## **COMPILERS**

Regex: Expressions to match strings, non-recursive.

Precedence (H → L) = grouping, repetition, concat, alternation.

a = match symbol \symbol = esc regex char ε = empty string
R<sub>1</sub>R<sub>2</sub> = match adjacent
R<sub>1</sub>|R<sub>2</sub> = alternation
(R) = grouping R+ = 1 or more reps R\* = 0 or more reps

Chomsky Hierarchy:

R? = 0 or 1 = match any char .\* = match every string [abcd] = match any in set [0-9] = match chars in range [^abc] = match not in set Disambiguation: when more than 1 expr matches, choose longest matching seq

Lex Anal Impl: Manually Impl: A = relatively easy to write from scratch. D more err prone, can be difficult to change rules for tokens. Lex Anal Gens e.g. Logos which takes programmer defined token structures and functions to consume matches specified by regex Generate tokeniser, Regex → NFA → DFA → Min State DFA (optimisation) → Transition Table + GetToken func

(pub mod'  $\Rightarrow$  [PUBLIC, MODULE], 'pubmod'  $\Rightarrow$  INDENT("pubmod")) Comments: removed. Pre-Processing Directives and Macros: normally removed in stage before lexical anal. Finite Automata (FSM): Start state has unlabelled transition to it, double circle = accepting state, each non-accepting state should have transition for every symbol (could be to error state) but omit for conciseness here. NFA (Non-deterministic Finite Automata): allow choice of transition from each state. DFA (Deterministic Finite Automata): no two transitions leaving a Parsing (Syntax Anal) Types: CFGs are parsed with complexity  $O(n^3)$ , LL and LR are subsets of CFG that can be parsed in O(n). LL(n) is subset of LR(n). Higher lookahead  $\rightarrow$  more powerful-complex grammars at cost of performance (particularly mem). LL (build LR Parsers: Too complex to build by hand – need generator. Can be impl efficiently in O(n) time. Parser Operation: shift (Sn) = push state n onto stack and mov

Subset Construction: NFA traversal requires backtracking (slow) – DFA traversal is faster (no BT) so compilers NFA → DFA (remove ε trans). DFA needs more mem than NFA (up to 2<sup>n</sup> states for n state NFA, always

used as rare to be an issue). DFA states are subsets of NFA ones

Space	Time		
NFA	O(len R)	O(len R × len X)	
Space	Space	Space	Space
Space	S ε-Closure(s) = set of all states reachable from s with only ε en R)  $O(len R \times len X)$ transitions (inc s).		

to next token, reduce (Rn) = 1. use rule n to remove m

sates in the first of the firs

input symbol \$ is always required (E' → E\$) – if not

states from stack (m = len of RHS of rule n), 2, get rule

Lexical Anal: stream of chars → stream of tokens based on formal description of tokens of input lang. (Chars+Regex) → Tokens. Identifier Tokens: lex anal needs to id keywords quickly so uses fast string lookup using perfect hash func (no possible collisions). Keyword Identifiers: have special meaning in prog lang and normally rep by own token (class → CLASS, while → WHILE). Non-Keyword Identifiers: prog defidentifiers e.g. var names, typically generic token used ("var1" IDENT("var1"). Literal Tokens: const vals embedded in input prog. Unsigned Ints: literal token for ints — tokeniser needs to account for —ve ints and varying int sizes to prevent overflow ("123" → INTEGER(123), '1e400" → BIGINT(1e400)).

Unsigned Reals: literal token for floating point vals, tokeniser must take into account large&-ve floats ('17.33' > FLOAT(17.33)). Strings: string tokens containing string, tokeniser needs to take into account Unicode/ASCII as well as escape cha ("hello" > STRING("hello")). Other Tokens: Operators: 1/2 char symbols (+ > PLUS, <= > LESSEQUAL). Whitespace: removed unless in string literal, may saved for nice errors

NFA → DFA: 1 s closure of start state 2. For each symbol. list states current state reaches, 3. Find e closure (new state), 4. repeat with new state as start, 5. Mark states which inc accepting state as accepting states.

D1 D2 p-R1+q ± Making LR(0) Parser: 1. CFG → LR(0) items

-

LR(0): Rules in grammar with  $\bullet$  (curr pos of parser). X  $\rightarrow$   $\bullet$ ABC = init, X  $\rightarrow$  A  $\bullet$  BC (mid-way), X  $\rightarrow$  ABC  $\bullet$  (complete item – matched whole rule). Use LR(0) item as states in NFA (track progress of parser through rule).  $X \rightarrow A \cdot BC \xrightarrow{B} X \rightarrow AB \cdot C$ If B is non-terminal, for each rule B → • D add ε trans  $X \to A \bullet BC \xrightarrow{\epsilon} B \xrightarrow{r_{rew}} \bullet D$ 

The price of the

 $[X \rightarrow A \bullet B C, t] \xrightarrow{B} [X \rightarrow A B \bullet C, t] \qquad [X \rightarrow A \bullet B C, t] \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} [B \rightarrow \bullet D, u]$ 

