

# CS 474

## Homework 4

Name: Adharsh Kamath

NetID: ak128

---

### Problem 1

**Soln:** A group is defined as a set  $S$ , along with a binary operation  $\cdot : S \times S \rightarrow S$  and axioms:

1.  $\forall a, b, c. (a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$
2.  $\forall a. ((a \cdot e) = a \wedge (e \cdot a) = a)$
3.  $\forall a \exists b. ((b \cdot a) = e \wedge (a \cdot b) = e)$

where  $e$  is the special constant that is the identity element of the group.

Task 1:

$$\begin{aligned} G : \forall e'. ((\forall a. ((e \cdot a) = a \wedge (e' \cdot a) = a)) \\ \implies (e = e')) \end{aligned}$$

The first two axioms are in prenex normal form, and do not contain any existential quantifiers. Skolemizing the third axiom (by replacing  $\exists b$  with a function  $f(a)$ ), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall a. (a \cdot f(a)) \\ = e \wedge (a \cdot f(a)) \\ = e \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying the goal  $G$ , to prenex normal form:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall e' \forall a. ((a \cdot e') = a \wedge (e' \cdot a) = a) &\implies (e = e') \\ \equiv \forall e' \neg(\forall a. ((a \cdot e') = a \wedge (e' \cdot a) = a)) & \\ \quad \vee (e = e') & \\ \equiv \forall e' (\exists a. \neg((a \cdot e') = a \wedge (e' \cdot a) = a)) & \\ \quad \vee (e = e') & \end{aligned}$$

To show the validity of the goal, we need to show that the negation of the goal is unsatisfiable along with all the axioms.

$$\begin{aligned} \neg G &\equiv \neg(\forall e' (\exists a. \neg((a \cdot e') = a \wedge (e' \cdot a) = a)) \\ &\quad \vee (e = e')) \\ &\equiv \exists e' \neg(\exists a. \neg((a \cdot e') = a \wedge (e' \cdot a) = a)) \\ &\quad \wedge \neg(e = e') \\ &\equiv \exists e' (\forall a. ((a \cdot e') = a \wedge (e' \cdot a) = a)) \\ &\quad \wedge \neg(e = e') \end{aligned}$$

Skolemizing (with a constant  $e''$  since  $\exists e'$  is the outermost existential quantifier), we get:

$$\forall a . ((a \cdot e'') = a \wedge (e'' \cdot a) = a \wedge \neg(e = e''))$$

Combining all the axioms, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall a, b, c . (a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c) \\ & \forall a . ((a \cdot e) = a \wedge (e \cdot a) = a) \\ & \quad \forall a . ((a \cdot f(a)) \\ & \quad \quad = e \wedge (f(a) \cdot a) = e) \\ & \forall a . ((a \cdot e'') = a \wedge (e'' \cdot a) = a \wedge \neg(e = e'')) \end{aligned}$$

Instantiating with depth-0 terms  $(e, e'')$ , we get a large set (conjunction) of formulae :

$$\begin{aligned} & (e \cdot e) \cdot e = e \cdot (e \cdot e) \\ & (e \cdot e) \cdot e'' = e \cdot (e \cdot e'') \\ & (e \cdot e'') \cdot e = e \cdot (e'' \cdot e) \\ & (e \cdot e'') \cdot e'' = e \cdot (e'' \cdot e'') \\ & (e'' \cdot e) \cdot e = e'' \cdot (e \cdot e) \\ & (e'' \cdot e) \cdot e'' = e'' \cdot (e \cdot e'') \\ & (e'' \cdot e'') \cdot e = e'' \cdot (e'' \cdot e) \\ & (e'' \cdot e'') \cdot e'' = e'' \cdot (e'' \cdot e'') \\ & (e \cdot e) = e \wedge (e \cdot e) = e \\ & (e'' \cdot e) = e \wedge (e \cdot e'') = e \\ & \quad (e \cdot f(e)) \\ & \quad = e \wedge (f(e) \cdot (e)) \\ & \quad = e \\ & \quad (e'' \cdot f(e'')) \\ & \quad = e \wedge (f(e'') \cdot (e'')) \\ & \quad = e \\ & (e \cdot e'') = e \wedge (e'' \cdot e) = e \wedge \neg(e = e'') \\ & (e'' \cdot e'') = e'' \wedge (e'' \cdot e'') = e'' \wedge \neg(e = e'') \end{aligned}$$

