CH1 CANONICAL QUANTIZATION OF FREE FIELDS

1.1 Canonical quantization of the free E-M field

PROP The continuity equation reads
$$\partial_{0} f + \overline{\nabla} \cdot \overline{g} = 0$$

| DEMO Indeed, $\overline{\nabla} (\overline{\nabla} \times \overline{B} - \partial_{0} \overline{E} = \overline{f}) = -\partial_{0} \overline{\nabla} \cdot \overline{E} = \overline{\nabla} \cdot \overline{f}$

(=) $-\partial_{0} f = \overline{\nabla} \cdot \overline{f}$ where we used $\overline{\nabla} \cdot (\overline{\nabla} \times \overline{B}) = \partial_{1} \cdot \varepsilon_{ijk} \partial_{j} B_{k} = -\partial_{j} \cdot \varepsilon_{ijk} \partial_{i} B_{k}$

DEF We introduce the electric 4-vector of and the Maxwell touson From:

$$\int_{a}^{b} \left(\frac{1}{3} \right)^{2} dx dx = \begin{cases}
0 & E' & E^{2} & E^{3} \\
-E' & -B' & 0 & B' \\
-E^{3} & B^{2} & -B' & 0
\end{cases}$$

2

PROP The continuity eq. in its invariant Lorn is de jet =0

(Helmoltz decomposition) On R³ with suitable fall-off conditions, every vector field \$\vec{b}\$ admits a unique decomposition into a longitudinal and a trasunk pout: v= V+ Vx vi DEMOI We consider a field of such that err 1/r when roo then the Laplacian A is werlible. → (Tx(TxT)) ei = Cin 2: Chen de vm= (fiefim-fimbie) dide vm = Dudi Im - dede vi = F(F. 0) - 10 $\rightarrow \Delta \bar{v} = \bar{\nabla} (\bar{\nabla} \cdot \bar{v}) - \bar{\nabla} \times (\bar{\nabla} \times \bar{v}) \Leftrightarrow \bar{v} = \bar{\nabla} \Delta^{-1} (\bar{v}, \bar{v}) - \bar{\nabla} \times \Delta^{-1} (\bar{v} \times \bar{v})$ WEXPlicitly, v= 1 (D. v) and Tu=- 0-1(DxV) - For I such that V. V=0, we have V=Vx in For & such that \$\bar{V} x \bar{\sigma} = 0, we have \$\bar{V} = \bar{V} N

→ We can build A-1 explicitly ving green function, namely resolving $\Delta \phi(\bar{x}) = -S^{(3)}(\bar{x}-\bar{y}) \iff \phi(\bar{x}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{|\bar{x}-\bar{y}|}$ so that if

 $\Delta \phi(x) = j(x), \ \phi(\bar{x}) = \frac{-1}{4\pi} \int d^3y \frac{j(y)}{\bar{x} - \bar{y}} \sim \Delta^{-1} j(\bar{x})$

→ Sice F.B=0, me can unite B= Fx A with A a vector potulial. Using FXE + 20B=0 Fx (E+2A)=0 => E=-20A-Fp for som P, a scalar potential. Lo o and A are not uniquely defined. Let's consider o', A' such

that B= DxA' and E= -2. A'- Pp' => Fx(A'-A)=0

⇒ D'= I+Tx and from 0= V(Dox+0'-0), we see that φ'= φ - ∂ox + L(t) such that lim f(t)=0 ← f(t)=0 ∀r

DEF Defining An= (-0, A, Ae, As), we get For = Judy - Dr An, and the garge transformations of An read:

-> The 2 quantization welhods (canonical and path integral) requin an action or a hamiltonian. We nunite Maxuell eq. such that it comes from a variational principle.

Jets variation kads Sasa = (SFM)FM+ SAM. 4th ~ 20 SAMF+SAM gh ~ (- 20 FM+ gm) SAM =0 (=) 20 FM= gh € Harriltonian formulation of EM → to go to the hamiltonian formalism, he ned to compute the conjugate monenta $P_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}$ \longleftrightarrow Tim = $\frac{\partial L}{\partial A_{in}}$ We write $S = \int dt \int d^3x \int \frac{1}{2} F_{0i} F^{0i} - \frac{1}{4} F_{ij} F^{ij} + A_{pi} \int d^{pi} d^{pi} d^{pi}$ EDLEISE = 25h = Sdtsd3xf 1 2. A; 2 A'-1 B; B'+ A; 3'+ A. 1"+ A. 20 2; A'-1 A. A. A. 4) The conjugate monuture 2L =0 doesn't appear in the action! We cannot perform a Legendre transform. But since Sa Sho (200; Ai+10-12 AA), imposing of S =0 => A= - (200; A)+1. Lotte EOM for Ao can be solved algebraically for Ao without avoking initial conditions => he can inject the solution in the action. This gives to a reduced action principle. The induced action reads: S= Jox (= 2 Ai 2 Ai + = + (20) Ai + 10) (20 2 Ah + 10) - = BiBi + Aifi ? = SEXE ; JM] - Orig Helmoltz decomposition, we write for Ai: P= P+ Pxw = F++ &1 with P. OI=0 and N= A-1 (P. D) Ai = Di (A-1 Do Ai) + Ai such that \$\vec{7}{1} A^{\pma} = 0 - We also how that B= Fx A = Fx A+ we also have that Idix viw:= Idix (vi+wi+vihwi)

with vi= wil + wil

The reduced action becomes $S = \int d^9x \int_{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x A_i^{\perp} \partial_x A_i^{\perp} - \frac{1}{2} B^i B_i^{\perp} + \frac{1}{2} J^0 + A_i^{\perp} J^i \int_{\frac{1}{2}} with B' = B'(A_i^{\perp})$