**DFA Construction**: 1. Write all initial states in one box and expand all non-terminals into their initial

4. Generate parsing table from DFA

If there is more than one action for a cell in an LR(0) table, the grammar LR(1) Items: a pair [LR(0) item, lookahead token t]. Given item [X → A • B C, t]:

3. NFA → DFA

## enumerable, Turing Machine. regular ⊂ context-fre Grammar: Items → NFA: E' $\rightarrow$ E E $\rightarrow$ E'+' int E $\rightarrow$ int E' → • E E' → E • E → · E + int Items: (A states E' → • E E→ E++int F → • F '+' int '+' int • E → • int E → • int \_ F → int • Conflicts and Ambiguity (LR): Ambiguous grammar is one where more than 1 parse tree can be

Chomsky Piteractury. Hierarchy of grammars and machines required to parse them. R = non-terminal, t = token seq,  $\alpha + \beta + \phi$  terminal+non-terminal seq.  $Type 3 = R \rightarrow t$ , regular, DFA. Type  $2 = R \rightarrow \alpha$ , Context Free, Pushdown

automata (DFA w/ stack+read-only tape). Type 1 =

automata (DPA w) statisfied-only (appel =  $R \rightarrow \Phi$ , Context Sensitive, Linear Bounded Automata (Turing machine w/ limited tape Type  $0 = \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ , Unrestricted/recursively

powerful+complex grammars at cost of performance [particularly mem]. IL [Jould AST from root to leaves)  $\Rightarrow$  Lik[|| elf-th-or-gibts-can, Leftmost derivation, k-token lookahead. LL(0) = doesn't exist. LL(1) = most popular (recursive descent or automaton impl). LL(2) = sometimes useful. LL(k>2) = rarely needed. LR (build AST from leaves to root)  $\Rightarrow$  LR(k) = Left-to-right scan, rightmost derivation (in reverse) with k-token lookahead. LR(0) = weak, used in edu. SLR(1) = stronger than LR(0), superseded by LALR(1). LALR(1) = fast, popular comparable in power LR(1). LR(1) = to LR(1). LR(1) = powerful, high mem usage. LR(k > 1) = possible, rarely used. NFA → DFA: DFA -> Parsing Table: First Set: Initially FIRST(N) = {} E' → • E → • E + in E → • int 0 s4 1 s2 a g1 E → E + • int 1. X → Terminal = s[new state] X → Non-T = ginew state1 F → int • 3. R' → ... • = a (accept :D) 4. R → ... • = r[rule to reduce]

First ext: initially FIRST(N) = {} 1. FIRST( $\varepsilon$ ) =  $\varepsilon$ 2. FIRST(t) = t 3. N  $\rightarrow$  Y<sub>1</sub>Y<sub>2</sub>...Y<sub>n</sub>: FIRST(N) = FIRST(Y<sub>1</sub>) If  $\varepsilon \in FIRST(Y_1)$ , FIRST(N) += FIRST(Y<sub>2</sub>) etc Follow Set: Initially FOLLOW(X) = {} Only focus on RHS! FOLLOW never contains Only focus on RHSI FOLLOW never contains  $\epsilon$ . 1. FOLLOW(Start) += {S} 2. N  $\rightarrow$  X: FOLLOW(X) = FOLLOW(N) 3. N  $\rightarrow$  X  $\epsilon$ : FOLLOW(X) = FOLLOW(N) 4. N  $\rightarrow$  X t: FOLLOW(X) =  $\epsilon$  S. N  $\rightarrow$  X Y<sub>1</sub>Y<sub>2</sub>...\( \text{n}', \text{if FOLLOW}(X) = \text{FIRST}(Y\_1) \) (if  $\epsilon$  \( \ext{ef FIRST}(Y\_1), \text{FIRST}(Y\_1) \) then go to FIRST(Y<sub>2</sub>) – if reach end, apply rule 3). ALR(1) Parsers: Merge LR(1) states which have the same LR(0) items

but differ in lookahead (red mem usage). However some reductions

on: transformations must

 $A \rightarrow B \ C \ | B$   $A \rightarrow B \ | C |$ 

cursion Removal: Can't be LL(1) with

after shifting + in LR(1), but LALR(1) will shift + and do

catching error (if lookahead 🔼

EBNF:  $\{\alpha\} = 0$  or more occurrences of  $\alpha$ ,  $[\alpha] = 0$  or 1 occurrences of  $\alpha$ , (...) = grouping elements.  $Expr \rightarrow Term' + 'Expr \mid Term$  becomes  $Expr \rightarrow$ 

Term ['+' Expr]. Removing left-recursion: Sea →

Seq ';' Stat | Stat becomes Seq  $\rightarrow$  Stat {';' Stat}.

be applied to non-LL(1) CFG, which can't always be applied to non-tL(1) tro, winds on a similar be automated. Semantics must be maintained and readability is key.  $\stackrel{\text{non-LL}(1)}{A \to B \ C \ | B \ D} \quad A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \ A \to B \ C \ | D \$ 

reduction A → + before

set for  $A \rightarrow + \bullet$  is  $\{\$, 1\}$ .

