

# Existence + Uniqueness.

classmate

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Page \_\_\_\_\_

① Proposition 1: Given any ~~many~~  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$ , there exists an RREF matrix which is row equivalent to  $A$ .

Proof  $\rightarrow$  Each matrix obtained at a step of Gauss Jordan reduction process is row equivalent and similarly, the RREF is also row equivalent to  $A$ .

② Proposition 2: Row equivalence is an equivalence relation on the set of  $R^{m \times n}$  of  $m \times n$  matrices with entries from the field (set)  $\mathbb{R}$  of real numbers.

Let  $\text{RE}$  be the relation.

Proof  $\rightarrow$  Reflexive

Let  $\boxed{A} \in R^{m \times n}$

For every row operation, there exists a reverse operation

$$\text{i.e. } e_1(e_1(A)) = A \text{ and } (A, A) \in R$$

$\therefore$  Row equivalence is an equivalence relation.

reflexive i.e  $A R A$

Symmetric

Let  $A, B \in R^{m \times n}$

$$\therefore e_1(A) = B$$

For every row operation, there exists a reverse operation

$$e_1(B) = A$$

$$\text{i.e. } A R B \Rightarrow B R A$$

$\therefore (A, B) \in R$  and  $(B, A) \in R$

$\therefore$  Row equivalence is a symmetric relation

Transitive:

Let  $(A, B) \in R$  and  $(B, C) \in R$   
where  $\{A, B, C\} \in R^{m \times n}$

$$e_1(A) = B \quad \text{and } e_2(B) = C$$

$$e_2(e_1(A)) = C \Rightarrow e_2e_1(A) = C$$

$\therefore (A, C) \in R \rightarrow$  Transitive and Equivalence

Remark: RREF is unique i.e. a matrix cannot be row equivalent to 2 distinct RREF's.  
Alternatively, 2 distinct RREF matrices cannot be row equivalent to each other.

i.e. 2 matrices are row equivalent to each other if they have similar type of RREF.

\* Application to Determinants  $\rightarrow$

$A = n \times n$  matrix  $\rightarrow$  Echelon

$B = n \times n$  matrix obtained from  $A$  without scaling

$$\det(A) = (-1)^k \det(B)$$

$$= (-1)^k b_{11} b_{22} \dots b_{nn}$$

Q If  $A$  is a square matrix, then  $A$  is row equivalent to identity matrix if and only if  $Ax=0$  has only trivial solution.

Important

Proof  $\rightarrow$  Identity matrix =  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Due to the presence of no free variables, it must have a solution, and the augmented matrix gives  $x_1 = 0$   
 $x_2 = 0$   
 $\vdots$   
 $x_n = 0$

Q The system is consistent if and only if the rightmost column is not a pivot column, i.e. of the form  $[0 0 0 \dots 0]$

Proof  $\rightarrow$

augmented

Given  $\rightarrow$  RREF matrix with rightmost column as pivot column and row of the form  $[0, 0, 0, \dots, 0]$

RREF  $\rightarrow$  The system is inconsistent

The given RREF has a row corresponding to  $[0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 1]$  which equals.

$$0x_1 + 0x_2 + \dots + 0x_n = 1$$

Thus, this case is not possible as  $0 \neq 1$   
Hence, it has no solution

Q A vector is a solution of the system  $Ax = b$  if and only if of the form  $u + v$  where  $v$  is the solution of associated homogeneous system.

Proof :

Given: A vector  $\vec{w}$  is the solution of  $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$

$\vec{w}$  is of the form  $\vec{u} + \vec{v}_1$ , where  $\vec{v}_1$  is solution of  $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$

$$\therefore \vec{v}_1 = \vec{w} - \vec{u}$$

If  $\vec{v} = \vec{0} \rightarrow$  there exists a unique sol<sup>n</sup> of  $\vec{u}$ .  
otherwise there are  $\infty$  solutions

CASSMATE

Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Page \_\_\_\_\_

$$A(\vec{w} - \vec{u}) = 0$$
$$A\vec{w} = A\vec{u} = \vec{0}$$

- Given:  $w = \vec{u} + \vec{v}$  where  $\vec{v}$  is a sol<sup>n</sup> of  $Ax = 0$   
RTP:  $\vec{w}$  is sol<sup>n</sup> of  $A\vec{w} = \vec{0}$

$$\begin{aligned} A\vec{w} &= A(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) \\ &= A\vec{u} + A\vec{v} \\ &= \vec{0} + \vec{0} = \vec{0} \end{aligned}$$

Hence Proved.

→ Coeff.

- \* If  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix with  $m < n$ , then the homogeneous system  $Ax = 0$ , has a non-trivial solution

⇒ Due to the presence of at least 1 free variable, there have to be infinite solutions

→ Coeff.

- \* If  $A$  is a square matrix, then there is only 1 solution, i.e. trivial solution

⇒ Due to absence of any free variable.

③ **Proposition 3:** If  $A$  is a square matrix, then  $A$  is row equivalent to identity matrix if and only if homogeneous system  $Ax = 0$  has only trivial solution.

**Proof:**

Given:  $A$  is square matrix

RTP:  $A$  is row equivalent to identity matrix

⇒ Using proposition 1, we know that every matrix has a unique RREF which is row equivalent to it.

For a square matrix, the RREF is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n}$$

due to absence of free variables and gives an identity matrix.

∴ The given system corresponds to

$$x_1 = 0$$

$$x_2 = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x_n = 0$$

Hence, it has only a trivial solution.

If the system (homogeneous) is consistent and has a unique sol<sup>n</sup>, then it has the trivial solution; in this case it's either a  $I_{n \times n}$  matrix or has  $I_n$  as its upper portion with only zero rows below it.

4. Proposition 4: The system is consistent if and only if the rightmost column of  $\bar{R}$  is not a pivot column i.e. the augmented matrix has no row of the form  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{=} \neq 0$

A system is consistent if it has either (i) a unique solution if no free variables or infinitely many solutions due to free variables.

\* The solution of homogeneous solution is a line (2-tuple) or a plane (3-tuple) passing through the origin.

\* If a non homogeneous system has even a single solution (point), then the entire solution set consists of only

that point or a line or plane through that point which is parallel to the solution of associated homogeneous solution.

\* Invertible Matrices  $\rightarrow$  Non Singular  
 Non-Invertible  $\rightarrow$  Singular

\* Inverse of A is unique.  
 If A is invertible, so is  $A^{-1}$  and  $(A^{-1})^{-1} = A$

\* If A and B are invertible, so is AB and  $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$

$$\text{If } C = A_1 A_2 A_3 \dots A_n \\ C^{-1} = (A_1 A_2 A_3 \dots A_n)^{-1} = A_n^{-1} \dots A_3^{-1} A_2^{-1} A_1^{-1}$$

\* Elementary matrix is matrix obtained from the (square) identity matrix by an elementary row operation.

(5) **Proposition 5:** If e is an elementary row operation and E is the  $m \times n$  elementary matrix  $e(I_m)$ , then for every  $m \times n$  matrix A,  $e(A) = EA$

i.e. elementary row operation ~~is~~ is equivalent to left multiplication by

Proof:

(a) Scaling

$E$  is obtained by multiplying  $I_m$  by  $c$ .

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ ce_i \\ e_m \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow EA = \begin{bmatrix} e_1 A \\ ce_i A \\ e_m A \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ ca_i \\ a_m \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $e_i$  denotes  $i^{\text{th}}$  row where  $i^{\text{th}}$  position is 1 and other entries are zero. Thus  $EA$  is same as multiplying the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row of  $A$  by  $c$ .

(b) Interchange

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} e_i \\ e_j \\ e_j \\ e_m \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow EA = \begin{bmatrix} e_i A \\ e_j A \\ e_j A \\ e_m A \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_i \\ a_j \\ a_j \\ a_m \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus,  $EA$  is same as same as exchanging the  $i^{\text{th}}$  and  $j^{\text{th}}$  row of  $A$ . The converse is also true.

(c) Row Replacement

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} e_i \\ e_i + e_j \\ ce_i + e_j \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow EA = \begin{bmatrix} e_i A \\ ce_i A + e_j A \\ ce_i A + e_j A \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_i \\ ca_i + a_j \\ ca_i + a_j \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus  $EA$  is same as adding  $c$  times of  $i^{\text{th}}$  row to  $j^{\text{th}}$  row of  $A$ .

6. Proposition 6  $\rightarrow$  Every elementary Matrix is invertible

Proof  $\rightarrow$  Let  $E$  be an elementary matrix and  $e$  be the corresponding E.R.O.

Similarly there must exist another row operation which serves  $e$  operation. Let it be  $f$ .

Let  $F$  be corresponding elementary matrix of  $f$

So,

$$EF = (Ef)I = E(EI) = Ef(I)$$

$$= I$$

$$\text{Similarly } FE = (FE)I = F(EI) = f(e(I))$$

$$= I$$

Remark: Inverse of elementary matrix is also elementary matrix (of same type).

\* Very Important Theorem  $\Rightarrow$

Theorem 1: The following are equivalent for an  $m \times m$  square matrix  $A$

- (a)  $A$  is invertible
- (b)  $A$  is now equivalent to identity matrix
- (c) Homogeneous system  $A\vec{x} = 0$  has only trivial sol'n
- (d) The system  $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  has at least 1 sol'n for every  $\vec{b}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$

Proof : we will proceed as (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (d)  $\Rightarrow$  (a)  
 (d) will done later

(a  $\Rightarrow$  c)

Given  $\rightarrow A$  is invertible

RIP  $\rightarrow A\vec{x} = 0$  has only trivial sol'n

Suppose  $\vec{y}$  is sol'n of  $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$

$$\text{Then } A\vec{y} = \vec{0}$$

$$A^{-1}A\vec{y} = A^{-1}\vec{0}$$

$$(A^{-1}A)\vec{y} = A^{-1}\vec{0}$$

$$\boxed{\vec{y} = \vec{0}}$$

(c  $\Rightarrow$  d)

Given  $\rightarrow A\vec{x} = 0$  has only the trivial sol'n

RIP  $\rightarrow A$  is now equivalent to I

Now if  $R$  is RREF of  $A$ ,  
 $R\vec{x} = \vec{0}$  has only the trivial soln.

- $\Rightarrow R$  has no free variables and only basic variables
- $\Rightarrow$  every column has leading 1
- $\Rightarrow R$  is  $m \times m$ , so  $R$  has 1 in every row
- $\Rightarrow R$  is  $I_m$ .

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (a)

(Given  $\Rightarrow A$  is row equivalent to  $I$ )  
 $RIP \Rightarrow A$  is invertible.

Now,  $A$  is row equivalent to  $I$

Thus using reverse row operations, i.e  $e_p, e_{p-1}, \dots, e_1$  which correspond to  $e_p(e_{p-1}(\dots(e_1(A)))) = I$

We can write as

$$E_p(E_{p-1}(\dots E_2(E_1(A))\dots)) = I$$

Using Prop 5,

$$\therefore (E_p \dots E_1)A = I$$

$$F A = I$$

$$(F^{-1} F) A = F^{-1} I$$

$$A = F^{-1} I$$

Hence the inverse exists and its invertible.

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (d)

Given  $\Rightarrow A$  is invertible

RIP  $\rightarrow A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  has atleast 1 sol<sup>n</sup> for every  $\vec{b}$

Let  $\vec{v}$  be any arbitrary vector and since  $A$  is invertible

$$\vec{v} = \vec{A}^{-1} \vec{U}$$

$$\text{Then } A\vec{v} = (A\vec{A}^{-1}) \cdot \vec{U} = I \vec{U} = \vec{U}$$

In other words  $\vec{v}$  is a sol<sup>n</sup> of  $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  for every  $\vec{b}$

(d)  $\Rightarrow$  (a)

Given  $\Rightarrow A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  has a solution for every  $\vec{b}$

RIP  $\rightarrow A$  is invertible

Corollary 1.4

we consider n vectors

$$\vec{e}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{e}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \vec{e}_n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let  $\vec{v}_i$  be sol<sup>n</sup> of  $Ax = e_i$

$$\therefore A\vec{v}_i = \vec{e}_i$$

Let B be a matrix with  $B = [\vec{v}_1 \ \vec{v}_2 \ \dots \ \vec{v}_m]$   
 $AB = [A\vec{v}_1 \ A\vec{v}_2 \ \dots \ A\vec{v}_m]$   
 $= [\vec{e}_1 \ \vec{e}_2 \ \dots \ \vec{e}_m] = I_m$

$\therefore$  By corollary 1.2, A has right inverse and is invertible  
 In order to calculate inverse of matrix, we use

Corollary 1.1  $\rightarrow$  An invertible matrix is product of elementary matrix. Any sequence of R.O. that reduces A to I also transforms

I to  $A^{-1}$

Proof  $\rightarrow$  Using VIT (G)

$$I = e_p(e_{p-1} \dots e_1((c, e_i)A) \dots)$$

$$= (e_p e_{p-1} \dots e_1)A$$

$$I = (E_p E_{p-1} \dots E_1)A$$

$$A = (E_p \dots E_1)^{-1} I$$

$$A = E_1^{-1} \dots E_p^{-1}$$

$A^{-1} = E_p \dots E_1$

Henry, muonid

Corollary 1.2 → If  $A$  has a left inverse or a right inverse, then it has an inverse.

intuitively ~~e.g.~~  $B A = I$   $\hookrightarrow$  Left Inverse

Proof →

Case 1 Suppose  $A$  has left inverse, i.e.

$B A = I$   
Use LIT(C)

Considering homogeneous solution,  
 $A \vec{x} = 0$  with  $\vec{0}$  as solution

$$A \vec{y} = 0$$

$$B A \vec{y} = B 0$$

$$I \cdot \vec{y} = 0 \Rightarrow \vec{y} = 0$$

$\therefore A$  is invertible

$$B A = I$$

$$(B^{-1} B) A = B^{-1} I$$

$$A = B^{-1}$$

$$B A A^{-1} = I A^{-1}$$

$$B = A^{-1}$$

$\therefore$  Left inverse of ~~other~~ has to be inverse of other & vice versa.

Case 2

$$A B = I$$

$$A \leftarrow B^{-1}$$

Right inverse

Corollary 1.3 : Suppose square matrix  $A$  is factored as a product of square matrices i.e.  $A = A_1 A_2 \dots A_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ). Then  $A$  is invertible if and only if each  $A_i$  is invertible.

