

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

# Implementation & Test Deliverable Document (ITD)

## TRACKME

- v1.0 -

Authors:	00000
Avila, Diego	903988
Schiatti, Laura	904738
,	904204
Virdi, Sukhpreet	

SOURCE CODE REPOSITORY
https://github.com/lauricdd/AvilaSchiattiVirdi/tree/backend/src

January  $13^{\rm th}$  , 2019

# Contents

1	Intr	roduction	1
	1.1	Purpose	1
	1.2	Scope PENDING	1
<b>2</b>	Imp	plemented Requirements	3
	2.1	Data4Help	3
		2.1.1 Data4Help Requirements	3
	2.2	AutomatedSOS	4
		2.2.1 AutomatedSOS Requirements	4
	2.3	Risks and Contingencies	4
	2.4	Acceptance Criteria	5
3	$\mathbf{Adc}$	opted development frameworks	6
	3.1	Adopted Programming Languages	6
		3.1.1 Java	6
		3.1.2 SQL	7
	3.2	Adopted Middle-wares	8
		3.2.1 Java Spark Framework	8
		3.2.2 Morphia Framework	9
		3.2.3 Lettuce Framework	9
		3.2.4 Angular Framework	10
	3.3	Additional APIs	10
4	Stru	acture of the source code	l1
5	Inte	egration Strategy 1	<b>12</b>
	5.1	Entry Criteria	12
	5.2	Elements to be integrated	12
	5.3	Integration testing strategy	14
	5.4	Sequence of Component/Function Integration	14

6	Indi	ividual	l Steps and Test Description	15
	6.1	Data	4Help Management System	15
		6.1.1	Request Management and DBHandler	15
		6.1.2	Search Management and DBHandler	16
		6.1.3	Accept/Reject Management and DBH andler	16
		6.1.4	Login Management and DBHandler	17
		6.1.5	Signup Management and DBHandler	17
		6.1.6	Check Token (Password Retrieval) and DBHandler	18
	6.2	Auton	natedSOS Management System	19
		6.2.1	Health Care Connector system and DBH andler	19
	6.3	Integr	ation between subsystems	19
		6.3.1	Data4Help system, AutomatedSOS system	19
7	Required Program Stubs and Test Data			
	7.1	Progra	am Stubs and Drivers	20
	7.2	Test I	Data	21
	7.3	Test S	Scenario	23
	7.4	Test C	Cases	23
8	Inst	allatio	on instructions	39
	8.1	How t	o run both systems?	39
		8.1.1	How to run the tests image?	39
	8.2	How t	to each system independently?	39
		8.2.1	How to work on the back-end?	39
		8.2.2	How to work on the front-end?	40
9	Effo	ort spe	ent	41
10	Ref	erence	S	42

# List of Figures

# List of Tables

6.1	Request Management parameters	15
6.2	Search Management parameters	16
6.3	Accept/Reject Management parameters	16
6.4	Login Management parameters	17
6.5	Signup Management parameters	17
6.6	Check Token/Update Password Management parameters	18
6.7	Data Refresh Management parameters	19
6.8	Data4Help, ASOS integration Management parameters	19
7.1	Test Scenario List	23
7.2	Test Case: Login-1A	24
7.3	Test Case: Login-1B	25
7.4	Test Case: Login-2A	26
7.5	Test Case: Manage Request-1A	27
7.6	Test Case:Manage_Request-1B	28
7.7	Test Case:Manage Request-2A	29
7.8	Test Case: Manage Request-2B	30
7.9	Test Case: Manage Request-3A	31
7.10	Test Case: Manage Request-3B	33
7.11	Test Case: Search-1A	35
7.12	Test Case: Search-1B	36
7.13	Test Case:Search-2A	37
7.14	Test Case: Search-2B	38
9.1	Time spent by all team members	41
9.2	Time spent by each team member	41

## Introduction

## 1.1 Purpose

This document describes in detail the processes of implementation and testing of D4H and ASOS following the specifications reported in RASD and DD documents. The purpose of this document is to provide a general overview of a running prototype implementation of TrackMe and the process of testing it.

## 1.2 Scope PENDING

This document represents the Integration Testing Plan Document for TrackMe Service.

Integration testing is a key activity to guarantee that all the different subsystems composing Data4Help and AutomatedSOS interoperate consistently with the requirements they are supposed to fulfil and without exhibiting unexpected behaviours. The purpose of this document is to outline, in a clear and comprehensive way, the main aspects concerning the organization of the integration testing activity for all the components that make up the system.

More precisely, the document presents:

- A list of the subsystems and their subcomponents involved in the integration activity that will have to be tested
- The criteria that must be met by the project status before integration testing of the outlined elements may begin
- A description of the integration testing approach and the rationale behind it
- The sequence in which components and subsystems will be integrated
- A description of the planned testing activities for each integration step, including their input data and the expected output
- Some performance measures that should be performed on the components to check they are fulfilling the requirements

• A list of all the tools that will have to be employed during the testing activities, together with a description of the operational environment in which the tests will be executed

.

## Implemented Requirements

This section describes which functional requirements were implemented in the presented TrackMe prototype which compresses D4H and ASOS systems in reference to the ones already stated in the RASD.

