* Given  $\sigma \in \Sigma X$  and  $\tau \in \Sigma S$ , the poset  $\{\sigma_0 = \sigma \cap f^{-1}(f(\sigma_0)) \mid f(\sigma) = \tau\}$  is a cofinal sub-poset of  $\{\sigma_0 \subset \sigma \mid f(\sigma_0) = \tau\}$ .  $\blacktriangleleft$

**Example 5.3.**

- (1) Let  $S = X$  and  $f = \text{id}_X$ . Then,  $\{\sigma : f(\sigma) = \tau\} = \{\tau\}$ , so  $\mathcal{F}$  is ULA means that if any two simplices are contained in a larger simplex, they have the same value, so universal local acyclicity is equivalent to being locally constant.<sup>9</sup>
- (2) If  $f : X \rightarrow *$  is the crush map, then every sheaf is ULA.
- (3) If  $f(\sigma) \in \Sigma S$  is maximal, i.e. not a face of a larger simplex, then  $\mathcal{F}$  is ULA at  $\sigma$ .  $\blacktriangleleft$

The latter example implies an analogue of Sard's theorem.

<sup>8</sup>The Grothendieck way of thinking about this is to replace geometric objects in a topos with the categories of sheaves on them, and geometric maps between them (regular, smooth, whichever context you're in) with adjunctions between the categories of sheaves such that the left adjoint preserves finite limits.

<sup>9</sup>We'll see in Corollary 5.7 that if universal local acyclicity is equivalent to being locally constant, then  $f$  must be a fibration.

**Theorem 5.4** (“Sard’s theorem”).  $\mathcal{F}$  is ULA at the preimage of the union of the interiors of all maximal simplices of  $S$ ; in particular, this is a nonempty, open, dense subset of  $S$ .

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a PL sheaf, then universal local acyclicity is independent of choice of triangulation. Concretely, let  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Sh}_{\Sigma X}(X; \mathbb{C})$  and take refinements  $\Sigma'X$  and  $\Sigma'S$  of  $\Sigma X$  and  $\Sigma S$ , respectively. Let  $\mathcal{F}' \in \text{Sh}_{\Sigma'X}(X; \mathbb{C})$  be the pullback of  $\mathcal{F}$  along  $g : \Sigma'X \rightarrow \Sigma X$ .

**Proposition 5.5.** In the situation above,  $\mathcal{F}$  is ULA at  $x \in X$  iff  $\mathcal{F}'$  is ULA at  $x$ .

*Proof.* We’d like to show that  $\mathcal{F}'$  is ULA at  $x \in X$  with respect to some  $\sigma'_0 \in \Sigma'X$  iff it’s ULA at  $x$  with respect to  $\sigma_0 = g(\sigma'_0)$ . Take  $\tau' \in \Sigma'S$  to contain  $\tau'_0 := f(\sigma'_0)$ , and let  $\tau_0 := g(\tau'_0)$  and  $\tau := g(\tau')$ . Then we get a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(\sigma_0) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \varprojlim_{\substack{\sigma_0 \subseteq \sigma \\ f(\sigma) = \tau}} \mathcal{F}(\sigma) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}'(\sigma'_0) & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim_{\substack{\sigma'_0 \subseteq \sigma' \\ f(\sigma') = \tau'}} \mathcal{F}'(\sigma'). \end{array}$$

The right-hand map is surjective, and  $g$  makes the indexing poset of its codomain cofinal in the indexing coset of its domain. We want to show its (homotopy) fiber is weakly contractible. This comes from lecture 9: this fiber is

$$\{\sigma' \in \Sigma'X \mid \sigma'_0 \subseteq \sigma' \subseteq \sigma, f(\sigma') = \tau'\},$$

and this fiber vanishes when the map is a fibration. But we also saw that a surjective PL map is a fibration, so the fiber is weakly contractible.

The converse is very similar. □

**Proposition 5.6.** Universal local acyclicity is preserved by base change: given a pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ g' \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ S' & \xrightarrow{h} & S, \end{array}$$

then for all  $x' \in X'$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  is universally locally acyclic at  $f(x')$  iff  $f^*\mathcal{F}$  is universally locally acyclic at  $x'$ .

The proof is similar, but involves twice as many decorations.