In this list, we can find two conjuncts that are contradictory:

$$\begin{aligned} & (e \cdot e'') = e'' \wedge (e'' \cdot e) = e'' \\ & (e'' \cdot e) = e \wedge (e \cdot e'') = e \wedge \neg(e = e'') \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists no model that satisfies all the formulae. Therefore, the goal  $G$  is valid.

The corresponding Z3 program is at the following URL: <https://github.com/adharshkamath/logic-hw-4/blob/main/p1.1.py>

Task 2: Given the skolemized set of axioms:

$$\begin{aligned}\forall a, b, c. (a \cdot b) \cdot c &= a \cdot (b \cdot c) \\ \forall a. ((a \cdot e) = a \wedge (e \cdot a) &= a) \\ \forall a. ((f(a) \cdot a) = e \wedge (a \cdot f(a)) &= e)\end{aligned}$$

we can see that  $f$  is the inverse function. We can formulate the required goal as:

$$\begin{aligned}G : \forall a, b, c. (((a \cdot b = e) \wedge (b \cdot a = e)) \\ \wedge ((a \cdot c = e) \wedge (c \cdot a = e)) \\ \implies (b = c))\end{aligned}$$

Negating this goal and simplifying gives us:

$$\begin{aligned}\neg G : \exists a, b, c. (((a \cdot b = e) \wedge (b \cdot a = e)) \\ \wedge ((a \cdot c = e) \wedge (c \cdot a = e)) \\ \wedge \neg(b = c))\end{aligned}$$

Skolemizing (with constants  $a', b', c'$ ) gives us:

$$\begin{aligned}((a' \cdot b' = e) \wedge (b' \cdot a' = e)) \\ \wedge ((a' \cdot c' = e) \wedge (c' \cdot a' = e)) \\ \wedge \neg(b' = c')\end{aligned}$$

Instantiating the axioms with depth-0 terms  $(a', b', c', e)$ , we get a long list (conjunction) of formulae. The full list is at the end of the document. Specifically, we can find four formulae that are not satisfiable together:

$$\begin{aligned}((b' \cdot a') \cdot c) &== (b' \cdot (a' \cdot c)) \\ (b' \cdot e) &== b' \wedge (e \cdot b') == b' \\ (c' \cdot e) &== c' \wedge (e \cdot c') == c' \\ (a' \cdot b') &== e \wedge (b' \cdot a') == e \wedge (a' \cdot c') == e \wedge (c' \cdot a') == e \wedge \neg(b' = c')\end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists no model that can satisfy all the formulae. Therefore, the goal  $G$  is valid.

The Z3 program for this task is at the following URL: [https://github.com/adharshkamath/logic-hw-4/blob/main/p1\\_pt2.py](https://github.com/adharshkamath/logic-hw-4/blob/main/p1_pt2.py)

An alternate proof using the formulation given in the lecture notes is at the end of the document. The alternate proof required using a depth-1 term for the instantiation of the axioms. The Z3 program for the alternate proof is at the following URL: [https://github.com/adharshkamath/logic-hw-4/blob/main/p1\\_pt.alt.py](https://github.com/adharshkamath/logic-hw-4/blob/main/p1_pt.alt.py)

## Problem 2

### **Soln:**

(a)

The given formula  $\varphi$

$$\varphi = y \leq x \wedge x \leq y \wedge f(y) = f(7) \wedge x \leq 5$$

contains terms from  $T_{UIF}$  and  $T_N$  (theory of UIF and theory of natural numbers).