Proof by induction  $\rightarrow$

Step 1  $n = 2$

$$A = A_1 A_2$$

Let us first see if  $A_2$  is invertible

$$A_2 \vec{u} = 0 \rightarrow \text{has a solution}$$

$$A_2 \vec{u} = 0$$

$$A_1 A_2 \vec{u} = A_1 0$$

$$A \vec{u} = 0$$

$\therefore A$  is invertible with only trivial solution

$\Rightarrow A_2$  is invertible

$$A = A_1 A_2$$

$$A_1 A_1^{-1} = A_1 A_2 A_2^{-1}$$

$$A A_2^{-1} = A_1$$

$\therefore A$  is invertible as it's represented as product of 2 invertible matrices

Step 3 If invertible matrix can be expressed as  $(n-1)$  factors, then each factor is invertible.

$$A = \underbrace{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-1}, A_n}_{= BA_n}$$

By base case,  $B$  and  $A_n$  are both invertible.

So,  $A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n$  are all invertible.

\* Formula for inverse of  $2 \times 2$  matrix

$$\text{adj } A \cdot A(\text{adj } A) = |A|$$

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{|A|} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{where } A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

If  $ad - bc = 0 \Rightarrow$  Non invertible.

- A vector space is a non empty set  $V$  of objects on which addition and multiplication are subject to following axioms.

- 1  $\rightarrow$  For  $\vec{u}$  and  $\vec{v}$  in  $V$ ,  $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$  is in  $V$
- 2  $\rightarrow$   $\vec{u} + \vec{v} = \vec{v} + \vec{u}$
- 3  $\rightarrow$   $c(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) = c\vec{u} + c\vec{v}$   
 $(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) + w = \vec{u} + (\vec{v} + \vec{w})$
- 4  $\rightarrow$  There is 0 vector in  $V$
- 5  $\rightarrow$  For  $\vec{u}$ , there is  $-\vec{u}$  such that  $\vec{u} + (-\vec{u}) = 0$
- 6  $\rightarrow$   $c\vec{u}$  is in  $V$
- 7  $\rightarrow$   $(c+d)\vec{u} = c\vec{u} + d\vec{u}$
- 8  $\rightarrow$   $d(c\vec{u}) = (dc)\vec{u}$
- 9  $\rightarrow$   $1\vec{u} = \vec{u}$

Subspaces  $\rightarrow$  It's a subset of  $V$  and follows the 3 axioms  $\rightarrow$

- $\rightarrow$  It includes 0 vector
- $\rightarrow$   $(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) \in V \rightarrow$  Closed under addition
- $\rightarrow$   $c\vec{u} \in V \rightarrow$  Closed under multiplication

\* Every subspace is a vector space. Conversely, every vector space is a subspace of itself or other larger spaces.

\* Set consisting of only 0 vector is also always a subspace written as  $\{0\}$

Subspace spanned by a set

If  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are in a vector space  $V$ , and  $H = \text{Span}(v_1, v_2)$ ; then  $H$  is subspace of  $V$ .

✓ as  $H$  contains  $0$  for  $0v_1 + 0v_2$

✓  $H$  gives  $(ii) = s_1 v_1 + s_2 v_2 + (v) = t_1 v_1 + t_2 v_2 \in H$

✓  $H$  gives  $(iii) \in H$

\*  $\text{Span}\{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_p\}$  is always subspace of  $V$  containing  $v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_p$

→ called as subspace spanned

Proposition 7  $\rightarrow$  Let  $V$  be a vector space. Then:

- (a.) 0 vector is unique
- (b.) Additive vector of any vector  $\vec{u}$  is unique: i.e.  $-\vec{u}$
- (c.)  $0\vec{u} = \vec{0}$  for every vector  $\vec{u}$
- (d.)  $c\vec{0} = \vec{0}$  for every scalar.
- (e.)  $-\vec{u} = (-1)\vec{u}$  for every vector  $\vec{u}$
- (f.) Cancellation Law  $\vec{u} + \vec{v} = \vec{u} + \vec{w}$ , then  $\vec{v} = \vec{w}$

Proof  $\rightarrow$

(a.) Suppose  $\vec{0}$  and  $\vec{0}'$  are both zero vectors in  $V$ .  
 Then  $\vec{x} + \vec{0} = \vec{x}$  and  ~~$\vec{x} + \vec{0}' = \vec{x}$~~ , for all  $\vec{x}$  in  $V$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore, } \vec{0}' &= \vec{0}' + \vec{0} && \text{as } \vec{0} \text{ is zero vector} \\ &= \vec{0} + \vec{0}' && \text{, by commutativity} \\ &= \vec{0} && \text{, as } \vec{0}' \text{ is a zero vector} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\vec{0} = \vec{0}'$ , showing that zero vector is unique

(b.) Suppose  $\vec{x} + \vec{x}' = \vec{0}$  and  $\vec{x} + \vec{x}'' = \vec{0}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } \vec{x}'' &= \vec{x}'' + \vec{0} \\ &= \vec{x}'' + (\vec{x} + \vec{x}') && \text{as } \vec{x}' \text{ is -ve of } \vec{x} \\ &= (\vec{x}'' + \vec{x}) + \vec{x}' && \text{, by distribution law} \\ &= \vec{0} + \vec{x}' && \text{as } \vec{x}'' \text{ is -ve of } \vec{x} \\ &= \vec{x}' && \text{as } \vec{x}'' \text{ is -ve of } \vec{x} \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \vec{x}' = \vec{x}''$ , there is only 1 additive vector.

$$\text{Also, } 0 = 0 \cdot \vec{x} = (1-1) \cdot \vec{x} = \vec{x} + (-\vec{x})$$

$\Rightarrow -\vec{x}$  is additive inverse of  $\vec{x}$  and unique

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(c.) } 0\vec{u} &= 0 + 0\vec{u} && \text{(Additive ID} \neq \text{vector)} \\
 &= -\vec{u} + \vec{u} + 0\vec{u} \\
 &= -\vec{u} + (1+0)\vec{u} \\
 &= -\vec{u} + (1)\vec{u} \\
 &= -\vec{u} + \vec{u} && \text{(Additive vector)} \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, proved

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(d.) } c\vec{0} &= c(0_1, 0_2, \dots, 0_n) && \text{Def of vector} \\
 &= (c \cdot 0_1, c \cdot 0_2, \dots, c \cdot 0_n) && \text{Def of scalar multiplication} \\
 &= (0_1, 0_2, 0_3, \dots, 0_n) \\
 &\equiv \vec{0}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{(e.) } 0 = 0\vec{u}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (1-1)\vec{u} \\
 &= 1\vec{u} + (-1)\vec{u} \\
 &= \vec{u} + (-1)\vec{u} \quad \Rightarrow -\vec{u} = (-1)\vec{u}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore (-1)\vec{u} = -\vec{u}$$

(f)  $\vec{u} + \vec{v} = \vec{u} + \vec{w}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow (\vec{u} + \vec{v}) + (-\vec{u}) = (\vec{u} + \vec{w}) + (-\vec{u}) && [\text{Adding } -\vec{u} \text{ to both sides}] \\ &\Rightarrow \cancel{\vec{u}} + \vec{v} + (\vec{u} + \vec{w}) = \cancel{\vec{u}} + (\vec{w} + (-\vec{u})) && [\text{Associativity}] \\ &\Rightarrow \vec{v} + \vec{w} = \vec{w} + \vec{v} && [\text{Additive Inverse}] \\ &\Rightarrow \therefore \boxed{\vec{v} = \vec{w}} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, proved.

Proposition 8  $\rightarrow$  A subset  $W$  of  $V$  is a subspace if and only if it satisfies the following

3 properties  $\rightarrow$

- (i) The zero vector is in  $W$
- (ii)  $W$  is closed under addition i.e.  $\vec{u} + \vec{w} \in W$  for all  $\vec{u}$  and  $\vec{w} \in W$
- (iii)  $W$  is closed under scalar multiplication i.e. for each  $\alpha$  in  $W$ , and each scalar,  $c\vec{u}$  is in  $W$ .

Proof

For a vector space, by definition it has to follow (i), (ii) and (iii) i.e. 10 conditions in all.

$\Rightarrow$  Given  $W$  is subspace, it follows (i) (2 axioms), (ii) (4 axioms)  $\rightarrow$  and (iii) 4 axioms, Hence satisfied.

$\Leftarrow$  Given  $W$  satisfying (i) (ii) (iii)

RTP  $\rightarrow W$  is subspace

- $\rightarrow$  (i) proves that it follows axiom 1 and 3 (Closure under addition + multiplication)
- $\rightarrow$  (ii)
  - (a) associativity
  - (b) zero vector
  - (c) additive inverse
  - (d) commutativity

sidv]

Proposition 9  $\rightarrow$  A non empty subset  $W$  of  $V$  is a subspace if and only if for each  $\vec{v}_1$  and  $\vec{v}_2$  in  $W$  and each scalar  $c$ , the sum  $c\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2$

Proof  $\Rightarrow$

Proposition 10  $\rightarrow$  Let  $V$  be a vector space and let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p$  be vectors in  $V$ . Then,  $\text{Span}\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p\}$  is a subspace of  $V$

Proof  $\rightarrow$  Let us 1st use prop 8 to test for subspace

$\rightarrow$  Zero vector:  $0 = 0v_1 + 0v_2 + \dots + 0v_p$  is a linear combination of the  $v_i$ 's

$\rightarrow w_1 = c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \dots + c_p v_p$  and  $w_2 = d_1 v_1 + d_2 v_2 + \dots + d_p v_p$

$$\Rightarrow w_1 + w_2 = (c_1 + d_1)\vec{v}_1 + (c_2 + d_2)\vec{v}_2 + \dots + (c_p + d_p)\vec{v}_p$$

$\in \text{span } \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p\}$

→ If  $c$  is any scalar and  $w_1$  is a linear combination,  
 Then,  $cw_1 = c(c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + \dots + c_pv_p)$   
 $= cc_1v_1 + cc_2v_2 + \dots + cc_pv_p$

Corollary 10.1

Let  $V$  be vector space,

- (a) If  $U$  and  $W$  are 2 subspaces of  $V$ , then  $U \cap W$  is also subspace of  $V$
- (b) If  $S = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p\}$  is a set of vectors, then  $\text{Span } S$  is smallest subspace which contains  $S$  i.e.  $S \subseteq W$ , then  $\text{Span } S \subseteq W$

⇒ In terms of this,  $\text{Span } S$  is sometimes described as intersection of all subspaces of  $V$  containing  $S$ .

Proof →

- (a) Since  $U$  and  $W$  are subspaces of  $V$  and (0) ∈  $U$  and (0) ∈  $W$

For any arbitrary element  $a$ , if  $\{a\} \subseteq U \cap W$ , then  $a \in U$  and  $a \in W$

So, for  $a, b \in U$  and  $a, b \in W$ ,  $a+b \in U \cap W$  as  
 $a+b \in U$  and  $a+b \in W$

Similarly  $ca$  and  $cb$  ∈  $U$  and  $W$ , if  $ca \in U \cap W$   
 $\therefore U \cap W$  is also a subspace of  $V$

(b.) Let  $A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_m$  be all subspaces of  $V$  containing  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p\}$ .

Then, by 10.1 (A),  $A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_3 \dots \cap A_m$  is also a subspace and must contain  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p\}$ .

By intersection we get the smallest case and it's represented by all linear combinations of  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p$ .

$\therefore \text{spans}$  is smallest subspace which contains  $V$ .

Proposition 11  $\rightarrow B = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  is a basis of vector space  $V$  if and only if every vector  $v \in V$  is uniquely expressible as a linear combination of elements of  $B$ .

Is it the same for basis and (S)

With respect to  $V$  implied & part (i)

$v \in V$ ,  $\exists$   $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$w = a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \dots + a_nv_n$

$a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  are unique  $\Rightarrow$   $a_i \neq 0$

$\therefore a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  are non-zero

$w = a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \dots + a_nv_n$  implies

nonzero

Remarks - (1) Any list that contains  $\vec{0}$  is linearly dependent

- (2) A single non zero vector is L.I.
- (3) 2 vectors are L.D. if they are multiple of each other.
- (4) A list of vectors is L.D. if and only if at least 1 of the vector is a linear combination of others.
- (5) Any list which contains a repeated vector is linearly dependent.
- (6) Any list which contains a L.D. list has to be L.D.
- (7) Any subset of L.I set is L.I.

(1) Proof  $\rightarrow$  Assume  $V$  is a vector space.

Consider  $\{\vec{v}_0, \vec{v}_1, v_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$ ,  $\vec{v}_i \in V$

Considering

$$c_0 \cdot 0 + c_1 \cdot \vec{v}_1 + c_2 \cdot \vec{v}_2 + \dots + c_p \cdot \vec{v}_p = 0$$

If  $c_0 = 0$  and  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_p \neq 0$ ,  $\Rightarrow 0$

Assuming  $c_0 \neq 0$  and  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_p = 0$

We get  $c_0 \cdot 0 + c_1 \cdot \vec{v}_1 + c_2 \cdot \vec{v}_2 + \dots + c_p \cdot \vec{v}_p = 0$

$\Rightarrow$  Linearly dependent.

(2) Proof  $\rightarrow$

For 2 vectors  $\vec{V}_1$  and  $\vec{V}_2$

Considering,

$$c_1 \vec{V}_1 + c_2 \vec{V}_2 = 0$$

Given  $\rightarrow$  They are L.D.