**Corollary 5.7.** The following are equivalent:

- (1)  $f$  is a fibration.
- (2) For all  $\infty$ -categories  $\mathbb{C}$  with finite limits and all locally constant  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Sh}_{\Sigma X}(X; \mathbb{C})$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  is ULA with respect to  $f$ .

*Proof sketch.* For (1)  $\implies$  (2), the indexing poset will be contractible via combinatorial criterion for a fibration. By the locally constant assumption, all objects in the limit will be the same.

In the other direction, choose  $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}$  and  $\mathcal{F} = *$  everywhere. Then, universal local acyclicity implies that posets of the form  $\{\sigma \mid \sigma_0 \subset \sigma, f(\sigma) \subset \tau\}$  are contractible. □

## 6. UNIVERSAL LOCAL ACYCLICITY II: 3/8/17

Today, Nicky said a little more about universal local acyclicity.

Suppose that we have, say, a family of tori over a base. The generic fiber is smooth, but deforms onto a singular fiber in a typically non-algebraic (or non-holomorphic) way. Let  $x_1$  be a point where the fiber  $X_1$  is smooth,  $x_0$  be where the fiber  $X_0$  is singular, and  $r : x_1 \rightarrow x_0$  be a path. Then, the nearby cycles sheaf  $r^*\mathcal{F}$  satisfy  $H^*(X_0; r^*\mathbb{C}) = H^*(X_1; \mathbb{C})$ , and therefore at least cohomologically, the nearby cycles sheaf tells you what we “should” get if we wanted  $X_0$  to be smooth. Moreover, the vanishing cycles are the cone of the map  $\underline{C}_{x_0}$  to nearby points.

We're going to use these vanishing cycles for the assembly map. Another way they're used: if you carefully trace through the definition of a PL fibration, you see having no vanishing cycles (with respect to any point or direction) is equivalent to universal local acyclicity. This is useful because it means you can compute everything generically.

It will sometimes be useful to remove the dependence on the ambient space and consider properties of the sheaf. In many situations, we'll look at fibrations over the unit interval and compare the fibers at 0 and 1.

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ & \searrow h & \swarrow g \\ & S & \end{array}$$

*be a diagram of PL maps of finite polyhedra, and let  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Sh}_{\text{PL}}(X; \mathbb{C})$  be a universally locally acyclic sheaf. Then,  $f_*\mathcal{F} \in \text{Sh}_{\text{PL}}(Y; \mathbb{C})$  is also universally locally acyclic. The converse is true when  $f$  is a closed embedding.*

*Proof.* Choose compatible triangulations, i.e. such that  $f$ ,  $g$ , and  $h$  are simplicial, and such that  $\mathcal{F}$  is constructible with respect to  $\Sigma X$ .

Let  $\sigma_0 \in \Sigma Y$ , and let  $\tau_0 \in g(\sigma_0)$ , and let  $\tau \in \Sigma S$  contain  $\tau_0$ . We want to show that we can compute the value of  $f_*\mathcal{F}$  at  $\sigma_0$  by taking a limit over all  $\sigma \supset \sigma_0$  that get mapped on the nose to  $\tau$ . Using the definition of pushforward, we can rewrite the ULA condition on  $Y$  as

$$\varprojlim_{f(\theta_0)=\sigma_0} \mathcal{F}(\theta_0) \xrightarrow{\Phi} \varprojlim_{\substack{\sigma_0 \subseteq f(\theta_0) \\ h(\theta)=\tau}} \mathcal{F}(\theta).$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} P &:= \{\theta_0 \in \Sigma X \mid f(\theta_0) = \sigma_0\} \\ Q &:= \{\theta \in \Sigma X \mid h(\theta) = \tau \text{ and } f(\theta) \supseteq \sigma_0\}. \end{aligned}$$

We'll complete the proof by showing  $\mathcal{F}|_P$  is a right Kan extension of  $\mathcal{F}|_Q$  along  $\Phi$ . It suffices to show that for all  $\theta_0 \in P$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}(\theta_0) \longrightarrow \lim_{\substack{\theta \in Q \\ \theta_0 \subseteq \theta}} \mathcal{F}(\theta)$$

is an equivalence. But this follows almost immediately because  $\mathcal{F}$  is universally locally acyclic over  $S$ .  $\square$

## 7. A MODEL FOR THE ASSEMBLY MAP: 3/22/17

First we have one more proposition to prove about universally locally acyclic sheaves.

