Module IV

Closure of an FD

Closure of an attribute

- Closure of an attribute X is represented as X+
 is the set of attributes that can be derived
 using inference axioms.
- $F=\{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D\}$
- Find A+
- Step 1:A+={A}
- consider left hand side of FD

- $F=\{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D\}$
- Step 1:A+={A}
- consider A→B
- A+={AB}
- Consider B→C
- A+={ABC}
- Consider C→D
- A+={ABCD}
- Since no more FDs has to consider, A+={ABCD}

Algorithm

```
□Algorithm: Determining X+, the Closure of : the
 set of attribute X under F
  X+=X;
  repeat
    oldX += X +;
    for each functional dependency Y \rightarrow Z in F do
    If X + \supset Y then X += X + \cup Z;
  until (X + = oldX +);
```

Equivalence of Functional Dependencies

- Let F and G are two FD sets for a relation R.
- If all FDs of F can be derived from FDs present in G, we can say that G ⊃ F (G covers F).
- If all FDs of G can be derived from FDs present in F, we can say that F ⊃ G (F Covers G)
- If 1 and 2 both are true, F=G
- If F covers G and G covers F then F and G are equal

show the relationship between two FD sets. A relation R2(A,B,C,D) having two FD sets FD1 = {A->B, B->C,A->C} and FD2 = {A->B, B->C, A->D}

- **Step 1.** Checking whether all FDs of FD1 are present in FD2
- A->B in set FD1 is present in set FD2.
- B->C in set FD1 is also present in set FD2.
- A->C is present in FD1 but not directly in FD2 but we will check whether we can derive it or not. For set FD2, (A)⁺ = {A,B,C,D}. It means that A can functionally determine A, B, C and D. SO A->C will also hold in set FD2.
- As all FDs in set FD1 also hold in set FD2, FD2 ⊃ FD1 is true.

- **Step 2.** Checking whether all FDs of FD2 are present in FD1
- A->B in set FD2 is present in set FD1.
- B->C in set FD2 is also present in set FD1.
- A->D is present in FD2 but not directly in FD1 but we will check whether we can derive it or not. For set FD1, (A)⁺ = {A,B,C}. It means that A can't functionally determine D. SO A->D will not hold in FD1.
- As all FDs in set FD2 do not hold in set FD1, FD2

 ⊄ FD1.

 In this case, FD2 ⊃ FD1 and FD2 ⊄ FD1, these two FD sets are not semantically equivalent. Q.Show the relationship between two FD sets. A relation R(A,B,C,D) having two FD sets F = {A->B, B->C, AB->D} and G = {A->B, B->C, A->D}

- Check whether A→C and A→D can be derived from F
- A+={ABCD} using F
- Meaning of A+={ABCD}
- A→A
- A→B
- A→C
- A→D
- Now we can say that F covers G

- F = {A->B, B->C, AB->D}and G = {A->B, B->C, A->C, A->D}
- Check AB→D can be derived from G
- Find (AB)+ in G
- (AB)+={ABCD}
- AB→D is derived .F covers G
- Now F covers G and G covers F so F is equivalent to G