

1 I

Multirotor Aerial Vehicles (MAV), specifically quadrotors, have become quite commonplace in many industries ranging from filmography to racing to even military operations. Over the past decade, there has been an incredible amount of research and advancement within this field leading to its proliferation and allowing for the technology to become more accessible. One of the major areas of research regarding MAV's is the control system that directs the motor-rotor system. The control mechanism can be as simple as a motor-rotor control, all the way to controlling the torque, or thrust or one of many other variables. The control of the motor-rotor has to account for multiple different factors, from the ambient wind speed, the rotation, the pitch of the propellor, all the way to the high level control system of the ESC.

kjg

In general, there are two different types of control loops; open and closed. Open control loops are what the majority of MAV control systems are. There is a flight controller, that sends a signal to the ESC that applies a particular voltage to the motor based on previously calibrated settings. In this method, the final result of the motor spinning is unknown to the flight controller. Therefore given any disturbances, there will be large variances in the response of the overall system. In response to these systems there are smarter, closed loop control. This is the type of control we will focus on. Closed loop systems provide a certain way to measure the output of the rotor based on the input from the FC. This allows for a smarter, more robust system and greater control of the output.

The simplest closed loop system available is the measurement of the voltage and current that the ESC sends to the motor. The theory is that for a motor to spin at a constant speed, the voltage and current are constant too. If there are disturbances in the air, then there would be a change in the voltage to keep the rpm the same. While this is simplistic, requires minimal computational power, and is easily applied, it is a slow measurement as well not very precise. To fix this there are other methods researchers have gathered. One of the most common methods is modelling the wind forces using either an accelerometer, IMU, or some other similar method. This may also be used in combination with the v/a sensing. While this is a more robust method and gives back body frame data, there is still the issue of latency of the device as well as a high computational load based on having to determine the position of the drone. Therefore a less computationally intense solution is using some sort of external sensor to measure a physical response of the propellers. One way some researchers have gone about this is using torque sensors to measure the constant torque provided by the motor. While strain gauges have a fast response and could be used, they are subject to lots of errors and therefore are not feasible.

Robert Mahoney and Bangura have developed a an estimation algorithm based on the voltage and current that can be sensed by the ESC. While according to their data, they see a reasonable response there is room for improvement.

Their algorithm is computationally intense and as well requires a lot of calibration data prior to functioning. This includes the radius, pitch, chord length of the propellor as well as specifications of the motor. We intend to build upon and develop a system that could be simpler and be used on any configuration just as easily.

One method of sensing the thrust output of the propellers is to

2 II

3 III

4 IV

5 V