## 2.1 Data4Help

### 2.1.1 Data4Help Requirements

Since, regarding the implementation of Data4Help system, the requirements addressed are:

- [R1] The system must allow an individual to register a new account
  - D4H::SignupService
- [R2] The system must allow an individual to access to their account
  - D4H::LoginService
- [R3] The system must allow an individual to accept or reject their requests of accessing personal data
  - D4H::D4HRequestService
- [R4] The system must be able to communicate with TrackMe database in order to obtain the health status and location of an individual
  - D4H::SearchService
- [R5] The system must allow a third party company to register a new account **D4H::SignupService**
- [R6] The system must allow a third party company to access to its account **D4H::LoginService**
- [R7] The system must be able to notify the individual that a third party company wants to access its data
  - D4H::D4HRequestService

[R8] The system must allow a third party company to search for an individual health status and location using his/her SSN

D4H::SearchService

[R9] The system must allow a third party company to filter data of an anonymized group of individuals by country, age, gender and blood type parameters

D4H::SearchService

[R10] The system must be able to anonymize the data of a group of individuals

D4H::APIManager

[R11] The system must allow a third party company to subscribe to an individual health status and location

D4H::SubscriptionService

[R12] The system must allow a third party company to subscribe to data of an anonymized group of individuals

D4H::SubscriptionService

#### 2.2 AutomatedSOS

### 2.2.1 AutomatedSOS Requirements

With respect to the implementation of AutomatedSOS system, the requirements that are addressed are:

[R13] The system must be able to send a request for monitoring an individual's data when he/she is older than 60 years old

ASOS::DataService

[R14] The system must be able to monitor, and compare against defined thresholds, the health status of an individual

ASOS::DataService

[R15] The system must be able to contact the health-care service associated to an individual ASOS::DataService

## 2.3 Risks and Contingencies

This subsection of the Implementation Plan identifies the risks and specific actions to be taken in the event the implementation fails or needs to be altered at any point and includes the factors to be used for making the decision. The possible risk that we are addressing in our system at this point of time are as follows:

- System fails to connect to the Server/Database, and in case of out-of-range health parameters, action cannot be taken within time.
- In these kind of scenarios, as soon as the system is back on-line, latest parameters are checked and further transmitted.

## 2.4 Acceptance Criteria

This section of the Implementation Plan establishes the exit or acceptance criteria for transitioning the system into production. Identify the criteria that will be used to determine the acceptability of the deliverables as well as any required technical processes, methods, tools, and/ or performance benchmarks required for product acceptance.

When the system passes all the test cases and end-2-end scenarios (illustrated in chapter-6 in detail) without fail and the system is 100% on-line with all the requirements stated about are implemented and working properly and making the system stable. Based on which a go/no-go decision can be taken, firstly a beta-version of the product can be published.

## Adopted development frameworks

In the following chapter a list of development frameworks and technologies that are used in building D4H and ASOS systems, they were designed and implemented to be highly decoupled.

## 3.1 Adopted Programming Languages

The Programming languages used are:

#### 3.1.1 Java

Java is a general-purpose computer-programming language that is concurrent, class-based, object-oriented, and specifically designed to have as few implementation dependencies as possible. This language was used for the development of D4H and ASOS backends.

#### Advantages

- Object-oriented: allows you to create modular programs and reusable code.
- Platform-Independent: ability to move easily from one computer system to another.
- Distributed: designed to make distributed computing easy with the networking capability that is inherently integrated into it.
- Secure: the Java language, compiler, interpreter, and runtime environment were each developed with security in mind.
- Allocation: java has the feature of Stack allocation system. It helps the data to be stored and can be restored easily.
- Multithreaded: the capability for a program to perform several tasks simultaneously within a program.

#### Disadvantages

- Performance: significantly slower and more memory-consuming than natively compiled languages such as C or C++.
- Look and Feel: the default look and feel of GUI applications written in Java using the Swing toolkit is very different from native applications.
- Single paradigm language: the addition of static imports in Java 5.0 the procedural paradigm is better accommodated than in earlier versions of Java.

#### 3.1.2 Typescript

(Structured Query Language) is a domain-specific language used in programming and designed for managing data held in a relational database management system (RDBMS), or for stream processing in a relational data stream management system (RDSMS).

#### Advantages

- SQL Queries can be used to retrieve large amounts of records from a database quickly and efficiently.
- SQL is used to view the data without storing the data into the object.
- SQL joins two or more tables and show it as one object to user.
- SQL databases use long-established standard, which is being adopted by ANSI & SQL databases do not adhere to any clear standard.
- Using standard SQL it is easier to manage database systems without having to write substantial amount of code.
- SQL restricts the access of a table so that nobody can insert the rows into the table.

#### Disadvantages

- Interfacing an SQL database is more complex than adding a few lines of code.
- When table is dropped view becomes inactive. It depends on the table objects.
- Although SQL databases conform to ANSI & Samp; ISO standards, some databases go for proprietary extensions to standard SQL to ensure vendor lock-in.
- It is an object so it occupies space.

