

$f(n) = O(g(n)) \iff \exists c, n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \forall n \geq n_0 f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$
 $f(n) = \Theta(g(n)) \iff f(n) = O(g(n)) \wedge g(n) = O(f(n))$

Cool-Karp Thesis: Tractable = polynomial time - P

Turing machine:

- $M(x) \downarrow$ means $M(x)$ halts eventually
- $M(x) \downarrow q$ means $M(x)$ halts eventually in state q
- $M(x) \uparrow$ means $M(x)$ never halts

Language L: set of strings over a given alphabet Σ .

Recursive L: Let M a DTM, M decides L if:

- $w \in L \Rightarrow M(x) \downarrow \text{yes}$
- $w \notin L \Rightarrow M(x) \downarrow \text{no}$

$\exists M \Rightarrow L$ recursive

r.e L: as above but $w \notin L \Rightarrow M(x) \uparrow$

M accepts L and L is recursively enumerable (r.e.)

L recursive $\Rightarrow L$ r.e.

Complementary L: $\bar{L} = \Sigma - L$. L co-r.e. $\iff \bar{L}$ r.e.

L r.e. and co-r.e. $\Rightarrow L$ decidable by a 2-tape TM

Time bounds: DTM M operates within time $f(n)$ if $\forall w, M(w)$ terminates in $\leq f(w)$ steps.

L decided by multi-tape DTM operating within $f(n) \Rightarrow L \in \text{TIME}(f(n))$

Multi Tape DTM: given any k -tape DTM M operating in $f(n)$, can construct M' operating in $O(f(n^2))$ s.t. $M \equiv M'$

Church's Thesis: Effective = DTM-computable

Invariance Thesis: All reasonable sequential models of computation have same time complexity as DTMs up to a polynomial.

$\mathbf{P} = \bigcup_k \text{TIME}(n^k)$ (independent of choice of model by IT).

NDTM: degree of non-det = max possible branching.

NDTM M accepts w if M can reach the accepting state *yes* on some computation on input w

M accepts L iff $L = \{w \in (\Sigma - \{B\})^* : M \text{ accepts } w\}$

M operates within time $f(n)$ if $\forall w, M(w)$ has depth $\leq f(|w|)$

M decides L within time $f(n)$ if:

- M operates within $f(n)$
- M decides L

Simulating NDTM: L decided by NDTM M in time $f(n) \Rightarrow$ decided by DTM M' in time $O(c^{f(n)})$. $c > 1$ const $\Rightarrow \text{NTIME}(f(n)) \subseteq \bigcup_{c>1} \text{TIME}(c^{f(n)})$

$\mathbf{NP} = \bigcup_k \text{NTIME}(n^k)$, where $L \in \text{NTIME } f(n)$ iff L is decided by some (ND)TM operating within time $f(n)$

NDTM can be simulated by DTM $\Rightarrow L$ r.e iff L accepted by some DTM iff L accepted by some NDTM

Halting problem: $H = \{M; x : M(x) \downarrow\}$ is undecidable.

Proper function: $f(n)$ is proper iff

- f non-decreasing
- $\exists k$ -tape I/O TM, for input $x, |x| = n$, outputs $f(n)1s$ and operates in time $O(n + f(n))$ and space $O(f(n))$

Let $H_f = \{M; x : M \text{ accepts } x \text{ after } \leq f(n) \text{ steps}\}$

$H_f \in \text{TIME}(f(n)^3)$, $H_f \notin \text{TIME}(f(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)) \Rightarrow$

THT: $\text{TIME}(f(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)) \subsetneq \text{TIME}(f(n)^3) \Rightarrow \text{TIME}(f(n)) \subsetneq \text{TIME}(f(2n+1)^3) \Rightarrow P \subsetneq \text{EXP} := \bigcup_k \text{TIME}(2^{n^k})$

Balanced relations: $R \subseteq \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^*$ is polynomially balanced

if $\exists k \geq 1$ s.t. $(x, y) \in R \Rightarrow |y| \leq |x|^k$

$L \subseteq \Sigma^*, L \in \text{NP}$ iff \exists poly decidable, poly balanced relation R s.t. $L = \{x : (x, y) \in R \text{ for some } y\}$.