CFG → LL(1) Conv

present it's presence is implied.

Grammar ε, → V | int Expanded: ε, > 5 5 can occur before an error is detected (LR(1) would have picked up on S → V '=' E V → id E → V them straight away). E.g.: A > '(' A ')', A > '+'. Input + ) will be caugh  $E \rightarrow int$ 

Step 1:

DPA Construction: I. Write an initial states in one box and expand an inon-terminals into their is state in the box too, 2. all transitions out with diff symbols – remember above about changing lookahead, 3. for each new state expand non-terminals and repeat until reach reduction state Parsing Table: can now have several different rules per row (same state, diff curr token) Full DFA  $S \rightarrow \bullet id$ , \$  $S \rightarrow \bullet id$ , \$  $S \rightarrow \bullet V = E$ , \$  $V \rightarrow \bullet id$ , =  $S \rightarrow id \bullet$ , \$  $V \rightarrow id \bullet$ .  $S \rightarrow V \bullet = E, $$ → V = • E, \$  $S \rightarrow V = E \bullet$ int  $E \rightarrow int \bullet . $$ 

ACTION COTO g3 r1 84 g5

r3

rewriting grammar/augmenting grammar with additional rules. **Shift-Reduce Conflict**: cannot decide whether to reduce tokens to LHS of rule or shift another token, e.g.  $Expr \to Num$  and  $Expr \to$ g: Top-Down using either recursive descent (can be hand-coded) or an LL(1) pushdowr automaton (generated by LL(1) parser-generator (e.g. ANTLR)) r: If a k-token lookahead is sufficient to LL(1) Grammar; For rule A  $\rightarrow \alpha$  | B if first( $\alpha$ )  $\alpha$  first( $\beta$ ) =  $\beta$   $\alpha$   $\alpha$   $\alpha$   $\alpha$  first( $\alpha$ )  $\alpha$  (first( $\alpha$ )  $\alpha$  follow( $\alpha$ ) =  $\beta$   $\alpha$   $\alpha$   $\alpha$   $\alpha$  first( $\alpha$ )  $\alpha$  follow( $\alpha$ ) =  $\beta$ 

Stat → IfStat | ReginStat

def Stat() -> Statement:

match(IF)

cond = Expr()

match(THEN)

match(ELSE)

if branch = Stat()

else\_branch = none if next\_token() == ELSE:

else branch = Stat() match(FI)

IfStat → 'if' Expr 'then' Stat ['else' Stat] 'fi'
BeginST at → 'begin' Stat {';' Stat} 'end'

elif next\_token == BEGIN: return BeginStat()
else: raise error("Expected statement")
def IfStat() -> Statement:

def BeginStat() -> Statement:

while next\_token == SEMICOLON

stats = [] match(BEGIN)

match(FND)

stats.append(Stat())

match(SEMICOLON)

stats.append(Stat())

if next\_token == IF: return IfStat()

created for some input - cannot be LR(k) as parser won't know when to shift/reduce, Can be solved b

rewriting grammar/augmenting grammar with additional rules. Shift-Reduce Conflict: cannot decide

determine which alternative of a rule to use when parsing. LL(1) uses current token only. Can be impl as DFA or parse by recursive descent – we consider recursive descent. AST Construction: Class hierarchies organise nodes into

variants of given types.

variants of green types. def scalartype(type, name): # check type is standard type return type == TOP\_ST.lookup(name): class HAST extends AST:

ExpressionAST E # Syntactic value tatementASTS1 # Syntactic value (then)

StatementASTS2 # Syntactic value (else) def check () i: ck() # E.check() also records the type of E

if! scalartype(E.type, "boolean"): error("if cond expression not a boolean")

S1.check() if S2 != None: S2.check()

r Recovery: Useful error messages, error recovery can be used to gen more errors (parsing or semantic) Panic-Mode Error Recovery: each parse func gets extra param of synchronising tokens (syncset). More tokens added as more parse funcs called. When err, skip ahead discarding tokens until elem of syncset seen. Compiler must know when not to panic (phase-level error recovery). Common heuristic: add FOLLOW(A) to syncset for A (if A is in outer construct add FIRST of outer)

def match(expected): if token == expected: token = lexical analyser.get token()

else print('unexpected token %s encountered at %s.
Expecting %s', (token, token.position(), expected))
ef skipto(syncset): while token not in syncset and token != EOF:

token = lexical analyser.get token() def check(expectset, syncset, errormessage):

if token not in expectset:

Code

skipto(expectset union syncset) Primitive Types: most basic Classical Approach types (can be stored in Stack Segment

mem/regs) supported by arch the compiler targets e.g. bool (1 byte) & float (IEEE 4, 8, 16 bytes). Compilers may align types for more optimal mem

access (sometimes enforced by target arch). Some langs support pointer types (makes access, Sometimes entorced by target arch), some langs support pointer types (makes type checking difficult). More modern langs use type-checkable object refs.