## 3.2 Adopted Middle-wares

To begin with, it is suggested to build Java based applications, since developers have expertise working with this language. Moreover, the proposed frameworks are:

#### 3.2.1 Java Spark Framework

Java Spark Framework is a simple and expressive Java/Kotlin web framework DSL built for rapid development. Sparks intention is to provide an alternative for Kotlin/Java developers that want to develop their web applications as expressive as possible and with minimal boilerplate. With a clear philosophy Spark is designed not only to make you more productive, but also to make your code better under the influence of Spark's sleek, declarative and expressive syntax. It is a micro-framework for MVC applications, because pure Java web development has traditionally been very cumbersome. The configuration for this framework is minimal and with a short learning curve.

#### Advantages

- Fast and lightweight
- Excellent for rapid prototyping
- Easy to setup
- Most commonly used with AngularJS
- Real micro-framework
- Uses Jetty
- Can be used inside a container or without one

#### Disadvantages

- Documentation could be better, it is not intended for beginners.
- Not suitable for large projects
- Small community

#### 3.2.2 Morphia Framework

MongoDB is an open source document-oriented NoSQL database system which stores data as JSON-like documents with dynamic schemas. As it doesn't store data in tables as is done in the usual relational database setup, it doesn't map well to the JPA way of storing data. Morphia is an open source lightweight type-safe library designed to bridge the gap between the MongoDB Java driver and domain objects. It can be an alternative to SpringData if you're not using the Spring Framework to interact with MongoDB.

#### Advantages

- Stable
- Very good play integration
- Offers access to all Mongo driver features
- Provides more extensibility leading you to enjoy the ability to suck most out of mongo features
- Lightweight

#### Disadvantages

• Does not have serializers for enumeration type

#### 3.2.3 Lettuce Framework

Lettuce is a fully non-blocking Redis client built with netty providing Reactive, Asynchronous and Synchronous Data Access. Lettuce connects with all operational models natively supported by Redis. Partition-tolerance, Read Slaves and Transport-Level-Security provide the required foundation for highly scalable applications.

#### Advantages

- Standalone
- Providing synchronous, asynchronous and reactive APIs
- Partition-tolerance
- Dynamic API
- Cloud ready

#### 3.2.4 Angular Framework

It is a TypeScript-based open-source front-end web application framework led by the Angular Team at Google and by a community of individuals and corporations. Angular is a complete rewrite from the same team that built AngularJS.

#### Advantages

- Two way data binding
- Directives
- Dependency injection
- Community

#### Disadvantages

- Performance
- Steep learning curve

## 3.3 Additional APIs

The only external APIs to whom TrackMe environment depends on, are the health-care services to which ASOS should contact in some cases. Both D4H and T4R do not depend on any external services.

# Structure of the source code

4.1 D4H Backend (Spark app)

## **Integration Strategy**

## 5.1 Entry Criteria

In order for the integration testing to be possible and to produce meaningful results, there are a number of conditions on the progress of the project that have to be met.

First of all, the Requirements Analysis and Specification Document and the Design Document must have been fully written. This is a required step in order to have a complete picture of the interactions between the different components of the system and of the functionalities they offer.

Secondly, the integration process should start only when the estimated percentage of completion of every component with respect to its functionalities is:

- 100% for the Data4HelpWebService component
- At least 90% for the LoginService and RegisterService subsystem
- At least 70% for the SearchManager and RequestService subsystem
- At least 50% for the ASOSService applications

It should be noted that these percentages refer to the status of the project at the beginning of the integration testing phase and they do not represent the minimum completion percentage necessary to consider a component for integration, which must be at least 90%. The choice of having different completion percentages for the different components has been made to reflect their order of integration and to take into account the required time to fully perform integration testing.

## 5.2 Elements to be integrated

In the following paragraph we're going to provide a list of all the components that need to be integrated together.

As specified in TrackMe Design Document, the system is built upon the interactions of many high-level components, each one implementing a specific set of functionalities. For the sake of modularity, each subsystem is further obtained by the combination of several lower-level components. Because of this software architecture, the integration phase will

involve the integration of components at two different levels of abstraction.

At the lowest level, we'll integrate together those components that depend strongly on one another to offer the higher level functionalities of **Data4HelpWebService**. In our specific case, this involves the integration of the **Login Service and Signup Service**, **Search Management**, **Request Management**, **Subscription and Notification Management** subcomponents in order to obtain the **Data4Help Management System** subsystem.

For what concerns the building of the **AutomatedSOS** and **Track4Run** subsystems, the integration activity is actually quite limited; in fact, they simply represent a collection of functionalities belonging to the same area which however are not dependent on one another. As a result of this, their subcomponents don't really interact with each other, and the integration phase will be limited to the task of ensuring that the set of functionalities of each subcomponent is properly exposed by the subsystem. The components involved in this phase are:

- The Data Handler, Health Care Connector and DB Manger subcomponents in order to obtain the AutomatedSOS subsystem.
- The Login, Signup, User, Event, Notification, Data handler, Request and Authentication manager subcomponents in order to obtain the Track4Run Management subsystem.

Some of these subcomponents also directly rely on higher level, atomic components: that is the case, for instance, of the dependency on the **Data Handler component**. These dependencies will be taken care of in the integration process.

Finally, we will proceed with the integration of the higher level subsystems. In particular, the integration activity will involve:

- The already existing components used to achieve specific functionalities: these are the Health Care Service, DBMS and Notification system components.
- Those components and subsystems specifically developed for TrackMeService, that are:
  - On the server side: the **Data4Help Management System**, **Authentication** system, **Search subsystems**, together with the **Data Handler** component.
  - On the client side: the Data4Help Web Application and Track4Run
     Web Application components.