Reduction: $L_1 \leq_{\text{Karp}} L_2$ iff $\exists f \in P : x \in L_1 \text{ iff } f(x) \in L_2$

$L_1 \leq_{\text{Cook}} L_2$ iff in $x \in ? L_1$ we use a p-time algorithm that queries an oracle about $y \in ? L_2$ polynomially many times.

$L_1 \leq_K L_2, L_2 \in P/\text{NP} \Rightarrow L_1 \in P/\text{NP}$. \leq transitive

NP-hard: $\forall L' \in \text{NP}, L' \leq L \Rightarrow L \in \text{NP-hard}$

$L \in \text{NP-hard} \wedge L \in \text{NP} \Rightarrow L \in \text{NP-complete (NPC)}$

$L' \in \text{NPC} \wedge L \in \text{NP} \leq L' \Rightarrow L \in \text{NPC}$

NPI: $D \in \text{NP} \wedge D \notin \text{P}, \text{NPC} \Rightarrow D \in \text{NP-intermediate}$

$\text{P} \neq \text{NP} \iff \exists D \in \text{NPI}$

Strongly NPC: if $D \in \text{NPC}$ even when all parameters bounded by a polynomial in the length of the input

Approximation algorithm: for a min problem is k -optimal if it guarantees a solution not worse than $k \times$ optimal solution. TSP inapproximable but $\text{MTSP } d(A, C) \leq d(A, B) + d(B, C)$ is

Vertex cover: $U \subseteq \text{nodes}(G) : \forall (u, v) \in \text{edges}(G), u \in U \vee v \in U$
 $\text{VC}(D)$: Given G and $k, \exists U$ s.t. $|U| \leq k$ vertex cover? $\in \text{NPC}$

I/O k-tape TM: read only input tape, $k - 2$ work tapes, write only output tape ($k \geq 2$). Operates within $f(n)$ space if on every input size $|n|$ uses $\leq f(n)$ squares of each work tape

$L \in (\text{N})\text{PSPACE}(f(n))$ if L decided by I/O (N)DTM operating within space $f(n)$

$(\text{N})\text{LOGSPACE} = (\text{N})\text{SPACE}(\log(n)) := \text{NL}$

$(\text{N})\text{PSPACE} = \bigcup_k (\text{N})\text{SPACE}(n^k)$

$\text{TIME}(f(n)) \subseteq \text{SPACE}(f(n))$

For proper f:

1. $(\text{N})\text{TIME}(f(n)) \subseteq \text{SPACE}(f(n)) \Rightarrow \text{NP} \subseteq \text{PSPACE}$

2. $\text{NSPACE}(f(n)) \subseteq \text{TIME}(k^{\log(n)+f(n)}) \Rightarrow \text{NL} \subseteq \text{P}$

3. $f(n) \geq \log(n) : \text{NSPACE}(f(n)) \subseteq \text{SPACE}(f^2(n))$

(3) letting $f(n) = p(n) \Rightarrow \text{NPSPACE} = \text{PSPACE} (\supseteq \text{trivial})$

Savitch's Theorem: $\text{RCH} \in \text{SPACE}(\log^2(n))$ - used in (3) above

Hierarchy thm: $f = o(g) \Rightarrow \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N : \forall n \geq N, f(n) \leq \epsilon g(n)$
 f, g proper $f = o(g) \Rightarrow (\text{N})\text{SPACE}(f(n)) \subsetneq (\text{N})\text{SPACE}(g(n))$

$\Rightarrow \text{NL} \subsetneq \text{NPSPACE} = \text{PSPACE}$ (let $f(n) = \log n$ above)

