

Guide to Deep Reinforcement Learning for Supply Chain Optimzation

Aditya Chopra
Consultant (Intern) - CoE Analytics (Data Science)
Happiest Minds Technologies, Bangalore
aditya.i.chopra@happiestminds.com
f20190178@hyderabad.bits-pilani.ac.in

June 18, 2021

1 Introduction

Supply Chain Management is the optimization of resource allocation to an industry, to facilitate efficient production, and maximal profits. We look at the use of Deep Reinforcement Learning Strategies to improve upon existing baseline policies for Supply Chain Management Optimzation. Further, we leverage the use of Deep Neural Networks, to improve the performance of the model.

The code used in this report can be found on: [adichopra11/SCM-RL](#)

2 Components of the Reinforcement Learning Strategy

2.1 The Agent and The Environment

The environment consists of a main Factory, a central factory warehouse, and W distribution warehouses. The environment has the following properties:

A factory produces products with a constant cost of z_0 dollars per unit, and the production level at time t is $a_0(t)$.

Further, the factory warehouse has a maximum capacity of c_0 units. The storage cost for one product unit for one time step at the factory is z_0^S , and the stock level at time t is $s_0(t)$.

At any time, t , the number of units shipped from the factory warehouse to the distribution warehouse j is $a_j(t)$ and the transportation cost is z_j^T dollars per unit.

Each distribution warehouse j has a maximum capacity of c_j , storage cost of z_j^S and stock level at time t equal to $q_j(t)$. Products are sold at a uniform price p and the demand at time t is $d_j(t)$, for the warehouse j . We also

assume that the manufacturer is contractually obligated to fulfill all orders placed by retail partners, and if the demand for a certain time step exceeds the corresponding stock level, it results in a penalty of z_j^P dollars per each unfulfilled unit. Unfulfilled demand is carried over between time steps (which corresponds to backordering), and we model it as a negative stock level.

2.2 Markov Decision Process

The problem at hand can be modeled as a Markov Decision Process (represented by a 4-tuple) with a deterministic policy.

$$\langle S_t, A_t, R_{t+1}, S_{t+1} \rangle \quad (1)$$

where, the state at time t is the tuple of all current stock levels, and demand values of all warehouses for τ previous steps.

$$S_t = \langle q_0(t), q_1(t), \dots, q_W(t), d(t-1), d(t-2), \dots, d(t-\tau) \rangle \quad (2)$$

$$d(t) = \langle d_1(t), \dots, d_W(t) \rangle \quad (3)$$

Since we assume the current state to include just the previous demand values, it can potentially learn the demand function and embed the same into our model parameters.

The state update rule is specified as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{t+1} = \langle & \min\{q_0(t) + a_0 - \sum_{j=1}^W a_j, c_0\} \\ & \min\{q_1(t) + a_1(t) - d_1(t), c_1\}, \\ & \dots \\ & \min\{q_W(t) + a_W(t) - d_W(t), c_W\}, \\ & d(t), \\ & \dots \\ & d(t-\tau) \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

And finally, the action vector consists of production and shipping controls,

$$A_t = \langle a_0(t), a_1(t), \dots, a_W(t) \rangle \quad (5)$$

The deterministic Policy Function, π is determined by the Reinforcement Learning Model. π is optimized to maximise returns given by:

$$R = p \sum_{j=1}^W d_j - z_0 a_0 - \sum_{j=0}^W z_j^S \max\{q_j, 0\} - \sum_{j=1}^W z_j^T a_j + \sum_{j=1}^W z_j^P \min\{q_j, 0\} \quad (6)$$

Lastly, we also define a simple demand function that approximates seasonality, is stationary and includes a stochastic Component

$$d_j(t) = \frac{d_{max}}{2} (1 + \sin(\frac{k(t+2j)}{T})) + \eta_j(t), \quad \eta_j(t) \sim \mathcal{U}(t; \eta_{min}, \eta_{max}) \quad (7)$$

2.3 Model Description

For our Deep Reinforcement Learning Model we look at an Actor Critic Algorithm, that combines Policy Gradient Algorithm and vanilla Q-learning algorithms. In such optimization algorithms, the policy itself is approximated by a Deep Neural Network, with parameter θ . However, rather than using raw rewards and returns, the policy is computed based on the learned value function. We have a dedicated Function Approximator for the Policy (actor) and for estimating the Q Values collected over this policy (critic).