## 5.3 Integration testing strategy

As already explained in the Design document, let's discuss here Integration strategy in more detail. The approach we're going to use to perform integration testing is based on a mixture of the bottom-up and critical-module-first integration strategies.

Using the bottom-up approach, we will start integrating together those components that do not depend on other components to function, or that only depend on already developed components. This strategy brings a number of important advantages. First, it allows us to perform integration tests on "real" components that are almost fully developed and thus obtain more precise indications about how the system may react and fail in real world usage with respect to a top-down approach. Secondly, working bottom-up enables us to more closely follow the development process, which in our case is also proceeding using the bottom-up approach; by doing this we can start performing integration testing earlier in the development process as soon as the required components have been developed in order to maximize parallelism and efficiency.

Since subsystems are fairly independent from one another, the order in which they're integrated together to obtain the full system follows the critical-module-first approach. This strategy allows us to concentrate our testing efforts on the riskiest components first, that is those that represent the core functionalities of the whole system and whose malfunctioning could pose a very serious threat to the correct implementation of the entire TrackMe infrastructure. By proceeding this way, we are able to discover bugs earlier in the integration progress and take the necessary measures to correct them on time.

It should be noted that **Health Care Service**, **Notification System and DBMS** are commercial components that have already been developed and can thus be immediately used in a bottom-up approach without any explicit dependency.

## 5.4 Sequence of Component/Function Integration

This section describes the order of integration (and integration testing) of the various components and subsystems of TrackMe Service. This section has already been explained in detail in the **DD** - Design Document (**Reference section: 5.3.3 Integration order**).

# Individual Steps and Test Description

In this chapter we'll provide a detailed description of the tests to be performed on each pair of components that have to be integrated. Each pair of components is described in a specific subsection, identified by the <caller; called > notation, containing the list of methods that the <caller > component invokes on the <called > component. For each method we're going to provide a brief description of the input values and the corresponding expected effects on the system.

## 6.1 Data4Help Management System

## 6.1.1 Request Management and DBHandler

Insert Request		
Input	Effect	
A NULL parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised.	
A request with an id already	An InvalidArgumentValueException is raised.	
existent in the database		
Formally valid arguments	An entry containing the request data is inserted	
	into the database.	

#### Delete Request

Input	Effect
A NULL parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised.
A request with an in-existent id	An InvalidArgumentValueException is raised.
Formally valid arguments	The entry containing the request data is deleted
	from the database.

Table 6.1: Request Management parameters

Formally valid arguments

#### Search Management and DBHandler 6.1.2

${f Subscribe/Get\ Data}$		
Input	Effect	
A NULL parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised.	
A search with an id in-existent in	An InvalidArgumentValueException is raised.	
the database		

The list of all valid data based on the search id.

#### Unsubscribe Data

Input	Effect
A NULL parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised.
A search with an in-existent id	An InvalidArgumentValueException is raised.
Formally valid arguments	The entry containing the search data is deleted
	from the requester's view.

Table 6.2: Search Management parameters

#### 6.1.3 Accept/Reject Management and DBHandler

#### Update Request Queue (userid, requestid) Input **Effect** A NULL parameter A NullArgumentException is raised. A non-existing RequestID An InvalidArgumentValueException is raised. A set of valid parameters to The new user of the Data4Help is added to accept ThirdParty's view in the database. A set of valid parameters to reject The new user of the Data4Help is removed from request queue in the database.

Table 6.3: Accept/Reject Management parameters

## 6.1.4 Login Management and DBHandler

Login (userid, tokenid)		
Input	Effect	
A NULL parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised.	
A non-existing user	An InvalidArgumentValueException is raised.	
An empty password	An InvalidArgumentValueException is raised.	
A valid user and password	Returns an InvalidCredentialError.	
combination, which however is		
not the correct one		
A correct and valid user and	Returns a session cookie.	
password combination		

Table 6.4: Login Management parameters

## 6.1.5 Signup Management and DBHandler

Signup (userid, tokenid)		
Input	Effect	
A NULL parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised.	
An empty parameter	An InvalidArgumentValueException is raised.	
All valid user data in all fields,	Returns an InvalidCredentialError.	
which however belongs to existing		
user		
A correct and all valid user fields	Returns a session cookie and data inserted into the	
	database.	

 ${\bf Table~6.5:~Signup~Management~parameters}$ 

## 6.1.6 Check Token (Password Retrieval) and DBHandler

Check Token (useria, tokenia)		
Input	Effect	
A NULL parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised.	
A valid user and secret-Code	Returns False.	
combination, which however is		
not the correct one		
A correct and valid user and	Returns True.	
secretCode combination		

## UpdateUserPassword (userid, tokenid, newPassword)

Input	Effect
A NULL parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised.
A valid user and secret-Code	An InvalidSecurityLevelException is raised.
combination, which however is	
not the correct one	
A correct and valid user and	An InvalidArgumentFormatException is raised.
secretCode combination, but an	
incorrectly formatted password	
A correct and valid user and	Updates the user password in the database.
secretCode combination, and a	
correctly formatted password	

