

## Problem 1

a)

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{f \in \text{domain}(s')}{\langle f, s' \rangle \Downarrow_e \langle n_1, s' \rangle} \quad \frac{c \in \text{domain}(s')}{\langle c, s' \rangle \Downarrow_e \langle n_2, s' \rangle} \\
 \hline
 \langle f \times c, s' \rangle \Downarrow_e \langle n_1 \times n_2, s' \rangle \\
 \hline
 \langle f := f \times c, s' \rangle \Downarrow_c \langle s'[f \rightarrow n_1 \times n_2] \rangle
 \end{array}$$

b)

i)

x is assigned the value of E\_1.

When x is less than E\_2 or equal to, the command C gets executed.

Then the command is repeated with E1 set to the value of x+1, until x is greater than E\_2.

The for loop can become infinite when we have the same variable x in E\_2, e.g.

```
for x from 1 to x do skip
```

This command will never be able to terminate.

ii)

This part is omitted, but note that the tree is pretty big, and error-prone to draw.

Final value of f = 6 and c = 4

c)

i)

**Incorrect:**

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \langle E_1, s \rangle \rightarrow \langle E_1', s' \rangle \\
 \hline
 \langle \text{for } x \text{ from } E_1 \text{ to } E_2 \text{ do } C, s \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{for } x \text{ from } E_1' \text{ to } E_2 \text{ do } C, s' \rangle \\
 \hline
 \langle E_2, s \rangle \rightarrow \langle E_2', s' \rangle \\
 \hline
 \langle \text{for } x \text{ from } n_1 \text{ to } E_2 \text{ do } C, s \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{for } x \text{ from } n_1 \text{ to } E_2' \text{ do } C, s' \rangle \\
 \hline
 \langle n_1 \leq n_2, s \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{true}, s \rangle \\
 \hline
 \langle \text{for } x \text{ from } n_1 \text{ to } n_2 \text{ do } C, s \rangle \rightarrow \langle x := n_1; C; \text{for } x \text{ from } x+1 \text{ to } n_2 \text{ do } C, s \rangle \\
 \hline
 \langle n_1 \leq n_2, s \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{false}, s \rangle \\
 \hline
 \langle \text{for } x \text{ from } n_1 \text{ to } n_2 \text{ do } C, s \rangle \rightarrow \langle s \rangle \\
 \hline
 \rangle
 \end{array}$$

See slide 18-19 of Lecture 2, the proper way is to unfold.

Slide 18

### Incorrect Semantics for while

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \text{(W-WHILE?) } \frac{\langle B, s \rangle \rightarrow_b \langle B', s' \rangle}{\langle \text{while } B \text{ do } C, s \rangle \rightarrow_c \langle \text{while } B' \text{ do } C, s' \rangle} \\
 \text{(W-WHILE?) } \frac{}{\langle \text{while false do } C, s \rangle \rightarrow_c \langle \text{skip}, s \rangle} \\
 \text{(W-WHILE?) } \frac{}{\langle \text{while true do } C, s \rangle \rightarrow_c \langle ? \rangle}
 \end{array}$$

Slide 19

### Correct Semantics for while

$$\text{(W-WHILE) } \frac{}{\langle \text{while } B \text{ do } C, s \rangle \rightarrow_c \langle \text{if } B \text{ then } (C; \text{while } B \text{ do } C) \text{ else skip}, s \rangle}$$

All this rule does is 'unfold' the while loop once. If we could write down the infinite unfolding, there would be no need for the `while` syntax.

Alternative solution, similar to definition of while:

<for x from E1 to E2 do C, s> ->

<x := E1; if x > E2 skip else (C; for x from x+1 to E2 do C), s>

ii)

This part is omitted.

Would we have to write <skip; C, s> -> <C, s> for everything? Makes it quite a bit longer

## Problem 2

a)

### Computable functions

**Definition.** The partial function  $f \in \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is **(register machine) computable** if there is a register machine  $M$  with at least  $n + 1$  registers  $R_0, R_1, \dots, R_n$  (and maybe more) such that for all  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  and all  $y \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

the computation of  $M$  starting with  $R_0 = 0, R_1 = x_1, \dots, R_n = x_n$  and all other registers set to 0, halts with  $R_0 = y$

if and only if  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = y$ .

i)

ii)

L\_0: R\_1 ← L\_1, L\_1

L\_1: HALT

iii)

The input is a number  $n$ . The output is  $n = \langle x, y \rangle = 2^x(2y + 1) - 1$  where  $R_0 = y$

The first instruction means the remaining problem is  $n+1 = \langle \langle x, y \rangle \rangle = 2^x(2y+1)$ .

Also  $R_3 = x$

b)

i and ii are pretty standard

If anyone has an answer to this that would be great =)

b) i)  $\text{Second}(\text{pair } m \ n) \rightarrow n.$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Second}(\text{pair } m \ n) &= \lambda p. p(\lambda x. \lambda y. y) \text{ pair } m \ n \\ &= \text{pair } m \ n (\lambda x. \lambda y. y) \\ &= (\lambda x \lambda y \lambda f. f x y) \ m \ n (\lambda x. \lambda y. y) \\ &= \lambda x. \lambda y. y \ m \ n \\ &= n \equiv \text{RHS} // (\text{SHOWING}) \end{aligned}$$

ii) IF  $\text{Succ}(n) \rightarrow n+1$

$$\text{Shift-Inc} \triangleq \lambda x. \text{pair}(\text{Second } x) (\text{Succ}(\text{Second } x))$$

SHOW

$$\text{Shift-Inc}(\text{pair } m \ n) = (\text{pair } n \ n+1)$$

LHS:  $\text{Shift-Inc}(\text{pair } m \ n)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \lambda x (\text{pair}(\text{Second } x) (\text{Succ}(\text{Second } x))) (\text{pair } m \ n) \\ &= (\text{pair}(\text{Second}(\text{pair } m \ n)) (\text{Succ}(\text{Second}(\text{pair } m \ n)))) \\ &\quad \text{From b) i), replace both Second(pair } m \ n) \text{ with } n. \\ &= (\text{pair } n) (\text{Succ } n) \\ &= \text{pair } n \ \text{succ } n. \\ &= \text{pair } n \ n+1 = \text{RHS} // (\text{SHOWING}) \end{aligned}$$

iii)  $\lambda p. \text{first}(p \ \text{shift-inc} \ (\text{pair } 0 \ 0))$

$p$  will be of the form  $\lambda f. \lambda x. f^n x$  (which represents  $n$ )

$\text{shift-inc}$  is passed in as  $f$

$\text{pair } 0 \ 0$  is passed in as  $x$

$\text{shift-inc}$  is therefore applied  $n$  times to  $\text{pair } 0 \ 0$  which leaves  $\text{pair } n-1 \ n$

$\text{first}(\text{pair } n-1 \ n)$  reduces to  $n-1$