Replacing  $f(7)$  with  $f(w)$ , and adding  $w = 7$  as an additional formula, we get:

$$\varphi' = y \leq x \wedge x \leq y \wedge x \leq 5 \wedge w = 7 \wedge f(y) = f(w)$$

The part of the formula in  $T_{UIF}$  :

$$F_{UIF} : f(y) = f(w)$$

and the part of the formula in  $T_N$  :

$$F_N : y \leq x \wedge x \leq y \wedge x \leq 5 \wedge w = 7$$

The conjunct  $F_{UIF} \wedge F_N$  is  $(T_{UIF} \cup T_N)$ -equisatisfiable to  $\varphi$ .

(b)

The shared variables between  $F_{UIF}$  and  $F_N$  are:

$$V = \text{shared}(F_{UIF}, F_N) = \{y, w\}$$

The two possible equivalence relations and their arrangements are:

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \{\{y\}, \{w\}\}, & \alpha_1(E_1, V) : y = w \\ E_2 &= \{\{y, w\}\}, & \alpha_2(E_2, V) : y \neq w \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying  $F_N$ , we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} F_N &= y \leq x \wedge x \leq y \wedge x \leq 5 \wedge w = 7 \\ &\equiv x = y \wedge x \leq 5 \wedge w = 7 \end{aligned}$$

We can see that  $F_N$  is satisfiable over natural numbers only under the arrangement  $\alpha_2$  (since  $y \leq 5$  and  $w = 7$ ). A satisfying model for  $F_N$  is:  $\{x = 5, y = 5, w = 7\}$

We can see that  $F_{UIF}$  is satisfiable under this arrangement if  $f$  maps all natural numbers to a constant (since we need to satisfy  $f(y) = f(w) \wedge y \neq w$ ). A satisfying model for  $F_{UIF}$  is:  $\{y = 5, w = 7, (f(t) = 0 \text{ for any } t \in \mathbb{N})\}$ .

From the above two models, we can derive a model for the original formula  $\varphi$ . Since  $y$  is the only shared variable that is present in the original formula, we need to make sure the  $y$  in the final model is consistent with above models we have found for the individual parts.

A satisfying model for  $\varphi$  is:  $\{x = 5, y = 5, (f(t) = 0 \text{ for any } t \in \mathbb{N})\}$ .

### Problem 3

#### **Soln:**

(a)

The following is the least fixed point of the given function  $f$ :

$$\text{lfp}(f) = \{0, 2, 4, \dots\} = \{2n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

(b)

$f$  is monotonic, if  $A \subseteq B$  implies  $f(A) \subseteq f(B)$ .

Consider two sets  $A, B$  such that  $A \subseteq B$ . If  $x$  is an element of  $f(A)$ , then that means  $x \in X$  and there exists a  $c$  such that  $c \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $c$  is prime and  $cx \in A$ . Since  $A \subseteq B$ ,  $cx \in B$ . This means,  $x \in f(B)$  (since  $c$  is prime and  $cx \in B$ ).

Therefore,  $f(A) \subseteq f(B)$ .

Using the iterative method:

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 &= \emptyset \\ X_1 &= f(X_0) = \{100\} \\ X_2 &= f(X_1) = \{100, 50, 20\} \\ X_3 &= f(X_2) = \{100, 50, 20, 25, 10, 4\} \\ X_4 &= f(X_3) = \{100, 50, 20, 25, 10, 4, 5, 2\} \\ X_5 &= f(X_4) = \{100, 50, 20, 25, 10, 4, 5, 2\} \end{aligned}$$

We can see that  $X_4 = X_5$ , and hence the least fixed point is  $\{100, 50, 20, 25, 10, 4, 5, 2\}$ .

(c)

Informally,  $f$  "adds" 0 to a set, and removes 1 if it existed in the set. An operator is monotonic, if  $A \subseteq B$  implies  $f(A) \subseteq f(B)$ . We can show monotonicity by that all elements of  $f(A)$  are in  $f(B)$  if all elements of  $A$  are in  $B$ .