We use the DDPG (Deep Deterministic Policy Gradient) algorithm in particular, that leverages the Actor-Critic paradigm and techniques to stabilize Training. Further, it assumes a deterministic policy, rather than a stochastic one.

$$\pi_\theta(S) = A$$

As mentioned before, we find are finding a policy that maximises the expected return, R resulting in the Objective Function and optimization problem:

$$\mathcal{J}(\pi_\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{S,A,R \sim \pi_\theta} [R] \quad (8)$$

$$\max_{\theta} \mathcal{J}(\pi_\theta) \quad (9)$$

3 Training the Model

3.1 Creating the OpenAI gym Wrapper

We create an openAI gym wrapper by inheriting from the `gym.Env` class and implementing the constructor (`__init__`) method, `reset` method and the `step` method.

```
1 class SimpleSupplyChain(gym.Env):
2     def __init__(self, config):
3         self.reset()
4         self.action_space = Box(low=0.0, high=20.0)
5         self.observation_space = Box(low=-10000, high=10000)
6
7     def reset(self):
8         self.supply_chain = SupplyChainEnvironment()
9         self.state = self.supply_chain.initial_state()
10        return self.state.to_array()
11
12    def step(self, action):
13        action_obj = Action(self.supply_chain.warehouse_num)
14        action_obj.production_level = action[0]
15        action_obj.shippings_to_warehouses = action[1:]
16        self.state, reward, done = self.supply_chain.step(
17            self.state, action_obj)
18        return self.state.to_array(), reward, done, {}
```

Finally, we use the RLlib package to create a simple model with any desired configuration of Actor Hidden Layers, Critic Hidden Layers, the Discounting Constant γ , and other hyperparameters. The setup we used is as follows:

```
1 config = ddpg.DEFAULT_CONFIG.copy()
2 config["actor_hidden"] = [512, 512]
3 config["critic_hidden"] = [512, 512]
4 config["gamma"] = 0.95
5 config["timesteps_per_iteration"] = 1000
6 config["target_network_update_freq"] = 5
7 config["buffer_size"] = 10000
8
9 trainer = ddpg.DDPGTrainer(config=config, env=SimpleSupplyChain)
10 for i in range(n_iterations):
11     result = trainer.train()
12     print(pretty_print(result))
13
14 #> Achieved profit: 9238.2
```

3.2 Results

We ran the model with different parameters and hidden layers. A similar random search based on heuristics can be considered for any other usecase. We compared our model to a baseline (s, Q)-policy which gave mean returns of 6871.0 in our experimentation. Our model with (512, 512) actor hidden layers and (512, 512) critic hidden layers, gave a mean return of 9238.57, which is an increase of approximately 34%.

3.3 Inference from the Model

The parameters of the model are saved in a json file after training is completed and the same can be loaded. This model needs a state array that can be generated with the available data. This state array can be passed to the `policy.compute_single_action` method that returns a vector of actions that need to be taken in the format specified in equation (5).

This same method can be used to generate a graph based visualization of the available stocks in the warehouse, and shipments and hence calculate the profits and cumulative profits.

3.4 Challenges to overcome

3.4.1 Starting the Tensorboard Dashboard

The model tends to be large, and training it slow even on a dedicated GPU. Training on Google Colab is the sanest option for most people. While on a location machine Tensorboard is the default choice for keeping track of training progress, setting it up on Google Colab is slightly tricky at first, but easy if executed properly.

Load the Tensorboard extension provided by Colab using `%load_ext tensorboard`. This loads the `tensorboard` magics that can be used to open a local tensorboard instance in the Google Colab window itself.

Use `tensorboard --logdir ~/ray_results` to point the directory to where RLlib maintains logs. Start training as you would normally, using the train method provided by RLlib itself.

3.5 Loading Checkpoints and Trained Models

RLlib stores the model config as a pickled file and as a json giving the flexibility to use either. However, when the file is stored as a json, the callback functions get stored as a string, rendering the model config unusable. A hack, around this is to set the callbacks manually to the default callbacks provided by the RLlib library.

The better alternative, is to load the config dictionary from the pickled file using `ray.cloudpickle`. The pickled file has the callback functions stored as python methods and is hence easier to use. This is also used in their own library in `rllib/rollout.py` in their codebase.