Table 6.6: Check Token/Update Password Management parameters

## 6.2 AutomatedSOS Management System

## 6.2.1 Health Care Connector system and DBHandler

DataRefresh (userid, vitalSigns, ThresholdCollection)				
Input	Effect			
A NULL parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised.			
Vital Signs checked against the	An InvalidArgumentException is raised.			
threshold collections and are				
inconsistent				
Vital Signs checked against the	Overwrite the old data with the latest update in			
threshold collections and are	the database until the next data fetch.			
consistent				

Table 6.7: Data Refresh Management parameters

## 6.3 Integration between subsystems

## 6.3.1 Data4Help system, AutomatedSOS system

EmergencyAlarm (userid, vitalSigns, ContactDetails)			
Input	Effect		
A NULL parameter	A NullArgumentException is raised.		
A userId not correctly formatted	An InvalidArgumentFormatException is raised.		
A userDetails whose contact	An InvalidContactException is raised.		
details are invalid			
Vital Signs out of range	An AlarmRequest is raised and contact is sent to		
	HealthCareService (external component) within 5		
	seconds.		
Vital Signs in range	Overwrite the old data with the latest update until		
	the next data fetch.		

Table 6.8: Data4Help, ASOS integration Management parameters

# Required Program Stubs and Test Data

## 7.1 Program Stubs and Drivers

As we have mentioned in the Integration Testing Strategy section of this document, we are going to adopt a bottom-up approach to component integration and testing.

Because of this choice, we are going to need a number of drivers to actually perform the necessary method invocations on the components to be tested; this will be mainly accomplished in conjunction with the JUnit framework.

Here follows a list of all the drivers that will be developed as part of the integration testing phase, together with their specific role:

- Data Access Driver: this testing module will invoke the methods exposed by the DB Handler component in order to test its interaction with the DB Manager.
- Request Management Driver: this testing module will invoke the methods exposed by the Request Management subcomponent, including those with package level visibility, in order to test its interaction with the DB Handler, Notification System and the Subscription Management components.
- Search Management Driver: this testing module will invoke the methods exposed by the Subscription Management subcomponent in order to test its interaction with the DB Handler, Notification System and the Request Management components.
- Login Management Driver: this testing module will invoke the methods exposed by the Login Management subcomponent in order to test its interaction with the DB Handler and the token System components.
- Health Care Connector Driver: this testing module will invoke the methods exposed by the Health Care Connector Management subcomponent in order

to test its interaction with the DB Handler and the Health Care Service - external System components.

- Notification Management Driver: this testing module will invoke the methods
  exposed by the Notification Management subcomponent in order to test its
  interaction with the DB Handler, Request system and Search system components.
- Subscription Management Driver: this testing module will invoke the methods exposed by the Subscription Management subcomponent in order to test its interaction with the DB Handler and Search system components.
- Account Management Driver: this testing module will invoke the methods exposed by the Check Token Management subcomponent in order to test its interaction with the DB Handler, Login system and Signup system components.

While the bottom-up approach in general doesn't require the usage of any stubs as the system is developed from the ground up, a full test of the core system isn't possible without introducing a few of them. In fact, there is a mutual dependency between the clients (which send requests) and the core system (which replies to them). Since we are developing and integrating the system from the core, we are going to introduce stubs to simulate the presence of clients until they are fully developed. In practice, the only purpose of these stubs is to write on a log that they have correctly received the messages.

#### 7.2 Test Data

In order to be able to perform the record of tests that we have specified, we are going to need:

- A list of both valid and invalid individual or third party users to test the Signup Management component. The set should contain instances exhibiting the following problems:
  - Null object
  - Null fields
  - Invalid data in one or more fields
  - Tax certificate not compliant with the legal format
  - Valid data in all fields
- A list of both valid and invalid individual or third party users to test the Login Management component. The set should contain instances exhibiting the following problems:

- Null object
- Null fields
- Invalid data in one or more fields
- valid data but system down
- Valid data in all fields
- A list of both valid and invalid requests to test the **Request Management** component. The set should contain instances exhibiting the following problems:
  - Null object
  - Null fields
  - Invalid data in one or more fields
  - valid data but incorrect format
  - Valid data in all fields
- A list of both valid and invalid searches to test the **Search Management** component. The set should contain instances exhibiting the following problems:
  - Null object
  - Null fields
  - Invalid data in search
  - valid data but does not exist in DB
  - Valid data
- A list of both valid and invalid searches to test the **Subscription Management** component. The set should contain instances exhibiting the following problems:
  - Null object
  - Null fields
  - valid data but does not exist in DB
  - Valid data
- A list of both valid and invalid notifications to test the **Notification Management** component. The set should contain instances exhibiting the following problems:
  - Null object
  - Null fields

- valid data but does not exist in DB
- Valid data
- A list of both valid and invalid notifications to test the Health Care Service
   Management component. The set should contain instances exhibiting the following problems:
  - Null object
  - Inconsistent data against threshold
  - Consistent data against threshold
  - Valid data

More specific information about the required test data can be found by analysing the inputs of all the test cases described in chapter 3.

