

## Report on Quadratic Discriminant Analysis (QDA) for MNIST Classification

This report details the implementation and evaluation of a QDA model for classifying handwritten digits in the MNIST dataset.

### Assumptions:

- The features extracted from the images accurately represent the digit information.
- The class labels are accurate and consistent.
- Covariance matrices are positive definite (regularization technique used to address potential issues).
- Classes exhibit Gaussian distributions (although QDA is robust to non-Gaussianity).

### Approach:

1. **Data Loading and Preprocessing:**
  - MNIST dataset loaded using `numpy.load`.
  - Training and testing data separated (`x_train`, `y_train`, `x_test`, `y_test`).
  - Pixel values normalized to range between 0 and 1.
  - Training data reshaped to 2D array for efficient calculations.
2. **Prior Probabilities:**
  - Number of samples per class calculated and used to estimate prior probabilities.
3. **QDA Model:**
  - Mean vectors and covariance matrices computed for each class.
  - Covariance matrices regularized with small identity matrix to ensure positive definite.
  - Inverse covariance matrices and determinants calculated.
  - This simplifies the function and reduces computational cost.
4. **Testing and Evaluation:**
  - QDA function applied to test data to produce class scores.
  - Predicted labels assigned based on highest score per sample.
  - Overall accuracy and class-wise accuracy computed.

### Results:

- Overall accuracy: **83.44%**
- Class-wise accuracy is printed in the Jupyter Notebook

### Further Details:

- The report demonstrates a simplified QDA implementation, highlighting its validity in specific cases.
- While overall accuracy is acceptable, some classes show lower performance, indicating potential for improvement using different models or feature engineering techniques.
- The code can be further optimized for efficiency, especially for larger datasets.

**Limitations:**

- The assumptions listed above might not always hold true, impacting model performance.
- QDA assumes Gaussian distributions, which might not be ideal for all datasets.

**Conclusion:**

This report demonstrates a basic QDA implementation for MNIST classification, achieving reasonable accuracy. Further exploration and adjustments can enhance performance and applicability to different datasets and classification problems.

# Report on Principal Component Analysis (PCA) for MNIST Classification

## Assumptions:

- **Data Distribution:** The code assumes that the data follows a Gaussian distribution, as required for QDA.
- **Representative Sample:** The 100 samples per class are assumed to be representative of the overall dataset.
- **Linear Separability:** The code assumes that the classes are linearly separable in the reduced-dimensional space.

## Approach:

### 1. Data Loading and Preprocessing:

- Load the MNIST dataset.
- Select 100 samples per class.
- Flatten the images into 784-dimensional vectors.
- Subtract the mean from the data to center it.

### 2. Principal Component Analysis (PCA):

- Calculate the covariance matrix of the data.
- Compute the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the covariance matrix.
- Sort the eigenvectors by decreasing eigenvalues.
- Select the top  $p$  eigenvectors to form the transformation matrix  $U_p$ .

### 3. Data Reconstruction and Visualization:

- Project the data onto the reduced-dimensional space using  $U_p$ .
- Reconstruct the data from the projected space using  $U_p.T$ .
- Calculate the Mean Squared Error (MSE) between the original and reconstructed data.
- Plot the reconstructed images for different values of  $p$  to visualize the effect of dimensionality reduction.

### 4. Quadratic Discriminant Analysis (QDA):

- Define a function `qda` to calculate the QDA score for a given sample.
- Define a function `applyQda` to apply QDA on the projected data for a given  $p$ .
- Calculate prior probabilities, class means, and class covariance matrices in the projected space.
- Make predictions on the test set using QDA.
- Calculate the overall accuracy and class-wise accuracies.

## 5. Accuracy vs. Dimensionality:

- Apply QDA for different values of  $p$  (5, 10, 15, 20, ..., 150).
- Plot the accuracy of the QDA model as a function of  $p$  to visualize the trade-off between accuracy and dimensionality.

### Results:

- The MSE between the original and reconstructed data decreases as  $p$  increases, indicating better reconstruction with more dimensions.
- The visual quality of reconstructed images improves with more dimensions.
- The QDA accuracy for different  $p$  values showed a pattern that needs further analysis (refer to the specific values and plot).
- Class-wise accuracies provide insights into which digits are easier or harder to classify.

### Further Details:

- The code uses the full training set for QDA, while earlier calculations used a 1000-sample subset.
- The accuracy values for QDA might differ from those using smaller batches.

### Conclusions:

- PCA effectively reduces dimensionality while preserving key information.
- QDA can achieve reasonable accuracy on MNIST, but its performance depends on the chosen dimensionality.
- Further analysis is needed to determine the optimal  $p$  for QDA and explore potential reasons for the observed accuracy pattern.