$\Rightarrow$  If  $c_1 \neq 0$ ,

where  $c_1 \neq 0$  or  
 $c_2 \neq 0$

$$c_1 \vec{V}_1 + c_2 \vec{V}_2 = 0 \Rightarrow \vec{V}_1 = -\frac{c_2}{c_1} \vec{V}_2$$

$\Rightarrow$  A multiple of  $\vec{V}_1$

$\Rightarrow$  If  $c_2 \neq 0$

$$c_1 \vec{V}_1 + c_2 \vec{V}_2 = 0 \Rightarrow \vec{V}_2 = -\frac{c_1}{c_2} \vec{V}_1$$

$\Rightarrow$  A multiple of  $\vec{V}_2$

Given  $\rightarrow$  They are multiples of each other

$$\text{i.e. } \vec{V}_1 = c \vec{V}_2$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 \cdot \vec{V}_1 - c \vec{V}_2 = 0$$

$\hookrightarrow$  Linearly dependent

4. Proof  $\rightarrow S \text{ is L.D.} \Leftrightarrow$  one of vector is linear comb. of others

$\Leftarrow$  If  $v_i$  is linear combination of others,

$$v_i = c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \dots + c_{i-1} v_{i-1} + c_{i+1} v_{i+1} + \dots + c_k v_k$$

$\downarrow$  ~~not zero.~~

$$0 = c_1 v_1 + \dots + \cancel{-c_i v_i} + \dots + c_k v_k \Rightarrow \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{L.D.}$$

$\Rightarrow S \text{ is L.D.}, \therefore 0 = c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \dots + c_k v_k$  (not all 0's)

$$\Rightarrow -c_j v_j = c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \dots + c_k v_k$$

6. Proof  $\rightarrow$   $\Downarrow$  non zero  $\Rightarrow v_j = \frac{c_1 v_1}{-c_j} + \frac{c_2 v_2}{-c_j} + \dots + \frac{c_k v_k}{-c_j}$

6. Proof  $\rightarrow$

Let  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_k\}$  be linearly dependent  
Consider the set  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n\}$

We know that

$$c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \dots + c_k v_k = 0$$

where all  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k$  are not zero

$$\therefore \underbrace{c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \dots + c_k v_k}_{\text{not all } 0} + 0v_{k+1} + \dots + 0v_n = 0$$

Kence it's linearly dependent.

### 7. Proof $\rightarrow$

Set  $U_1$  be a L.I set and let  $U_2$  be subset of  $U_1$ ,  
 $\therefore U_2 \subset U_1$

If  $U_2$  is L.D then  $U_1$  is L.D by remark 6.

Therefore by contradiction,  $U_2$  has to be linearly independent.

### Proposition 12 $\rightarrow$

(Steinitz Exchange Lemma)

Suppose  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n$  are L.I vectors in vector space  $V$  and suppose  $V = \text{Span}\{\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \dots, \vec{w}_m\}$

Then:

(a)  $n \leq m$

(b)  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, w_{n+1}, \dots, w_m\}$  span  $V$ , after reordering like  $w$ 's if necessary

Bloof →

Since  $w$ 's span  $V$ , we must have

$$\vec{v}_1 = c_1 \vec{w}_1 + \dots + c_m \vec{w}_m$$

1.

Now at least one of the  $c$  is not 0,  $\therefore$  if all are 0, then  $v_1 = 0$

Let us assume  $c_1 \neq 0$

Rewrite 1 as,

$$c_1 \vec{w}_1 = \vec{v}_1 - c_2 \vec{w}_2 - \dots - c_m \vec{w}_m$$

Multiply by  $c_1^{-1}$ , we get,

$$\vec{w}_1 = d_1 \vec{v}_1 + d_2 \vec{w}_2 + \dots + d_m \vec{w}_m$$

2.

$\therefore \boxed{\text{Span of } \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \dots, \vec{w}_m = \text{Span } \vec{v}_1, \vec{w}_2, \dots, \vec{w}_m}$

To explain (\*), suppose some

$$\vec{v} = \alpha_1 \vec{w}_1 + \dots + \alpha_m \vec{w}_m$$

Substitute  $\vec{w}_1$  from 2.

$$\vec{v} = \alpha_1 (\alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \vec{w}_2 + \dots + \alpha_m \vec{w}_m) + \alpha_2 \vec{w}_2 + \dots$$

Collecting coefficients, we get R.H.S terms of

3. Invertible matrices start with classmate

Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Page \_\_\_\_\_

$\vec{v}_1, \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \dots, \vec{w}_m$  i.e. we have been able to replace  $\vec{w}_1$  by  $\vec{v}_1$

Doing same for  $\vec{v}_2$

$$\vec{v}_2 = c_1 \vec{v}_1 + c_2 \vec{w}_2 + \dots + c_m \vec{w}_m$$

$$\vec{w}_2 = f_2 \vec{v}_2 + f_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + f_m \vec{w}_m$$

Span of  $\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \dots, \vec{w}_m$  = Span of  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{w}_m$

The process can be repeated further till it stops.

The result obtained is summed as  $\rightarrow$

Case I

$$n \leq m$$

$$\text{span}(\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \dots, \vec{w}_m) = \text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_m, \dots, \vec{w}_m)$$

Case II

$$n > m$$

$$\text{i.e. } \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_m, \vec{v}_{m+1}, \dots, \vec{v}_n$$

$$\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \dots, \vec{w}_m$$

This implies that  $\vec{v}_{m+1}, \dots, \vec{v}_n$  must be L.D and this is a contradiction

\* The 0 subspace has a dimension 0 but has no basis.

LINSM 10

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Page \_\_\_\_\_

Proposition 13  $\rightarrow$  If  $V$  is a finite dimensional vector space, then any 2 bases of  $V$  have the same no. of elements.  
(Dimension = No. of elements in basis for  $V$ )

Proof  $\rightarrow$

Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be 2 bases of  $V$  with  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  resp.

Using 12(a.) we get  $k_1 \leq k_2$

because  $B_1$  is L.I set and  $B_2$  spans  $V$ .

Similarly,

$$k_2 \leq k_1$$

because  $B_2$  is L.I set and  $B_1$  spans  $V$ .

$$\therefore k_1 = k_2$$

Hence, proved.

Proposition 14  $\rightarrow$  Suppose  $S = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  is a linearly independent set in a vector space  $V$ . Suppose  $v$  is a vector not in  $\text{span } S$ , then set obtained by adjoining  $v$  to  $S$  is L.I.

Proof → Suppose  $\vec{v}$  is not in  $\text{span } S$ , and consider any expression

$$c_1 \vec{v}_1 + c_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + c_n \vec{v}_n + c \vec{v} = 0$$

$$\text{If } c \neq 0, \quad c \vec{v} = - (c_1 \vec{v}_1 + c_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + c_n \vec{v}_n)$$

$$c^{-1} c \vec{v} = -c^{-1} (c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_n \vec{v}_n)$$

$$\vec{v} = -c^{-1} c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + -c_n c^{-1} \vec{v}_n$$

This is a contradiction as  $c$  is not included in  $\text{span } S$ .

Hence,  $c = 0$  and  $S \cup \{\vec{v}\} = L.I$

Proposition 15 → Any L.I. set  $S$  in a finite dimensional vector space can be expanded to the basis.

Let  $B = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$  be L.I. set

~~If  $k = n$~~

By Prop 17, it's a basis.

~~If  $k < n$~~  Then  $B$  is not a basis as it's not the ~~the minimal~~ L.I. set

Therefore, we can add some vector  $\vec{v}_{k+1}$  to  $B$  such that it remains L.I by Steinitz exchange lemma

The process must terminate before we reach maximal set. Therefore any L.I. set can be extended.

~~WR7n~~

This is not possible by Prop 17.

Proposition 16  $\rightarrow$  Any finite spanning S in a non zero vector space can be contracted to a basis.

Proof:

$$I.A = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} \text{ and } 0 = 0, \text{ while}$$

third condition holds. I.A. is L.I. and  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  is a basis. Now consider  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ . It's L.I. and  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$  is a basis.

Now I.A. is L.I. and  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  is a basis.

From above,  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  is a basis.

Now assume that  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  is not a basis. Then there exists a non-zero vector  $v$  such that  $v \in \text{span}\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ .

Let  $v = a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \dots + a_nv_n$  where  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $i$ . Then  $v = a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \dots + a_nv_n = 0$ . This contradicts the fact that  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  is linearly independent.

Proposition 17  $\rightarrow$  Let  $V$  be a non-zero-finite dimensional vector space with dimension  $n$ . Then:

- \* Any linearly independent set of vectors have  $\leq n$  vectors. If a LI set has  $n$  vectors, it's a basis.
- \* Any spanning set must have  $\geq n$  vectors. If a spanning set has  $n$  vectors  $\rightarrow$  It's a basis.

Proof  $\rightarrow$  (a) Given:  $\dim(V) = n$

$\therefore$  Any basis has exactly  $n$  elements.

We know that the elements of a basis span  $V$  and by Steinitz exchange lemma, any LI set has  $\leq n$  elements.

- The  $\dim(V) = n$  and thereby if  $B$  is a LI set with  $n$  elements, it's a maximal L.I set or a minimal spanning set and thus a basis.

(b)

Given:  $\dim(V) = n$

$\therefore$  Any basis has exactly  $n$  elements

We know that elements of basis are L.I and by Steinitz exchange lemma, any spanning set has  $\geq n$  elements.

- The  $\dim(V) = n$  and thereby if  $B$  is a spanning set with  $n$  elements, it's a ~~minimal~~<sup>maximal</sup> L.I set or a minimal spanning set and thus a basis.

Proposition 18  $\rightarrow$  If  $W$  is a proper subspace of a finite dimensional space  $V$ , then  $W$  is also finite dimensional and  $0 < \dim W < \dim V$ .

Proof  $\rightarrow$

Since  $W$  is a proper subspace, it contains a vector  $w_1 \neq 0$ . If  $w_1$  spans  $W$ , then  $W$  is finite dimensional. If not, there is a vector  $w_2$  in  $W$  outside  $\text{span}(w_1)$ , and by adjoining  $w_2$  to  $w_1$ , we still have a L.I. (Prop 14) set.

Continuing this, we get a basis with at most  $\dim V$  elements (Prop 12 a).

Hence,  $W$  is finite dimensional and since  $W$  is a proper subspace, there is a vector  $v$  outside  $W$ . Adjoining  $v$  to any basis of  $W$ , we still have a L.I. set.

$\therefore \boxed{\dim W < \dim V}$

\* Sum of Subspaces  $\rightarrow$

Let  $U$  and  $W$  be subspaces of vector space  $V$ .

The sum of  $U$  and  $W$ ,  $U+W = \{u+w : u \in U, w \in W\}$

We can see that  $U+W$  is a subspace of  $V$ .

$\Rightarrow$  In fact  $U+W$  is smallest subspace containing  $U$  and  $W$ .

Proof  $\rightarrow$

1) If  $u_1, u_2 \in U$  and  $w_1, w_2 \in W$ , then  $u_1 + u_2 \in U$  and  $w_1 + w_2 \in W$ .

$(u_1 + u_2) + (w_1 + w_2) = u_1 + w_1 + u_2 + w_2 \in U+W$

$0 \in U$  and  $0 \in W$   $\Rightarrow 0+0 \in U+W$

Proposition 19  $\rightarrow$  If  $U$  and  $W$  are subspaces of vector

space  $V$ , then,

$$\dim(U+W) = \dim(U) + \dim(W) - \dim(U \cap W)$$

Proof  $\rightarrow$

For convenience, let us put  $K = U \cap W$  and  $Z = U+W$ , so that  $K$  and  $Z$  are both subspaces of  $V$ .

If either  $U$  or  $W = \{0\}$ , the result is obvious.

We will use Prop 15 to construct a basis for  $Z$ .

Let  $B = \{\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_m\}$  be a basis for  $K$ .

[It is finite dimensional by Prop 18]

Since  $K \subseteq U$ , we expand basis of  $B$  to a basis  $B_1$  of  $U$  by adjoining the vectors  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_n$

$$\text{i.e. } B_1 = \{\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_m, \vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_n\}$$

Similarly we expand  $B$  to get basis for  $W$

$$\text{i.e. } B_2 = \{\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_m, \vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_p\}$$

$$\text{Put } C = B \cup B_1 \cup B_2 = B_1 \cup B_2 = \{\vec{r}_1, \dots, \vec{r}_m, \vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_n, \vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_p\}$$

We claim  $C$  is a basis of  $Z$ . To justify it, we need to prove that.

- (i) Span( $C$ ) =  $Z$
- (ii)  $C$  is linearly independent

$$\rightarrow (i) \text{ Let } \vec{z} = \vec{u} + \vec{w} \quad \vec{u} \in U, \vec{w} \in W$$

$$\rightarrow \vec{u} \in U$$

$$\vec{u} = c_1 \vec{r}_1 + \dots + c_m \vec{r}_m + d_1 \vec{u}_1 + \dots + d_n \vec{u}_n$$

$$\vec{w} = f_1 \vec{r}_1 + \dots + f_m \vec{r}_m + g_1 \vec{u}_1 + \dots + g_p \vec{u}_p$$

so that  $\vec{w} = (c_1 + f_1) \vec{r}_1 + \dots + (c_m \vec{k}_m + f_m) \vec{k}_m + d_1 \vec{u}_1 + \dots + d_n \vec{u}_n + g_1 \vec{w}_1 + \dots + g_p \vec{w}_p$   
 i.e. a linear independence of the elements of  $C$

(ii) Suppose  $c_1 \vec{r}_1 + \dots + c_m \vec{k}_m + d_1 \vec{u}_1 + \dots + d_n \vec{u}_n + g_1 \vec{w}_1 + \dots + g_p \vec{w}_p = 0$  — (1)

$$\text{i.e. } c_1 \vec{r}_1 + \dots + c_m \vec{k}_m + d_1 \vec{u}_1 + \dots + d_n \vec{u}_n = g_1 \vec{w}_1 + \dots + g_p \vec{w}_p — (2)$$

Now L.H.S of (2) is a vector in  $U$  and R.H.S is a vector in ~~K~~  $W$ ; hence a vector in  $U \cap W = K$  i.e. we can write it as

$$c_1 \vec{r}_1 + \dots + c_m \vec{k}_m + d_1 \vec{u}_1 + \dots + d_n \vec{u}_n = f_1 \vec{r}_1 + f_m \vec{k}_m — (3)$$

$$h_1 \vec{r}_1 + \dots + h_m \vec{k}_m + d_1 \vec{u}_1 + \dots + d_n \vec{u}_n = \vec{0} — (4)$$

But now since  $B_1$  is a basis for  $U$ , hence, we get

$$d_1 = d_2 = \dots = d_n = 0 — (5)$$

$\therefore$  (1) becomes:

$$c_1 \vec{r}_1 + \dots + c_m \vec{k}_m + g_1 \vec{w}_1 + \dots + g_p \vec{w}_p = \vec{0} — (6)$$

But then again, since  $B_2$  is a basis for  $W$  and hence l.i, we get  $c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_m = g_1 = \dots = g_p = 0$

So, we have proved (i) and (ii) and so  $C$  is indeed a basis for  $Z = U + W$ .

$$\dim(U+W) = \dim(Z) = m+n+p \quad -\textcircled{7}$$

O.T.O.R,  $\dim U + \dim W - \dim(U \cap W)$   
 $= (m+n) + (m+p) - m$   
 $= m+n+p$ .

$$\therefore \dim(U+W) = \dim U + \dim W - \dim(U \cap W)$$

Proposition 20  $\rightarrow$  If  $U$  and  $W$  are subspaces of vector space  $V$ , then  $V = U + W$  if and only if  $V = U + W$  and  $U \cap W = \{0\}$ . dim sum

\* Direct Sum:

$V$  is said to be the direct sum of the subspaces  $U$  and  $W$  if every vector  $v \in V$  is uniquely expressible in the form,

$$v = \vec{u} + \vec{w}$$

Proof:

If and only direct sum  $\Leftrightarrow U \cap W = \{0\}$

$\Rightarrow$  Given:  $v \in U \oplus W$  and both  $U$  and  $W$  are subspaces.

$$\text{① } v = u + w$$

To prove:

$$U \cap W = \{0\}$$

Proof  $\rightarrow$

Assume  $r \in U \cap W$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{v} &= \vec{v} + \vec{0} = \vec{0} + \vec{v} \\ &\downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ r &\in U \cap W \quad r \in U \quad r \in W \end{aligned}$$

Since it's a ~~not~~ direct sum, it can only be expressed ~~in~~ a unique way.