**Proposition 7.1.** *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ & \searrow h & \swarrow g \\ & S & \end{array}$$

*be a commutative diagram of finite polyhedra in which  $g$  is a fibration. If  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Shv}_{\text{PL}}(X; \mathbb{C})$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is universally locally acyclic over  $Y$  iff the following is true:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{F}$  is universally locally acyclic over  $S$ .
- (2) For each  $s \in S$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_s := \mathcal{F}|_{X_s}$  is universally locally acyclic over  $Y_s$ .

If  $X = Y$ , this shows that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is locally constant, then it's universally locally acyclic over  $S$ , like we saw before.

*Proof.* As universal local acyclicity is preserved under base change, condition (2) is necessary, so we'll show that, assuming it,  $\mathcal{F}$  is universally locally acyclic over  $X$  iff it is over  $Y$ .

As usual, fix compatible triangulations of  $X$ ,  $Y$ , and  $S$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  is constructible with respect to  $\Sigma(X)$ . Let  $\theta_0 \in \Sigma(X)$ ,  $\sigma_0 := f(\theta_0)$ , and  $\tau_0 := h(\theta_0)$ .

Let  $\tau \in \Sigma(S)$  contain  $\tau_0$  and

$$P := \{\theta \in h^{-1}(\tau) \mid \theta_0 \subseteq \theta\}$$

$$Q := \{\sigma \in g^{-1}(\tau) \mid \sigma_0 \subseteq \sigma\}.$$

Because the diagram commutes,  $f$  defines a morphism of posets  $P \rightarrow Q$ ; for a  $\sigma \in Q$ , let  $P_\sigma \subseteq P$  denote its preimage.

**Claim.** The right Kan extension  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $\mathcal{F}|_P$  along  $P \rightarrow Q$  is a locally constant functor, i.e. it sends inequalities to equivalences.

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma' \subseteq \sigma$  be simplices in  $Q$ ; it suffices to show the induced map  $\mathcal{G}(\sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\sigma')$  is an equivalence.

The map  $\theta \mapsto \theta \cap f^{-1}(\sigma)$  induces a morphism of posets  $\lambda: P_{\sigma'} \rightarrow P_\sigma$ , and the claim follows if  $\mathcal{F}|_{P_\sigma}$  is a right Kan extension of  $\mathcal{F}|_{P_{\sigma'}}$  along  $\lambda$ . This means that for any  $\theta \in P_\sigma$ , the canonical map

$$\mathcal{F}(\theta) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{\substack{\theta \supseteq \theta' \\ f(\theta') = \sigma'}} \mathcal{F}(\theta')$$

is an equivalence. Pick an  $s$  in the interior of  $\tau$ ; then, this follows from condition (2).  $\square$

Since  $g$  is a fibration,  $Q$  is weakly contractible; from the claim, for any  $\sigma \in Q$ , the canonical map  $\varprojlim \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\sigma)$  is a C-equivalence, i.e. the canonical map

$$\varprojlim_{\theta \in P} \mathcal{F}(\theta) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{\theta \in P_\sigma} \mathcal{F}(\theta)$$

is an equivalence. This equivalence identifies the natural maps  $\alpha: \mathcal{F}(\theta_0) \rightarrow \varprojlim_{\theta \in P} \mathcal{F}(\theta)$  and  $\beta: \mathcal{F}(\theta_0) \rightarrow \varprojlim_{\theta \in P_\sigma} \mathcal{F}(\theta)$  — but  $\mathcal{F}$  is universally locally acyclic over  $S$  iff  $\alpha$  is an equivalence for any  $\theta_0$  and  $\tau$ , and  $\mathcal{F}$  is universally locally acyclic over  $X$  iff  $\beta$  is an equivalence for any  $\theta_0$  and  $\sigma$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 7.2.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow S$  be a map of finite polyhedra,  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Shv}_{\text{PL}}(X; \text{Sp})$ , and  $\mathcal{G}$  be a local system of spectra on  $X$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is universally locally acyclic over  $S$ , then so is  $\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{G}$ , defined by  $(\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{G})(\sigma) := \mathcal{F}(\sigma) \wedge \mathcal{G}(\sigma)$ .