#### 7.3 Test Scenario

Scenario testing is a software testing activity that uses scenarios: hypothetical stories to help the tester work through a complex problem or test system. The ideal scenario test is a credible, complex, compelling or motivating story the outcome of which is easy to evaluate. The following high-level set of scenarios were considered for **Data4Help** system:

#### Scenario List (Data4Help Module)

SC01	Validate the login functionality of the system
SC02	Validate the login functionality of the system with blank data
SC03	Validate if third party is able to request individual's data
SC04	Validate if third party is able to request bulk data
SC05	Validate the individual's response to request (Accept/Reject)
SC06	Validate third party is able to search for the subscribed data on its dashboard
SC07	Validate Individual is able to view for the subscribers data on its dashboard

Table 7.1: Test Scenario List

#### 7.4 Test Cases

A test case is a specification of the inputs, execution conditions, testing procedure, and expected results that define a single test to be executed to achieve a particular software

testing objective. We will define a number of test cases against the test scenarios above stated to cover the Data4Help complete system. The following test cases are considered against the test scenarios:

#### • Login Positive Test Case

Test Scenario ID	Login-1	Test Case ID	Login-1A
Test Case Description	Login-Positive	Test Priority	High
Pre-Requisite	A valid user account	Post-Requisite	NA

#### Test Execution Steps:

S. No.	Action	Input	Expected Output	Actual Output	Test Result
1	Launch Application	/login.html	Login Page	Login Page	Pass
2	Enter Correct Email & Password and hit Login button	Email ID: test@xyz.com; Password: *******	Login Success; User-id and access-token validated during authentication	Login Success; User-id and access-token validated during authentication	Pass

Table 7.2: Test Case: Login-1A

#### • Login-Negative Test Case

Test Scenar	rio ID	Login-1	Test Case ID		Login-1B
Test Case l	Description	Login-Negative	Test Priority		High
Pre-Requis	ite	NA	Post-Requisite	e	NA
Test Execu	tion Steps:				
S. No.	Action	Input	Expected Output	Actual Output	Test Result
1	Launch Application	/login.html	Login Page	Login Page	Pass
2	Enter invalid Email & any Password and hit Login button	Email ID: invalid@xyz.com; Password: *******	Error: "The provided email or password are invalid"	Error: "The provided email or password are invalid"	Pass
3	Enter valid Email & any Password and hit Login button	Email ID: valid@xyz.com; Password: *******	Error: "The provided email or password are invalid"	Error: "The provided email or password are invalid"	Pass

Table 7.3: Test Case: Login-1B

• Login Blank Test Case

password are

invalid"

Test Scenar	rio ID	Login-2	Test Case ID		Login-2A
Test Case l	Description	Login-Blank	Blank Test Priority		High
Pre-Requis	ite	NA	Post-Requisite	e	NA
Test Execution Steps:					
S. No.	Action	Input	Expected	Actual	Test
			Output	Output	Result
1	Launch	/login.html	Login Page	Login Page	Pass
	Application				
2	Do not	No data	Error: "The	Error: "The	Pass
	enter		provided email	provided	
	email or		or password	email or	

are invalid"

Table 7.4: Test Case: Login-2A

• Manage Request Individual Test Case

hit

 ${\it password}$ 

and

Login Button

Test Scenario ID	Manage_Request-1	Test Case ID	Manage Request-1A
Test Case Description	Request Positive	Test Priority	High
Pre-Requisite	Valid Third party already registered & logged in to the dashboard screen	Post-Requisite	NA

#### Test Execution Steps:

S. No.	Action	Input	Expected	Actual	Test
		_	Output	Output	Result
1	Launch	/login.html	Login Page	Login Page	Pass
	Application				
2	Enter	Email ID:	User is able	User is able	Pass
	valid data	test@xyz.com;	to view the	to view the	
	in all	Password:	dashboard	dashboard	
	fields and	******			
	hit Login				
	Button				
3	Select	Request_Type:	User's request	User's	Pass
	Request_type:	Specific;	sent to specific	request sent	
	"Specific",	Filter_Type:	individual;	to specific	
	Filter	SSN; Enter_Data:	Request status	individual;	
	type, &	123456789	changed	Request	
	enter		to Request	status	
	data		'Pending'	changed	
	and hit			to Request	
	submit			'Pending'	
	button				

Table 7.5: Test Case: Manage Request-1A

• Manage Request Individual Fail Test Case

Test Scenario ID	Manage_Request-1	Test Case ID	Manage Request-1B
Test Case Description	Request Fail	Test Priority	High
Pre-Requisite	Valid Third party already registered & logged in to the dashboard screen	Post-Requisite	NA

#### Test Execution Steps:

S. No.	Action	Input	Expected Output	Actual Output	Test Result
1	Launch Application	/login.html	Login Page	Login Page	Pass
2	Enter valid data in all fields and hit Login Button	Email ID: test@xyz.com; Password: ******	User is able to view the dashboard	User is able to view the dashboard	Pass
3	Select Request_type: "Specific", Filter type, & enter data and hit submit button	Request_Type: Specific; Filter_Type: SSN; Enter_Data: 123456789	Error: Session is not valid any more	Error: Session is not valid any more	Pass

Table 7.6: Test Case:Manage\_Request-1B

• Manage Request Bulk Positive Test Case

Request-2		Request-2A
Test Case Description Request Bulk Te		
	Test Priority	High
Pre-Requisite  Valid Third party already registered & logged in to the dashboard screen	Post-Requisite	NA

