09/18/2024

Recap

- We looked at proof by contradiction.

- If we need to prove P is true.

- Assume TP is true.

- Then, by deduction, we try to come up with a contradiction.

- TP is false

- Hence, P is true.

- Direct proof, proof by cases, proof by contradictions.

Det To give a proof by contrapositive of an implication $A \Rightarrow B$, we instead give a proof for $7B \Rightarrow 7A$

If It rains in Bozeman, then Bozeman is

P! It rains in Bozeman

Q: Bozeman is Wet

Contrary Contrapositive Statement! 70 => 7P

If Bozeman is not wet, then It does not rain in Bozeman

airen nez

Claim! If n2 is even, then n is even.

- This is an implication, therefore we can use proof by contrapositive technique.

p(premise): n² is even

q (conclusion): n is even.

contrapositive statement! If n is not even, then n² is not even

If n is odd, then n2 is odd

Assume n is odd

 $n = 2k+1, K \in \mathbb{Z}$

 $n^2 = (2k+1)^2 = 4k^2 + 4k+1$

 $n^2 = 2 \cdot (2k^2 + 2k) + 1$

 $n^2 = 2 \cdot c + 1$, $c \in \mathbb{Z}$

n2 is an odd number

by def of odd.

by algebra.

by factoring

sum of product of integer integers is an integer

by def of odd.

we have shown that the contrapositive statement

Therefore, our intial claim must be true.

Claim: All prime numbers are odd.

- This claim is not true.

Disproof by counterexample.

Consider the prime number 2, 2 is not odd. Therefore, the claim is false.

New daim: All prime numbers greater than 2 are odd.

Given $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \geqslant 3$,

If p is a prime number, then p is odd.

Premise (p) ! p is a prime number conclusion (q)! p is odd.

contrapositive statement'. $79 \Rightarrow 7P$ If p is even, then p is not a prime number Assume p is even by def of even P = 2K, $K \in \mathbb{Z}$ because $2 \mid P$ and $2 \neq 1$ or $2 \neq P$

The contrapositive statement is true, therefore the original claim is true.

claim! Given X, YEIR, If |x|+|y| = |x+y|, then xy<0 contrapositive statement!

If xy >0, then |x|+|y|=|x+y| There are two cases in which xy>0 case 1 ! x, y ≥ 0 => xy>0 case 2: $X,Y \leq 0 \Rightarrow XY \neq 0$ Let's consider case 1: x, y >, o by case 1: obviously xy>0 by the def of absolute. |x|+|y|=x+yvalue because, x,y>0 and *+y>0 $|x| + |\lambda| = |x + \lambda|$ case 1 is proven. x, y 60 Let's consider case 2 : by def of absolute value. $|x| + |h| = -x - \lambda$ by factoring |x|+|y| = -(x+y)because x, y < 0, x+y < 0 |X| + |X| = |X + Y|and by def of absolute value

The contrapositive statement is true for all the cases.

Therefore, the original claim is true.

and of surposting