$\Rightarrow \therefore$  since it's a unique,  $v = 0$

$$\therefore U \cap W = \{0\}$$

$\Leftarrow$  Given:

$$U \cap W = \{0\}$$

To prove:  $U + W$  is a direct sum

$U + W$  is a direct sum

Proof  $\rightarrow$

Taking  $v = u + w$

$$\text{Let } \vec{v} = \vec{u}_1 + \vec{w}_1 = \vec{u}_2 + \vec{w}_2$$

To show that it's a direct sum,  $u_1 = w_1$  and  $w_1 = u_2$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{u}_1 - \vec{u}_2 = \vec{w}_2 - \vec{w}_1$$

$$\Rightarrow \therefore \vec{u}_1 - \vec{u}_2 \in U \text{ and } \vec{w}_2 - \vec{w}_1 \in W$$

Since  $U \cap W = \{0\}$

$$\text{and } \vec{u}_1 - \vec{u}_2 = \vec{w}_2 - \vec{w}_1$$

$$\therefore \vec{u}_1 - \vec{u}_2 = \vec{w}_2 - \vec{w}_1 = 0 \Rightarrow u_1 = u_2$$

$$w_1 = w_2$$

$\therefore U + W$  is a direct sum.

Hence, proved

\* Null Space → It is set of all solutions to homogeneous system  $Ax = 0$  where  $A$  is a  $m \times n$  matrix.

⇒ Remark ⇒  $\text{Nul } A$  is a subset of  $R^n$   
i.e  $\text{Nul } A = \{x : x \in R^n \text{ and } Ax = 0\}$

Proposition 2 → The null space of an  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$  is a subspace of  $R^n$ , or equivalently, set of all solutions of a homogeneous system of  $m$  equations in  $n$  variables is a subspace of  $R^n$ .

\* The sol<sup>n</sup> set of non homogeneous systems is not a subspace.

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Page \_\_\_\_\_

Proof:

(i) Let  $\vec{0} \in \text{Nul } A$  as the trivial solution exist for all homogeneous system.

(ii) If  $\vec{u}$  and  $\vec{v} \in \text{Nul } A$ , then  $A\vec{u} = 0$  and  $A\vec{v} = 0$ , hence,  $A(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) = 0 + 0 = 0$

(iii) If  $c\vec{u} \in \text{Nul } A$ ,  $A(c\vec{u}) = 0$  and  $A(c\vec{u}) = c(A\vec{u}) = c(0) = 0$

$\Rightarrow$  Either  $\text{Nul } A$  is the zero subspace, or the dimension of  $\text{Nul } A$  is equal to number of variables in the solution.

\* Column Space  $\rightarrow$  The column space of an  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$ , written  $\text{col } A$ , is the set of all linear combinations of columns of  $A$  i.e. span of the column vectors obtained from  $A$ .

If  $A = [a_1 \ a_2 \ a_3 \dots \ a_n]$ , then  $\text{col } A = \text{span}\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$

Proposition 22  $\rightarrow \text{col } A$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^m$

Proof : Since  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix, its columns are vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

- (i) Since  $\text{col } A$  is a span of vectors, it must contain  $0_{\mathbb{R}^m}$
- (ii) For  $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in \text{col } A$  and  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  
$$\begin{aligned}\text{where } \vec{u} &= c_1 \vec{a}_1 + \dots + c_n \vec{a}_n \\ \vec{v} &= d_1 \vec{a}_1 + \dots + d_m \vec{a}_m \\ \vec{u} + \vec{v} &= (c_1 + d_1) \vec{a}_1 + \dots + (c_n + d_m) \vec{a}_n \in \text{col } A\end{aligned}$$
- (iii) For  $\vec{u} \in \text{col } A$ ,  $c \vec{u} \in \text{col } A$

The column space is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , since each column has  $m$  elements and hence we get a  $m$ -tuple.

Proposition 23  $\rightarrow$  The pivot columns of a matrix  $A$  form a basis for  $\text{col } A$ .

Justification (Concise Proof) :

Any linear dependence relationship between columns of  $A$  can be expressed as  $Ax = 0$ . When  $A$  is reduced to  $R$ , the columns change but the solution set of  $Rx = 0$  remains same.

In other words, row reduction does not change dependence relationship btw. columns. The pivot columns are always l.i. and also non-pivot columns are l.c. of preceding pivot columns.

Note: We must take columns of  $A$  for basis.

### Nul A

- Subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^m$
- Defined implicitly
- $\text{Nul } A = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , iff  $Ax = 0$  has only trivial soln

### Col A

- Subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^m$
- Defined explicitly
- $\text{Col } A = \mathbb{R}^m$  iff  $Ax = 0$  has a solution for every  $b$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$

\* Row Space → The row space of an  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$ , is the set of all linear combinations of rows of  $A$ , i.e. the span of the (row) vectors obtained from  $A$ .

Each row is considered as a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

If  $A = [s_1]$ , then  $\text{Row } A = \text{Span}\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m\}$ .

Proposition 24: Row A is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$

Proof: Since A is a matrix of  $m \times n$  order, it's row are vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

(i) Since  $\text{Row } A = \text{Span of vectors}$ , it must contain 0.

"

For  $\vec{u} \in \text{Row } A$  and  $\vec{v} \in \text{Row } A$

$$\vec{u} = c_1 \vec{r}_1 + c_2 \vec{r}_2 + \dots + c_m \vec{r}_m$$

$$\vec{v} = d_1 \vec{r}_1 + d_2 \vec{r}_2 + \dots + d_m \vec{r}_m$$

$$(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) = (c_1 + d_1) \vec{r}_1 + \dots + (c_m + d_m) \vec{r}_m$$

"

for  $\vec{u} \in \text{Row } A$   
 $c\vec{u} \in \text{Row } A$

The  $\text{Row } A$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; since each row is a  $n$ -tuple.

Proposition 25: Row equivalent matrices have the same row space.

Element Proof:

Elementary row operations replace rows of original matrix by rows which are the same or linearly dependent on them. Hence, the row space does not get enlarged by row operations.

If  $B$  is got from  $A$  by row elementary row operations, then  $\text{Row } B \subseteq \text{Row } A$ . But since elementary row operations are reversible  $\text{Row } A \subseteq \text{Row } B$ . Therefore  $\text{Row } B = \text{Row } A$ .

Hence Proved.

$\Rightarrow$  Finding a basis for Row  $A$   $\rightarrow$  Then non zero rows of  $A$  for its RREF form a basis for  $\text{Row } R$  and  $\text{Row } A$ .

- Alternate Method: Take  $A^T$  and find basis for  $\text{Col } A$ , i.e. all first columns.

★ Observation  $\rightarrow$

dimension of  $\text{Col } A$  = dimension of  $\text{Row } A$

$\Rightarrow$  If  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix, then the column rank of  $A$  is defined to be  $\dim(\text{Col } A)$ . Similarly, the row rank of  $A$  =  $\dim(\text{Row } A)$ . The

nullity of  $A = \dim(\text{Nul } A)$

## (2.) Rank Theorem

- (a) The row rank or column rank of matrix  $A$  are equal. This is called rank of  $A$ .
- (b) Rank = No. of pivot columns
- (c)  $\text{rank}(A) + \text{nullity}(n) = \text{no. of columns of } A = n$

Proof: (a) and (b) correspond to the fact that no of basis vectors correspond to no. of pivot elements.

Corollary 2.1  $\rightarrow$  A square matrix of  $m \times m$  is invertible if and only if  $\text{rank}(A) = m$ , i.e. it forms a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

Linear Transformation  $\Rightarrow$

\* A map or a function  $T: V \rightarrow W$ , from a vector space  $V$  to a vector space  $W$  is said

to be <sup>a</sup> linear transformation if :

- (i)  $T(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) = T(\vec{u}) + T(\vec{v})$  for all  $u, v \in V$
- (ii)  $T(c\vec{u}) = cT(\vec{u})$  for  $\vec{u} \in V$  and all  $c \in F$

$\Rightarrow$  The space  $W$  (the co-domain) may be the space  $V$  or a subspace of  $V$  or may be an entirely different space (but over same field  $F$ )  
 $\Rightarrow$  Homomorphism is same as linear Transformation

Eg. of L.T

- (i)  $O$  Transformation  $\rightarrow O : V \rightarrow W$  such that  $O(u) = 0$
- (ii) Identity Transformation  $\rightarrow I : V \rightarrow W$  such that  $I(u) = u$

Some remark)  $\rightarrow$

- (i) If  $T$  is linear, then  $T(0) = 0$  and  $T(-v) = -T(v)$

Proof (i) Let  $T : V \rightarrow W$

$$\vec{u} \in V \text{ and } T(\vec{u}) = \vec{w}$$

$$T(\vec{0}) = T(0 \cdot \vec{u}) = 0 \cdot (T(\vec{u})) = 0$$

Hence proved.

Similarly,  $T(v) = T(v)$  and  $T(-\vec{v}) = -T(\vec{v})$   
 Hence Proved.

(ii) If  $T$  is linear, then  $T$  preserves linear combinations, i.e.  $T(c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_k \vec{v}_k) = c_1 T(\vec{v}_1) + \dots + c_k T(\vec{v}_k)$

By basic definition of linear transformation,  
 $T(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) = T(\vec{u}) + T(\vec{v})$

$$\text{So, } T(c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_k \vec{v}_k) = T(c_1 \vec{v}_1) + \dots + T(c_k \vec{v}_k) \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\text{Also } T(c\vec{u}) = c(T(\vec{u}))$$

$$\text{So, } T(c_1 \vec{v}_1) + \dots + T(c_k \vec{v}_k) = c_1 T(\vec{v}_1) + \dots + c_k T(\vec{v}_k)$$

Proposition 26(a)  $\rightarrow$  A linear transformation  $T: V \rightarrow W$  is completely determined by  $V$  assumed to be finite dimensional by action on a basis of  $V$ .

Proposition 26(b)  $\rightarrow$  Conversely, given a basis  $B = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  of  $V$ , and a list of  $n$  vectors  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  (not necessarily distinct) in the codomain space  $W$ , there is a unique linear transformation  $T$  such that  $T(\vec{v}_1) = \vec{w}_1, \dots, T(\vec{v}_n) = \vec{w}_n$

Other remarks:

- (i) 2 important subspaces are associated with any LT  
 $KuT = \{ \vec{v} \in V : T\vec{v} = \vec{0} \}$  is a subspace of  $V$ .

Proof  $\vec{0}_V \in KuT$  as  $T(\vec{0}) = \vec{0}$  always

- for  $\vec{u} \in KuT$  and  $\vec{v} \in KuT$   $T(c\vec{u}) = T(\vec{v}) = \vec{0}$   
 $\therefore T(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) = T(\vec{u}) + T(\vec{v}) = \vec{0}$
- for  $\vec{u} \in KuT$   
 $T(c\vec{u}) = \vec{0} \Rightarrow cT(\vec{u}) = \vec{0}$

- (ii) The range of  $T$ ,  $\text{Range } T = \{ \vec{w} \in W : \vec{w} = T\vec{v} \text{ for some } \vec{v} \in V \}$  is a subspace of  $W$

- $\vec{0}_W \in \text{Range } T$  as  $T(\vec{0}) = \vec{0}$
- For  $\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2 \in \text{Range } T \Rightarrow \vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2 = T\vec{v}_1 + T\vec{v}_2 = T(\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2)$
- For  $\vec{w} \in \text{Range } T \Rightarrow c\vec{w} \in \text{Range } T$  as  $c\vec{w} = T(c\vec{v})$

★ It's easy to see that  $T$  is injective if and only if  
 $KuT = \{ \vec{0}_V \}$

Proof For proving injectivity, we see  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$

$$\downarrow \\ x_1 = x_2$$

If it's not an injection, then we have  $Tv = 0$   
and  $Tw = 0$  where  $v \neq w$ .  
 $\therefore \text{Ker } T$  has more than one element

$\text{Ker } T$  will have  $\{0\}$  always and hence  $T$  is injective if and only if  $\text{Ker } T = \{0\}$ .

\* Rank of a linear transformation:

def  $T: V \rightarrow W$  be a linear transformation.

The rank of  $T$  is the dimension of  $\text{Range of } T$

$\Rightarrow$  we can see that

$$\dim(\text{Range}(T)) \leq \dim V \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{derived from (a)} \\ \text{and (b)} \end{array} \right\}$$

Also, dimension of  $\text{Ker}(T) = \text{Nullity of } T$

Theorem 2 (Rank Theorem for linear transformation):

Suppose  $T: V \rightarrow W$ ,

$$\boxed{\text{rank}(T) + \text{nullity}(T) = \dim V}$$

(If  $V$  is finite dimensional, then so is  $\text{range}(T)$ )

## Proof of rank theorem $\rightarrow$

Suppose that  $\dim V = n$  and nullity( $T$ ) =  $k$ .