Alignment: some addrs are considered n-byte aligned when it is a multiple of n bytes. Data aligned by size of data processor can load in single instr (ensures min number of mem accesses). Some archs enforce alignment (particularly for stack). When aligned to 25, first k bits are 0 (can be used for other info in PTEs e.g. supervisor bits, R/W etc).

Structs/Records: data type consisting of groups of fields/members of different types. Fields typically allocated contiguous mem blocks, alignment spaces fields, some order fields by order in code, some optimise order, tuples effectively anonymous structs.  $Record = \{r_{p-m-k}\}$ .  $Size(Record) = Size(F_k) + \dots + Size(F_k)$ .  $Addr[F_k] = StartAddr[Record] + Size(F_k) + \dots + Size(F_k)$ . Arrays: contiguous section of memory populated by n variables (elems) of same type.

vals/calling methods adds overhead. ASM Method Call b.q(): a •push eax # objref = hidden param . у mov eax. [eax] # eax = Addr(MLT) call [eax + 4] te offset 4 in

mov [ecx], [ebx] # b's obj ref mov [ecx+4], @B.F # copy addr # second field of F

mov [edx], [ecx] # edx will trave

mov edx. [edx] # get MLT add cmp edx, @A # is MLT class A's?

cmp edx, NULL jne CheckClass # if not err check superclass

Profilers: Give performance info on prog – interr func periodically and record info about methods/variables e.g. time spent in diff funcs. Typically used once code is correct, optimised by

 $A \rightarrow X \mid AY \Rightarrow A \rightarrow X \{Y\}$ Expr  $\rightarrow$  Expr ('+' | '-') Term | Term => Expr  $\rightarrow$  Term {('+' | '-') Term}  $\rightarrow$  Term ('\*' | '/') | Factor => Term  $\rightarrow$  Factor  $\{('*' | '/') | Factor <math>\rightarrow$  '(' Expr')' | int => Factor  $\rightarrow$  '(' Expr')' | int Type Checking in Languages: Static v Dynamic Typing: types checked at compile or

 $A \rightarrow B \mid C$   $B \rightarrow "b", C \rightarrow "c"$ 

Recursive Descent Parser: Set of parse functions for each rule (take tokens, return remaining tokens + generated AST). Code to get next token, if match

if lexical analyser.next() == expected:

lexical\_analyser.pop()
else: \n raise error("unexpected token!")

Substitution: sub a rule/non-terminal

with it's alternatives (can find conflicts which need left-factoring).  $A \rightarrow B \mid C$   $A \rightarrow "b" \mid "b" "c"$   $B \rightarrow "b", C \rightarrow "c"$   $A \rightarrow "b" \mid ["c"]$ 

AGE

VARIABLE

INT

expected pop from list else throw error

def match(expected: token):

I type Checking in Languages: Static v Dynamic Typing; types Checked at Compile or runtime? Strong v Weak Typing; can types be easily coerced? Type Inference: can infe types at compile time. Function Overloading: multiple different funcs with same name in same scope. Polymorphic Typing: some langs allow types to be based off of interfaces/parent classes/generics. Assignment-Compatibility and Type-Equivalence: rules concerning how/what types are/can be matched. Type Coercion/Casting: some allow implicit, some explicit. Primitive Sizes: some langs allow multiple size ints/floats some have set sizes, each lang differs in those set sizes/options. Python: dynamic strongly typed. C: static, weakly typed.

ss SymbolTable: SymbolTable encSymTable # Ref to enclosing symbol table Dictionary dict # Maps names to objects def SymbolTable(SymbolTable st): # Create new symbol table

der symbol labelesymbol labele stj. # Create new sym dict = Dictionary(); # Initialise dictionary encSymTable = st; # Reference enclosing symbol ta def add(name, obj): return dict.add(name, obj) # add name&obj to dictionary

return aut.auo(name). # return object else None if name not return dict.get(name) # in dict def lookupAll(name): # Lookup name in current&enclosing S = self # symbol tables while S I = None:

obj = S.lookup(name)

if obi != None; return obi # name found, return obi

S = S.encSvmTable # name not found, move to enclosing ST

Class Inheritance: Chained MLTs allow type-tests for inherited lasses. New ptr could point at runtime type desc for class instead. CC.p . x . у b•-

nteriting – can set var of type parent class to val of type child class

Program Address Space: [Code | Stack | Data@[Static (Global Vars | Heap]]. Separating into diff pages means each can have different perms (e.g. code R/X only). Static area: globals (in fixed locations), MLTs, constants. Stack: args sent to func, local vars, addi of obj for methods, EBP = start of stack reg (frame pointer reg). Heap: Can alloc vars (aka heap/dynamic vars), can remain here for any period of time and be ref'd from any point in the prog (new in Java or malloc in C). Managed in 2 ways: Explicit: programmer decides when mem freed (free in C). GC: compiler checks when objs no longer in use and frees them (Java). Explicit Heap Alloc: maintain structure containing free blocks+info about them (free list, impl as linked list). Several lists for diff sizes reduces search time alloc blocks just large enough, alloc with expectation more mem will be used (if needed), must consider alignment of vals if required, heap alloc worse for locality (worse cache locality).

