COURSE PROJECT SCSR2043 OPERATING SYSTEM

Video Animation on OS Concepts

The purpose of the video animation is to enhance comprehension of the topic in operating system for the group as well as those who view the animation. Each group needs to prepare a video of animation / simulation / of a topic covered in the course and detail explanation in report writing. The group needs to choose suitable data set to simulate the assigned operating system concept and the choice of data set will be assessed. Students may use any tools or applications such as *Flash*, *Dreamweaver* and video editing applications like Filmora, HitFilm Express, DaVinci Resolve or InShot and Quik for mobiles or any suitable tools / applications. Please also include yourself / your face in the video explaining the animation. The list of topics is as in **Table 1**.

Table 1

| No. | Topics/Area | Group |
|-----|---|-------|
| 1. | Fixed & Dynamic Memory Management – | |
| | First Fit & Best Fit | |
| 2. | Fixed & Dynamic Memory Management – | |
| | Next Fit & Worst Fit | |
| 3. | Paging Concept | |
| 4. | Virtual Memory Management - Replacement | |
| | Algorithm: FIFO, OPT, LRU | |
| 5. | Contiguous and Non-Contiguous Disk | |
| | Allocation | |
| 6. | Free Space management | |
| 7. | Disk scheduling | |
| 8. | Deadlock – RAG, Deadlock avoidance – | |
| | safety algorithm | |
| 9. | Deadlock - resource request algorithm, | |
| | detection algorithm | |
| 10. | Process Coordination – Peterson, Producer – | |
| | Consumer, Semaphore | |
| 11. | Process Scheduling – Round Robin, Multi- | |
| | level Queue | |
| 12. | Process Scheduling – SJF, SRTF, Priority | |

IMPORTANT DATES

Budget your time wisely for the team project. Plan a time-table for your project and take pains to

observe the deadlines.

(Name of File: GROUPNAME.MP4/OR ANY VIDEO EASY TO OPEN)

Due date: Week 15

REPORT WRITING GUIDELINES

Report should be clear, precise and explain the OS concept and the choice of data in detail. Please include

evidence of group collaboration in your report (conversation in Whatsapp / Telegram, screenshots of

online meeting on Google Meet / Zoom or work plan on Trello / Google Docs or any appropriate online

collaboration tools that you use for project management.

VIDEO PRESENTATION GUIDELINES

Plan to give a 15-minute video (maximum time: 15 mins) for presentation and discussion. The video

animation should be self-contained, clear and precise. In the video, briefly introduce the topic, how the

group collaborate in conducting the project and execute your animation and explain. Every member in

the group must appear in the video.

ASSESSMENT

The project contributes 15% to course grade. Assessment is done in two aspects:

1. Implementation (10) – in both video and report

i. Correctness (3)

ii. Completeness (3)

iii. Choice of data input (2)

Creativity (2)

2. Presentation (10) – in video footage (contribute 5% to course grade)

i. Organization (2.5)

ii. Presentation (2.5)

iii. Collaboration (2.5)

iv. Question & Answers (2.5)

GENERAL GUIDELINES

- 1. Check your animation if it portrays the right concept.
- 2. Check grammar and spelling error.
- 3. Do not plagiarize

NOTE: Plagiarism is stealing or passing off the ideas or words of another as one's own -- using material without crediting the source. This is prohibited behavior and will not be tolerated. Take the time to properly cite material written by someone else -- include references, put verbatim quotes in quotation marks, and do not paraphrase excessively. If you have questions about this, ask your lecturer.

Presentation Rubric (10)

| Criteria\Marks | 1 | 1.5 | 2 | 2.5 |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Collaboration | The teammates never worked | The teammates sometimes | The teammates worked from | The teammates always |
| Did everyone contribute | from others' ideas. It seems as | worked from others' ideas. | others' ideas most of the time. | worked from others' ideas. |
| to the presentation? | though only a few people | However it seems as though | And it seems like every did some | It was evident that all of the |
| Did everyone seem well | worked on the presentation. | certain people did not do as | work, but some people are | group members contributed |
| versed in the material? | | much work as others. | carrying the presentation. | equally to the presentation. |
| Organization | The presentation lacked | There were minimal signs of | The presentation had organizing | The presentation was well |
| Was the | organization and had little | organization or preparation. | ideas but could have been much | organized, well prepared and |
| Presentation well | evidence of preparation. | | stronger with better preparation. | easy to follow. |
| organized and easy to | | | | |
| follow? | | | | |
| Presentation | Presenters were unconfident | Presenters were not | Presenters were | Presenters were all very |
| Did the | and demonstrated little | consistent with the level of | Occasionally confident with their | confident in delivery and |
| presenters | evidence of planning prior to | confidence/preparedness they | presentation however the | they did an excellent job of |
| Speak clearly? | presentation. | showed the classroom but | presentation was not as engaging | engaging the class. |
| Did the engage the | | had some strong moments. | as it could have been for the | Preparation is very evident. |
| audience? | | | class. | |
| Was it obvious the | | | | |
| material had been | | | | |
| rehearsed? | | | | |
| Question/Answer | · | Presenter has difficulty | Presenter is able to respond to | Presenter answers questions |
| Techniques | Presenter's answers to questions | responding to questions. | questions | confidently and completely. |
| 1 commques | are incorrect or incomplete. | | | |
| | | | | |