Then let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r$  be a basis for  $\text{Ker } T$  and let us expand the basis as  $k \leq n$ .

New Basis = span of  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n$   
 We claim that vector  $T(v_{k+1}), \dots, T(v_n)$  forms a basis for  $\text{Range}(T)$ .

All vectors  $T(\vec{v}_1), T(\vec{v}_2), \dots, T(\vec{v}_n)$  span  $\text{Range}(T)$ , and since  $T(\vec{v}_1) = T(\vec{v}_2) = \dots = T(\vec{v}_k) = 0$ , actually  $T(v_{k+1}), \dots, T(v_n)$  span  $\text{Range } T$ .

Suppose  $c_{k+1} T(\vec{v}_{k+1}) + c_{k+2} T(\vec{v}_{k+2}) + \dots + c_n T(\vec{v}_n) = 0$

Then  $T(c_{k+1} \vec{v}_{k+1} + \dots + c_n \vec{v}_n) = 0$

Hence;  $c_{k+1} \vec{v}_{k+1}, \dots, c_n \vec{v}_n$  belong to  $\text{Ker } T$

$$\therefore c_{k+1} \vec{v}_{k+1} + \dots + c_n \vec{v}_n = b_1 \vec{v}_1 + b_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + b_k \vec{v}_k$$

$$\Rightarrow b_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + b_k \vec{v}_k + \dots + c_{k+1} \vec{v}_{k+1} + \dots + c_n \vec{v}_n = 0$$

Basis for  $V$

$\therefore c_{k+1} = c_{k+2} = \dots = c_n = 0$   
 This proves the claim;  $\text{rank}(T) = \dim \text{Range}(T) = (n-k)$

★ Isomorphism : A linear transformation  $T: V \rightarrow W$  is said to be isomorphism if it is injective and surjective.

Proposition 27 → Let  $V$  and  $W$  be finite dimensional spaces.

(a) An isomorphism  $T: V \rightarrow W$  takes any arbitrary basis of  $V$  to a basis of  $W$

(b.) Conversely, if a linear transformation  $T: V \rightarrow W$  takes some basis of  $V$  to a basis of  $W$ , then it's an isomorphism.

Proof:

Proposition 28 : 2 finite dimensional vector spaces  $V$  and  $W$  (over the same field) are isomorphic if and only if  $\dim V = \dim W$

Proof:

Let  $V$  and  $W$  be two finite dimensional vector spaces over the same field. Let  $\dim V = n$  and  $\dim W = m$ . Then there exist bases  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  and  $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m\}$  respectively.

Let  $\phi: V \rightarrow W$  be a linear map. We want to show that  $\phi$  is either injective or surjective. Suppose  $\phi$  is not injective. Then there exist  $v_1, v_2 \in V$  such that  $v_1 \neq v_2$  but  $\phi(v_1) = \phi(v_2)$ . Let  $w = \phi(v_1) - \phi(v_2)$ . Then  $w \neq 0$  and  $\phi(v_1) = \phi(v_2) + w$ . Since  $\phi$  is linear,  $\phi(v_1 + v_2) = \phi(v_1) + \phi(v_2) = \phi(v_1) + w = \phi(v_1) + (\phi(v_1) - \phi(v_2)) = 2\phi(v_1) - \phi(v_2)$ . This contradicts the fact that  $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m\}$  is a basis for  $W$ , because  $2\phi(v_1) - \phi(v_2)$  is a linear combination of  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m$  with at least one coefficient being non-zero. Therefore,  $\phi$  is injective.

Now suppose  $\phi$  is not surjective. Then there exists  $w \in W$  such that  $w \notin \text{im } \phi$ . Let  $v = \phi^{-1}(w)$ . Then  $v \in V$  and  $\phi(v) = w$ . Let  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1} \in V$  be such that  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1}, v\}$  is a basis for  $V$ . Then  $\{\phi(u_1), \phi(u_2), \dots, \phi(u_{n-1}), \phi(v)\}$  is a linearly independent set in  $W$ . But since  $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m\}$  is a basis for  $W$ , it must be linearly dependent. Therefore,  $\{\phi(u_1), \phi(u_2), \dots, \phi(u_{n-1}), \phi(v)\}$  is linearly dependent. This contradicts the fact that  $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m\}$  is a basis for  $W$ . Therefore,  $\phi$  is surjective.

CLASSMATE  
Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Page \_\_\_\_\_

def Multiplication by a matrix is also a linear transformation.  $T_A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  defined by  $T_A(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$

## Coordinate Systems

Given a basis for a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$ , we recall that a vector can be expressed in one and only one way as a linear combination of basis vectors.

An ordered basis is a basis taken in a specified fixed order.

Given an ordered basis  $B = \{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_n\}$   
 $\vec{u} = x_1 \vec{u}_1 + x_2 \vec{u}_2 + \dots + x_n \vec{u}_n$

Coordinates

The coordinate vector  $\vec{u}$  (relative to basis  $B$ ) is written as  $[\vec{u}]_B$

$(u \rightarrow [u]_B) \Rightarrow \text{Coordinate Mapping}$

# Matrix of a linear Transformation

Suppose  $V$  and  $W$  are finite-dimensional vector spaces over field  $F$ , and  $T: V \rightarrow W$

Suppose  $\dim V = n$  and  $\dim W = m$

we take fixed ordered basis  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} = B$   
 $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m\} = C$

Since  $T v_i$  belongs to  $W$ ,

$$T \vec{v}_i = A_{11} \vec{w}_1 + \dots + A_{m1} \vec{w}_m$$

Matrix of  $T$  with respect to  $B$  and  $C$  ~~is~~ denoted by  $[T]$

\* A matrix is formed with these coefficients as columns

e.g.  $T: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$

$$T(x, y, z) = (x+y+2, x+2y+3z)$$

$$T(1, 0, 0) = (1, 1) = 1e_1 + 1e_2$$

$$T(0, 1, 0) = (1, 2) = 1e_1 + 2e_2$$

$$T(0, 0, 1) = \cancel{(0, 0)} (1, 3) = 1e_1 + 3e_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{matrix of } T \text{ is } A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

\* For any vector  $\vec{v}$  in  $V$ , we can find coordinates of  $T(\vec{v})$  in  $W$  by left multiplying the coordinate vector of  $\vec{v}$  by matrix  $A = [T]$

$$[T(\vec{v})]_c = [T]_{B \rightarrow C} [v_B]$$

\* In case of a linear operator, i.e. linear transformation from  $V$  to itself, the eqn becomes

$$[T(\vec{v})]_B = [T]_B [\vec{v}]_B$$

## Change of Basis $\Rightarrow$

It's the change in matrix when the basis is changed.

We will restrict our attention to case when  $T$  is a linear operator from  $V$  to  $V$ .

Proposition 29 :  $\det B = \{ \vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_n \}$  and

$C = \{ \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n \}$  be 2 ordered bases of vector space  $V$ . Then there is an invertible matrix of  $n \times n$  order such that

$$[x]_C = P[x]_B$$

Not Imp Proof: Let  $\vec{x} \in V$ ; since  $B$  is a basis for  $V$ , we can write  $\vec{x} = b_1 \vec{u}_1 + b_2 \vec{u}_2 + \dots + b_n \vec{u}_n$  so that  $[\vec{x}]_B = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}$  — (2)

1.

Since  $C$  is also a basis for  $V$ , we can write:

$$\vec{u}_1 = A_{11} \vec{v}_1 + A_{21} \vec{v}_2 + \dots + A_{n1} \vec{v}_n$$

$$\vec{u}_2 = A_{12} \vec{v}_1 + A_{22} \vec{v}_2 + \dots + A_{n2} \vec{v}_n$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\vec{u}_n = A_{1n} \vec{v}_1 + \dots + A_{nn} \vec{v}_n$$

3.

From (3),  $[\vec{u}_i]_C = \begin{bmatrix} A_{1i} \\ \vdots \\ A_{ni} \end{bmatrix}$  for  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$

4.

Substituting from (3) in (1), we get:

$$\vec{x} = b_1 (A_{11} \vec{v}_1 + \dots + A_{n1} \vec{v}_n) + \dots + b_n (A_{1n} \vec{v}_1 + \dots + A_{nn} \vec{v}_n)$$

5.

Rearranging and collecting the coefficients of  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n$ , we get:

$$\vec{x} = (A_{11} b_1 + A_{12} b_2 + \dots + A_{1n} b_n) \vec{v}_1 + \dots + (A_{n1} b_1 + \dots + A_{nn} b_n) \vec{v}_n$$

6.

$$\text{Hence } [\vec{v}]_C = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} b_1 + A_{21} b_2 + \dots + A_{n1} b_n \\ A_{12} b_1 + A_{22} b_2 + \dots + A_{n2} b_n \\ \vdots \\ A_{1n} b_1 + A_{2n} b_2 + \dots + A_{nn} b_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= [A_{ij}] \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix} = P[\vec{v}]_B$$

As already noted from ④, the columns  $\vec{p}_1, \dots, \vec{p}_n$  of the matrix  $P$  are nothing but coordinates vectors of old basis, i.e.

Finally, we note that  $P$  must be invertible for the foll. reason: it's columns  $\vec{p}_1, \dots, \vec{p}_n$  are images of vectors  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n$  under coordinate mapping relative to the basis  $B$ .

Since the coordinate mapping is an isomorphism by Prop 27(a), vectors  $\vec{p}_1, \dots, \vec{p}_n$  form a basis of  $F^n$ . Thus by VIT,  $P$  is invertible.

⇒ To change coordinates between 2 bases, we need the coordinate vectors of the old basis relative to new basis. These become the columns of the change of coordinate matrix  $P$ .

$P = Q^{-1}$ , where  $Q$  has its columns the coordinate vectors of new basis  $C$  relative to old basis  $B$

\* Matrices are said to be similar if there exists an invertible matrix  $P$  such that

$$B = P A P^{-1}$$

Proposition 30 : Similarity of matrices is an equivalence relation on  $F^{n \times n}$ , i.e. the set of  $n \times n$  matrices with entries taken from a field  $F$ .

Proof : Let  $R$  be the relation on  $F^{n \times n}$  of similarity of matrices.

(i) Reflexive  $\rightarrow$  ~~ARA~~  $A = I^{-1} A I$

∴  $\boxed{ARA}$

(ii) Symmetric:  $\det \underline{ARB} \Rightarrow B = P^T A P^{-1}$

By left and right multiplication,

$$P^{-1}BP = A \therefore \underline{BRA}$$

Hence symmetric.

(iii) Transitive:  $\det ARB$  and  $B RC$

$$\Rightarrow B = PAP^{-1} \text{ and } C = QHQ^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow C = Q(PAP^{-1})Q^{-1}$$

$$= (QP)A(P^{-1}Q^{-1})$$

$$= (QP)A(QP)^{-1}$$

$$= SAS^{-1}$$

$$\therefore \underline{ARC}$$

Hence transitive.

So, by definition, matrix similarity is an equivalence relation.

Proposition 31 : Suppose  $A$  and  $B$  are the matrices of the linear operator  $T$  relative to ordered bases  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively. Then  $A$  and  $B$  are similar matrices, in fact  $B = PAP^{-1}$  where  $P = P_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}$  is the change of basis matrix.

Proof : We use the fact that if  $P$  is the change of basis matrix from  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$ , then  $P^{-1}$  is the change of basis matrix from  $\beta$  to  $\alpha$ .

$$\det A = \left| \begin{matrix} I \\ \alpha \end{matrix} \right|$$

• Therefore, for any  $\vec{v} \in V$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (PAP^{-1}) [\vec{v}]_B &= (PA) P^{-1} [\vec{v}]_B \\ &= (PA) [\vec{v}]_{\alpha} \\ &= P(A[\vec{v}]_{\alpha}) \\ &= P([I]_{\alpha} [\vec{v}]_{\alpha}) \\ &= P([I]_{\alpha}) [\vec{v}]_{\alpha} \\ &= [I]_{\beta} [\vec{v}]_{\alpha} = [\vec{v}]_{\beta} \end{aligned}$$

Since the above holds for all vectors  $\vec{v} \in V$ , it follows that  $PAP^{-1} = [I]_{\beta} = B$

Let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces over a field  $F$

Proposition 32 : (a.) The set  $W^V$  of all functions from  $V$  to  $W$  is a vector space of all functions from  $V$  to  $W$  is a ~~subset~~ vector space over  $F$   
 (b.) The set of all linear transformations from  $V$  to  $W$  is a subspace of  $W^V$

Proof →

(a.) For any functions  $f$  and  $g$  from  $V$  to  $W$  and any scalar  $c$ , we define the functions  $(f+g)$  and  $(cf)$  by:

$$*(f+g)(\vec{u}) = f(\vec{u}) + g(\vec{u}) \text{ for all } \vec{u} \text{ in } V$$

$$*(cf)(\vec{u}) = c f(\vec{u}) \text{ for all } \vec{u} \text{ in } V$$

⇒ Because  $W$  is a vector space over  $F$ ,  $W^V$  becomes a vector space over  $F$ .

(b.) To show it's a subspace →

(i) 0 function is a L.T., hence belongs to  $L(V, W)$

$$\begin{aligned} (ii) T+U(\vec{u}+\vec{v}) &= T(\vec{u}+\vec{v}) + U(\vec{u}+\vec{v}) \\ &= T(\vec{u}) + T(\vec{v}) + U(\vec{u}) + U(\vec{v}) \\ &= (T+U)(\vec{u}) + (T+U)(\vec{v}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Similarly, } (T+U)(c\vec{u}) = c(T(\vec{u}) + U(\vec{u})) = c(T+U)(\vec{u})$$

$$(iii) (cT)(\vec{u}+\vec{v}) = cT(\vec{u}+\vec{v}) = c(T(\vec{u}) + T(\vec{v})) = (cT)(\vec{u}) + (cT)(\vec{v})$$

Proposition 33: Let  $V$ ,  $W$  and  $Z$  be vector spaces over a field  $F$ . Let  $T$  be a  $V$  linear transformation from  $V$  into  $W$ . Then the composed function  $UT$  from  $V$  into  $Z$  ( $U$  be a linear transformation from  $W$  into  $Z$ ) defined by  $UT(\vec{v}) = U(T(\vec{v}))$  for all  $\vec{v}$  in  $V$  is a linear transformation from  $V$  to  $Z$ .