Space summary: $\text{L} \subseteq \text{NL} \subseteq \text{P} \subseteq \text{NP} \subseteq \text{PSPACE}$ (all \subseteq conjectured \subsetneq + know $\text{NL} \subsetneq \text{PSPACE}$ so one has to be proper)

Complementary Problem: \bar{P} to P: $\forall x, P(x) \iff \neg \bar{P}(x)$

Language $L \subseteq \Sigma^* \Rightarrow \bar{L} = \Sigma^* - L$. $\bar{L} \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow L \in \text{co-}\mathcal{C}$

$\text{co-TIME/SPACE}(f(n)) = \text{TIME/SPACE}(f(n))$ (swap yes/no)

$L \leq L' \Rightarrow \bar{L} \leq \bar{L}'$ and $L' \in \text{co-NP} \Rightarrow L \in \text{co-NP}$

Believed $\text{co-NP} \neq \text{NP} \equiv \text{NPC} \cap \text{co-NP} = \emptyset \Rightarrow P \neq \text{NP}$

$f(n) \geq \log(n) : \text{co-NSPACE}(f(n)) = \text{NSPACE}(f(n)) \Rightarrow \text{co-NL} = \text{NL}$

SI Thm: directed $G, x \in G, |N(x)| \in \text{NL}$ (# nodes rch. from x)
 \exists NDTM $M : M$ identifies $N(x)$ - is a successful comp examining all $y \in G$, indicating whether $y \in N(x)$ (last step of prf above)

Logspace red: $L \leq_{\log} L'$ iff $\exists f \in \text{LOGSPACE} : x \in L \text{ iff } f(x) \in L'$
 $\leq_{\text{karp}} : L, L' \in P \Rightarrow L \sim L'$, but meaningful PC and NLC by \leq_{\log}
 RCH is NLC (map L to RCH on configuration graph of NDTM)

$\exists x_1 \forall x_2 \dots \phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = tt := \text{QBF} \in \text{PSPACE-complete}$

Oracle Machine: $M^{M'}$ in deciding L uses an oracle M'

$P^L := \{L' : L' \text{ decidable in p-time using an oracle for } L\}$

$\text{NP}, \text{co-NP} \subseteq P^{\text{NP}} \subseteq \text{PSPACE}$

Polynomial Hierarchy: $\Delta_0^P = \Sigma_0^P = \Pi_0^P = P$

$\Delta_{n+1}^P = P^{\Sigma_n^P}, \Sigma_{n+1}^P = \text{NP}^{\Sigma_n^P}, \Pi_{n+1}^P = \text{co-NP}^{\Sigma_n^P}$

P-oracle pointless $\Rightarrow \Delta_1^P = P, \Sigma_1^P = \text{NP}, \Pi_1^P = \text{co-NP}$

$\Sigma_n^P \subseteq \Delta_{n+1}^P \subseteq \Sigma_{n+1}^P, \Pi_n^P \subseteq \Delta_{n+1}^P \subseteq \Pi_{n+1}^P$

Logical characterisation: $L \in \Sigma_i^P$ ($i \geq 1$) iff \exists p -balanced

$R : L = \{x : \exists y R(x, y)\}$ and $\{x; y : (x, y) \in R\} \in \prod_{i=1}^P$

p -balanced: $R(x, y_1, \dots, y_i) \Rightarrow |y_1|, \dots, |y_i| \leq |x|^k$ for some k

$\equiv L \in \Sigma_i^P$ iff $\exists R$ p -balanced, p -decidable:

$L = \{x : \exists y_1 \forall y_2 \dots R(x, y_1, \dots, y_i)\}$ (\forall for i even, \exists for i odd)

$\exists \vec{x}_1 \forall \vec{x}_2 \dots \phi(\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n) = tt := \text{QBF}_n \in \Sigma_n^P\text{-complete}$