Computing $\frac{SL}{SA^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 0$, he get $2^{\circ} \vec{A}_{\perp} = -\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}_{\perp}) + \vec{J}_{\perp}$ La We can now write the Conjugate movember to A_{\perp}^{-1} : $\vec{T}_{\perp}^{i}(\vec{x}, t) = \frac{SL}{S2^{\circ} A_{\perp}^{-1}(\vec{x}, t)} = 2^{\circ} A_{\perp}^{-1}(t, \vec{x})$

ith Coulant garge wi'n left with the physical dof only.

DEF Elininate the non physical dop from a system before quantifying it is called reduction before quantization.

The hamiltonian now reads: $N = \int d^3x \int_{\frac{1}{2}} \pi_i^i \pi_i^i + \frac{1}{2}B^i B_i - \frac{1}{2}J^o \int_{0}^{\infty} J_o - A_i \int_{1}^{i} J_o \int_{0}^{\infty} J_o$

Sice $\vec{E} = -2.\vec{\Lambda} + \vec{\nabla} A_0$, $\vec{E}_T = -\vec{\pi}_T$ and $\vec{E}_L = \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta} f^0 \right)$, in can remaine $H = \int d^3x \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\vec{E} \cdot \vec{E}_i + B' B_i \right) - A_i^{-1} f_i^{-1} \right)$

· Electromagnetic radiation in a box:

→ We obtained wave equations: \\ \lambda_{i,1} = \Pi_{i,1} \\
\tau_{i,1} = \Delta_{i,1}
\end{area}

Indeed, if f=0, the E-M theory notices on the classical level to the free work eq. for \$\overline{A}_1\$. To see if, he compute $SN = \int d^3x \int STi^{+}.Ti^{-} - \int A_h^{+} (\Delta A_h^{+} - \partial^{h} (\overline{D}.\overline{A}_{\tau}))$ to that the Hamiltonian ROM on

 $A_{\tau}^{i} = A_{\tau}^{i}$, $N_{i}^{j} = \frac{A_{\tau}^{i}}{A_{\tau}^{i}} = \frac{A_{$

Then, This = Ar = DATED Ju DA AT =0

- In a box of size of length i with periodic boundary conditions, we can unite Di(x) in a Fourier space: $A: (x) = \overline{A}: |t| + \sum_{k \neq 0} \sqrt{\frac{t}{2\omega L^3}} A: (t_k, t) e^{it_k \cdot x^2}$ with k' = 2 mm', n' \ Z, w(h) = (k2 = |k| and the factor to /2 w L3 is chosen for convenience. → by gaing in Fourier space, redving the wave equation becomes simple. The general solution follows from (22+w2) \$\wedge_i(t,t)=0

=> \$\partial_i(t,t)=c_i(t_i)e^{-i\omega t}+c_i*e^{i\omega t}, c_i(t_i) \in \mathbb{C} and \$\overline{A_i(t)}=\overline{A_i+\overline{T_i}}t\$ with Ai, Ti ∈ R. In what follow, we discard the O-mode: Ai= Fi=0 - Since Ait must be transverse, $\vec{\nabla}.\vec{\Delta}_1=0$ => k; $\vec{X}^i(t_k,t)=0$ DEF We introdu polarizata vectors & m (k) such that ei = [kil and kiei2 = 0. They furnish an orthonormal frame: Zeinein = Sij → We can then write c:(t) = a,(t) e; 1(t) + az(t) e; (t) = as(t) e; (t) 42 dol corresponding to the 2 Fourier coeff. - Explicitly, we could pich: = 1 (k2,-k1,0); == 1 (k,k3, heh3,-k2) with k1= k2+k2 > Discarding the O-mode (subdaminant in the calculation of the partition A: (r) = \frac{th}{k + \overline{\lambda}}\left(\alpha\right)\left(\al with kx = kmx = - wt + to. \$ → In the box, the hamiltonian reduces to:

N(t)= 1 ∫ d3x (E1(x)E1(x)+Bi(x)Bi(x)) Lo We ned to compute Eit = -2. Ai and B= TXA

$$-\frac{1}{4} = -\frac{1}{2} \cdot A_{i}^{t} = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{t}{ewv}} \left(\frac{\omega(tk) a_{s}(tk) e^{ikx} - \omega a_{s}^{t} e^{ikx}$$

PROP Using $\int_{low} d^3x \exp(i(\frac{t_1-t_1'}{k_1}) \cdot \vec{x}) = V \int_0^3 (t_1-t_1')$, one gets $N = \underbrace{\sum_{k \neq 0} t_1 w}_{k \neq 0} a^*s (t_1) a^*s (t_1')$

→ The hamiltonian becomes a superposition of harmonic escillators, degenerated in 5=1,2, the transverse polarisations.

