

ECON 695 Final Project  
University of Wisconsin–Madison

# Gender Wage Gaps and Coworker Wages

Alejandro De La Torre

Vibhu Mithal

Ankit Modi

Rachel Williams

December 2025

[Latest version and replication files](#)

**Acknowledgements.** We thank Professor Alice Wu for guidance and comments. All errors are our own.

## AI Disclaimer

AI tools (specifically ChatGPT) were used solely to assist with minor troubleshooting and formatting tasks, including resolving L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X and Python issues, and drafting descriptive tables for improved readability. All analysis, model specifications, interpretations, and the final written narrative are our own.

# Contents

<a href="#">AI Disclaimer</a> . . . . .	2
---	---

## Data

We use administrative data provided to us by Professor Alice Wu for the ECON 695 final project that follow men and women who are observed for several consecutive years across exactly two jobs. The dataset (`projectdata.csv`) contains one record per individual, with each record summarizing the worker’s demographic characteristics, education, potential labor market experience, and a sequence of annual wage observations surrounding a job transition. In total, the dataset includes 16,969 individuals—10,575 men and 6,394 women—who satisfy the sampling requirement of having at least three years of observations in their first job and three years in their second job. The timing convention indexes the first year on the second job as period 0, with years  $-3$ ,  $-2$ , and  $-1$  representing the three years prior to the move, and years 1 and 2 representing subsequent years on the second job.

A distinctive feature of the dataset is the inclusion of coworker wage measures: for each period, we observe the mean log wage of all other workers employed at the same firm. These coworker wage variables, recorded separately for the first job (averaged across periods  $-1$  and  $-2$ ) and the second job (averaged across periods 0, 1, and 2), enable us to investigate how the wage structure of a worker’s peer group may influence their own wage outcomes. This richness makes the dataset particularly suitable for studying gender differences in wage determination and the role of workplace environments.

## Sample Size and Structure

The dataset contains a total of 16,969 individuals, of whom 10,575 are men and 6,394 are women. Thus, approximately 38% of the sample consists of women. Each individual record includes demographic characteristics, education, experience, and a sequence of wage observations around a job transition.

The timing convention follows the project instructions: period 0 is the first year on the second job, periods 1 and 2 are the subsequent years, and periods  $-1$  through  $-3$  correspond to the final three years on the first job. For each job spell, the dataset also includes a measure of the mean log wage of coworkers, which is central to our later analysis of coworker wage effects.

## Variables

The dataset includes key demographic and human capital measures—age, years of education (taking values 6, 9, 12, or 16), gender (a binary indicator `female`), and potential experience constructed from age and schooling. Wage information is recorded as log hourly wages across

multiple periods relative to a job transition:  $y$  denotes the wage in period 0 (the first year on the second job),  $yp1$  and  $yp2$  correspond to years 1 and 2 on the second job, and  $yl1$ ,  $yl2$ , and  $yl3$  capture wages in the final three years on the first job (periods  $-1$  through  $-3$ ). For each period, we also observe the mean log wage of all other workers employed at the same firm. These coworker wage variables are summarized as  $owage1$  (averaged over periods  $-1$  and  $-2$  for the first job) and  $owage2$  (averaged over periods 0, 1, and 2 for the second job). Together, these variables allow us to link individual wage dynamics with characteristics of the worker's surrounding wage environment.

## **Section 1: Overview: Female vs. Male Workers**

## **Section 2: Gender Wage Gaps**

### **2.1 Wage Regressions and Oaxaca Decomposition**

### **2.2 Gender Difference in Experience Profiles**

## **Section 3: Gender Wage Gaps Conditional on Coworker Wages**

## **Section 4: Event Study - Wage Changes around Moves**

## **Section 5 (Bonus): Shrinkage**

## **Conclusion**