Semantic Analysis: checks statically at compile time if the progri semantic Analysis. Checks statically at compile time in the progressemantically valid within lang rules. Provides info for next phase: (codegen) e.g. creates symbol table of identifiers and their types em analysers can be gen from attribute grammars (assoc sem rules vith AST node types) however usually hand-written Typical Checks: Variable Decl: type in scope, can you declare this type (e.g. can't deel void), check identifier (shadowing?). Assignment: is identifier valid (check scope whether declared, rul on variable types), check expression, can we assign to val of this type, check range (e.g. u8 can't hold 256544). Array Declaration: check declared type valid (prev checks + can we make arrays of this type?), size (potentially only allow const, no negative sizes), scoping (shed ving?), array size warnings (some may be too large and cause stack overflow – do we warn about this?). Array Elem Assignment: Is variable ident in scope, can we index the thing being treated as array, is index valid (in bound, positive), do we allow array slices? Function Declaration: check return type+params (as above), check func name declared (overloading/nesting?), scoping rules (what is parameter scope?), check returns (are there implicit returns, does body return correct type?). Function Call: check args are param compatible, check return type (is it assigned to var? if so

is it matching type?).

AST + Coercion: can embed pointers to identifier objects in AST

and becorreing rules (e.g., assign When type checking, can use ad-hoc coercion rules (e.g. assign int to double). May want to use class hierarchy (if no match, check superclasses for matches). Can then put basic types, standard types, functions (etc) in top-level symbol table.

Garbage Collection: ensures alloc vars are de-alloc'd when no longer used. Regs: correctness (don't dealloc live data), performance (fast + low mem overhead to red impact on prog performance), compiler supported (c must provide info for which vars point to heap and pointers in each block via special subroutines for GC or as part of type desc for obj data). Can be run 1-shot (pause

prog), on-the-fly (as prog is running) or concurrent (diff thread). Heap Compaction GC: after GC deallocs many blocks, heap may be fragmented so mark live blocks, merge free blocks, then update pointers. Reference Counting GC: block management housekeping info contains ref count (when ref made, ref++, when ref removed, ref-). A= simple+efficient (GC ad prog runs). D = compiler must gen code to correctly track refs, in ref cycles blocks kept alive

runs). D = compiler must gen code to correctly code.

indefinitely. Mark-Sweep GC: pause prog + collect blocks

| hope and A = Finds dead blocks/ garbage (unlike ref count). D = overhead, each block needs space for mark bit. Mark: for all stack vars, mark heap mem they point to as live. Sweep: go through blocks, if not marked live, collect+dealloc. Two-Space GC. heap split into from- and to-space. Blocks alloc from "from" - when no more free all live blocks moved to "to", then spaces swap (from → to, to → from). A= few complex ptr manips, automatically compact mem when copying, can place linked blocks close together when copy (better cache locality). Generational GC: Heap split into several areas based on age of blocks, Alloc new blocks from youngest. if full move oldest young blocks to older area. GC used

Dynamic Binding: Only append fields+entries to MLT when

more freq on younger areas, can apply diff GC to diff areas.

Pointer-Reversal Marking: recursive traversal of pointers requires a lot of mem – GC must work when mem low. By storing previously visited in the ptr we are traversing through we require no extra stack space. When find already marked block, use reverse ptrs to backtrack

with idents translated to non-shadowed form - no name conflicts). Many langs idents declared before use so single Bi →

return IfStatement(cond, if\_branch, else\_branch)

identifiers in AST nodes (lengthy lookup during codegen) a structure is used to identify identifiers with semantic info. For scoping, use tree of symbol tables (could have flat table

Symbol Tables: Instead of storing semantic info for

od C1 biect p, q, r

pass on AST to build symbol table. Map data structures used for tables to reduce time required for ident lookup

object p, q. thod C2 object A, x, p

Can have aligned elems, some langs associate a uxiliary data (e.g. size) with the arr.  $Arr[Type] = Elem[Type]_y$ , ...,  $Elem[Type]_n$  (indexed  $0 \rightarrow n - 1$ ). Size[Arr] = Size[Type] \* n.  $Add[Elem_y] = StartAdd[Arr] + * * Size[Type]$ . Objects: impl as reference to record with pointer to method lookup table (MLT) for the class (need to consider inheritance). When calling method of obj, traverse MLT then jump to method after

placing first arg as pointer to obj. Access fields like struct - indirection for accessing

ASM (interface) f = (class) b: ASM a = (A) f [casting]: CheckClass:

Mov [eax], [ecx] # f refers to an A # obj. Copy 1st field of f into 'a'

compiler, and algorithmically optimal.

