E-R Diagram for a University Enterprise



Figure 7.29


author
name
address
URL
publisher
name
address
phone
URL
customer
email
name
address
phone
written_by
published_by
book
ISBN
title
year
price
number
contains
shopping_basket
basket_id
basket_of
number
stocks
warehouse
code
address
phone

Design Issues

- **Use of entity sets vs. attributes**



instructor

ID
name
salary
phone_number



instructor

ID
name
salary

inst_phone

phone

phone_number
location

- Use of phone as an entity allows extra information about phone numbers (plus multiple phone numbers)

Design Issues

- **Use of entity sets vs. relationship sets**
  Possible guideline is to designate a relationship set to describe an action that occurs between entities



Design Issues

- **Binary versus n-ary relationship sets**
  Although it is possible to replace any nonbinary (*n*-ary, for *n* > 2) relationship set by a number of distinct binary relationship sets, a *n*-ary relationship set shows more clearly that several entities participate in a single relationship.
- **Placement of relationship attributes**

  e.g., attribute *date* as attribute of *advisor* or as attribute of *student*

Binary Vs. Non-Binary Relationships

- Some relationships that appear to be non-binary may be better represented using binary relationships
  - E.g., A ternary relationship *parents*, relating a child to his/her father and mother, is best replaced by two binary relationships, *father* and *mother*
    - Using two binary relationships allows partial information (e.g., only mother being know)
  - But there are some relationships that are naturally non-binary
    - Example: *proj_guide*

Converting Non-Binary Relationships to Binary Form

- In general, any non-binary relationship can be represented using binary relationships by creating an artificial entity set.
  - Replace *R* between entity sets A, B and C by an entity set *E*, and three relationship sets:

    1. R_A, relating *E* and *A* 2. R_B, relating *E* and *B*
    3. R_C, relating *E* and *C*
  - Create a special identifying attribute for *E*
  - Add any attributes of *R* to *E*
  - For each relationship (a_i , b_i , c_i) in *R,* create

    1. a new entity e_i in the entity set *E* 2. add (e_i , a_i) to R_A

    3. add (e_i , b_i) to R_B 4. add (e_i , c_i) to R_C



(a)



(b)

Extended E-R Features: Specialization

- Top-down design process; we designate subgroupings within an entity set that are distinctive from other entities in the set.
- These subgroupings become lower-level entity sets that have attributes or participate in relationships that do not apply to the higher-level entity set.
- Depicted by a *triangle* component labeled ISA (E.g., *instructor* “is a” *person*).
- **Attribute inheritance** – a lower-level entity set inherits all the attributes and relationship participation of the higher-level entity set to which it is linked.

Specialization Example



Extended ER Features: Generalization

- **A bottom-up design process** – combine a number of entity sets that share the same features into a higher-level entity set.
- Specialization and generalization are simple inversions of each other; they are represented in an E-R diagram in the same way.
- The terms specialization and generalization are used interchangeably.

Specialization and Generalization (Cont.)

- Can have multiple specializations of an entity set based on different features.
- E.g., *permanent_employee* vs. *temporary_employee*, in addition to *instructor* vs. *secretary*
- Each particular employee would be
  - a member of one of *permanent_employee* or *temporary_employee*,
  - and also a member of one of *instructor*, *secretary*
- The ISA relationship also referred to as **superclass - subclass** relationship

Design Constraints on a Specialization/Generalization

- Constraint on which entities can be members of a given lower-level entity set.
  - condition-defined
    - Example: all customers over 65 years are members of *senior-citizen* entity set; *senior-citizen* ISA *person*.
  - user-defined
- Constraint on whether or not entities may belong to more than one lower-level entity set within a single generalization.
  - **Disjoint**
    - an entity can belong to only one lower-level entity set
    - Noted in E-R diagram by having multiple lower-level entity sets link to the same triangle
  - **Overlapping**
    - an entity can belong to more than one lower-level entity set

Design Constraints on a Specialization/Generalization (Cont.)

- **Completeness constraint** -- specifies whether or not an entity in the higher-level entity set must belong to at least one of the lower-level entity sets within a generalization.
  - **total**: an entity must belong to one of the lower-level entity sets
  - **partial**: an entity need not belong to one of the lower-level entity sets

Aggregation

- Consider the ternary relationship *proj_guide*, which we saw earlier
- Suppose we want to record evaluations of a student by a guide on a project



Aggregation (Cont.)

- Relationship sets *eval_for* and *proj_guide* represent overlapping information
  - Every *eval_for* relationship corresponds to a *proj_guide* relationship
  - However, some *proj_guide* relationships may not correspond to any *eval_for* relationships
    - So we can't discard the *proj_guide* relationship
- Eliminate this redundancy via *aggregation*
  - Treat relationship as an abstract entity
  - Allows relationships between relationships
  - Abstraction of relationship into new entity

Aggregation (Cont.)

- Without introducing redundancy, the following diagram represents:
  - A student is guided by a particular instructor on a particular project
  - A student, instructor, project combination may have an associated evaluation



Summary of Symbols Used in E-R Notation



entity set



relationship set



identifying
relationship set
for weak entity set



total participation
of entity set in
relationship



attributes:
simple (A1),
composite (A2) and
multivalued (A3)
derived (A4)



primary key



discriminating
attribute of
weak entity set

Symbols Used in E-R Notation (Cont.)



many-to-many relationship



many-to-one relationship



one-to-one relationship



cardinality limits



role indicator



ISA: generalization or specialization



total (disjoint) generalization



disjoint generalization

Alternative ER Notations

- Chen, IDE1FX, …

entity set E with
simple attribute A1,
composite attribute A2,
multivalued attribute A3,
derived attribute A4,
and primary key A1



weak entity set



generalization



total generalization



Alternative ER Notations



Chen

IDE1FX (Crows feet notation)

many-to-many relationship

one-to-one relationship

many-to-one relationship

participation in R: total (E1) and partial (E2)

UML

- **UML**: Unified Modeling Language
- UML has many components to graphically model different aspects of an entire software system
- UML Class Diagrams correspond to E-R Diagram, but several differences.

ER vs. UML Class Diagrams



entity with attributes (simple, composite, multivalued, derived)

class with simple attributes and methods (attribute prefixes: + = public, – = private, # = protected)

binary relationship

relationship attributes

cardinality constraints

*Note reversal of position in cardinality constraint depiction

ER vs. UML Class Diagrams

ER Diagram Notation **Equivalent in UML**



*Generalization can use merged or separate arrows independent of disjoint/overlapping

UML Class Diagrams (Cont.)

- Binary relationship sets are represented in UML by just drawing a line connecting the entity sets. The relationship set name is written adjacent to the line.
- The role played by an entity set in a relationship set may also be specified by writing the role name on the line, adjacent to the entity set.
- The relationship set name may alternatively be written in a box, along with attributes of the relationship set, and the box is connected, using a dotted line, to the line depicting the relationship set.