

5

SYMMETRIES, WARD ID AND THE PATH INTEGRAL

- We'll use the path integral to derive the quantum consequences of the presence of global symmetries in a QFT, for instance the quantum equiv. of the Noether theorem and of the classical equations of motion

5.1 Noether theorem

Thm (Noether) An action invariant under a (global) continuous symmetry is associated to a corresponding conserved current.

- We've seen 2 examples: a Dirac fermion and a complex scalar, both invariant under a $U(1)$.

⊙ Example: the fermion:

- We have: $S_F = \int d^4x \{ \bar{\psi}(i\not{\partial} - m)\psi \}$ and we transform $\psi \mapsto (1 + i\alpha)\psi$ so $\delta\psi = i\alpha\psi$ and $\delta\bar{\psi} = -i\alpha\bar{\psi}$

$$\begin{aligned} \hookrightarrow \delta S_F &= \int d^4x \{ i\delta\bar{\psi} \not{\partial}\psi + i\bar{\psi} \not{\partial}\delta\psi - m\delta\bar{\psi}\psi - m\bar{\psi}\delta\psi \} \\ &= \int d^4x \{ \alpha\bar{\psi} \not{\partial}\psi + (-\alpha)\bar{\psi} \not{\partial}\psi + i\alpha m\bar{\psi}\psi - i\alpha m\bar{\psi}\psi \} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Gauging the symmetry, we have $\delta S_F \propto \partial_\mu \alpha$ because if $\partial_\mu \alpha = 0$, we have $\delta S_F = 0$. By definition, $\delta S_F = - \int d^4x \partial_\mu \alpha \cdot J^\mu_\alpha$
 $\Leftrightarrow \delta S_F = + \int d^4x \alpha(x) \cdot \partial_\mu J^\mu_\alpha$. Since $\delta\psi = i\alpha(x)\psi$ is a particular case of variation, and that the EOM are stationary under any variation, we have $\delta S_F = 0 \Big|_{\text{EOM}} \Leftrightarrow \partial_\mu J^\mu_\alpha \approx 0$
↑
true on shell at least.

⊙ General theorem of classical field theory:

- Take a field φ such that under $\delta\varphi = \alpha \Delta\varphi$ the action is invariant. We have for α constant:

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}[\varphi + \delta\varphi] - \mathcal{L}[\varphi] = \alpha \partial_\mu \mathcal{B}^\mu, \text{ some total derivative}$$

→ When $\alpha = \alpha(x)$, we have:

$$\delta S = \int d^4x \left\{ \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \phi} \delta \phi + \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \phi_\mu} \partial_\mu \delta \phi \right\}$$

$$= \int d^4x \left\{ \alpha \partial_\mu \phi^\mu + \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta (\partial_\mu \phi)} \Delta \phi \cdot \partial_\mu \alpha \right\}$$

$$= \int d^4x \alpha \partial_\mu \left\{ \phi^\mu - \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \phi_\mu} \Delta \phi \right\} \equiv \int d^4x \alpha \partial_\mu T^\mu \approx 0$$

⇒ We have a conserved current $T^\mu \equiv -\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta (\partial_\mu \phi)} \Delta \phi + \phi^\mu$
such that $\partial_\mu T^\mu \approx 0$

5.2 Quantum conservation equation

→ At a quantum level, the conservation equation $\partial_\mu T^\mu = 0$ is an operator inserted in correlation functions:

$$\langle \partial_\mu T^\mu O_1 \dots O_n \rangle$$

Intuitively, we could expect that any insertion of $\partial_\mu T^\mu$ should render the correlation functions vanishing. But we have a time ordering inside that might interfere with ∂_μ .

→ Consider $\langle \hat{O}_1 \dots \hat{O}_n \rangle \equiv \int \mathcal{D}\phi O_1 \dots O_n e^{iS} / \int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{iS}$ under $\delta \phi = \alpha \Delta \phi$.
Then, $\delta O_j = \alpha \Delta O_j$, but any x -dependant transformation of the fields can be considered a field redefinition and absorbed by $\mathcal{D}\phi \mapsto \mathcal{D}\phi'$ (assuming invariant measure \Leftrightarrow no anomalies).