**Debugger**: *Post-Mortem*: Core dump. Reverse PC to source line → stack traceback returns method name. vals of local vars, name of calling method, it's locals params. Contents of global/dynamic vars (yars may b params. Contents of global/pylialmic vars (vars may be in regs at various times – debugger keeps track over time). Interactive Debugger: for natively compiled progs, need support from arch/OS to set breakpoints on machine instrs. Don't affect program behaviour from start symbol – graphical til proof that a sentence is within a grammar. Can express grammar as tuple G(S, P, t, nt): S = start (besides execution time). Require info about idents and mapping PC to source lines. Optimising compilers and debuggers rarely work together. symbol, P = productions, t = terminals, nt = non-terminals,

ASM for Switch Statement: Ass no states fall through # assume result is in R1. If constant expression then # just emit instructions for corresponding statemen

jump to statement if expression equal to label x:

foreach case label x do

CMP R1. x mn to STATEMENT<n>, corresponding to label x:

JEQ STATEMENT<n> # jump to suitable error message and exit: imp CASE ERROR

# statements on case classes.
foreach STATEMENT<n> do
# label for STATEMENT<n> instructions:
STATEMENT<n>:
code for STATEMENT<n>

ed for last STATEMENT<x>:

JMP ENDCASE

ENDCASE:

# assembly instructions for statements # following switch statement

here (assume we have func newlabel)

ASM for Jump Table: code for expression # result in R1

code for expression # result in K1
cmp R1, lowest\_label # check expression is in range
jlt CASE\_ERROR # jump to suitable error message ar
cmp R1, highest\_label jgt CASE\_ERROR # jump to suitable error message and ex sub R1. lowest label # change expression to 0..high-low

and S ∈ nt. If S is entirely ts, use productions +pattern matching to anal. **Sentence**: string

derived only consisting of ts

Language: set of all sentences

which can be derived from S.

the shit in PT

mul R1. 4 # change to address offset (if 4-byte addresses) jmp [R1 + JUMP\_TABLE] # jump to statement JUMP\_TABLE: # addresses of statements Address of statement code for lowest\_label

Register Usage: regs fast R/W, multi-ported (2+ can be red per clock cycle),

specified by small field of

operands+other data). CPU can optimise at runtime and

use reg access+data deps to optimise instr ordering.

minimal reg/other mem hierarchy use. x + (3 + (y \* 2)) uses 4 regs, ((y \* 2) + 3) + x uses only 2.

where

cost1 (if we choose to do e1 first)

= max [weight e1, (weight e2)+1]

cost2 (if we choose to do e2 first)

= max [(weight e1)+1, weight e2]

instr (room for imm

Hence should aim for

Address of statement code for highest Tabel

talement
ffhenElse exp thenbody elsebody
\_expression exp ++
selabel] ++ ate\_statement thenbody +

on Statement nent (IfThen cond\_exp body) endlabel = newlabel

"rotated" while loor Sethi-Ullman Weights: given E<sub>1</sub> and E<sub>2</sub>, always eval subexpr which uses

esults

Effectiveness:

Sethi-Ullman Weights: given E, and E, a lways eval subexpr which uses most regs first. When second expr is eval it has 1 less reg (as res of first must be stored). E, first: max(E, E, E, + 1), E, first: max(E, + 1, E), Non commutative ops need order maintained. Use weight func to get order normal table of the state of the state

To avoid clobbering, give transExp list of regs it can use (it ult of A stored in a reg luate B while storing re-ult of B stored in a reg rate on subexpression releaves res in first).

[Define condlabel] +

Register Targeting:

randate expression exp +

transExp :: Exp → [Reg transExp (Const n) (de transExp (Ident x) (destreg:restofregs)

trans.xp (ident x) (destreg:restorregs) = [LoadAbs destreg x] transExp (Binop op e1 e2) (dstreg:nxtreg:regs = if weight e1) = weight e2 then transExp e1 (dstreg:nxtreg:regs) ++ transExp e2 (nxtreg:regs) ++ transExp e3 pd streg nxtreg

transBinop op distreg\_respi + ransBinop op distreg\_nktrep passed (stack/regs). Changing eval order can change res due to side effects. Need register targeting. At point of call, several regs may already be in use (can be diff from diff call sites.) Function Call Eval Order: C++, order undefined, Java order L+Pk. eval order depends on

Calling Convention: funcs can be called in many diff places (call sites), must go to correct pos in program on return (addr of next instr saved+stored).

Passible Path: a, b, c, d, e, f, g. Infeasible Path: a, b, f, g – valid in graph but

context (need to watch calling convention).