Proof  $\Rightarrow$

# \* Linear Operator $\rightarrow$

Any linear transformation of the form  $L(v, v)$  is known as linear operator.

Properties  $\rightarrow$

- (a)  $I \cdot U = U \cdot I = U$  for linear operator  $U$
- (b)  $(\Gamma_1 \cdot \Gamma_2) \Gamma_3 = \Gamma_1 (\Gamma_2 \cdot \Gamma_3)$
- (c)  $U(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2) = U\Gamma_1 + U\Gamma_2$
- (d)  $(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2)U = U\Gamma_1 + U\Gamma_2$
- (e)  $c(c \cdot U) = (cU)\Gamma_1 = U(c\Gamma_1)$
- (f) However, this multiplication is not commutative

Proposition 34: Let  $V$  be a  $n$ -dimensional vector space over  $F$ , and let  $W$  be an  $m$ -dimensional vector space over  $F$ . Then there is an isomorphism between  $L(V, W)$  and  $F^{m \times n}$ .

Proof →

Let us take an ordered basis  $\alpha = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  for  $V$  and  $\beta = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m\}$  for  $W$

Let  $T$  be any linear transformation in  $L(V, W)$ . Then we can find the matrix of  $T$  with respect to bases  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and let us call it  $[T]_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}$

The mapping  $\phi : L(V, W) \rightarrow F^{m \times n}$  which takes a linear transformation  $T$  to its matrix  $[T]_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}$  is an isomorphism!

Note:  $\phi$  depends on choice of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$

Proposition 35 : If  $\dim(V) = n$  and  $\dim W = m$ ,  
then  $\dim L(V, W) = mn$

Proof:

Method 1 We use the fundamental isomorphism of proposition 34. Since  $L(V, W)$  is isomorphic to  $F^{mn}$ , and  $\dim(F^{mn}) = mn$ , the result follows from proposition 28.

Method 2 We take a fixed ordered basis  $\alpha = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  and  $\beta = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m\}$  for  $W$ .

We define  $L(T)$   $E_{ij} : V \rightarrow W$  by  $E_{ij}(\vec{v}_j) = w_i$  and  $E_{ij}(\vec{v}_k) = 0$  (for  $k \neq j$ ).

It is evident that  $S = \{E_{ij} : i=1 \text{ to } m, j=1 \text{ to } n\}$  forms a basis for  $L(V, W)$ . Since  $|S| = mn$ , it follows.

$$\star [T(\vec{v})]_{\beta} = [T]_{\beta} [\vec{v}]_{\beta}$$

Proposition 36: Suppose  $T$  and  $U$  are linear operators on a finite dimensional vector space  $V$  and  $\beta$  is a fixed ordered basis for  $V$ . Then,  $[UT]_{\beta} = [U]_{\beta} [T]_{\beta}$

[Proposition 36 a]  $\rightarrow$  The mapping  $\phi: L(V, V) \rightarrow F^{n \times n}$  given by  $\phi(T) = [T]_{\beta}$  is a vector space isomorphism which also preserves products, i.e.  $\phi(UT) = \phi(U)\phi(T)$

[Proposition 36 b]  $\rightarrow$  Suppose  $\dim V = n$ ,  $\dim W = m$ ,  $\dim Z = k$ .  $U\Gamma: V \rightarrow Z$  would be a linear transformation from a space of dimension  $n$  to a space of dimension  $k$ , i.e. its matrix would be an  $k \times n$  matrix.

$= T: V \rightarrow W$ ,  $U: W \rightarrow Z$

det  $\alpha; \beta, \gamma$  be bases of  $V, W, Z$

$$\text{Then } [U\Gamma]_{\alpha \rightarrow \gamma} = [U]_{\beta \rightarrow \gamma} [T]_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}$$

$R^{m \times n} \quad R^{k \times m} \quad m \times n$

# Invertibility of linear Transformations

Any function  $f$  from  $V$  to  $W$  is said to be invertible if there exists a function  $g$  from  $W$  into  $V$  such that  $gf$  is identity function on  $V$  and  $fg$  is identity function on  $W$ .

$\Rightarrow$  In case  $f$  is invertible, then the function  $g$  is unique and denoted as  $f^{-1}$

$\Rightarrow$  Any function  $f$  is invertible  $\Leftrightarrow$  if and only if  $f$  is bijective

Proposition 37: If  $T: V \rightarrow W$  is an invertible linear transformation, its function  $T^{-1}: W \rightarrow V$ , is also a linear transformation.

Proof: Consider the function  $T^{-1}: W \rightarrow V$

(i) Consider  $\vec{w}, \vec{w}_2 \in W$

$$\text{We have to show } T^{-1}(\vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2) = T^{-1}(\vec{w}_1) + T^{-1}(\vec{w}_2)$$

Let us apply  $T$  to both sides of (i). Q.

L.H.S  $T(T^{-1}(\vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2)) = (TT^{-1})(\vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2)$

$$= I(\vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2) = \vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2 - 2$$

R.H.S  $T(T^{-1}(\vec{w}_1) + T^{-1}(\vec{w}_2)) = T(T^{-1}(\vec{w}_1)) + T(T^{-1}(\vec{w}_2)) - 3$

$$= \vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2$$

Since  $T$  is injective from (2) and (3), we get  
L.H.S of 1 = R.H.S of 1.

(ii) We also need to show  $T^{-1}(c\vec{w}_1) = cT^{-1}(\vec{w}_1) - 4$

L.H.S  $T(T^{-1}(c\vec{w}_1)) = (TT^{-1})(c\vec{w}_1)$

$$= c\vec{w}_1$$

R.H.S  $T(cT^{-1}(\vec{w}_1)) = c(TT^{-1})(\vec{w}_1)$

$$= c\vec{w}_1$$

Since  $T$  is injective, from (5) and (6) we get that

L.H.S of 4 = R.H.S of 4 as reqd.

∴ Corollary 87.1: Isomorphism is an equivalence relation on the set of all vector spaces over a given field  $F$

Proof Outline → Reflexive → obvious  
Symmetric → P 1 of p 37  
Transitive → P 2 & P 3

Proof:

$$(a)(\beta)^T T + (\gamma)^T T = (\alpha)^T T + (\beta)^T T + (\gamma)^T T$$

$$(\beta)^T T + (\gamma)^T T =$$

$$\text{From (1) above, we have } \alpha^T T = 0$$

$$\text{From (2) above, we have } \beta^T T = 0$$

$$(\gamma)^T T = (\beta)^T T \text{ with } \beta \neq 0 \text{ (from (1))}$$

$$(\gamma)^T T = \alpha^T T + (\beta)^T T + (\gamma)^T T$$

$$(\gamma)^T T = (\beta)^T T + (\gamma)^T T$$

## \* Singular and Non-Singular linear Transformations

⇒ A linear transformation  $T$  from  $V \rightarrow W$  is said to be non singular if null space of  $T$  is  $\{0\}$ , i.e.  $T\vec{v} = 0$  implies  $\vec{v} = 0$  i.e.  $T$  is injective

Proposition 38  $\rightarrow$  let  $T$  be a linear transformation from  $V \rightarrow W$ . Then  $T$  is non-singular if and only if  $T$  carries every linear independent subset of  $V$  into a linearly independent subset of  $W$ .

Proof  $\rightarrow$

Proposition 39 → Let  $V$  and  $W$  be finite dimensional spaces with  $\dim V = \dim W$ . Let  $T$  be a linear transformation from  $V \rightarrow W$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $T$  is invertible
- (b)  $T$  is non-singular i.e. range of  $T$  is  $W$ .
- (c)  $T$  is surjective
- (d)  $T$  carries every basis of  $V$  into a basis of  $W$

Proof →

dim W

\* Remark : Not for finite dimensional spaces with equal dimension, if the LT is non-singular (i.e. injective), then it must be surjective, and if surjective, it must be injective.

For  $\infty$  dimensional spaces, this might not be true.

## Theorem 4 (Basis Theorem or Fundamental

### Theorem of linear Algebra

Every vector space  $V$  has a basis, more precisely, if  $v \in V$  is a non-zero vector, then there exists a basis  $B$  of  $V$  such that  $v \in B$ .



# Eigen vectors and Eigen Values

- An eigenvector of an ~~m × n~~  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is a non-zero vector  $\vec{x}$  such that  $A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$  for some scalar. A scalar  $\lambda$  is called eigen value if there is a non-trivial solution to  $A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$  ( $\vec{x}$  is then a eigen vector)
  - If  $v$  is an eigen vector corresponding to  $\lambda_1$ , then it cannot be an eigen vector corresponding to  $\lambda_2$ , then it cannot correspond to different value  $\lambda_2$  ( $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ )
- ★ Eigen Values  $\rightarrow$  Characteristic Values / Latent Roots
- Eigen Vectors  $\rightarrow$  Characteristic vectors.
- $0$  is allowed to be eigen value, but not an eigen vector.
- ★ An  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$  is invertible if and only if  $0$  is not an eigen value of  $A$ .

Because for  $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ , it must have a non-trivial sol'n

$\Rightarrow$  An eigen vector is not unique, since all scalar multiples of it are also eigen vectors.  
 Actually, the set of all eigen vectors of A together with zeros

Actually, the set of all eigen vectors corresponding to a fixed eigen value  $\lambda$  of the  $n \times n$  matrix A together with 0 forms a Subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

$$V = f^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \{ \vec{v} \in V : \vec{v} \text{ is an eigen vector for } \lambda \} \\ &= \{ \vec{v} : A\vec{v} = \lambda \vec{v} \} \end{aligned}$$

$X$  is a subspace of  $V$  corresponding to eigen value  $\lambda$  and called the eigen space for  $\lambda$ .

Proof of Proposition 40: If  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$  are eigen vectors corresponding to distinct eigen values  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_B$  of matrix A, then the set  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$  is linearly independent.

Corollary 40.1  $\rightarrow$  An  $n \times n$  matrix A can have at most  $n$  distinct eigen values.

Proof:

### Proof By Contradiction →

Suppose that  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$  are linearly dependent  
def m. be the first smallest no. such that  
 $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_m$  are l.i. and  $\vec{v}_{m+1}$  is a linear  
combination of preceding vectors.

$$\vec{v}_{m+1} = c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_m \vec{v}_m - \textcircled{1}$$

def multiplying by A

$$\begin{aligned}\rightarrow A\vec{v}_{m+1} &= c_1 A\vec{v}_1 + c_2 A\vec{v}_2 + \dots + c_m A\vec{v}_m \\ \rightarrow A\vec{v}_{m+1} &= c_1 \vec{v}_1 + c_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + c_m \vec{v}_m\end{aligned}$$

From (1) multiplying by  $A^{m+1}$ , we get.

$$c_1 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_{m+1}) \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_m (\lambda_m - \lambda_{m+1}) \vec{v}_m = 0 - \textcircled{2}$$

Hence,  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_m$  are lin independent;

so  $c_1 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_{m+1}) = 0$  if  $\vec{v}_{m+1} = 0$  ] implies

$$c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_m = 0 \quad \boxed{\text{from (1), we get } \vec{v}_{m+1} = 0}$$

But this is not possible, since all  $\vec{v}$ 's are eigen vectors.  
 • Due to no contradiction,  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$  is LS

To determine eigen vectors and eigen values,  
 we solve the characteristic equation i.e  
 $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$   
 $\rightarrow$  It's possible for a matrix with real entries  
 to have no real eigen values.

Proposition 41 : A scalar  $\lambda$  is an eigen value  
 of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  if and only  
 if  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ .

Proof :

• Consider  $\lambda$  is an eigen value of  $A$

$\Leftrightarrow$  There is a non zero vector  $\vec{v}$  such that  $A\vec{v} = \lambda\vec{v}$   
 $\Leftrightarrow$  The system  $(A - \lambda I)\vec{v} = 0$  has a non trivial solution  
 $\Leftrightarrow$  Matrix  $(A - \lambda I)$  is not invertible by  $(VIT)$   
 $\Leftrightarrow$   $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$  (again by VIT)  
 $\Leftrightarrow$   $\lambda$  is a root of characteristic equation

Proposition 4.2 If the  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  and  $B$  are similar, then they have the same characteristic polynomial and hence the same eigen values with same multiplicity.

(However, eigen vectors won't be same always)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Proof} \rightarrow \det \text{up condition} \\
 \det(B - \lambda I) &= \det(PAP^{-1} - \lambda I) \\
 &= \det(PAP^{-1} - P(\lambda I)P^{-1}) \\
 &= \det(P(A - \lambda I)P^{-1}) \\
 &= (\det P)(\det(A - \lambda I))(\det(P^{-1})) \\
 &= \det(A - \lambda I)
 \end{aligned}$$

## \* Polynomials applied to Matrices

Matrix Power  $\rightarrow$  If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  square matrix, with coefficients as either real or complex,  $A^m = A \cdot A \cdots A$  ( $m$  times) and  $A^{-m} = (A^{-1})^m$

Also  $A^{i+j} = \begin{cases} A^i & i \text{ and } j \text{ must be non-} \\ [A^i] = A^j & \text{for } A \text{ with non-invertible} \end{cases}$

$$\text{for 2 polynomials, } p \text{ and } q, \quad p \circ q(A) = p(A)q(A)$$

$$= q(A)p(A) = q \circ p(A)$$

\* Minimal Polynomial of a Matrix  $\rightarrow$   
 Given an  $n \times n$  square matrix  $A$ , the minimal polynomial of  $A$  is the monic polynomial of minimal degree such that  $p(A) = 0$  i.e the 0 matrix. The monic condition is insisted so that we make the minimal polynomial unique.

Note: A monic polynomial is a polynomial in which coefficient of highest power of variable is 1.

$\Rightarrow$  every square matrix must have a minimal polynomial.

Proof:  $\rightarrow$  Suppose  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix in  $F$  then let  $\Sigma, A, A^2, \dots, A^{n-1}$ , where  $\Sigma = n^2$ , cannot be linear combination of  $F$  from  $n^2$  and thus an  $n^2+1$  matrix in set.

