

Homework 4

Deadline: February 26; 2026, 11:59pm ET

Instructions

- The solutions must be submitted via Canvas.
- You must typeset your solutions. We suggest using LaTeX or Typst.
- This homework includes a bonus question for practice. It will not be graded, even if submitted.

Problems

- (10 points) Prove unconditionally¹ the existence of a PRF family $\{F_k\}_{k \in \{0,1\}^\lambda}$, where for each $k \in \{0,1\}^\lambda$, $F_k : \{0,1\}^{\lceil \log \lambda \rceil} \rightarrow \{0,1\}$.
- (30 points) Let $\{F_k : \{0,1\}^\lambda \rightarrow \{0,1\}^\lambda\}_{k \in \{0,1\}^\lambda}$ be a family of PRFs. Discuss whether each $F'_k : \{0,1\}^{\lambda-1} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{2\lambda}$ defined below is a PRF. If F' is a PRF, prove it via reduction. When F' is not a PRF, describe an efficient adversary that successfully attacks the PRF.

(a) $F'_k(x) := F_k(0\|x)\|F_k(1\|x)$.

(b) $F'_k(x) := F_k(0\|x)\|F_k(x\|1)$.

- (30 points) Assuming the existence of PRFs, construct an encryption scheme that is multi-message secure but not CPA-secure.

You may design an encryption scheme specifically to fail under CPA attacks; it does not need to be “natural.”

Hint: Compared to the multi-message security game, in the CPA game the adversary can obtain encryptions of messages of its choice even *after* seeing the challenge ciphertext. Can you embed extra information in the ciphertext that becomes useful only when the adversary has this additional power?

- (30 points) Let λ be the security parameter and let $\ell := \ell(\lambda)$ be a polynomially bounded integer. Let $\Pi_1 = (\text{Enc}_1, \text{Dec}_1)$ and $\Pi_2 = (\text{Enc}_2, \text{Dec}_2)$ be two encryption schemes with message space $\{0,1\}^\ell$. It is known that at least one among Π_1 and Π_2 is CPA-secure, but we don't know which one. Show how to construct an encryption scheme Π with message space $\{0,1\}^\ell$ such that is guaranteed to be CPA-secure as long as at least one of Π_1 or Π_2 is CPA-secure. Provide a full proof of your solution.

Bonus Question: Let $\Pi = (\text{KGen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$ be an encryption scheme with key space $\{\mathcal{K}_\lambda\}_\lambda$ and message space $\{\mathcal{M}_\lambda\}_\lambda$.² Assume that for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist at least two messages in \mathcal{M}_λ and that there exists an efficient algorithm to sample messages uniformly at random from \mathcal{M}_λ . In this question, you will show that if Π is CPA-secure then it is computationally infeasible for an adversary to make an encryptor generate the same ciphertext twice.

Let $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}_\lambda$ and $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_\lambda$ for brevity. We define the following game: The challenger samples $k \leftarrow \$ \mathcal{K}$ uniformly at random and the adversary makes a series of queries; the i -th query is a message m_i , to which the challenger responds with $c_i \leftarrow \text{Enc}(k, m_i)$. The adversary wins the game if any two c_i 's are the same.

Show that if Π is CPA-secure then every non-uniform PPT adversary wins this game with at most negligible probability.

¹Your proof should *not* rely on any computational assumptions like the existence of a PRG or PRF.

² $\{\mathcal{K}_\lambda\}_\lambda$ and $\{\mathcal{M}_\lambda\}_\lambda$ denote a sequence of sets such that when Π is used with a particular value of $\lambda = \lambda'$, the key space is $\mathcal{K}_{\lambda'}$ and the message space is $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda'}$.