

Before we present the four-part DP solution, we need some notation. Note that you can represent a planting solution as a  $4 \times n$  matrix of 1s and 0s - 1 in entry  $(i, j)$  if there is a tree in that spot, 0 otherwise. Using this notation, it will be helpful to enumerate all the possible configurations of a column of this matrix. The set of possible configurations is  $C = \{0000, 0001, 0010, 0100, 1000, 1001, 1010, 0101\}$ , where, for example, 0101 denotes "plant trees in rows 2 and 4."

The intuition driving our DP algorithm will be that the configuration of column  $j$  dictates the possible configurations for the rest of the matrix. In particular, column  $j + 1$  must be compatible with the configuration of column  $j$ : if column  $j$  is 0101, the column  $j + 1$  may only be 0000, 0010, 1000, or 1010. For each configuration  $c \in C$ , let  $\text{comp}(c)$  be the set of configurations in  $C$  which are compatible with  $c$ . There are finitely many configurations in  $C$ , so this can be hard-coded into our algorithm.

Finally, we will need notation for the total quality you get from planting configuration  $c = c_1c_2c_3c_4$  in column  $j$ . This can be computed as  $\text{qual}(j, c) = \sum_{i:c_i=1} q_{ij}$ . For example,  $\text{qual}(j, 1001) = q_{1j} + q_{4j}$ .

**Subproblem:** For any  $j$  and  $c \in C$ , let  $\text{OPT}(j, c)$  be the optimal quality from plantings of columns  $j, \dots, n$  given that column  $j$  must be compatible with a column of configuration  $c$  on the left.

**Recurrence:**  $\text{OPT}(j, c) = \max_{d \in \text{comp}(c)} [\text{qual}(j, d) + \text{OPT}(j + 1, d)]$

**Proof of Recurrence:** To solve the subproblem for  $i$  and  $c$ , we need to decide: of all the configurations of column  $j$  which are compatible with  $c$ , which do I choose? If you choose  $d$ , you get  $\text{qual}(j, d)$  in tree growth from column  $j$ , and then you must optimally plant columns  $j + 1$  through  $n$ , and column  $j + 1$  must be compatible with  $d$ . The optimal solution will choose the best of these options.

**Base Cases:**  $\text{OPT}(n + 1, c) = 0$  for all  $c$ .

**Iterative Algorithm:**

Memo[][] = new int[n+1][8] [Note: there are eight configurations.]

Compute  $\text{qual}(j, c)$  for all  $j$  and  $c$ . Store these in an array.

Compute  $\text{comp}(c)$  for each  $c \in C$ .

For all  $c \in C$ , set  $\text{Memo}[n + 1][c] = 0$ .

For  $j$  from  $n$  down to 1

For all  $c \in C$ , set  $\text{Memo}[j][c] = \max_{d \in \text{comp}(c)} (\text{qual}(j, d) + \text{Memo}[j + 1][d])$

Return  $\max_{c \in C} \text{Memo}[1][c]$

**Runtime:** Computing  $\text{qual}(j, c)$  for all  $j$  and  $c$  takes  $O(n)$  time, as  $|C| = 8$ . Computing  $\text{comp}(c)$  takes  $O(1)$  time. Filling in the base cases also takes  $O(1)$  time. The main work comes from filling in the rest of the memo table. The table is of size  $O(n)$ , and it takes  $O(1)$  work to fill in the table, so the runtime is  $O(n)$ .