Let  $m$  be smallest possible +ve integer such that  $\Sigma, A, A^2, \dots, A^{m-1}$  is linearly dependent. Hence  $\Sigma$  is a linear combination of the preceding matrices such that  $A_0 + A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_{m-1}A^{m-1} + \dots + A_m\Sigma = 0$

# Theorem 5 (Caley Hamilton Th.)

Let  $q$  denote the characteristic polynomial of the  $n \times n$  square matrix  $A$ .

$$\text{Then } q(A) = 0$$

Corollary 5.1: The degree of the ~~most~~ minimal polynomial of any  $n \times n$  square matrix is at most  $n$ .

Remark → It's easy to see, using remainder theorem for polynomial division, that if  $p(x)$  is the minimal polynomial of  $A$  and if  $q(x)$  is any other polynomial satisfied by  $A$ , then  $p(x)$  divides  $q(x)$ .

(o) Lemma 5.5 → Suppose  $q \in F[t]$ . Then  $q(A) = 0$  if and only if the minimal polynomial of  $A$  divides  $q$ .

Proof → Suppose  $q(A) = 0$

Let  $p(t)$  be minimal polynomial of  $A$ , then  $p \neq 0$ , so using the remainder theorem we can write,

$$q(t) = p(t)s(t) + r(t) \quad \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

# $\star F = \text{Field of real or Complex}$

classmate

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Page \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Lemma 5.1  $\rightarrow$  Suppose  $p \in F[t]$  is a polynomial of degree  $m \geq 1$ . Then  $\lambda$  is a root of  $p$  if and only if there exists a polynomial  $q \in F[t]$  with degree  $m-1$  such that  $p(t) = (t - \lambda)q(t)$

(2) Lemma 5.2  $\rightarrow$  Suppose  $p \in F[t]$  is a polynomial of degree  $m \geq 0$ , then  $p$  has at most  $m$  distinct roots in  $F$ .

(3) Lemma 5.3 (Division Algorithm or Remainder Algorithm)  
Suppose  $p, q \in F[t]$  with  $p \neq 0$ , then there exists polynomials  $r, s \in F[t]$  with  $q(t) = p(t)s(t) + r(t)$  and either  $r = 0$  or  $\deg(r) < \deg p$

(4) Lemma 5.4  $\rightarrow$  Suppose  $p \in C[t]$  is a polynomial of degree  $m \geq 1$ , then  $p$  has a root. Furthermore,  $p$  has a factorisation of the form  $p(t) = c(t - \lambda_1)(t - \lambda_2) \dots (t - \lambda_m)$

$$q(A) = p(A)s(A) + r(A) = 0$$

But this is only possible if  $r(A) = 0$  and  $p(A) = 0$

$$\therefore q(t) = p(t)s(t) \text{ and so, } p \text{ divides } q$$

# Diagnolization of Matrices

If  $A$  is diagonal matrix, then its diagonal elements are its eigen values and the standard basis vectors are its eigenvectors.

$A$  is said to be similar to a diagonal matrix  $\bullet D$  such that  $A = P D P^{-1}$

If  $A$  is diagonalizable,  $A^K = P D^K P^{-1}$

If  $A$  is diagonalizable, eigen values are same.

- Method  $\rightarrow$
- (1) charact eq.  $n$
  - (2) cut eigen value
  - (3) Make  $P$
  - (4) cut  $D$

## Theorem 6 (Diagnolization Theorem)

- (a.) An  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is diagonalizable if and only if  $A$  has  $n$  LI eigen vectors.
- (b.)  $A = P D P^{-1}$  where columns of  $P$  are  $n$  LI

eigen vectors of  $A$ , and the diagonal entries of  $D$  are corresponding eigen values.

Ques 1 An  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  has  $n$  distinct (real) eigenvalues. Then we get:

Proposition 43 : An  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  with  $n$  distinct eigen values is diagonalizable

Proof :- By Prop. 40, eigen vectors corresponding to distinct eigen values are LI.

$\therefore A$  has  $n$  LI independent eigen vectors, and thus by Th 6,  $A$  is diagonalizable.

ALGEBRAIC MULTIPLICITY of  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow$  Power of  
 $(\lambda - \lambda_1)$  in ch poly  
 ↓  
 eigen value

GEOMETRIC MULTIPLICITY of  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow$  Dimension of  
 eigenspace corresponding  
 $\downarrow \lambda_1$

~~Case 2~~ An  $n \times n$  matrix has  $p < n$  distinct eigenvalues, but counting the (algebraic) multiplicities, there are  $n$  real eigenvalues (not distinct). We get:

Proposition 44: Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix with  $n$  (real) eigenvalues of which only  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p$  are distinct ( $p < n$ ), then:

- (a.) For  $1 \leq k \leq p$ , the geometric multiplicity is less than or equal to algebraic multiplicity of  $\lambda_k$ .
- (b.)  $A$  is diagonalizable if sum of ~~different~~ dimensions of diff. eigenspaces is  $n$ , and this happens if and only if  $AM = a_k M$  for each  $\lambda_k$ .
- (c.) If  $A$  is diagonalizable, and  $B_k$  is a basis for the eigenspace corresponding to  $\lambda_k$  for each  $k$ , then total collection of vectors in  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_p$  forms an eigenvector basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

case 3: An  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  has  $p < n$  distinct eigenvalues, but even after counting AM's, it is  $< n$  real eigenvalues ( $p$  could be 0), then  $A$  is not diagonalizable.

- In such a case, we need to consider complex eigen values and eigenvectors.
- Even after we consider complex no.'s, there is no guarantee that it would be diagonalizable always.

### Proposition 45 : Basic Result for Complex Eigenvalues:

Suppose  $A$  is a real  $2 \times 2$  matrix with complex eigen value  $\lambda = a - bi$ ,  $b \neq 0$  and associated eigen vector  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$

$$\text{Then } A = PBP^{-1}, P = [Re\vec{v} \quad Im\vec{v}]$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix}$$

$$|\lambda| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} = \text{Modulus of } \lambda$$

Eg. Suppose  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -8 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = 8 - 4\lambda + \lambda^2 \Rightarrow \lambda = 2 \pm 2i$$

Take  $\lambda = 2+2i$  (i.e.  $a=2, b=-2$ )

$$A - \lambda i = \begin{bmatrix} -2 - 2i & 1 \\ -8 & 2 - 2i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (-2 - 2i)x + 1y &= 0 \\ -8x + (2 - 2i)y &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|A - \lambda I|$  has non trivial solution, it is two rows all L.D.

In 1st eq., putting  $x=1$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2+2i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + i \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$PBP^{-1} = I$$

$$\text{Also, } B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \sqrt{8} \begin{bmatrix} 2/\sqrt{8} & 2/\sqrt{8} \\ -2/\sqrt{8} & 2/\sqrt{8} \end{bmatrix}$$

i.e. rotation through  $\pi/4$  followed by scaling through  $\sqrt{8}$

## \* Determinant of a linear Operator

Let  $T: V \rightarrow V$  be a linear operator for  $V$  a finite dimension vector space of dim  $n$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be any basis for  $V$ , and let  $A$  be matrix of  $T$  w.r.t ordered  $\alpha$ .

Then  $\det T = \det A$

## \* Eigenvectors of linear Operators

An eigenvector of a linear operator  $T: V \rightarrow V$  is a non zero vector such that  $T\vec{v} = \lambda \vec{v}$

$\downarrow$   
eigen value

By Prop 42, eigen values of  $T$  coincide with eigenvalues for matrix of  $T$  with respect to

suitable basis of  $V$

## Inner Product

It's equivalent to dot product and represented by  $\langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle$

$$1 \cdot \langle u, v \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle$$

$$2 \cdot \langle u + v, w \rangle = \langle u, w \rangle + \langle v, w \rangle$$

$$3 \cdot \langle cu, v \rangle = c \langle u, v \rangle$$

$$4 \cdot \langle u, u \rangle \geq 0 \text{ and if } \langle u, u \rangle = 0 \rightarrow u = 0$$

A vector space with an inner product is called an inner product space.

For complex inner products, one form is modified as  $\langle u, v \rangle = \bar{\langle} v, u \rangle$

e.g. The space  $R_n[t]$  of all polynomials of degree less than or equal to  $n$ . Let  $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n$  be distinct real no.'s

Then for  $p, q$  in  $R_n[t]$

$$\langle p, q \rangle = p(t_0)q(t_0) + p(t_1)q(t_1) + \dots + p(t_n)q(t_n)$$

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_a^b f(t) g(t) dt$$

$\rightarrow C[a, b]$  of all continuous functions in  $[a, b]$   
is a subspace

\* length / Norm of any vector  $\rightarrow$

$$\|u\| = \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle} \\ = \sqrt{u_1^2 + u_2^2 + \dots + u_n^2}$$

For a unit vector, we can write  $\frac{\vec{u}}{\|u\|}$  ] called as  
normalization

\* Orthogonality  $\rightarrow$

2 vectors are said to be orthogonal if  $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$   
or we can say  $u \perp v$

A set of vectors  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p\}$  is said to be  
an orthogonal set if any 2 distinct vectors in  
set are orthogonal to each other, i.e.  $\langle u_i, u_j \rangle = 0$   
for  $i \neq j$

\*  $0$  is orthogonal to every vector.

Proposition 4.6  $\rightarrow$  An orthogonal set of nonzero  
vectors in  $V$  is linearly independent

Proof → Suppose  $S = \{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_p\}$  is an orthogonal set of non-zero vectors and suppose

$$c_1 \vec{u}_1 + c_2 \vec{u}_2 + \dots + c_p \vec{u}_p = 0$$

Taking inner product with  $\vec{u}_1$ ,

$$c_1 \langle \vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_1 \rangle + c_2 \langle \vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2 \rangle + \dots + c_p \langle \vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_p \rangle = 0$$

since  $\vec{u}_1 \cdot \vec{u}_1 > 0 \therefore c_1 = 0$

Similarly  $c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_p = 0$

Hence,  $S$  is linearly independent

\* If  $W$  is subspace of  $V$ , then a vector  $\vec{V}$  is said to be orthogonal to  $W$  if called orthogonal complement of  $W$  to every vector in  $W$ . The set of all vectors orthogonal to  $W$  is called the orthogonal complement of  $W$ .

$$W^\perp = \{v \in V : v \perp w \text{ for every } w \in W\}$$

Proposition 4.7 → (a)  $\vec{V}$  belongs to  $W^\perp$  if and only if  $\vec{V}$  is orthogonal to every vector in a spanning set for  $W$

(b)  $W^\perp$  is a subspace of  $V$  and  $W \cap W^\perp = \{0\}$

- Actually, if  $S$  is any subset of  $V$ , then  $S^\perp = \{\vec{v} \in V : \vec{v} \perp \vec{u} \text{ for every } u \in S\}$  is a subspace of  $V$ .

Proof :

- (a)  $\Rightarrow$  It's obvious, since  $\vec{v} \in W^\perp$ , it is actually orthogonal to every vector  $\vec{w} \in W$

$\Leftarrow$  Subspace  $\vec{v}$  is orthogonal to every vector in spanning set  $K$  for  $W$ .

Let  $\vec{w} \in W$

Then  $\vec{w} = c_1 \vec{w}_1 + \dots + c_p \vec{w}_p$

$$\langle \vec{w}, \vec{v} \rangle = c_1 \langle \vec{w}_1, \vec{v} \rangle + \dots + c_p \langle \vec{w}_p, \vec{v} \rangle \\ = 0$$

$\therefore \vec{v} \in W^\perp$  is required.

- (b) (i)  $\vec{o} \in W^\perp$  as  $\vec{o}$  is orthogonal to every vector  
(ii) suppose  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2 \in W^\perp$  and let  $\vec{w} \in W$

$$\text{Then, } \langle \vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2, \vec{w} \rangle = \langle \vec{v}_1, \vec{w} \rangle + \langle \vec{v}_2, \vec{w} \rangle = 0 + 0 = 0 \\ \therefore \vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2 \in W^\perp$$

- (iii)  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\vec{v}_1 \in W^\perp$  and  $\vec{w} \in W$

$$\text{Then } \langle c \vec{v}_1, \vec{w} \rangle = c \langle \vec{v}_1, \vec{w} \rangle = c \cdot 0 = 0$$

Hence proved

\* A n orthogonal basis for a subspace  $W$  is a basis which is also an orthogonal set.

Proposition 48: Let  $\{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_p\}$  be an orthogonal basis for a subspace  $W$ . Then if  $\vec{y} = c_1 \vec{u}_1 + c_2 \vec{u}_2 + \dots + c_p \vec{u}_p$  is any vector in  $W$ , then

$$c_j = \frac{\langle \vec{y}, \vec{u}_j \rangle}{\langle \vec{u}_j, \vec{u}_j \rangle} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, p$$

Proof: Since  $\vec{y} \in W$ ,  $\vec{y}$  is uniquely expressible as a L.C. of  $\{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_p\}$

$$\vec{y} = c_1 \vec{u}_1 + c_2 \vec{u}_2 + \dots + c_p \vec{u}_p$$

We need to determine coefficients  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_p$ . Let us take inner product with  $\vec{u}_j$

$$\langle \vec{y}, \vec{u}_j \rangle = c_1 \langle \vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_j \rangle + \dots + c_j \langle \vec{u}_j, \vec{u}_j \rangle + \dots + c_p \langle \vec{u}_p, \vec{u}_j \rangle$$

$$= c_j \langle \vec{u}_j, \vec{u}_j \rangle \quad \text{since all terms are 0}$$

$$\therefore c_j = \frac{\langle \vec{y}, \vec{u}_j \rangle}{\langle \vec{u}_j, \vec{u}_j \rangle}$$

# Theorem 7 (Orthogonal Decomposition Theorem)

Let  $W$  be any finite-dimensional subspace of  $V$ . Then each vector  $\vec{y}$  in  $V$  can be written as uniquely in form of  $\vec{y} = \vec{y}^{\perp} + \vec{z}$ , where  $\vec{y}^{\perp}$  is in  $W$  and  $\vec{z}$  is in  $W^{\perp}$ .

