

Problem Set #7

Econ 815

Aditya Balaram

Sellers of commodities such as hotel rooms, and tickets to concerts and sporting events, face a unique problem in that their commodity has no value after a specific time point. For a hotel room, every unoccupied night translates to wasted inventory. Similarly, a ticket to a concert has no value once the concert is done. This makes pricing of the commodity a problem of utmost importance to the seller. More specifically, the seller needs to set the price such that the product is sold at some point within the finite time horizon, whilst also ensuring that the price at which the sale occurs is as high as possible. This means that the seller needs to find a balance between selling at a particular time point versus waiting for an additional period and selling at a potentially higher price.

I model a single seller who is trying to sell a single unit of a particular commodity. For example, an individual trying to sell a ticket to a concert on Stubhub with some finite number of days until the concert. I denote the time horizon as T distinct time periods. Thus, the seller decides how to price the commodity at each time period $t = 1, \dots, T$. The likelihood that the commodity is sold depends on the price and the state of the system. For simplicity, I assume that the system can be in one of two states: “up” or “down”. The “up” state could represent weather conditions that favor the purchase of the commodity, while the “down” state represents conditions that impede the purchase. In the context of an open-air concert, a forecast of rain is represented the “down” state, while sunny skies are represented by the “up” state. I assume that the system evolves as a first-order discrete time Markov process with transition probabilities as shown in Equation (1).

$$\Pi = \begin{bmatrix} \pi_{DD} & \pi_{DU} \\ \pi_{UD} & \pi_{UU} \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

where D and U represent the “down” and “up” states respectively.

If the system is in state D , the probability of the commodity being sold is ϵ_D . If it is in state U , the probability is ϵ_U . Further, I assume that the probability of sale is dependent on price through a logistic function, i.e., $\frac{\exp(A-Bp)}{1+\exp(A-Bp)}$, where A and B are constants, and p is the price. I also assume independence between the impact of price and the state of the system on the probability of sale. Thus, the probability of sale at time t is

$$q_t(p, x) = \frac{\exp(A - Bp)}{1 + \exp(A - Bp)} \cdot \epsilon_x, \quad x \in \{D, U\} \quad (2)$$

The transition probabilities given by Π govern the evolution of the system, i.e., if today is sunny/rainy, how likely is it that tomorrow will be sunny/rainy? At the start of each time period (day), the seller and the mass of consumers observe the state of the system (up or down), and the seller sets the price which is then subsequently common knowledge. The seller's choice of p thus depends on the value of ϵ_x and Π . ϵ_x

directly affects the likelihood of selling in the current period, while Π defines where the system will be in the following period and hence affects the expected future value the seller could obtain if the sale doesn't occur in the current period. The evolution of the system is governed solely by "nature" i.e., the seller's choice of p does not impact the evolution of the system.

The utility that the seller gains if the sale occurs is the price set in that time period, p . By choosing not to sell, she obtains the expected value of attempting to sell in the next period. The Bellman equation is given in Equation 3.

$$V_t(x) = \max_p p q_t(p, x) + (1 - q_t(p, x)) \mathbb{E}_{X'|X}(V_{t+1}(x')) \quad (3)$$

If the seller doesn't sell the product over the finite time horizon, she obtains a utility of 0. This defines the boundary condition $V_T(x) = 0, \forall x$.

The first order condition is given below

$$q_t(p, x) + p \frac{\partial q_t(p, x)}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial q_t(p, x)}{\partial p} \mathbb{E}_{X'|X}(V_{t+1}(x')) + [1 - q_t(p, x)] \frac{\partial \mathbb{E}_{X'|X}(V_{t+1}(x'))}{\partial p} = 0 \quad (4)$$

where $t = 1, 2, \dots, T - 1$.

Given the scale of the problem, one could just use the boundary condition to solve the optimization problem for period $T - 1$, and subsequently use backward induction to obtain the optimal price.