→ By diffing as (ti,t) = as (ti) e -iwt, reget as (ti,t) = -iw as (ti,t) and das (ti,t) = fas (ti,t), H} and if we have the

following Poisson brackets:

fas (th), ats, (th')?=-i st, t, ss, on fas (th), as, (th')?=0

Lothis is equivalent to {A! (x), Ti's (y)?= Si' sx, y

1 A digression on the harmonic oscillator:

Jusquecies Wa, a=1,-, n.

→ The anociated lagrangian is L= 1/2 9° 90- 1/2 Was 9° 96 with Was = W(a) Sab

-> Canonical would are DL/290=90=Pa and the hamiltonian is $N = \frac{1}{2} P_0 p^0 + \frac{1}{2} W_{01}^2 q^0 p^0$

→ The Poisson brachets au cononical: {q, Pb?=50, fq, q, q, =0=5Pa, Ps}

→ We perform a charge of variables: \(\hat{a} = \sqrt{\omega} \hat{\hat{g}} + i \hat{p} \sqrt{\omega} \omega \omega) ; \(\hat{a} \hat{\hat{g}} = \sqrt{\omega} \omega (\hat{\hat{g}}) \frac{\hat{\omega}}{\omega} \omega \in \hat{\omega} \omega (\hat{\omega}) \end{a} \)
\(\lambda = \sqrt{\omega} \omega \hat{\omega} \hat{\omega} \omega \omega

Inversing, veget:

\hat{\hat{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial} \left(\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\alpha} \right) and \hat{\beta} = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial} \left(\hat{\alpha} - \hat{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial} \right)

- The canonical commutation relations become [â, âti] = 5ab and [â, ât] = 0 = [âta, âti] and the hamiltoniam is given by:

 N = to Was (âta ât 1 5ab)
- We used the quantization rule: for A(q,p), B(q,p) two function on the phase space with Poisson bracket AA, B? their equivalent quantum operator follows the following commutation relation: $[A,B] = i \text{ th } \{A,B\} + o(\text{th}^2)$

15 The tie evolution 15 give by f= fd, His for any 1= f(q,p).

· Hilbert space:

- The for 1 Ho, a complete set of orthonormal states is given by $|n\rangle = \frac{(a^{+})^{n}}{\sqrt{n!}}|0\rangle , \text{ with } (m|n) = \int_{mn}^{\infty} |n|^{n}$
- For n HO, the Hilbert space H is the Fock space generated by the creation operators & (the) for each us to the s:

 The suith IN the sech us to the second to the the second to the secon
 - H= \(\sum_{t,s}\) \tau_{(s)}(t) \hat{\alpha}_{(s)}(t) \(\hat{\alpha}_{(s)}(t)\) \(\hat{\alpha}_{(s)}(t)\) \(\hat{\alpha}_{(s)}(t)\)

Partition function and Hernodynamics

- For a sun of non interacting HO, the partition function factorizes: Z = Tre-PH = TT & < Nh, (e-BHh,) Nh,)

Jackorize:

$$Z = \text{Tr } e^{-\beta \hat{h}} = \prod_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s}| e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} | n_{k,s} \rangle$$
 $= \prod_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle x_{n_{k,s}} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} | n_{k,s} \rangle$
 $= \prod_{k,s} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} | n_{k,s} \rangle$
 $= \prod_{k,s} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} | n_{k,s} \rangle$
 $= \prod_{k,s} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} | n_{k,s} \rangle$
 $= \prod_{k,s} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \sum_{n_{k,s}} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}_{k,s}} \rangle$
 $= \lim_{k,s} \langle n_{k,s} | e^{-\beta \hat{h}$

$$\ln Z = -2 \cdot \left(\frac{L}{e\pi}\right)^3 \int d^3k \ln \left(1 - e^{-\beta n k}\right)$$

$$(2\pi)^{3} \xrightarrow{J} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi}}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \cdot x^{2} \cdot \ln(1-e^{-x})$$

$$= e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi} + e^{-x}$$

$$=$$

$$= -\frac{\beta^{-3} V}{h^{3} \pi^{2}} \cdot (-1) \cdot \int_{0}^{\infty} dx \cdot \frac{x^{3}}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - e^{-x}}$$

$$= \int_{3}^{3} \frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{3}}{e^{x}-1} = \frac{\beta^{-3}}{3h^{3}\pi^{2}} \cdot \Gamma(4) \cdot S(4) \qquad \Gamma(4) = 3! \cdot S(4) = \pi^{4}/90$$

We get: $\ln Z = \frac{\beta^{-3} V \pi^2}{45 + 3} = \frac{5}{3} \cdot V \cdot \beta^{-3}$