S. No.	Action	Input	Expected	Actual	Test
			Output	Output	Result
1	Launch	/login.html	Login Page	Login Page	Pass
	Application				
2	Enter	Email ID:	User is able	User is able	Pass
	valid data	test@xyz.com;	to view the	to view the	
	in all	Password:	dashboard	dashboard	
	fields and	******			
	hit Login				
	Button				
3	Select	Request_Type:	Request sent	Request sent	Pass
	Request_type:	Specific;	to TrackMe	to TrackMe	
	"Bulk",	Filter_Type:	successfully;	successfully;	
	Filter	Blood Type;	Request status	Request	
	type, &	Enter_Data: A+	changed	status	
	enter		to Request	changed	
	data		'Pending'	to Request	
	and hit			'Pending'	
	submit				
	button				

Table 7.7: Test Case:Manage Request-2A

• Manage Request Bulk Fail Test Case

Test Scenario ID	Manage Request-2	Test Case ID	Manage Request-2B
Test Case Description	Bulk Fail	Test Priority	High
Pre-Requisite	Valid Third party already registered & logged in to the dashboard screen	Post-Requisite	NA

#### Test Execution Steps:

S. No.	Action	Input	Expected Output	Actual Output	Test Result
1	Launch Application	/login.html	Login Page	Login Page	Pass
2	Enter valid data in all fields and hit Login Button	Email ID: test@xyz.com; Password: ******	User is able to view the dashboard	User is able to view the dashboard	Pass
3	Select Request_type: "Bulk", Filter type, & enter data and hit submit button	Request_Type: Specific; Filter_Type: Blood Type; Enter_Data: A+	Error: Session is not valid any more	Error: Session is not valid any more	Pass

Table 7.8: Test Case: Manage Request-2B

• Manage Request Individual Accept Test Case

Test Scenario ID	Manage	Test Case ID	Manage
	Request-3		Request-3A
Test Case Description	Individual accept	Test Priority	High
Pre-Requisite	Valid Individual already registered & logged in to the dashboard screen	Post-Requisite	NA

#### Test Execution Steps:

S. No.	Action	Input	Expected Output	Actual Output	Test Result
1	Launch Application	/login.html	Login Page	Login Page	Pass
2	Enter valid data in all fields and hit Login Button	Email ID: test@xyz.com; Password: ******	User is able to view the dashboard	User is able to view the dashboard	Pass
3	All requests visible on dashboard	NA	User is able to view all the requests available on its dashboard screen.	User is able to view all the requests available on its dashboard screen.	Pass
4	Select Accept response drop down button and select submit	Response: Accept	Status against the request-id is changed to 'Approved'.	Status against the request-id is changed to 'Approved'.	Pass

Table 7.9: Test Case: Manage Request-3A

 $\bullet\,$  Manage Request Individual Reject Test Case

Test Scenario ID	Manage	Test Case ID	Manage
	Request-3		Request-3B
Test Case Description	Individual reject	Test Priority	High
Pre-Requisite	Valid Individual already registered & logged in to the dashboard screen	Post-Requisite	NA

#### Test Execution Steps:

S. No.	Action	Input	Expected Output	Actual Output	Test Result
1	Launch Application	/login.html	Login Page	Login Page	Pass
2	Enter valid data in all fields and hit Login Button	Email ID: test@xyz.com; Password: ******	User is able to view the dashboard	User is able to view the dashboard	Pass
3	All requests visible on dashboard	NA	User is able to view all the requests available on its dashboard screen.	User is able to view all the requests available on its dashboard screen.	Pass
4	Select Reject from the response dropdown button and select submit	Response: Reject	Status against the request-id is changed to 'Rejected'.	Status against the request-id is changed to 'Rejected'.	Pass

Table 7.10: Test Case: Manage Request-3B

 $\bullet$  Search Subscribed data Test Case

Test Scenario ID	Search-1	Test Case ID	Search-1A
Test Case Description	requested Positive	Test Priority	High
Pre-Requisite	Valid Third party	Post-Requisite	NA
	already registered		
	& logged in to the		
	dashboard screen		

## Test Execution Steps:

S. No.	Action	Input	Expected Output	Actual Output	Test Result
1	Launch Application	/login.html	Login Page	Login Page	Pass
2	Enter valid data in all fields and hit Login Button	Email ID: test@xyz.com; Password: ******	User is able to view the dashboard	User is able to view the dashboard	Pass
3	All requests visible on dashboard	NA	User is able to view all the requests available on its dashboard screen.	User is able to view all the requests available on its dashboard screen.	Pass
4	Select Data from the filter available	Previous requested data	User is able to view all the subscribed data from the previous requests whose status = 'Approved'	User is able to view all the subscribed data from the previous requests whose status = 'Approved'	Pass

Table 7.11: Test Case: Search-1A  $\,$ 

#### • Search Subscribed Data Fail Test Case

Test Scenario ID	Search-1	Test Case ID	Search-1B
Test Case Description	requested fail	Test Priority	High
Pre-Requisite	DB server is down	Post-Requisite	NA
	after user logged		
	in		

#### Test Execution Steps:

S. No.	Action	Input	Expected Output	Actual Output	Test Result
1	Launch Application	/login.html	Login Page	Login Page	Pass
2	Enter valid data in all fields and hit Login Button	Email ID: test@xyz.com; Password: ******	User is able to view the dashboard	User is able to view the dashboard	Pass
3	All requests visible on dashboard	NA	User is able to view all the requests available on its dashboard screen.	User is able to view all the requests available on its dashboard screen.	Pass
4	Select Data from the filter available	Previous requested data	Error: "Session is not valid any more"	Error: "Session is not valid any more"	Pass