Consider  $x$  such that  $x \in f(A)$ . This means,  $x \in A \cup \{0\}$  and  $x \neq 1$ . Consider the following cases for any such  $x$ :

1.  $x \in A$ : In this case,  $x \in A$ , and hence  $x \in B$ . Since we know  $x \neq 1$  (because  $x \in f(A)$ ),  $x \in f(B)$ .
2.  $x = 0 \wedge x \notin A$ : In this case,  $x \in f(B)$  since 0 is always added by  $f$ .

Therefore,  $A \subseteq B$  implies  $f(A) \subseteq f(B)$ .

The least fixed point of  $f$  is  $\{0\}$ .

(d)

Informally,  $f$  "adds" 1 to a set, adds the double of every input element to the set, and removes the odd numbers from the input set. Consider sets  $A, B$  such that  $A \subseteq B$ , and  $x \in f(A)$ . Consider the following cases for any such  $x$ :

1.  $x = 1$ : In this case,  $x \in f(B)$  since 1 is always added by  $f$ .
2.  $x = 2n, n \in A$ : In this case,  $n \in B$ , and hence  $2n \in f(B)$ . Therefore  $x \in f(B)$ .

Note that  $x$  cannot be an odd number (other than 1), since  $f$  removes all odd numbers from the input set. So the above cases are exhaustive.

Therefore,  $A \subseteq B$  implies  $f(A) \subseteq f(B)$ .

The least fixed point of  $f$  is  $\{1\} \cup \{2x \mid x \in \mathbb{N}\}$

Complete list of quantifier instantiations for Task 2 (Alternate proof):