*Proof.* Fix compatible triangulations of  $X$  and  $S$ . For any  $\sigma_0 \in \Sigma X$ , we wish to show the natural map

$$\mathcal{F}(\sigma_0) \wedge \mathcal{G}(\sigma_0) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{\substack{\sigma_0 \subseteq \sigma \\ f(\sigma) = f(\sigma_0)}} \mathcal{F}(\sigma) \wedge \mathcal{G}(\sigma)$$

is an equivalence. Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is a spectra-valued local system, it's also a locally constant presheaf of spectra on  $X$ , so  $\mathcal{G}(\sigma) \simeq \mathcal{G}(\sigma_0)$ , so it suffices to show that

$$\mathcal{F}(\sigma_0) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{\substack{\sigma_0 \subseteq \sigma \\ f(\sigma) = f(\sigma_0)}} \mathcal{F}(\sigma)$$

is an equivalence — which it is, because  $\mathcal{F}$  is universally locally acyclic.  $\square$

**Definition 7.3.** Let  $X$  and  $S$  be finite polyhedra. Then,  $\text{Shv}_{\text{PL}}^S(X \times S)$  will denote the full subcategory of  $\text{Shv}_{\text{PL}}(X \times S; \text{Sp}^{\text{fin}})$  spanned by the sheaves that are universally locally acyclic over  $S$ .

This is contravariant in  $S$ , because universal local acyclicity is invariant under base change. Thus, the functor

$$[n] \longmapsto \text{Shv}_{\text{PL}}^{\Delta^n}(X \times \Delta^n)$$

is a simplicial object in the  $\infty$ -category of stable  $\infty$ -categories. Applying algebraic  $K$ -theory pointwise, we obtain a simplicial space  $K(\text{Shv}_{\text{PL}}^{\Delta^n}(X \times \Delta^n))$ , whose geometric realization we will denote  $K_\Delta(X)$ .

*Remark.* You could also take the algebraic  $K$ -theory spectrum and then geometrically realize; the result is a connective spectrum whose 0<sup>th</sup> space is  $K_\Delta(X)$ .  $\blacktriangleleft$

The rest of this lecture sets up a connection between  $K_\Delta(X)$  and the Waldhausen  $A$ -theory of  $X$ .

**Definition 7.4.** Let  $C$  be a stable  $\infty$ -category with small colimits. Its **dual** is the full subcategory  $C^\vee \subset \text{Fun}(C, \text{Sp})$  spanned by functors preserving small colimits.

**Example 7.5.** Let  $R$  be an associative ring spectrum. Then,  $({}_R\text{Mod})^\vee \cong \text{Mod}_R$ : every right  $R$ -module  $M$  defines a functor on left  $R$ -modules by  $N \mapsto M \otimes_R N$ .  $\blacktriangleleft$

**Example 7.6.** More generally, suppose  $C$  is compactly generated. If  $C_0$  is the full subcategory of  $C$  spanned by compact objects, then  $C = \text{Ind}(C_0)$ . Let  $\text{Map}(C, D)$  denote the spectrum of maps  $C \rightarrow D$ , and  $h^C: C \mapsto \text{Map}(C, D)$ . Then,  $h^C$  preserves colimits, so is an element of  $C^\vee$ . Sending  $C \mapsto h^C$  is a functor  $C_0^{\text{op}} \rightarrow C^\vee$  which extends to an equivalence  $\text{Ind}(C_0^{\text{op}}) \rightarrow C^\vee$ . Thus,  $C^\vee$  is also compactly generated, and if  $C_0^\vee$  denotes the full subcategory spanned by its compact objects,  $C_0^\vee \cong C_0^{\text{op}}$ . In particular,  $K(C_0^\vee) \simeq K(C_0)$ .  $\blacktriangleleft$

Let  $X$  and  $S$  be finite polyhedra and  $\text{Sp}^X$  denote the  $\infty$ -category of spectra-valued local systems on  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Shv}_{\text{PL}}(X \times S)$  and  $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Sp}^X$ , and consider the kernel transform  $\pi_{2*}(\mathcal{F} \wedge \pi_1^*\mathcal{G})$ ,<sup>10</sup> by Proposition 7.2,  $\mathcal{F} \wedge \pi_2^*\mathcal{G}$  is a constructible sheaf of spectra on  $X \times S$  and is universally locally acyclic over  $S$ , and therefore  $\pi_{2*}(\mathcal{F} \wedge \pi_1^*\mathcal{G})$  is an  $\text{Sp}$ -valued local system on  $S$ . Therefore we have a map

