Part 1

```
CREATE TABLE User Info (
     Email VARCHAR(255) PRIMARY KEY,
     Name VARCHAR(255),
     Password VARCHAR(255)
);
CREATE TABLE User Chats (
     ChatID VARCHAR(255),
     Email VARCHAR(255),
     Password VARCHAR(255),
     FOREIGN KEY (Email) REFERENCES User Info(Email)
);
CREATE TABLE Patient Diagnosis Records (
     RecordID VARCHAR(255) PRIMARY KEY,
     VisitDescription VARCHAR(1000),
     DoctorSpecialty VARCHAR(255),
     MedicalTranscription VARCHAR(1000),
     Keywords VARCHAR(750)
);
CREATE TABLE Question Answer Symptoms (
     QuestionID VARCHAR(255) PRIMARY KEY,
     Question VARCHAR(1000),
     Answer VARCHAR(1000),
     FocusArea VARCHAR(255)
);
CREATE TABLE Search Log (
     SearchID VARCHAR(255) PRIMARY KEY,
     Email VARCHAR(255),
     Search text TEXT(10000),
     FOREIGN KEY (Email) REFERENCES User Info(Email)
);
CREATE TABLE Log to Patient (
     SearchID VARCHAR(255),
     RecordID VARCHAR(255),
     PRIMARY KEY (SearchID, RecordID),
     FOREIGN KEY (SearchID) REFERENCES Search Log(SearchID),
```

```
FOREIGN KEY (RecordID) REFERENCES Patient_Diagnosis_Records(RecordID) );
```

Proof of Work

Connect to this instance

Connection name	bigdogs-455320:us- central1:bigdogs
Private IP connectivity ②	Disabled
Public IP connectivity ?	Enabled
Public IP address	34.69.126.130
Default TCP database port number	3306 🛅

Need help connecting?

Review the documentation to learn about the many ways to connect to your instance.

Learn more 🗷

To connect using gcloud,

OPEN CLOUD SHELL

To learn about connecting with a Compute Engine VM,

START TUTORIAL

```
Welcome to Cloud Shell! Type "help" to get started.
Your Cloud Platform project in this session is set to bigdogs-455320.
Use `gcloud config set project [PROJECT_ID]` to change to a different project.
aditya_raju_2005@cloudshell:~ (bigdogs-455320) $ gcloud sql connect bigdogs --user=root
Allowlisting your IP for incoming connection for 5 minutes...done.
Connecting to database with SQL user [root].Enter password:
Welcome to the MySQL monitor. Commands end with; or \g.
Your MySQL connection id is 12857
Server version: 8.0.37-google (Google)

Copyright (c) 2000, 2025, Oracle and/or its affiliates.

Oracle is a registered trademark of Oracle Corporation and/or its
affiliates. Other names may be trademarks of their respective
owners.

Type 'help;' or '\h' for help. Type '\c' to clear the current input statement.
```

```
mysql> select Count(*) from Question_Answer_Symptoms;
+-----+
| Count(*) |
+-----+
| 16399 |
+-----+
1 row in set (0.07 sec)
```

```
mysql> select Count(*) from Patient_Diagnosis_Records;
+-----+
| Count(*) |
+-----+
| 3814 |
+-----+
1 row in set (0.01 sec)
```

```
mysql> select Count(*) from User_Info;
+-----+
| Count(*) |
+-----+
| 1001 |
+-----+
1 row in set (0.01 sec)
```

We only have data in these 3 different tables so far. The others are empty as they will only populate as users use our application.

