#### **DATA WRANGLING REPORT**

### Objective

The objective of this project is to carry out a data wrangling by gathering three datasets from different data sources having different data formats, assess the quality and tidiness of the datasets, and then clean it to make it analysis ready.

Furthermore, I explored the datasets and generated useful insights through analysis and visualization making use of the Python libraries.

### **Data Gathering**

Below are the step by step procedures used in gathering the datasets;

- Manually download the WeRateDogs Twitter archive data provided by Udacity and read it into a Pandas dataframe.
- Programmatically download the second data (image prediction) using the Requests library and URL hosted on Udacity's servers.
- Query Twitter API for each tweet in the Twitter archive using Python's Tweepy library and save JSON in a text file. Write each tweet's JSON data to its own line, then read the tweet\_json .txt file line by line into a pandas DataFrame with tweet ID, retweet count, and favorite count.

### **Data Assessment**

Following the gathering of the three datasets, I carried out a visual and programmatic assessment for quality and tidiness issues. Below are some of the assessment methods deployed;

- data
- data.info()
- data.shape
- data.describe()
- data.isnull().sum()
- data.duplicated().sum()
- image\_data
- image\_data.info()
- image\_data.shape
- image\_data.describe()
- image data.isnull().sum()
- image\_data.duplicated().sum()
- df.info()
- df.describe()
- df.isnull().sum()
- df.duplicated().sum()

## **Data Cleaning**

The steps below were taken in cleaning the datasets;

I created a copy of the original data before cleaning

I Adopted the define code-test framework

I documented the define code-test framework

I Created a master data frame with all pieces of gathered data All the issues identified while assessing data will be cleaned and tidied up, the issues identified include:

## Quality

- 1. Tweet\_id in the three source dataframes has incorrect data type int64 instead of object
- 2. Some rows have retweet values
- 3. Expanded\_urls column has missing records
- 4. Expanded\_urls column has rows with repeated links
- 5. Timestamp column has incorrect data type int instead of datetime
- 6. Timestamp has +0000 which is not relevant
- 7. Columns in\_reply\_to\_status\_id, retweeted\_status\_id, retweeted\_status\_user\_id, retweeted status timestamp, in reply to user id not needed
- 8. JPG\_URL and IMG\_NUM has rows with missing record

# Tidy

- 1. Dog stages are in different columns and should be melted into a single column.
- 2. The three datasets to be merged into a single data set.
- 3. The columns p1, p2, p3 should form a single column and columns p1\_conf, p2\_conf, p3\_conf should also form single column.

### **Storing Data**

Following the gathering, assessing and cleaning of the datasets, the master data was stored in a CSV file named twitter\_archive\_master.csv