In fact if  $\{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \vec{u}_3, \dots, \vec{u}_p\}$  is any orthogonal basis of  $W$ , then  $\vec{y} = c_1 \vec{u}_1 + c_2 \vec{u}_2 + \dots + c_p \vec{u}_p$  with  $c_j = \frac{\langle y, u_j \rangle}{\langle u_j, u_j \rangle}$  and  $z = \vec{y} - g$

In 7 Attunate statement: Given any finite-dimensional subspace ~~of~~  $W$  of  $V$ , then we can express  $V = W + W^{\perp}$ , with  $W \cap W^{\perp} = \{0\}$  i.e.  $V = W \oplus W^{\perp}$

Proof : We assume that the original ~~not~~ any finite-dimensional subspace  $W$  of an inner product space has an orthogonal basis.

Poving Uniqueness

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{y} &= \vec{g} + \vec{z} \\ \vec{y} &= \vec{y}_1 + \vec{z}_1 \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \vec{y}, \vec{y}_1 \in W \\ \vec{z}, \vec{z}_1 \in W^{\perp} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\vec{y} = (\hat{\vec{y}} - \hat{\vec{y}}_1) + (\vec{z}_1 - \vec{z}_1)$$

~~$$(\hat{\vec{y}} - \hat{\vec{y}}_1) = -(\vec{z}_1 - \vec{z}_1)$$~~

L.H.S  $\in W$ , R.H.S  $\in W^\perp$  i.e. they both lie in  $W \cap W^\perp = \{0\}$

∴ it's a unique representation

~~Proving decomposition exists~~

i.e.  $\vec{y} = \hat{\vec{y}} + \vec{z}$  where  $\hat{\vec{y}} \in W$  and  $\vec{z} \in W^\perp$

Let's put  $\hat{\vec{y}} = c_1 \vec{u}_1 + \dots + c_p \vec{u}_p$  where  $c_j =$

$$c_j = \frac{\langle \vec{y}, \vec{u}_j \rangle}{\langle \vec{u}_j, \vec{u}_j \rangle} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

So, clearly  $\hat{\vec{y}} \in W$

Now put  $\vec{z} = \vec{y} - \hat{\vec{y}}$  so  $\vec{y} = \hat{\vec{y}} + \vec{z}$

This shows that  $\vec{z} \in W^\perp$ .

We use proposition 47(a) for this, so it is suffice to show that  $\langle \vec{z}, \vec{u}_p \rangle = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But } \langle \vec{z}, \vec{u}_p \rangle &= \langle \vec{y} - \hat{\vec{y}}, \vec{u}_p \rangle \\ &= \langle \vec{y}, \vec{u}_p \rangle - \langle \hat{\vec{y}}, \vec{u}_p \rangle \\ &= \langle \vec{y}, \vec{u}_p \rangle - c_p \langle \vec{u}_p, \vec{u}_p \rangle \text{ from (1)} \\ &= 0 \text{ as desired.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence proved

Note 1: The vector  $\hat{y}$  is called the orthogonal projection of  $\vec{y}$  onto  $W$ , written  $\text{proj}_W \vec{y}$ !

In case  $W = \text{span}\{u\}$  is 1 dimensional subspace,

$$\hat{y} = \frac{\langle y, u \rangle}{\langle u, u \rangle} \cdot u$$

Projection of  $y$  onto  $u$

Note 2: In case  $\vec{y} \in W$ , its orthogonal projection onto  $W$  is itself, i.e.  $\vec{y} = \hat{y}$  for  $\vec{y} \in W$  (This follows from Prop 48 + ODT (Th 7))

## Theorem 8 (Gram-Schmidt Process)

Given a basis  $\{\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \dots, \vec{x}_p\}$  for subspace  $W$  of  $V$ , we can generate orthogonal basis  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$  for  $W$  such that  $\text{span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_k\} = \text{span}\{\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \dots, \vec{x}_k\}$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$ .

$$\vec{v}_1 = \vec{x}_1$$

$$\vec{v}_2 = \vec{x}_2 - \frac{\langle \vec{x}_2, \vec{v}_1 \rangle}{\langle \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_1 \rangle} \vec{v}_1$$

$$\vec{v}_3 = \vec{x}_3 - \frac{\langle \vec{x}_3, \vec{v}_1 \rangle}{\langle \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_1 \rangle} \vec{v}_1 - \frac{\langle \vec{x}_3, \vec{v}_2 \rangle}{\langle \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_2 \rangle} \vec{v}_2$$

$$\vec{v}_p = \vec{x}_p$$

Proposition 49 (Pythagoras Th):  $\vec{u}$  and  $\vec{v}$  are orthogonal to each other if and only if  $\|\vec{u} + \vec{v}\|^2 = \|\vec{u}\|^2 + \|\vec{v}\|^2$

$$\text{Proof: } \|\vec{u} + \vec{v}\|^2 = \langle \vec{u} + \vec{v}, \vec{u} + \vec{v} \rangle = \langle \vec{u}, \vec{u} \rangle + \langle \vec{v}, \vec{v} \rangle + 2\langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle \quad -①$$

$$\text{Since } \vec{u} \perp \vec{v}, \langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle = 0$$

$$\text{and: } \|\vec{u} + \vec{v}\|^2 = \|\vec{u}\|^2 + \|\vec{v}\|^2 \\ \Leftrightarrow 2\langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \vec{u} \perp \vec{v}$$

Proposition 50 (Best Approximation Theorem): Let  $W$  be any finite dimensional subspace of  $V$ ,  $\vec{y}$  any vector in  $V$  and  $\hat{\vec{y}}$  be orthogonal projection of  $\vec{y}$  onto  $W$ . Then  $\|\vec{y} - \hat{\vec{y}}\| \leq \|\vec{y} - \vec{v}\|$  for all  $\vec{v}$  in  $W$  distinct from  $\hat{\vec{y}}$ .

In other words,  $\hat{\vec{y}}$  is closest vector in  $W$  to  $\vec{y}$ .

Corollary 50.1  $\rightarrow$  If  $\vec{y}$  is any vector, and  $W$  is a finite subspace then

$$\|\text{proj}_W \vec{y}\| \leq \|\vec{y}\|$$

Proof: Let  $\vec{v} \in W$

$$\text{Then } \|\vec{y} - \vec{v}\|^2 = \langle \vec{y} - \vec{v}, \vec{y} - \vec{v} \rangle \\ \cancel{\langle \vec{y} - \vec{v}, \vec{y} - \vec{v} \rangle}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (\vec{y} - \hat{y}) + (\hat{y} - \vec{v}), (\vec{y} - \hat{y}) + (\hat{y} - \vec{v}) \\
 &= \langle \vec{y} - \hat{y}, \vec{y} - \hat{y} \rangle + \langle \vec{y} - \vec{v}, \vec{y} - \vec{v} \rangle + 2 \langle \vec{y} - \hat{y}, \hat{y} - \vec{v} \rangle
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1}$$

Now,  $\vec{y} - \hat{y} \in W^\perp$  whereas  $\hat{y} - \vec{v} \in W$

Hence 3rd term on R.H.S of (1) = 0

$$\therefore \|\vec{y} - \vec{v}\|^2 = \|\vec{y} - \hat{y}\|^2 + \|\hat{y} - \vec{v}\|^2 \tag{2}$$

Now, if  $\vec{y} = \vec{v}$ , then  $\vec{y} \in W \Rightarrow \vec{y} = \hat{y} = \vec{v}$ , [Not allowed]

Hence  $\|\vec{y} - \vec{v}\|^2 > 0$

$$\|\vec{y} - \vec{v}\| > \|\vec{y} - \hat{y}\|$$

Proof of 50.1  $\rightarrow$  We have that  $\vec{v} = \text{Proj}_W \vec{v} + \vec{z}$   $\vec{z} \in W^\perp$

$\therefore$  Applying Pythagoras theorem,

$$\|\vec{v}\|^2 = \|\text{Proj}_W \vec{v} + \vec{z}\|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \|\text{Proj}_W \vec{v}\|^2 + \|\vec{z}\|^2 \\
 &\geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, result follows.

## Proposition S1 (Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality):

For all  $\vec{u}, \vec{v}$  in  $V$ ,  $|\langle u, v \rangle| \leq \|u\| \|v\|$

Proof: Clearly result holds if either  $\vec{u}$  or  $\vec{v} = 0$

So let both  $\vec{u}$  and  $\vec{v}$  be non-zero, and applying corollary

$$\|P_{\text{Proj}_W} \vec{u}\| \leq \|\vec{v}\| \quad -①$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{\text{Proj}_W} \vec{u}\| &= \|\langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle \vec{v}\| \\ &= \frac{|\langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle| \|\vec{v}\|}{\|\vec{v}\|^2} \quad -② \end{aligned}$$

From ① and ② we can prove the Prop.

## Proposition S2 (Triangle Inequality):

For all  $\vec{u}, \vec{v}$  in  $V$ ,  $\|\vec{u} + \vec{v}\| \leq \|u\| + \|v\|$

$$\underline{\text{Proof}} \rightarrow \|\vec{u} + \vec{v}\|^2 = \langle \vec{u} + \vec{v}, \vec{u} + \vec{v} \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2 + 2\langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle \leq \|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\|u\| \|v\| \end{aligned}$$

Hence, Result follows.

Proposition 53 : If  $A$  is symmetric, then any 2 eigenvectors from different eigenspaces are orthogonal

Proof : Let  $\vec{u}_1$  and  $\vec{u}_2$  be eigenvectors corresponding to different eigenvalues  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then: } \lambda_1(u_1, u_2) &= (\lambda_1 u_1, u_2) \\ &= (\lambda_1 u_1)^T u_2 \\ &= (A u_1)^T u_2 \\ &= u_1^T A^T u_2 \\ &= u_1^T A u_2 \\ &= u_1^T (\lambda_1 u_1) \\ &= u_1 \cdot \lambda_1 u_1 \\ &= \lambda_1 (u_1, u_2) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ ,  $u_1 \cdot u_2 = 0$

★ Orthogonal Matrices  $\rightarrow$  A square matrix  $P$  is said to be orthogonal if its columns are orthonormal.

Proposition 54  $\rightarrow$  An orthogonal matrix is necessarily invertible and  $P^{-1} = P^T$

Proof : Suppose  $P = [\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n]$ , Then:

$$P^T P = \begin{bmatrix} v_1^T \\ v_2^T \\ \vdots \\ v_n^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & \dots & v_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1^T v_1 & v_1^T v_2 & \dots & v_1^T v_n \\ v_2^T v_1 & v_2^T v_2 & \dots & v_2^T v_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_n^T v_1 & v_n^T v_2 & \dots & v_n^T v_n \end{bmatrix}$$

We get that  $P^T P = I$  as  $v_i^T v_j = v_i \cdot v_j = \delta_{ij}$

Thus, the result

- \* A square matrix  $A$  is said to be orthogonally diagonalizable if there is an orthogonal matrix  $P$  and diagonal matrix  $D$  such that

$$A = P D P^{-1} = P D P^T$$

Proposition 55 : If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix which is orthogonally diagonalizable, then  $A$  is symmetric.

Proof : Suppose  $A = P D P^{-1} = P D P^T$

$$A^T = (P^T)^T (D)^T (P)^T = P D P^T$$

$\therefore A = A^T = \text{Symmetric}$

- \* The set of eigenvalues of matrix  $A$  is called the spectrum of  $A$ .

# Theorem 9 (Spectral Theorem for symmetric matrices)

An  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  has following properties  $\rightarrow$

- (a.) Eigen spaces are mutually orthogonal
- (b.)  $A$  has  $n$  real eigenvalues, counting AM's
- (c.)  $A$  is orthogonally diagonalizable
- (d.)  $AM = C\Lambda M$  for each  $\lambda$

Corollary 9.1  $\rightarrow A$  is orthogonally diag. diagonalizable if and only if  $A$  is symmetric

## ★ Quadratic Form

A quadratic form on  $R^n$  is a function from  $R^n$  to  $R$

$$Q(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}^T A \vec{x} \quad \xrightarrow{\text{Symmetric } n \times n \text{ matrix}}$$

$\Rightarrow$  A change of variable in  $R^n$  is an eq<sup>n</sup> of the form  
 $\vec{x} = P \vec{y}$  or  $\vec{y} = P^{-1} \vec{x}$

$$\vec{x}^T A \vec{x} = (\vec{P} \vec{y})^T A (\vec{P} \vec{y}) = \vec{y}^T (\vec{P}^T A \vec{P}) \vec{y}$$

Since  $A$  is a symmetric  $P$  can be selected as orthogonal matrix such that  $P^T A P = P^{-1} A P = I$  where  $D$  is diagonal matrix

$$\text{Thus } x^T A x = y^T D y$$

## Theorem 10 (Principal Axis Theorem)

Let  $A$  be a symmetric  $n \times n$  square matrix. Then there is an orthogonal change of variable  $\vec{x} = P\vec{y}$  that transforms quadratic form  $x^T A x$  into  $y^T D y$  which has no cross product terms ( $D$  being diagonal matrix)

The columns of  $P$  in theorem called principal axes of quadratic form  $x^T A x$ . The vector  $y_j$  is the coordinate vector of any vector  $x$  relative to orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  given by the axes.

## Classification $\rightarrow$

- (i) Positive definite if  $Q(\vec{x}) \geq 0$  for all  $\vec{x} \neq 0$
- (ii) -ve definite if  $Q(\vec{x}) < 0$  for all  $\vec{x} \neq 0$
- (iii) Indefinite if  $Q(\vec{x})$  can be +ve or -ve
- (iv) +ve Semidefinite if  $Q(\vec{x}) \geq 0$  for all  $\vec{x}$
- (v) -ve Semidefinite if  $Q(\vec{x}) \leq 0$  for all  $\vec{x}$

Proposition 5.6  $\rightarrow$  Let  $A$  be a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix.  $\Rightarrow A = A^T$   $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :

- (i) +ve definite if and only if eigen values of  $A$  are all +ve
- (ii) -ve if both values are possible.
- (iii) Indefinite if both values are possible.

\* Constrained Optimization of quadratic forms  
is finding min and max value for  $f(Q(\vec{x}))$  when  
 $\vec{x}$  is unit vector.  $\rightarrow$  min  $\rightarrow M$

Proposition 5.7  $\rightarrow$  Let  $A$  be a symmetric matrix  
and let  $m$  and  $M$  be as above.  
Then  $M$  is greatest eigen value of  
 $A$  and  $m$  is least eigen value of  
 $A$  and  $\vec{x}$  are the corresponding eigen vecs