Advanced Queries:

Query 1: Find all Patient Diagnosis Records matching the Focus Area of a specific Question.

```
SELECT DISTINCT PDR.RecordID, PDR.VisitDescription, PDR.DoctorSpecialty FROM Patient_Diagnosis_Records PDR
INNER JOIN Question_Answer_Symptoms QAS
ON PDR.Keywords LIKE '%' || QAS.FocusArea || '%'
WHERE QAS.QuestionID = (
    SELECT QuestionID
    FROM Question_Answer_Symptoms
    WHERE Question LIKE '%headache%'
    LIMIT 1
);
```

Query 2: Find DoctorSpecialties that have at least two records matching a user's keyword search (e.g., "fever"), and order them by the number of matching records.

```
SELECT DoctorSpecialty, COUNT(*) AS NumRecords
FROM Patient_Diagnosis_Records
WHERE RecordID IN (
    SELECT RecordID
    FROM Patient_Diagnosis_Records
    WHERE MedicalTranscription LIKE '%fever%' OR Keywords LIKE '%fever%'
)
GROUP BY DoctorSpecialty
HAVING COUNT(*) >= 2
ORDER BY NumRecords DESC;
```

DoctorSpecialty	+
Consult - History and Phy. 40 SOAP / Chart / Progress Notes 30 Discharge Summary 14 Surgery 11 Urology 11 Gastroenterology 11 Emergency Room Reports 10 Hematology - Oncology 9	١
Consult - History and Phy. 40 SOAP / Chart / Progress Notes 30 Discharge Summary 14 Surgery 11 Urology 11 Gastroenterology 11 Emergency Room Reports 10 Hematology - Oncology 9	+
SOAP / Chart / Progress Notes 30 Discharge Summary 14 Surgery 11 Urology 11 Gastroenterology 11 Emergency Room Reports 10 Hematology - Oncology 9	1
Discharge Summary 14 Surgery 11 Urology 11 Gastroenterology 11 Emergency Room Reports 10 Hematology - Oncology 9	1
Surgery 11 Urology 11 Gastroenterology 11 Emergency Room Reports 10 Hematology - Oncology 9	1
Urology 11 Gastroenterology 11 Emergency Room Reports 10 Hematology - Oncology 9	1
Gastroenterology 11 Emergency Room Reports 10 Hematology - Oncology 9	1
Emergency Room Reports 10 Hematology - Oncology 9	1
Hematology - Oncology 9	1
, nemacorogi encorogi	1
	1
Nephrology 8	1
Cardiovascular / Pulmonary 8	1
Pain Management 7	1
Office Notes 7	1
Neurology 7	1
Radiology 6	1
tt	+
15 rows in set (0.03 sec)	

Query 3: Frequency of Top 10 Selected Medical Keywords Across Unique Records

```
SELECT k.Keyword, COUNT(DISTINCT pdr.RecordID) AS MatchCount, COUNT(*)
AS TotalOccurrences
FROM
 (
    SELECT 'fever' AS Keyword UNION ALL
    SELECT 'cough' UNION ALL
    SELECT 'headache' UNION ALL
    SELECT 'nausea' UNION ALL
    SELECT 'fatigue' UNION ALL
    SELECT 'pain' UNION ALL
    SELECT 'dizziness' UNION ALL
    SELECT 'rash' UNION ALL
    SELECT 'vomiting' UNION ALL
    SELECT 'diarrhea'
  ) AS k
JOIN Patient Diagnosis Records pdr ON pdr.Keywords LIKE CONCAT('%',
k.Keyword, '%')
GROUP BY k.Keyword
ORDER BY MatchCount DESC;
```

++		++			
Keyword	MatchCount	TotalOccurrences			
++		++			
pain	1097	1097			
fever	255	255			
headache	199	199			
nausea	181	181			
vomiting	178	178			
cough	137	137			
diarrhea	112	112			
rash	105	105			
fatigue	72	72			
dizziness	44	44			
++					
10 rows in set (0.20 sec)					

This query has only 10 rows of output.