$\forall P \in \text{PH}, P \leq \text{QBF}_n \leq \text{QBF} \in \text{PSPACE} \Rightarrow \text{PH} \subseteq \text{PSPACE}$

Parallel algo: p-time + poly processors \Rightarrow p-time sequential algo
Addition parallelised with knockout $\Rightarrow O(\log(n))$

Brent's Principle: W work and parallel time $T \Rightarrow \frac{W}{T}$ processors

Boolean Circuits: size = |gates|, depth = length of longest path
Made up of: tt, ff, \neg, \wedge, \vee

CV: given a variable-free circuit $(x_i \rightarrow \text{tt/ff})$, determine its output

Poly Circuits: $L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ has PCs if $\exists \mathcal{C} = \{C_n : n = 0, 1, \dots\}$:

1. $\exists p$ poly: $\forall n, |C_n| \leq p(n)$

2. $\forall x \in \{0, 1\}^n, x \in L \iff C_n(x) = tt$

$L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^* \in P \Rightarrow L$ has PCs ($\forall L \in P, L \leq_{\log} \text{CV} - \text{CV} \in P\text{-c}$)

Converse is not true (undecidable L can have PCs)

Uniform circuits: C_n uniform if \exists log-space bounded TM which given input 1^n returns the code of C_n (avoids cases like above)

$L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^* \in P \iff L$ has uniform PCs ($\forall L \in P, L \leq_{\log} \text{CV} - \text{CV} \in P\text{-c}$)

$P \neq \text{NP} \Rightarrow \text{NPC problems do not have uniform poly circuits}$

P/poly: suppose DTM M has extra read-only input $A(n)$
 M decides L with advice $A(n)$ if:

- $x \in L \Rightarrow M(x, A(|x|)) \downarrow \text{yes}$
- $x \notin L \Rightarrow M(x, A(|x|)) \downarrow \text{no}$

$L \in \text{P/poly}$ if decided by such a DTM in p-time and $\forall n, |A(n)| \leq \text{some poly } p(n)$

$L \in \text{P/poly}$ iff L has polynomial circuits $\Rightarrow P \subseteq \text{P/poly}$

$\text{depth}(\mathcal{C}_n) \leq f(n) \Rightarrow \text{parallel time (PT) of } \mathcal{C}_n \leq f(n)$

$\text{size}(\mathcal{C}_n) \leq g(n) \Rightarrow \text{total work (TW) of } \mathcal{C}_n \leq g(n)$

PT/WK($f(n), g(n)$) $\ni L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ iff \exists uniform \mathcal{C} deciding L with $O(f(n))$ PT and $O(g(n))$ TW

NC := $\bigcup_k \text{PT/WK}(\log^k n, n^k)$

NC_j := $\bigcup_k \text{PT/WK}(\log^j n, n^k)$

$\text{NC} \subseteq \text{P}$, just map poly circuit to CV, $\text{NL} \subseteq \text{NC}_2$

$L \in \text{NC}$, $L' \leq_{\log} L \Rightarrow L' \in \text{NC}$ (NC closed under reduction)

$\text{NC} \neq \text{P} \Rightarrow [L \in \text{P-complete} \Rightarrow L \notin \text{NC}]$ (P-c cannot be efficiently parallelised)

$\text{NC}_1 \subseteq \text{NC}_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \text{NC}$, collapses if $\text{NC-complete} \neq \emptyset$

$f, g \in \text{LOGSPACE} \Rightarrow f \circ g \in \text{LOGSPACE}$

Borodin's Theorem: $\text{NC}_1 \subseteq \text{LOGSPACE}$

$\text{NC}_1 \subseteq \text{L} \subseteq \text{NL} \subseteq \text{NC}_2 \Rightarrow \text{PCT: PT poly related to seq space}$

Primes: if n composite, its factors serve as *witnesses*

$\text{PRIME} \in \text{NP}$: guess $r < p$, check r primitive root (p-time):