åа not feasible. Infeasible CFG problem becomes difficult with many call sites. Save A ∩ C b Restore A ∩ C Save (A∪B) ∩ C <Body of F> d d Restore (A ∪ B) ∩ C Save B ∩ C

Caller/Callee Saved: Caller: caller saves regs it is using to preserve them
(callee can't clobber). Callee: callee saves regs it (callee can't clobber). Callee: callee saves regs it needs to use. Both can end up saving redundant regs as they don't know which regs the other may use (esp problem in separate compilation of C libs etc). Some make reg preservation decisions at runtime, other chefic has the control of the at runtime, others define Application Binary Interface to ensure linked lib calls save right regs

worst case = cost2 (if we choose to als 2) find perfectly balanced max ((lweight e1) + 1, weight e2 tree (uneven = always eval in order which reduces reg demand). k vals, k/2 – 1 ops, roof(log,k) regs required. Worst case: N regs support 2" terms (does not account for reused cars/vals). Most comps use this + GC as well. Limitations: fails to exploit context of generated code (no attempt to use regs to keep val from stat-to-stat, no use of regs to store vars, doesn't handle repeated use of vars or intermediate vals in computation.

vars or intermediate vals in computation).

Moore's Law: every 2 years, density of transistors in integrated circuits doubles. This is slowing down recently. More optimised compilers are now the way forward for progress.

Graph Colouring: 1. Use simple traversal to generate intermediate (3AC) code. Named locations for all temporaries allow for considering all vals including intermediates. 2. Construct interference graph. Each node is temp location, edges connect simultaneously live locations, 3. Attempt to colour graph: act induce is emplocation, logges connected minutaneously into factories. 3. Attempts of modes. Regs which simultaneously store vals (directly connected) must be diff colours. Spilling: when colouring not possible. 1. Find an edge connecting problematic var and break it. Done by adding code to store it to mem and reload later. 2. Redo analysis to see if it works now. Avoid adding spill code to innermost loop (prioritise values by nesting depth). Split live range that will allow colouring.

A = 100: P1: B = 200; C = A + B: S3: P3: D = A \* 2 E = B \* 2: S5: P5: F = D - C;G = E + F: Optimisations: Without: program is slower but easier to debug (more direct translation) With: opts such as loop hoisting, constant propagation, inlining, and smart reg alloc makes prog execute quicker but debugger less useful as statements less userul as statements re-derdered/removed. When using opts, don't re-impl things like memcpy (already have opts done behind the scenes). High-Level Optimisations: Using HL info

encoded in program (types, function anal). E.g. Function Inlining: replace call site with copy of func body. A = avoid call/return overhead, creates further opt opportunities.

D = can require static anal (calling virtual/overloaded func requires type info). Low-Level D = Carl require state and (caning with adayoverboarder unit require stype into). Dwie-Weel

Optimisations: Use LL info (instritypes, ISA, order of IR instrs) to opt output. Note can lose HL info as
we get lower. E.g. Instr Sched: in pipelined arch, instr order can impact processing speed so reorder
instrs to allow parallel processing. D = requires some dependency anal. Peephole Optimisation: scan through assembly in order, looking for obvious cases to opt. Can catch some worst cases (e.g. store followed by load of same location). Very easy to impl (at smallest, just consider 2 adj instrs). **D** =

nase order	ing problem – wn	at order snould ops be appil	ea to get best res
Local Basic Blocks Fast+Easy to Validate Global Procedures	Local	Optimisation on the level of basic blocks (single entry adn exit points) (e.g expressions)	Runs quickly and
	Global	Optimisation on the scale of a whole procedure	Can have worse t given n represents variables.
	Intraprocedural	Optimisation over the whole	Rare and hard to a

Interference Graphs from Live Ranges: Use the LiveOut sets from each block to construct interference graph: [(T0,[T0,T1,T2,T3]), (T3,[T0,T3,T2,T1,T4]),(T1,[T1,T0,T2,T3,T4]), (T4,[T3,T4,T2,T1])] (T2,[T1,T2,T0,T3,T4]), Then do graph colouring:)



Compilers: particular class of programs called language processors. Cs can be: Processes: programs written in some lang. Writes: prog Syntax: Grammatical structure of lang expressed through rules. which writes progs. **Translates** progs from one lang to equiv in othe **Basic Structure**: input → analysis (create internal rep, do lexical, Semantics: meaning assoc with prog Visitor Pattern: syntax, and semantic anal) → synthesis (use IR to create program in rrget lang – intermediate codegen → optimisation) → outp Parse Tree: How string derived PT vs AST: AST don't need half const const const A = data structure and ops separated, new ops easily added by creating+passing new

visitor which is able to op on structure, E.g.

turtle ops on AST of turtle program (visitors for colour/languages etc.) data Instruction = Register Use Data Type: Add reg reg (Add r1 r2: r1:= r1+ r2)Sub reg reg | ... Load reg name LoadImm reg num (similar)
(reg := value at location nam
(load constant into reg)
(store reg at location name) Store reg name Push reg (push reg onto stack) Pop reg value from stack & put it in the rea) value from stack & put it in the reg. (subtract reg from reg & set reg to if the result was zero, 0 otherwise) (if reg = 1 jump to label) (if reg = 0 jump to label) CompEq reg reg JFalse reg label (set up destination for jump) Acc Machine same type just without regs

transExp (Const n) r = [LoadImm r n]transExp (Ident x) r = [Load r x]transExp (Binop op e1 e2) r r == MAXREG th ansExp e2 r ++ [Push r] ++ transExp e1 r ++ transBinopStack ack op r elseif r < MAXREG ansExp e1 r ++ ansExp e2 (r+1) ++ ansBinop op r (r+1) Loop Optimisations: Loop Invariant Code

otion: an instr is loop-invariant if all operands arrive from outside the loop (moving it out doesn't change the semantics). the series of t a smaller, simpler one. An induction var is one which inc/dec by a loop invariant amt each iteration. We can use less costly instrs to int a = 7; for (int b = 0; b < 4; b++) { a += 4 }