Query 4: Most Common Question Texts and Their Associated FocusArea

SELECT

TRIM(FocusArea) AS FocusArea,
COUNT(*) AS NumQuestions,
MIN(TRIM(Question)) AS ExampleQuestion
FROM Question_Answer_Symptoms
WHERE TRIM(FocusArea) IS NOT NULL AND TRIM(FocusArea) != "
GROUP BY TRIM(FocusArea)
HAVING COUNT(*) > 1
ORDER BY NumQuestions DESC;

Part 2

1) Indexing Query 1:

Performance without indexing:

Performance after index on Question_Answer_Symptoms(Question):

Performance after index on Question_Answer_Symptoms(FocusArea)

Performance after index on Patient_Diagnosis_Records(Keywords)

As evident from the screenshots, we added an index on the Keywords, FocusArea, and Question columns to try and improve the performance of this query. However, the cost of the query did not change. This is because the query uses a pattern like LIKE '%FocusArea%', which starts with a %. When this happens, MySQL cannot use the index efficiently because it has to check every row to see if the keyword appears anywhere in the text. This forces a full table scan. Because none of the schemes are improving our performance, we will be considering the default scheme.

2) Indexing Query 2:

Performance without indexing:

```
| -> Sort: NumRecords DESC (actual time=23.2..23.2 rows=21 loops=1)
-> Filter: ('count(0)' >= 2) (actual time=23.2..23.2 rows=21 loops=1)
-> Table scan on temporaryy (actual time=23.2..23.2 rows=21 loops=1)
-> Aggregate using temporary table (actual time=23.2..23.2 rows=25 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=98 rows=738) (actual time=1.43..23 rows=20 loops=1)
-> Filter: ('Fatient_Diagnosis_Records.Medicaltranscription like '%fever*') or (Patient_Diagnosis_Records.Keywords like '%fever*')) (cost=440 rows=738) (actual time=1.43..22.5 rows=20 loops=1)
-> Table scan on Patient_Diagnosis_Records (cost=440 rows=3516) (actual time=0.313.1.67 rows=3814 loops=1)
-> Single-row index lookup on Patient_Diagnosis_Records using FRIMARY (RecordID=Patient_Diagnosis_Records.RecordID) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=0.00194..0.00197 rows=1 loops=20)
```

Performance after index on Patient_Diagnosis_Records(DoctorSpecialty)

```
mysql> CREATE INDEX idx_doctorspecialty ON Patient_Diagnosis_Records(DoctorSpecialty);
Query OK, 0 rows affected (0.07 sec)
Records: 0 Duplicates: 0 Warnings: 0

| > Stort NumRecords DESC (actual time=22.3.22.3 rows=21 loops=1)
| > Stort NumRecords DESC (actual time=22.3.22.3 rows=21 loops=1)
| > Stable soan on ctemporary (actual time=22.2.2.22 rows=21 loops=1)
| > Table soan on ctemporary (actual time=22.2.2.22 rows=21 loops=1)
| > Table soan on ctemporary (actual time=22.2.2.22 rows=21 loops=1)
| > Table soan on ctemporary (actual time=22.2.2.22 rows=21 loops=1)
| > Table soan on ctemporary (actual time=22.2.2.22 rows=21 loops=1)
```

Performance after index on Patient Diagnosis Records(Keywords)

```
mysql> create index idx_keywords on Patient_Diagnosis_Records(Keywords);
Query OK, 0 rows affected (0.61 sec)
Records: 0 Duplicates: 0 Warnings: 0

|-> Sort: NumRecords DESC (actual time=23..23 rows=21 loops=1)
-> Filter: ("count(0)" >= 2) (actual time=22.9..22.9 rows=21 loops=1)
-> Table scan on <emporary (actual time=22.9..22.9 rows=25 loops=1)
-> Negregate using temporary table (actual time=22.9..22.9 rows=25 loops=1)
-> Negregate using temporary table (actual time=22.9..22.9 rows=25 loops=1)
-> Negregate loop inner join (coat-698 rows=738) (actual time=1.37...22.8 rows=220 loops=1)
```

Table scan on Patient Diagnosis Records (cost=40 rows=35:6) (actual time=0.0313).1.55 rows=38:4 loops=1)

