CI/CD Speed Simulator - Cloud Computing Project Documentation

Project Overview

The CI/CD Speed Simulator is a performance analysis tool designed to evaluate different strategies in build phases, load balancing, and scheduling algorithms that directly impact the efficiency of cloud-based CI/CD pipelines.

Why this project matters:

- Faster deployments
- Better utilization of compute resources
- Reduced waiting and turnaround times
- Fairer load distribution across servers

This simulator measures key performance metrics such as Total Time & Speedup, Efficiency, Load Imbalance, Fairness Index, and Scheduling Times.

Technology Stack

- Python 3.12.3
- Pandas, Matplotlib
- Docker, docker-compose
- Git for version control

Features

- 1. Build Phase Simulation (Sequential, Parallel, Cached, Slim Image)
- 2. Load Balancing Simulation (Round Robin, Least Connections, Random, Genetic Algorithm)
- 3. Scheduling Algorithms Simulation (FCFS, SJF, SRTF, HRRN)
- 4. CSV Data Logging (logs/results.csv)
- 5. Graphical Analysis (Matplotlib plots for clear visualization)

Methodology

- 1. Build Phase: Simulates container builds in CI/CD pipelines.
- 2. Load Balancing Phase: Distributes cloud traffic with multiple strategies.
- 3. Scheduling Phase: Simulates CPU scheduling in cloud VMs and containers.

Graphs

- (1) Build Phase: build_total_time.png, build_speedup.png, build_efficiency.png
- (2) Load Balancing Phase: load_avg_load.png, load_variance.png, load_fairness.png, load_imbalance.png
- (3) Scheduling Phase: scheduling_times.png, scheduling_combined.png

Results and Analysis

- Build Phase: Parallel builds show massive speedup, Slim builds provide consistent efficiency, Cached builds help incremental cases.
- Load Balancing: Round Robin and Least Connections ensure fairness; Genetic Algorithm helps in dynamic workloads.(experiments are done upto 20000 service instances till now)
- Scheduling: SJF/SRTF minimize turnaround; HRRN balances fairness; FCFS baseline but inefficient.(experiments are done upto 10000 jobs till now)

How to Run

- 1. Running Locally(currently):
 - Open terminal
 - Run: python3 -m simulator.build_simulator
 - Then: python3 -m simulator.plot results
- 2. Running with Docker(optional but then handle .dockerignore and .gitigonre carefully,if needed then update these two files):
 - Run: docker-compose up --build
 - Use docker logs to monitor execution
- 3. Data and Logs:
 - Results stored in logs/results.csv
 - Graphs in ./graphs/

Current .gitignore

```
# Python
__pycache__/
*.pyc
*.pyo
*.pyd
*.egg-info/
*.egg
# Virtual environment
.venv/
env/
venv/
# Logs and results
logs/*.log
logs/*.txt
results.csv
# IDE / Editor files
.vscode/
.idea/
# System files
.DS_Store
Thumbs.db
```

Current .dockerignore

```
# Ignore Python cache
__pycache__/
```

```
*.pyc
*.pyo
*.pyd
# Ignore venv
.venv/
env/
venv/
# Ignore git and docker files
.git
.gitignore
.dockerignore
# Ignore test and logs
tests/
logs/
*.log
*.txt
results.csv
```

Future Work

- Cloud Deployment on AWS/GCP/Azure
- Web Dashboard (Flask/Django/React)
- Larger workload scalability tests
- CI/CD tool integration (Jenkins, Kubernetes)

Energy Consumption

This simulator also allows analysis of energy efficiency in CI/CD pipelines. By comparing build strategies and scheduling algorithms, we can estimate power savings:

- Parallel builds reduce idle time of servers, lowering wasted energy.

- Load balancing prevents hotspots, optimizing cooling and server utilization.
- Scheduling strategies like SJF/SRTF reduce waiting processes, improving CPU efficiency.

Future work can integrate energy models (watts per core, per VM) to derive realistic energy cost comparisons.

Proposal

This work can evolve into a research publication. The proposal can focus on:

- Improving cloud-native CI/CD performance
- Reducing deployment energy costs
- Benchmarking scheduling fairness
- Offering an open-source reproducible simulator