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((a' \cdot a') \cdot a') = (a' \cdot (a' \cdot a')), \\
& ((a' \cdot a') \cdot b') = (a' \cdot (a' \cdot b')), ((a' \cdot b') \cdot a') = (a' \cdot (b' \cdot a')), \\
& ((a' \cdot b') \cdot b') = (a' \cdot (b' \cdot b')), ((b' \cdot a') \cdot a') = (b' \cdot (a' \cdot a')), \\
& ((b' \cdot a') \cdot b') = (b' \cdot (a' \cdot b')), ((b' \cdot b') \cdot a') = (b' \cdot (b' \cdot a')), \\
& ((b' \cdot b') \cdot b') = (b' \cdot (b' \cdot b')), ((a' \cdot a') \cdot e) = (a' \cdot (a' \cdot e)), \\
& ((a' \cdot e) \cdot a') = (a' \cdot (e \cdot a')), ((a' \cdot e) \cdot e) = (a' \cdot (e \cdot e)), \\
& ((e \cdot a') \cdot a') = (e \cdot (a' \cdot a')), ((e \cdot a') \cdot e) = (e \cdot (a' \cdot e)), \\
& ((e \cdot e) \cdot a') = (e \cdot (e \cdot a')), ((e \cdot e) \cdot e) = (e \cdot (e \cdot e)), \\
& ((a' \cdot a') \cdot c') = (a' \cdot (a' \cdot c')), ((a' \cdot c') \cdot a') = (a' \cdot (c' \cdot a')), \\
& ((a' \cdot c') \cdot c') = (a' \cdot (c' \cdot c')), ((c' \cdot a') \cdot a') = (c' \cdot (a' \cdot a')), \\
& ((c' \cdot a') \cdot c') = (c' \cdot (a' \cdot c')), ((c' \cdot c') \cdot a') = (c' \cdot (c' \cdot a')), \\
& ((c' \cdot c') \cdot c') = (c' \cdot (c' \cdot c')), ((b' \cdot b') \cdot c') = (b' \cdot (b' \cdot c')), \\
& ((b' \cdot c') \cdot b') = (b' \cdot (c' \cdot b')), ((b' \cdot c') \cdot c') = (b' \cdot (c' \cdot c')), \\
& ((c' \cdot b') \cdot b') = (c' \cdot (b' \cdot b')), ((c' \cdot b') \cdot c') = (c' \cdot (b' \cdot c')), \\
& ((c' \cdot c') \cdot b') = (c' \cdot (c' \cdot b')), ((b' \cdot b') \cdot e) = (b' \cdot (b' \cdot e)), \\
& ((b' \cdot e) \cdot b') = (b' \cdot (e \cdot b')), ((b' \cdot e) \cdot e) = (b' \cdot (e \cdot e)), \\
& ((e \cdot b') \cdot b') = (e \cdot (b' \cdot b')), ((e \cdot b') \cdot e) = (e \cdot (b' \cdot e)), \\
& ((e \cdot e) \cdot b') = (e \cdot (e \cdot b')), ((c' \cdot c') \cdot e) = (c' \cdot (c' \cdot e)), \\
& ((c' \cdot e) \cdot c') = (c' \cdot (e \cdot c')), ((c' \cdot e) \cdot e) = (c' \cdot (e \cdot e)), \\
& ((e \cdot c') \cdot c') = (e \cdot (c' \cdot c')), ((e \cdot c') \cdot e) = (e \cdot (c' \cdot e)), \\
& ((e \cdot e) \cdot c') = (e \cdot (e \cdot c'))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((a' \cdot b') \cdot e) = (a' \cdot (b' \cdot e)), \\
& ((a' \cdot e) \cdot b') = (a' \cdot (e \cdot b')), ((e \cdot a') \cdot b') = (e \cdot (a' \cdot b')), \\
& ((e \cdot b') \cdot a') = (e \cdot (b' \cdot a')), ((b' \cdot a') \cdot e) = (b' \cdot (a' \cdot e)), \\
& ((b' \cdot e) \cdot a') = (b' \cdot (e \cdot a')), ((a' \cdot b') \cdot c') = (a' \cdot (b' \cdot c')), \\
& ((a' \cdot c') \cdot b') = (a' \cdot (c' \cdot b')), ((c' \cdot a') \cdot b') = (c' \cdot (a' \cdot b')), \\
& ((c' \cdot b') \cdot a') = (c' \cdot (b' \cdot a')), ((b' \cdot a') \cdot c') = (b' \cdot (a' \cdot c')), \\
& ((b' \cdot c') \cdot a') = (b' \cdot (c' \cdot a')), ((a' \cdot c') \cdot e) = (a' \cdot (c' \cdot e)), \\
& ((a' \cdot e) \cdot c') = (a' \cdot (e \cdot c')), ((e \cdot a') \cdot c') = (e \cdot (a' \cdot c')), \\
& ((e \cdot c') \cdot a') = (e \cdot (c' \cdot a')), ((c' \cdot a') \cdot e) = (c' \cdot (a' \cdot e)), \\
& ((c' \cdot e) \cdot a') = (c' \cdot (e \cdot a')), ((b' \cdot c') \cdot e) = (b' \cdot (c' \cdot e)), \\
& ((b' \cdot e) \cdot c') = (b' \cdot (e \cdot c')), ((e \cdot b') \cdot c') = (e \cdot (b' \cdot c')), \\
& ((e \cdot c') \cdot b') = (e \cdot (c' \cdot b')), ((c' \cdot b') \cdot e) = (c' \cdot (b' \cdot e)), \\
& ((c' \cdot e) \cdot b') = (c' \cdot (e \cdot b')), (a' \cdot f(a') = e) \wedge (f(a') \cdot a' = e) \\
& (b' \cdot f(b') = e) \wedge (f(b') \cdot b' = e) (c' \cdot f(c') = e) \wedge (f(c') \cdot c' = e) \\
& (e \cdot f(e)) = e \wedge (f(e) \cdot e) = e (a' \cdot e) = a' \wedge (e \cdot a') = a' \\
& (b' \cdot e) = b' \wedge (e \cdot b') = b' (c' \cdot e) = c' \wedge (e \cdot c') = c' \\
& (e \cdot e) = e \wedge (e \cdot e) = e \\
& (a' \cdot b') = e \wedge (b' \cdot a') = e \wedge (a' \cdot c') = e \wedge (c' \cdot a') = e \wedge \neg(b' = c')
\end{aligned}$$