-> Single=row index lookup on Patient Diagnosis Records using RFMRAY (RecordD=Patient Diagnosis Records, RecordID) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=0.00188..0.0019) r

Performance after index on Patient_Diagnosis_Records(MedicalTranscription(100))

```
mysql> CREATE INDEX idx_medicaltranscription_partial ON Patient_Diagnosis_Records(MedicalTranscription(100));
Query OK, 0 rows affected (0.13 sec)
Records: 0 Duplicates: 0 Warnings: 0

|-> Sort: NumRecords DBSC (actual time-23.1.,23.1 rows-21 loops-1)
|-> Filter: ('count(0)' > 2) (actual time-23.2.3 rows-22 loops-1)
|-> Table scan on ctemporary (actual time-23.2.3 rows-25 loops-1)
|-> Negregate using temporary table (actual time-23.2.23 rows-25 loops-1)
|-> Possible scan on faction (cost-699 rows-738) (actual time-1.56..22.9 rows-220 loops-1)
|-> Filter: ((Fatient_Diagnosis_Records.MedicalTranscription like 'MfeverN') or (Fatient_Diagnosis_Records.Keywords like 'MfeverN') (cost-440 rows-738) (actual time-1.53..22.3 rows-220 loops-1)
|-> Table scan on Fatient_Diagnosis_Records (cost-440 rows-3516) (actual time-0.0314...1.6 rows-3814 loops-1)
|-> Single-row index lookup on Fatient_Diagnosis_Records using FRIMARY (RecordID-Patient_Diagnosis_Records.RecordID) (cost-0.25 rows-1) (actual time-0.00221..0.00224 rows-100ps-220)
```

From the screenshots, you can see that we added indexing on DoctorSpecialty, Keywords, MedicalTranscription and see that the cost does not improve. This is because the query also uses a pattern like LIKE '%fever%', which starts with a %. MySQL cannot index efficiently because it has to check every row to see if the keyword appears anywhere in the text, essentially making the index redundant. Because none of the schemes are improving our performance, we will be considering the default scheme.

3) Indexing Query 3

Performance without indexing:

```
| -> Sort: MatchCount DESC (actual time=166..166 rows=10 loops=1)
    -> Stream results (actual time=165..166 rows=10 loops=1)
    -> Group aggregate: count (distinct Patient_Diagnosis_Records.RecordID), count(0) (actual time=165..166 rows=10 loops=1)
    -> Fort: K.Keyword (actual time=165..165 rows=2103 loops=1)
    -> Stream results (cost=3608 rows=3906) (actual time=0.132..164 rows=2103 loops=1)
    -> Filter: (pdr.Keywords like concat('*y.k.Keyword,'*)) (cost=3608 rows=3906) (actual time=0.133..164 rows=2103 loops=1)
    -> Inner hash join (no condition) (cost=3608 rows=3906) (actual time=0.0533..4.02 rows=38140 loops=1)
    -> Table scan on pdr (cost=12.7 rows=3516) (actual time=0.0284..1.61 rows=3814 loops=1)
    -> Hash
    -> Table scan on k (cost=12.6..3.62 rows=10) (actual time=0.0137..0.015 rows=10 loops=1)
    -> Rows fetched before execution (cost=0.0 rows=1) (actual time=06e-6..90e-6 rows=1 loops=1)
    -> Rows fetched before execution (cost=0.0 rows=1) (actual time=06e-6..90e-6 rows=1 loops=1)
    -> Rows fetched before execution (cost=0.0 rows=1) (actual time=60e-6..90e-6 rows=1 loops=1)
    -> Rows fetched before execution (cost=0.0 rows=1) (actual time=60e-6..90e-6 rows=1 loops=1)
    -> Rows fetched before execution (cost=0.0 rows=1) (actual time=60e-6..90e-6 rows=1 loops=1)
    -> Rows fetched before execution (cost=0.0 rows=1) (actual time=60e-6..90e-6 rows=1 loops=1)
    -> Rows fetched before execution (cost=0.0 rows=1) (actual time=60e-6..90e-6 rows=1 loops=1)
    -> Rows fetched before execution (cost=0.0 rows=1) (actual time=60e-6..90e-6 rows=1 loops=1)
    -> Rows fetched before execution (cost=0.0 rows=1) (actual time=60e-6..90e-6 rows=1 loops=1)
    -> Rows fetched before execution (cost=0.0 rows=1) (actual time=60e-6..90e-6 rows=1 loops=1)
    -> Rows fetched before execution (cost=0.0 rows=1) (actual time=60e-6..90e-6 rows=1 loops=1)
    -> Rows fetched before execution (cost=0.0 rows=1) (actual time=60e-6..90e-6 rows=1 loops=1)
    -> Rows fetched before execution (cost=0.0 rows
```