We have:

$$0 = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \delta [O_1 \dots O_n e^{iS}]$$

$$= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \{ \delta O_1 \dots O_n + \dots + O_1 \dots \delta O_n + i O_1 \dots O_n \delta S \} e^{iS}$$

$$= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \left\{ \alpha \Delta O_1 \dots O_n + \dots + O_1 \dots \alpha \Delta O_n + i O_1 \dots O_n \alpha(x) \partial_\mu T^\mu \right\} e^{iS}$$

$$= \alpha(x_1) \langle \Delta O_1(x_1) \dots O_n(x_n) \rangle + \dots + \alpha(x_n) \langle O_1(x_1) \dots \Delta O_n(x_n) \rangle$$

$$+ i \int d^4x \alpha(x) \langle \partial_\mu T^\mu(x) O(x_1) \dots O(x_n) \rangle$$

$$= \int d^4x \alpha(x) \left\{ \delta(x-x_1) \langle \Delta O_1(x_1) \dots O_n(x_n) \rangle + \dots + \delta(x-x_n) \langle O_1(x_1) \dots \Delta O_n(x_n) \rangle \right. \\ \left. + i \langle \partial_\mu T^\mu(x) O(x_1) \dots O(x_n) \rangle \right\}$$

We see that

$$\langle \partial_\mu T^\mu(x) O_1(x_1) \dots O_n(x_n) \rangle = i \delta(x-x_1) \langle \Delta O_1(x_1) \dots O_n(x_n) \rangle + \dots \\ + i \delta(x-x_n) \langle O_1(x_1) \dots \Delta O_n(x_n) \rangle$$

↳ The expectation that $\partial_\mu T^\mu(x)$ makes a correlator zero is valid as long as x doesn't hit one of the locations of the other operators: there are contact terms.

DEF

The Ward-Takahashi Identities are given by

$$\langle \partial_\mu T^\mu(x) \prod_{j=1}^n \mathcal{O}_j(x_j) \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n i \delta(x-x_j) \langle \mathcal{O}_1(x_1) \dots \Delta \mathcal{O}_j(x_j) \dots \mathcal{O}_n(x_n) \rangle$$

→ The Ward identities are a generalization of those that appear in QED, in $\langle \partial_\mu T^\mu(x) \psi(y) \bar{\psi}(z) \rangle = -e \delta(x-y) \langle \psi(y) \bar{\psi}(z) \rangle + e \delta(x-z) \langle \psi(y) \bar{\psi}(z) \rangle$ where $\Delta \psi = ie\psi$ and $\Delta \bar{\psi} = -ie\bar{\psi}$

→ For $n=0$: $\langle \partial_\mu T^\mu(x) \rangle = 0$ is a 1-pt function

→ For $n=1$: $\langle \partial_\mu T^\mu(x) \mathcal{O}(y) \rangle = i \delta(x-y) \langle \Delta \mathcal{O}(y) \rangle$ not trivial only if $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle \neq 0$, i.e. if the symmetry is spontaneously broken (see Goldstone theorem).

5.3 Quantum equations of motion

→ Consider the variation $\varphi(x) \mapsto \varphi(x) + \epsilon(x) \Leftrightarrow \delta \varphi(x) = \epsilon(x)$, computed in the n -pt correlator: $\langle \varphi_1 \dots \varphi_n \rangle \equiv \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \varphi_1 \dots \varphi_n e^{iS} / \int \mathcal{D}\varphi e^{iS}$

By the same argument, we should have:

$$0 = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \delta[\varphi_1 \dots \varphi_n] e^{iS}$$

$$= \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \{ \delta \varphi_1 \dots \varphi_n + \dots + \varphi_1 \dots \delta \varphi_n + \varphi_1 \dots \varphi_{n-1} i \delta S \} e^{iS}$$

$$= \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \{ \epsilon(x_1) \varphi_2 \dots \varphi_n + \dots + \varphi_1 \dots \varphi_{n-1} \epsilon(x_n) + i \int d^4x \varphi_1 \dots \varphi_n \frac{\delta S}{\delta \varphi(x)} \cdot \epsilon(x) \} e^{iS}$$

$$= \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \int d^4x \epsilon(x) \{ \varphi_2 \dots \varphi_n + \dots + \varphi_1 \dots \varphi_{n-1} + i \varphi_1 \dots \varphi_n \frac{\delta S}{\delta \varphi(x)} \} e^{iS}$$

DEF

The Schwinger-Dyson equations are given by

$$\left\langle \frac{\delta S}{\delta \varphi(x)} \varphi(x_1) \dots \varphi(x_n) \right\rangle = i \delta(x-x_1) \langle \varphi_2 \dots \varphi_n \rangle + \dots + i \delta(x-x_n) \langle \varphi_1 \dots \varphi_{n-1} \rangle$$

→ ex: real scalar field. We have $\delta S / \delta \varphi = (-\partial^2 - m^2) \phi$. For $n=2$, we have $\langle (-\partial^2 - m^2) \varphi(x) \varphi(y) \rangle = (-\partial^2 - m^2) \langle 0 | T[\varphi(x) \varphi(y)] | 0 \rangle = i \delta(x-y)$. It defines the propagator of the free theory.