$$\theta_S: S \longrightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Shv}_{\text{PL}}^S(X \times S) \times \text{Sp}^X, \text{Sp})$$

which sends

$$s \longmapsto (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \longmapsto (\pi_{2*}(\mathcal{F} \wedge \pi_1^*\mathcal{G}))(s),$$

and  $\theta_S$  is functorial in  $S$ . As the kernel transform preserves colimits in  $\mathcal{G}$ , we can dualize, realizing the images of  $\theta_S$  as

$$\text{Fun}(\text{Shv}_{\text{PL}}^S(X \times S), (\text{Sp}^X)^\vee).$$

Let  $(\text{Sp}^X)_0^\vee$  denote the full subcategory of compact objects in  $(\text{Sp}^X)^\vee$ .

**Proposition 7.7.**  $\theta_S$  factors through a map  $(\text{Sp}^X)_0^\vee$ , i.e. is the composition of a map  $S \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Shv}_{\text{PL}}^S(X \times S), (\text{Sp}^X)_0^\vee)$  (which we'll also call  $\theta_S$ ) and the inclusion  $(\text{Sp}^X)_0^\vee \hookrightarrow (\text{Sp}^X)^\vee$ .

*Proof.* By functoriality, it suffices to check  $S = *$ , so we have a single functor  $\lambda: \text{Shv}_{\text{PL}}(X) \rightarrow (\text{Sp}^X)_0^\vee$  characterized by  $\lambda(\mathcal{F})(\mathcal{G}) = \pi_{2*}(\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{G})$ . The preimage  $\lambda^{-1}((\text{Sp}^X)_0^\vee) \subset \text{Shv}_{\text{PL}}(X)$  is a stable subcategory, so to show it's the whole thing, it suffices to show that it contains  $i_*\underline{\mathbb{S}}$ , where  $i: \Delta^k \rightarrow X$  is a simplex and  $\underline{\mathbb{S}}$  is the constant sheaf valued in  $\mathbb{S}$ .

Since

$$\lambda(i_*\underline{\mathbb{S}})(\mathcal{G}) = \pi_{2*}(i_*\underline{\mathbb{S}} \wedge \mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G}_x$$

for any  $x \in \text{Im}(i)$ , then  $\lambda(i_*\underline{\mathbb{S}})$  is corepresented by the compact local system  $j_{x!}\mathbb{S}$ , where  $j_x: \{x\} \hookrightarrow X$  is inclusion, and therefore is compact itself.  $\square$

Now let's take the algebraic  $K$ -theory of  $\theta_S$ , producing a map

$$K(\theta_S): K(S) \longrightarrow \text{Fun}(K(\text{Shv}_{\text{PL}}^S(X \times S)), K((\text{Sp}^X)_0^\vee)).$$

By Example 7.6 above,  $K((\text{Sp}^X)_0^\vee) \simeq K(\text{Sp}_0^X)$ , which is by definition  $\Omega^\infty A(X)$ . Thus,  $K(\theta_S)$  is a map

$$K(S) \longrightarrow \text{Fun}(K(\text{Shv}_{\text{PL}}^S(X \times S)), \Omega^\infty A(X)),$$

and this is functorial in  $S$ , so letting  $S$  be a simplex, we obtain a map of simplicial spaces, hence a map

$$K(\text{Shv}_{\text{PL}}^{\Delta^\bullet}(X \times \Delta^\bullet)) \longrightarrow \Omega^\infty A(X),$$

where the latter is taken to be a constant simplicial space. Taking geometric realizations, we have an infinite loop space map

$$(7.8) \quad K_\Delta(X) \longrightarrow \Omega^\infty A(X),$$

or equivalently, a map of connective spectra

$$\Omega^{-\infty} K_\Delta(X) \longrightarrow A(X).$$

Tune in next time as we begin proving:

<sup>10</sup>Here  $\pi_1: X \times S \rightarrow X$  and  $\pi_2: X \times S \rightarrow S$  are the canonical projections.



**Theorem 7.9.** *The map (7.8) is a model for the assembly map, and in particular there's a canonical homotopy equivalence  $K_\Delta(X) \simeq \Omega^\infty(X_+ \wedge A(*))$ .*

The first step is functoriality of the left-hand side.