Performance with index on Patient_Diagnosis_Records(Keywords(100)) - first 100 characters.

Performance with index on Patient_Diagnosis_Records(Keywords(255)) - first 255 characters.

mysql> CREATE INDEX idx keywords 255 ON Patient Diagnosis Records(Keywords(255));

Performance with index on Patient Diagnosis Records(Keywords) – all characters.

```
mysql> create index keyword on Patient_Diagnosis_Records(Keywords);
Query OK, 0 rows affected (0.61 sec)
Records: 0 Duplicates: 0 Warnings: 0
```

From the screenshots, you can see that we added indexing on Keywords for 3 different sizes and we see that the cost does not improve. This is because the query also uses a pattern like CONCAT LIKE, which starts with a %. MySQL cannot index efficiently because it has to check every row to see if the keyword appears anywhere in the text, essentially making the index redundant. Additionally, the format of the keywords data makes indexing difficult as there are not many shared values between records. As such even different sizes of Keywords were not able to reduce cost. Because none of the schemes are improving our performance, we will be considering the default scheme.

4) Indexing Query 4:

Performance without indexing:

Performance with index on Question Answer Symptoms(FocusArea)

```
mysql> CREATE INDEX idx_focusarea ON Question_Answer_Symptoms(FocusArea);
Query OK, 0 rows affected (0.19 sec)
Records: 0 Duplicates: 0 Warnings: 0

|-> Sort: NumQuestions DBSC (actual time=26.3.26.6 rows=250 loops=1)
-> Filter: (*count(0)* > 1) (actual time=26.3.26.7 rows=250 loops=1)
-> Table scan on <a href="text-align: certain time=26.3.26.7">text-align: certain time=26.3.26.6 rows=250 loops=1)
-> Table scan on <a href="text-align: certain time=26.3.26.7">text-align: certain time=26.3.26.7 rows=250 loops=1)
-> Filter: (*count(0)* > 1) (actual time=23.9.22.7 rows=2505 loops=1)
-> Table scan on (temporary calculat time=23.9.22.7 rows=4743 loops=1)
-> Filter: ((trim(Question_Answer_Symptoms.FocusArea) is not null) and (trim(Question_Answer_Symptoms.FocusArea) <> ''')) (cost=1807 rows=15587) (actual time=0.0428..10.2 rows=16399 loops=1)
-> Table scan on Question_Answer_Symptoms (cost=1807 rows=15587) (actual time=0.0379..6.04 rows=16399 loops=1)
```

Performance with index on Question_Answer_Symptoms(Question(100))

Performance with composite index on Question_Answer_Symptoms(FocusArea, Question(100))

In the screenshots, we have indexed our query on FocusArea, Question, and the pair of FocusArea and Question and see that the performance of the query does not improve. This is because the TRIM function is used across different places in the query. This means that even if we index FocusArea, the TRIM usage in many parts of the query disables its effect. However, we could optimize this a little if we are able to trim the focus area in some data preprocessing, which we will do in the next stage while building the application. Because none of the schemes are improving our performance, we will be considering the default scheme for now.