Load Balmus

· What is it?

- To serve millions of incoming requests, thousands of serves (or ever hundreds of thousands) work to show the local

- They share the load via load balances

- The goal of a LB:

the pool of available servers

- don to avoid coasting the server

- You may not need an LB for a service that exertains I only a few hundred -> thousand requests

- For those that reed them, land belonces provide systems:

L'S scalability: by adding server, the corneity of
the app can be I scamlessly. They make apscaling
or downscaling trasporant to the end user

L'S Arailability: even if some somes go down, the
system will still be available. LBS also are
ment to hide faults & failures of the servers.

L'S Performance: They quickly forward requests to
somes who lessed and go the user gets a
faster response. This improves both performance &
resource utilization

Server Pool

Wisers

· Placing Li3's

- Usually, Li3's sit blt clients & servers

Lo Requests go than to serves & back to dients

via the Li3 layer

11-1- to server that there used - Not the only point that they're used - Place LG's between: Los end users of the application & new servers / application getaway Les web serves & application serves that run the business lapp logic Les blt app serves & db serves · UB services - Health checking: LBs use the heartbeat protocol to monitor the health (& thus, reliability) of end sarras - TLS fermination. They reduce the burden on end server by handling TLS termination withe dient - Predictive analytics: Can also predict traffic patterns thru analytics performed over traffic passing thru or using stats of traffic over time - Surice discorry: client's regrests me tomorded to appropriate hosting serves by inquiring about service registry - Security: They can mitigate DOS attrets @)

diff layers of the OSI model (layers 3, 4, 7) · Global sever load baloning (GSLB) -involves the distribution of traffic load across multiple geographical locations
-ensures that globally arriving traffic local is
intelligently forwarded to a data center (de)

- Marus torwording decisions borsed on: How's que location Ly num et hosting servers in diff locations 1-> health of DCs. - offer outo zonal failover Inomina - Region 3 - GSLB can forward requests to 3 diff data centers - evel LB layer win a DC will maintain into about the health of LBS & server form - GSCB uses this into to drive traffic decisions & forward traffic load based on each regions config & monitoring in · Local lood beloneing - LB-ing achieved Win a data senter - this type of LB-ing focuses on improving esticionery & better resource use of the hosting serves in the data center - They behave like a reverse prixy

. Advanced Details
- Alasithms
Les roud robin scheduling: requests forwarded to a server pool
in repeated, sequential manner
Lowerghted RR: serves whigh capability have I weight
Lowerghted PR: serves whigh capability have I weight by Least connections: Nodes whener connections get requests
-> Least response time
L> IP Hrsh: Server heided by IP Hosh
L> IP Hrsh: Server heided by IP Hrsh L> UPL Hrsh: Some services whin the app one provided
by specific server only. UPL Hush function is used
- Static vs. Dynamic Algorithms
La Static:
- don't consider the changing state of the servers
- task assignment done using existing knowledge on
- don't consider the changing state of the servers -thek assignment done using existing knowledge on servers config
- not complex
Lo dynamic:
- Consider recent STARE at server
- maintain state thru communication (adds overhead
- require diff LBs to communicate
- can be moduler -> more complexity, but better
decisions
- Stateful vs. Stateless
Ly Stateful
- involves maintaining a state of thesessions est. blt clients Shosting serves - stateful LB includes state in its orly to perfort Big
blt clients & land come
- stateful Lib includes state in its only a toperfor Library
- maintains no state: faster, light weight
- maintains no state: faster, lightweight - use consistent hashing to make forwarding decisions
J'

- not as resilient as stateful LBs: consistent Mashing alone is it enough to nonte a request to the correct app

Les therefore on local starte many riso be required - state managed across lift LBs: stateful - state managed wlin a LB: stateless

· Types of LBs

- Layer 4 Ly LB-ing performed on the bosis of transports protrowls like TCP VPP

Ly maintain connection/session uldients

Loensure TCP/UDP communication ends up being forwarded to the same bornerd server

Lo TLS fermination: some LK LBS sypert it

- Layer 7

L's bossed un data of app layer protocols
L's possible to make app aware forwarding decisions based
on HTTP header, URLS, workies, & other app specific data - Fr example, Vier ID

LA TLS termination, rate limiting users, HTTP miting, header remiting

· UB deployment

- DNS's me tier 0 LBs

- ECMPs (equal cost multipath) routers are ser I bBs
Lodividus traffic based on IP or RR or veighted RR
Lotier I LBs balance load across diff paths to higher tier LBs

is play a vital role in hoisental scalability of higher tier UBS

Ly Tier 2 LBs: include lower by LBs

- Make size that for any concertion, all primets
ove forwarded to the same tier 3 LBs

- Glie b/t tier 1 & tier 3 LBs.

Ly Tier 3 LBs: In direct context w/ bringend serves

- prform health monitoring of serves HTTP level

- This tier enables scalability by everly distributing requests among healthy book end serves

- High availability by health-monitoring serves directly

- Iden: leave computation & data serving to app servers

& Steetively while LB commodity markings for trivial

tashs