**Lemma 7.10.**  *$K_\Delta$  is a functor from the category Poly of finite polyhedra and PL maps to the category of  $E_\infty$ -spaces.*

*Proof.* Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a PL map of finite polyhedra. We proved that pushforwards preserve universal local acyclicity, so the map

$$(f \times \text{id})_*: \text{Shv}(X \times S) \longrightarrow \text{Shv}(Y \times S)$$

preserves universal local acyclicity and therefore defines a morphism of simplicial  $\infty$ -categories

$$f_\#: \text{Shv}_{\text{PL}}^\Delta(X \times \Delta^\bullet) \rightarrow \text{Shv}_{\text{PL}}^\Delta(Y \times \Delta^\bullet).$$

Now take algebraic  $K$ -theory and geometric realization to obtain a map of infinite loop spaces  $K_\Delta(f): K_\Delta(X) \rightarrow K_\Delta(Y)$ .  $\square$

The next step is to promote this to a functor of  $\infty$ -categories. Poly can be simplicially enriched: we let  $\text{Poly}(X, Y)$  be the simplicial set whose  $n$ -simplices are  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Poly}}(X \times \Delta^n, Y)$ . Then, we'll do the following:

- First, show that as simplicially enriched categories, Poly is weakly equivalent to the category of finite CW complexes.
- Then, show that by inverting all maps of the form  $X \times \Delta^n \rightarrow X$ , one obtains  $\text{Top}^{\text{fin}}$ , the  $\infty$ -category of finite topological spaces.
- Then, show that  $K_\Delta$  sends weak equivalences to weak equivalences.

Note: we had seminar the following week, but I spoke and did not have lecture notes for it.

## 8. THE ASSEMBLY MAP: 4/19/17

Today, Yuri spoke about the assembly map. I missed some stuff because I came in late; sorry about that.

The assembly map is a map  $X_+ \wedge A(*) \rightarrow A(X) \rightarrow A(X)$ . Here,  $X_+ \wedge A(*) = C_*(X; A(*))$ , and  $A(X) = K(\text{Sp}^X) = K(\mathbb{S}[\Omega X])$ . Lurie's model for this passes through ULA sheaves: given a map  $f: S \rightarrow X$  and an  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Sh}_{\text{PL}}(X)$ , we ask for every simplex  $\sigma_0 \in \Sigma X$  and any  $\tau \in S$  containing  $f(\sigma_0)$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}(\sigma_0) \xrightarrow{\cong} \varinjlim_{\substack{\sigma_0 \subset \sigma \\ f(\sigma) = \tau}} \mathcal{F}(\sigma).$$

In some sense this comes from the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^0 & \xrightarrow{\sigma_0} & \Sigma X \\ \downarrow \sigma_0 \subset \sigma & \nearrow & \downarrow \Sigma f \\ \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{f(\sigma_0) \subseteq \tau} & \Sigma(S). \end{array}$$

If  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Sh}_{\text{PL}}(X)$  is ULA over  $S$  and  $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Sp}^X$ , then  $\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{G}$ , defined by  $(\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{G})(\sigma) := \mathcal{F}(\sigma) \wedge \mathcal{G}(\sigma)$ , is ULA over  $S$ . (We proved this in an earlier lecture.)

**Definition 8.1.**  $\text{Sh}_{\text{PL}}^S(X \times S)$  is the subset of  $\text{Sh}_{\text{PL}}(X \times S, \text{Sp}^{\text{fin}})$  which are ULA over  $S$ .

In the case  $S = \Delta^n$ , we obtain a simplicial object

$$[n] \longmapsto K(\text{Sh}_{\text{PL}}^{\Delta^n}(X \times \Delta^n)).$$

Let  $K_\Delta(X)$  denote its geometric realization. We briefly digress. Let  $C$  be a stable  $\infty$ -category. Stable  $\infty$ -categories are like abelian groups, in

that we should be able to take the dual by taking maps into  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In this case, the stable  $\infty$ -category of spectra plays the role of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and we can let  $C^\vee = \text{Fun}^L(C, \text{Sp})$ . There is an embedding  $C^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}^L(C, \text{Sp})$ .

**Example 8.2.**

- (1) Let  $R$  be an associative ring spectrum. Then,  $({}_R\text{Mod})^\vee = \text{Mod}_R$ , and given a right  $R$ -module  $M$ ,  $M \otimes_R -$  is a functor from  ${}_R\text{Mod} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ .



