

Exercises on Secret Sharing and SMC

Patrick Ah-Fat

1 Secret Sharing

Exercise 1 Let $\mathcal{P} = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$ be a set of parties.

1. Compute the following monotone access structures Γ given their minimal elements $m(\Gamma)$:

- (a) $m(\Gamma) = \{\{A, B, C\}\}$
- (b) $m(\Gamma) = \{\{A, B, C\}, \{A, B, D\}\}$
- (c) $m(\Gamma) = \{\{A, B, C\}, \{B, C, D\}, \{C, D, E\}\}$
- (d) $m(\Gamma) = \{\{A, B, C\}, \{A, D\}\}$
- (e) $m(\Gamma) = \{\{A, B, C, D, E\}\}$

2. Compute the minimal elements of the following monotone access structures:

- (a) $\Gamma = \{\{A, B, C, E\}, \{B, C, D, E\}, \{A, B, C, D, E\}\}$
- (b) $\Gamma = \{\{A, B, D, E\}, \{A, B, C, D, E\}, \{B, D, E\}, \{B, C, D, E\}\}$
- (c) $\Gamma = \{\{A, C, E\}, \{A, B, C, D\}, \{A, C, D, E\}, \{A, B, C, D\}, \{A, B, C, E\}, \{A, B, C, D, E\}, \{B, C, D\}, \{B, C, E\}, \{B, C, D, E\}, \{C, E\}, \{C, D, E\}\}$
- (d) $\Gamma = \{\{A, B, C, D\}, \{A, B, C, E\}, \{A, C, D, E\}, \{B, C, D, E\}, \{A, B, C, D, E\}, \{B, C, D\}, \{B, C, E\}, \{C, D, E\}\}$

Exercise 2 Let s be in \mathbb{Z}_{11} . The value s has been honestly secretly shared amongst 5 participants with Shamir secret sharing scheme in order to allow up to $t = 2$ passive adversaries. The second and fourth shares have been lost, and the first, third and fifth shares are respectively equal to 6, 2 and 2.

1. Reconstruct the secret.
2. Same question with the first, third and fifth shares being respectively equal to 10, 8 and 9.

Exercise 3 In order to share a secret s in the presence of up to t passive adversaries, Shamir secret sharing scheme requires the dealer to:

1. pick a polynomial f **of degree at most** t such that $f(0) = s$
2. send $f(k)$ to each party P_k

Explain what security concern would arise if step 1 of the protocol was replaced by:

1. pick a polynomial f **of degree** t such that $f(0) = s$

Hint: In order to show that a scheme is not information-theoretically secure, it suffices to show one case where it fails to guarantee perfect secrecy.

Exercise 4 Let us consider four parties A , B , C and D . Let s be a secret. Shamir secret sharing scheme enables us to distribute secret s via $[s, f]_t$ while allowing up to t adversaries. However, sending more than one point of polynomial f to some parties may help us to achieve more general monotone access structures.

1. Based on this idea, propose a scheme that allows s to be shared under the following monotone access structures defined by their minimal elements:

- (a) $m(\Gamma_1) = \{\{A, D\}, \{B, D\}, \{C, D\}\}$
- (b) $m(\Gamma_2) = \{\{A, D\}, \{B, D\}, \{C, D\}, \{A, B, C\}\}$
- (c) $m(\Gamma_3) = \{\{B, D\}, \{C, D\}, \{A, B, C\}\}$

2. Consider:

$$m(\Gamma_4) = \{\{A, D\}, \{B, C\}, \{C, D\}\}$$

- (a) Prove that a secret shared in the sense of Shamir using a polynomial f , where each point of the polynomial is held by at most one party cannot satisfy this monotone access structure Γ_4 .
- (b) Based on that observation, propose a simple solution that allows a secret to be shared with respect to Γ_4 .

2 Secure Multi-Party Computation

Exercise 5 Let us consider 3 parties P_1, P_2 and P_3 . Let us place ourselves in \mathbb{Z}_{11} . Let us assume that they secretly share two secrets $[a = 4, f_a = 4 + 3X]_1$ and $[b = 9, f_b = 9 + 2X]_1$.

1. Compute the shares that each parties hold.
2. Perform the local computations that enable them to secretly share $a + b$ and compute the corresponding polynomial $f_a + f_b$.
3. Now, perform the computation that parties P_2 and P_3 should follow to recover $a + b$.
4. Show that recombining $a + b$ using shares of the three parties would yield the same result.
5. Now assume that the parties wish to secretly share $a \cdot b$. Show how they can achieve that using only local computations, and explicitly compute the underlying polynomial P .
6. Show the computation that all the parties together should follow to recover $a \cdot b$.
7. Show parties P_2 and P_3 would fail in recovering $a \cdot b$ if they tried to use the recombination vector from Question 3.
8. Parties P_1, P_2 and P_3 now respectively decide to generate the following polynomials g_1, g_2 and g_3 and distribute $[0, g_1 = 6X]_1, [9, g_2 = 9 + X]_1$ and $[8, g_3 = 8 + 3X]_1$. In other words, they distribute $[0, 6, 1, 7]_1, [9, 10, 0, 1]_1$ and $[8, 0, 3, 6]_1$

Show that they can now perform local computations in order to share the product $a \cdot b$ via a polynomial of degree at most 1, and explicitly compute this polynomial Q .

Exercise 6 (harder) Let us study the influence that an active attacker may have on the SMC multiplication protocol robust against passive adversaries. We recall that the protocol assumes that $[a, f_a]_t$ and $[b, f_b]_t$ are shared and that the parties can easily compute a recombination vector r which ensures that $\sum_k r_k g(k) = g(0)$ for any polynomial of degree at most $2t$. Then:

1. The parties locally multiply their shares to get $[ab, f_a f_b]_{2t}$.
2. Each party P_k generates g_k and distributes $[(f_a f_b)(k), g_k]_t$.
3. The parties locally compute $\sum_k r_k [(f_a f_b)(k), g_k]$ to get $[ab, \sum_k r_k g_k]_t$.

Importantly, we note that the scheme holds since $\sum_k r_k (f_a f_b)(k) = (f_a f_b)(0) = ab$ by definition of r .

1. Assume that P_1 is an active attacker. Explain what she can do in step 2 so that performing step 3 would lead the parties to secretly share $ab + 1$ instead of ab .
2. Assume that the parties are computing $(ab)c$ by starting with the elementary operation (ab) . Assume that a is held by P_1 and that b and c are private inputs held by honest parties. Based on the previous question, explain what P_1 can do so as to learn the value of private input c when the parties reconstruct the intended output $(ab)c$.