Solution for Task 2 (Alternate proof):

We can formulate the goal as

$$\begin{aligned}
G : \forall a, b. & (((a \cdot b = e) \wedge (b \cdot a = e)) \\
& \implies (b = f(a)) \\
& )
\end{aligned}$$

Negating this goal gives us:

$$\begin{aligned}
\neg G : \exists a, b. & (((a \cdot b = e) \wedge (b \cdot a = e)) \\
& \wedge \neg(b = f(a)) \\
& )
\end{aligned}$$

Skolemizing (with constants  $a', b'$ ) gives us:

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((a' \cdot b' = e) \wedge (b' \cdot a' = e)) \\
& \wedge \neg(b' = f(a'))
\end{aligned}$$

Instantiating the axioms with depth-0 terms  $(a', b', e)$ , we get a long list (conjunction) of formulae:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(a' \cdot a') \cdot a' = a' \cdot (a' \cdot a') \\
&(a' \cdot a') \cdot b' = a' \cdot (a' \cdot b') \\
&(a' \cdot b') \cdot a' = a' \cdot (b' \cdot a') \\
&(a' \cdot b') \cdot b' = a' \cdot (b' \cdot b') \\
&(b' \cdot a') \cdot a' = b' \cdot (a' \cdot a') \\
&(b' \cdot a') \cdot b' = b' \cdot (a' \cdot b') \\
&(b' \cdot b') \cdot a' = b' \cdot (b' \cdot a') \\
&(b' \cdot b') \cdot b' = b' \cdot (b' \cdot b') \\
&(a' \cdot a') \cdot e = a' \cdot (a' \cdot e) \\
&(a' \cdot e) \cdot a' = a' \cdot (e \cdot a') \\
&(a' \cdot e) \cdot e = a' \cdot (e \cdot e) \\
&(e \cdot a') \cdot a' = e \cdot (a' \cdot a') \\
&(e \cdot a') \cdot e = e \cdot (a' \cdot e) \\
&(e \cdot e) \cdot a' = e \cdot (e \cdot a') \\
&(e \cdot e) \cdot e = e \cdot (e \cdot e) \\
&(b' \cdot b') \cdot e = b' \cdot (b' \cdot e) \\
&(b' \cdot e) \cdot b' = b' \cdot (e \cdot b') \\
&(b' \cdot e) \cdot e = b' \cdot (e \cdot e) \\
&(e \cdot b') \cdot b' = e \cdot (b' \cdot b') \\
&(e \cdot b') \cdot e = e \cdot (b' \cdot e) \\
&(e \cdot e) \cdot b' = e \cdot (e \cdot b') \\
&(a' \cdot b') \cdot e = a' \cdot (b' \cdot e) \\
&(a' \cdot e) \cdot b' = a' \cdot (e \cdot b') \\
&(e \cdot a') \cdot b' = e \cdot (a' \cdot b') \\
&(e \cdot b') \cdot a' = e \cdot (b' \cdot a') \\
&(b' \cdot a') \cdot e = b' \cdot (a' \cdot e) \\
&(b' \cdot e) \cdot a' = b' \cdot (e \cdot a') \\
&(a' \cdot e) = a' \wedge (e \cdot a') = a' \\
&(b' \cdot e) = b' \wedge (e \cdot b') = b' \\
&(e \cdot e) = e \wedge (e \cdot e) = e \\
&\quad (a' \cdot f(a')) \\
&= e \wedge (f(a') \cdot a') = e \\
&\quad (b' \cdot f(b')) \\
&= e \wedge (f(b') \cdot b') = e \\
&\quad (e \cdot f(e)) \\
&= e \wedge (f(e) \cdot e) = e
\end{aligned}$$



In this list, we can find two conjuncts that are contradictory:

$$\begin{aligned} & (a' \cdot f(a')) \\ &= e \wedge (f(a') \cdot a') = e \\ & (b' \cdot f(b')) \\ &= e \wedge (f(b') \cdot b') = e \end{aligned}$$