(2)  $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})^\vee \cong \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})$ . This says something about how compact objects behave under duality.  $\blacktriangleleft$

There are other notions of duality in the  $\infty$ -categorical world, and this is the question of duality (which gives you maps  $F^\vee: \mathcal{D}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\vee$  given a functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ ) versus a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -action (which gives you  $F^{\text{op}}: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}}$ ).

Now, we define a push-pull construction: we have projection maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X \times S & \\ \swarrow \phi & & \searrow \psi \\ X & & S, \end{array}$$

so given an  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Sh}_{\text{PL}}^S(X \times S)$  and a  $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Sp}^X$ , consider their kernel transform  $\psi_*(\mathcal{F} \wedge \phi^*\mathcal{G}) \in \text{Sp}^S$ . The construction

$$s \mapsto (\mathcal{F} \mapsto (\mathcal{G} \mapsto (\psi_*(\mathcal{F}_s \wedge \mathcal{G}_s))))$$

defines a map

$$S \longrightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Sh}_{\text{PL}}^S(X \times S), (\text{Sp}^X)^\vee),$$

since it's a map  $\text{Sh}_{\text{PL}}^S(X \times S) \times \text{Sp}^X \rightarrow \text{Sp}^S$ . We can check that furthermore, this factors through compact objects, for which it suffices to check on skyscraper sheaves.

Then take algebraic  $K$ -theory: we obtain a map

$$K(S) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(K(\text{Sh}_{\text{PL}}^S(X \times S)), K(\text{Sp}^X)_0^\vee).$$

But the point of the digression is that  $K(\text{Sp}^X)_0^\vee = K(\text{Sp}^X) = A(X)$ . If we again let  $S = \Delta^n$  and geometrically realize the resulting simplicial object, we get a map

$$K_\Delta(X) \rightarrow \Omega^\infty A(X).$$

We claim this is a model of the assembly map. In particular,  $K_\Delta(X) \simeq X_+ \wedge \Omega^\infty A(*)$ .

We can also write this in the world of connective spectra: let  $B^\infty Y$  be the connective spectrum associated to an infinite loop space  $Y$ ; then, we obtain a spectrum map  $B^\infty K_\Delta(X) \rightarrow A(X)$ .

**Proposition 8.3.** *When  $X$  is a point, this map is an equivalence.*

*Proof.* We want to prove that  $B^\infty K_\Delta(*) \xrightarrow{\cong} A(*) = K(\text{Sp})$ . The domain is

$$\varprojlim_{[n]} K(\text{Sh}_{\text{PL}}^{\Delta^n}(\bullet \times \Delta^n)),$$

but the map  $\bullet \times \Delta^n \rightarrow \Delta^n$  is the identity, so we're just looking for locally constant objects. Since  $\Delta^n$  is contractible in the Quillen model structure, this is just  $\text{Sp}$ , so the map is  $K(\text{Sp}) \rightarrow K(\text{Sp})$  and turns out to be the identity.  $\square$

More generally, for  $X$  finite, we get a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \wedge B^\infty K_\Delta(*) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & X_+ \wedge A(*) \\ \downarrow & \searrow \Phi & \downarrow \\ B^\infty K_\Delta(X) & \longrightarrow & A(X). \end{array}$$

We're going to show that  $\Phi$  is an equivalence, though this will take some time.

*Remark.* How general is this construction? Given an enriched functor  $F: \text{Cat}^\infty \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ , there's an assembly map. Is this a model of it? Certainly, we need  $F(\mathcal{C}) = f(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})$  and for  $F$  to only depend on the category of compact objects.

Even if you don't want the assembly map, you can define  $F_\Delta(X)$ , and how far is this from being a homology theory? This necessarily means thinking about what functors you have from stable  $\infty$ -categories to spectra.

In other words: how much can we understand this construction independent from  $K$ -theory?  $K$ -theory is universal for some additional properties that so far aren't used yet, and it would be interesting to see how this construction generalizes.  $\blacktriangleleft$

We're going to promote  $K_\Delta$  from the category of polyhedra to something more homotopical. If you localize the category of polyhedra at the maps  $\Delta^n \times X \rightarrow X$ , you obtain the category of finite spaces,  $\text{Top}^{\text{fin}}$ .

Now we want to show that  $B^\infty K_\Delta$  sends a homotopy equivalence of polyhedra into a homotopy equivalence of spectra. I didn't manage to get this written down. Again, though, it has nothing to do with the properties of algebraic  $K$ -theory.