- $r^{p-1} = 1 \pmod{p}$
- $\forall q : q \text{ prime}, q|(p-1), r^{\frac{p-1}{q}} \neq 1 \pmod{p}$

p prime iff $\exists r$ so s is a witness to p 's primality

+ have to check qs are prime, but procedure is p-time

Fermat witness: p prime $\Rightarrow \forall 0 < a < p, a^{p-1} = 1 \pmod{p}$

$\exists 0 < a < n : a^{n-1} \neq 1 \pmod{n} \Rightarrow n$ comp (a fermat witness)

Probabilistic computation: pick a at random, n composite iff a fermat witness (FW)

Carmichael number: composite $c > 0 : \forall a$ FW of c , $\text{coprime}(a, c)$ - no easier to guess a FW than factor

Riemann witness (RW): $n = 1 + m \cdot 2^k, a < n, a^m \neq 1 \pmod{n} \wedge \forall i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1, a^{m \cdot 2^i} \neq -1 \pmod{n} \Rightarrow n$ comp
 n composite $\Rightarrow n$ has $\geq \frac{3n}{4}$ witnesses (F or R)

Rabin's primality algo: pick $0 < a < n$ if a witness \Rightarrow composite, else *probably* prime - iterate to improve

$\text{PRIME} \in \text{P}$, not known if $\in \text{P-complete}$ or NC

Monte Carlo algorithm: for language L , probabilistic s.t:

- $x \notin L$ returns *no*
- $x \in L$ returns *yes* with probability $> \frac{1}{2}$

Precise: (ND)TM M is precise if $\exists f(n) : M$ takes exactly $f(n)$ steps on input of length n . M decides L in time $f(n) \Rightarrow \exists$ NDTM M' precise, $D = 2$, M' decides L in time $O(f(n))$

MC TM: p-time precise NDTM $M_{D=2}$, s.t $\forall x, |x| = n$:

- $x \notin L \Rightarrow$ all computations fail
- $x \in L \Rightarrow > \varepsilon$ of $2^{p(n)}$ computations (leaves) succeed

ε usually = $\frac{1}{2}$, can be $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ - iterate m times to improve: $x \notin L$ we always reject, $x \in L$ have $\varepsilon^m \rightarrow 0$ chance of error

RP: $L \in \text{RP}$ iff L has p-time MCTM. $\text{P} \subseteq \text{RP} \subseteq \text{NP}$

ZPP = $\text{RP} \cap \text{co-RP}$ ($L \in \text{ZPP}$ has a Las-Vegas algorithm)

$\text{PRIME} \in \text{RP}$, ZPP (and actually $\in \text{P}$)

BPP: $L \in \text{BPP}$ if \exists NDTM M :

- $x \in L \Rightarrow > \delta$ of computations (leaves) succeed
- $x \notin L \Rightarrow > \delta$ of computations (leaves) fail

δ usually = $\frac{3}{4}$, can be $\frac{1}{2} < \delta \leq 1$ - iterate m times to improve

$\text{RP} \subseteq \text{BPP} = \text{co-BPP} \subseteq \Sigma_2^P \cap \prod_2^P$

Function problem: e.g. FSAT: find satisfying assignment

$L \in \text{NP}$ iff $L = \{x : \exists y R_L(x, y)\}$ R_L p-decidable, p-balanced

$L \rightarrow \text{FL}$: given x find $y : R_L(x, y)$ - return *no* if $\nexists y$

FNP: all such problems

FP: $\text{FL} \in \text{FNP}$ solvable in p-time, $\text{FNP} = \text{FP}$ iff $\text{P} = \text{NP}$

TFNP $\ni \text{R}$ if $\forall x \exists y : (x, y) \in R$ (R total: know \exists solution)