Table 7.12: Test Case: Search-1B

• Search Subscribers Positive test case

Test Scenario ID	Search-2	Test Case ID	Search-2A
Test Case Description	Subscribers Positiv	eTest Priority	High
Pre-Requisite	Valid Individual	Post-Requisite	NA
	already registered		
	& logged in to the		
	dashboard screen		

## Test Execution Steps:

S. No.	Action	Input	Expected Output	Actual Output	Test Result
1	Launch Application	/login.html	Login Page	Login Page	Pass
2	Enter valid data in all fields and hit Login Button	Email ID: test@xyz.com; Password: ******	User is able to view the dashboard	User is able to view the dashboard	Pass
3	All requests visible on dashboard	NA	User is able to view all the requests available on its dashboard screen.	User is able to view all the requests available on its dashboard screen.	Pass
4	Select Subscriber's List from the Dashboard	Previous requested data	User is able to view all users who currently subscribed to its data from requests whose status = 'Approved'	User is able to view all users who currently subscribed to its data from requests whose status = 'Approved'	Pass

Table 7.13: Test Case:Search-2A

#### • Search Subscribers Fail Test Case

Search-2	Test Case ID Searce	
Subscribers Fail	Test Priority	High
DB server is down	Post-Requisite	NA
after user logged		
in		
	Subscribers Fail  DB server is down after user logged	Subscribers Fail Test Priority  DB server is down after user logged . Post-Requisite

#### Test Execution Steps:

S. No.	Action	Input	Expected Output	Actual Output	Test Result
1	Launch Application	/login.html	Login Page	Login Page	Pass
2	Enter valid data in all fields and hit Login Button	Email ID: test@xyz.com; Password: ******	User is able to view the dashboard	User is able to view the dashboard	Pass
3	All requests visible on dashboard	NA	User is able to view all the requests available on its dashboard screen.	User is able to view all the requests available on its dashboard screen.	Pass
4	Select Subscriber's List from the Dashboard	Previous requested data	Error: "Session is not valid any more"	Error: "Session is not valid any more"	Pass

Table 7.14: Test Case: Search-2B

## Installation instructions

The TrackMe project is composed by 3 different systems: Data4Help, AutomatedSOS, and Track4Run. We decided to develop Data4Help, which is the leading system, and AutomatedSOS.

## 8.1 How to run both systems?

The whole system is dockerized, just run the following steps:

- 1. Install Docker and Docker Compose.
- 2. At the level of *TrackMe/src* folder, open a terminal and execute **sudo docker-compose up** (with -build to rebuild the image).
- 3. Open the browser and you can access to the Data4Help site using the following URL http://0.0.0.0:4200

## 8.2 How to each system independently?

#### 8.2.1 How to work on the back-end?

The following are the steps needed to work on the back-end:

- 1. You will need JDK >= 8.
- 2. Install Apache Maven.
- 3. Install MongoDB and Redis.
- 4. In the folder TrackMe/src/data4help/, open a terminal and run mvn compile.
- 5. [Optional] To run the service:
  - (a) Make sure that MongoDB and Redis services are running.
  - (b) Execute the following line:

    mvn -X compile exec:java -Dexec.mainClass=avila.schiatti.virdi.Main
    -e.

(c) You will be able to access the site/services by accessing to http://127.1.1.1:4567

In order to be able to have the front end, you should follow the steps of the front-end section, without running it

#### 8.2.2 How to work on the front-end?

In order to work on the front-end, follow this steps:

- 1. Install Nodejs and NPM.
- 2. Install AngularCLI by running the following line: npm install -g @angular/cli
- 3. Go to src/main/resources folder and install the package dependencies running: npm install
- 4. Build the project by running **ng build**.
- 5. To run the front-end, you can run: **ng serve**. You will be able to access the front-end by accessing to **http://127.1.1.1:4200** 
  - You won't have access to the back-end services, so probably the front-end alone is not useful)

# Effort spent

Team Work				
Task	Hours			
Planning Integration	8			
Testing overview	4			
Choosing Strategy	3			
Checking document	4			
Total	19			

Table 9.1: Time spent by all team members

Individual Work					
Diego Avila   Laura Schiatti		Sukhpreet Kaur			
Task	Hours	Task	Hours	Task	Hours
X	X	X	X	Layout	2
X	X	X	X	Purpose and Scope	3
X	X	X	X	Implemented Requirements	6
X	X	X	X	Individual Steps, Testing	5
X	X	X	X	Stubs and Test Data	10
X		X	4	Adopted Frameworks	4
Total	X	Total	X	Total	30

Table 9.2: Time spent by each team member

# References

- Requirement Analysis and Specification Document.pdf. Version 1.1 11.11.2018
- $\bullet$  Design Document.pdf. Version 1.0 10.12.2018
- **Spark** http://sparkjava.com/
- Morphia http://morphiaorg.github.io/morphia/
- Lettuce https://lettuce.io/
- **Angular** https://angular.io/
- **Docker** https://docs.docker.com/