$\text{FP}^{\text{NP}} =$ function problems in FP with help of NPC oracle

$\text{TSP} \in \text{FP}^{\text{NP}}$ -complete

Cryptography: Encoding algorithm E , Encoding key e , Plain text x , Ciphertext $y = E(e, x)$, $x = D(d, y)$

One time pad: $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^*, d = e$ arbitrary string $|e| = |d| = |x|$, $E(e, x) = x \oplus e, D(d, y) = d \oplus y = d \oplus E(e, x)$

Public key: d private to receiver, e public: $D(d, E(e, x)) = x$

Should be infeasible to deduce d and x without knowing d

Not unbreakable, guess x , check $E(e, x) = y$ ($\in \text{FNP}$, $|x| \leq |y|^k$)

PK only if $\text{FP} \neq \text{FNP} \equiv \text{P} \neq \text{NP}$, even yet need poly f that is *difficult* to invert

One way function: $f : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$

- f 1-1 and $\forall x \exists k : |x|^{\frac{1}{k}} \leq |f(x)| \leq |x|^k$
- $f \in \text{FP}$ and $f^{-1} \notin \text{FP}$

Candidates:

- $f_{\text{MULT}}(p, q)$ check p, q prime in p-time, if not ret input (1-1)
- $f_{\text{EXP}}(p, \vec{q}, r, x) = (p, \vec{q}, r^x \pmod{p})$ check p prime in p-time, r primitive root of p (r needs \vec{q} , not believed findable in p-time)

Both have no known p-time inversion algorithms

Coprime: m, n if $\text{HCF} = 1$

Let p, q prime, $\text{coprime}(e, \phi(pq))$, $\phi(pq) = pq - p - q + 1$, $x < pq$

(Euler $\phi(n) = \#m : 1 \leq m < n, \text{HCF}(m, n) = 1$)

$f_{\text{RSA}}(x, e, p, q) = (x^e \pmod{pq}, pq, e)$, ret input if any *lets* broken

N.B: reveals e, pq but not p, q or $\phi(pq)$

RSA PK: public: pq, e , private: pq, d (e.g. e v large prime)

$\text{coprime}(a, n) \Rightarrow a^{\phi(n)} = 1 \pmod{n}$

Trapdoor f : one-way f :

- can efficiently sample domain
- there is a poly function d of the input that trivialises the inverse problem

f_{RSA} : easy to find primes, with $d = e^{-1} \pmod{\phi(pq)}$ easy to invert

UP: *unambiguous* NDTMS ($\forall x \exists \leq 1$ accepting computation)

$\text{P} \subseteq \text{UP} \subseteq \text{NP}$, one-way f iff $\text{P} \neq \text{UP}$

Zero knowledge proofs: every NPC problem has one

Things to look out for:

In composition questions always mention bounds on i/o sizes!

Hamiltonian Circuit: visits every vertex exactly once, no repeats

Don't be afraid to construct a new NP problem to use as oracle

Removing method doesn't always work for uniqueness check

For uniqueness, define another NP problem and use oracle

Cannot bank on a 'no' of an NDTM, only 'yes'

$A \subseteq B \Rightarrow \text{co-A} \subseteq \text{co-B}$

Use a counter in NDTM for NL to not go on forever

$\text{NC}_1 \subseteq \text{L} \subseteq \text{NL} \subseteq \text{NC}_2 \subseteq \text{NC} \subseteq \text{P}$

A adj matrix, A^k nodes reachable in exactly k

$(A + I)^k$ nodes reachable in $\leq k$ (binomial expansion)

Can play around, $(A^2 + 1)^k$ is even, $A(A^2 + 1)^k$ is odd

$\text{FNP} \subseteq \text{FP}^{\text{NP}}$

$\text{P}^{\text{P}^{\text{NP}}} = \text{P}^{\text{NP}}$

(co-NP)-complete = co-NPC

Good luck bruddas, if in doubt, $\text{P} = \text{NP}$