→ int a = 23; for (int b = 0; b < 4; b++)

Note: 23 = 7 + 4 \* 4

while (some pred) { b = some func(b): for (int i = 0; i < b; b++) {

→ while (some\_pred) b = some func(b): a += b:

a[i] = 5;

Control Var Selection: replace loop control var with one of the induction vars used. Exit cond for oop must be changed accordingly. E.g.: int \*a = malloc (15 \* sizeof(int)); for (int i = 0: i < 15: i++) {

→ int \*a = malloc (15 \* sizeof(int)); end = a + 15; : a != end: a++) {

Pead Code Elim: code that does not produce a result can be eliminated. Many other opts result in dead code (e.g. inlining func where not all return vals/optional args used).

Intermediate Representation: an IR is used in code synthesis+opt. Must rep all primitive ops needed for exec, be easy to anal+manip, indep of target instr set (allowing many diff ISAs to be targeted by backend using IR), use temp vars to store intermediate vals to allow for onts+reg assignment. Lowering Rep: taking HL features →
LL reps, e.g. array → pointer arith/addr calcs.
When lowering, lose HL info e.g. values which are part of arr, but can opt LL rep (addr calcs). Opt compiler synthesis can have several IRs + anal stages:  $|\overline{R} \xrightarrow[Analyse]{} |\overline{R} \xrightarrow[Analyse]{} |\overline{R} \xrightarrow[Analyse]{}$ 

Data Flow Analysis for Live Ranges: Live range = range of instrs for which temp val must be maintained. Live range starts at def, ends when var is used/immediately if val never used. CFG: Can generate graph of simple IR (ideally 3AC) instructions in prog: → [Instr num | Instr | Regs used | Regs def | Successors] → Live Range Def point = any location between adj nodes, path seq of points traversing through CFG, live var (live out) = if the var may be used along some path out) — In the van intage used a long some paint going through the point (can be found via DFS but we want faster method). **LiveIn[B]** = set of live vars at beginning of B  $LiveIn(n) = uses(n) \cup (LiveOut(n) - defines(n)$ 

LiveOut[B] = set of live vars at end of B  $LiveOut(n) = \bigcup_{s \in succ(n)} LiveIn(s)$ 

Uses/Gen[B] = vars used in B (on RHS but not LHS of prior statement in B). or prior statement in B).

Defines/Kill[B] = vars assigned value in B (LHS).

Iterative Steps for Liveln and LiveOut:

1. Find use and def sets for each node

2. Iter 1: LiveIn= uses, LiveOut as normal (U LiveIr 3. Rest of Iterations: use previous values to get new LiveIn/LiveOut sets

A. Stop when sets stop changing:)
Improvements to Iterative Methods:
To reduce req iters, best to update nodes last as defs depend on succs. We consider it a backward anal. Time complexity dep on num instrs/regs.

> back edge. Natural Loop: natural loop of a back-edge  $oms(n) = \{n\} \cup \left(\bigcap_{p \in mode(n)} Doms(p)\right)$ (n, h) is the set of nodes S s.t.; all nodes x ∈ S are

Assign name Exp | d Seq Stat Stat | ForLoop Name Exp Exp Stat Binop Op Exp Exp | Unop Op Exp | Ident Name | Const Int lata Op = e Name = [Char] ransStat (ForLoop id e1 e2 body) transExp e1 ++ [Pop id] ++
[Define label1] ++ transExp e2 ++ [PushAbs id] ++ [CompGt] ++
[JTrue label2] ++
transExp k-+ transStat body ++ [PushAbs id] ++ [PushImm 1] ++ [Add] ++ [Pop id]++ [Jump label1] ++
[Define label2] TransExp needs param r to put Improvement with Immediate Operands: transBinOpImm just calls AddImm instead of Add etc. transExp (Binop op (Const n) e2) r res in (tracks SP too by above if stack slots = regs). ransExp (Const n) r = [LoadImm r n] instead of Add etc. transixp (sinop op (const n) e2/ r

Accumulator Machine: 1 | commutative op en transExp (8 inop op e1 (Const n)) r

reg (acc) to which ops can be applied transExp (8 inop op e1 (Const n)) r

= transExp (8 inop op e1 (Const n)) r

transExp (lconst n) r = [Loadimr s ansExp (Binop op e1 e2) r = transExp e1 r ++ transExp e1 r ++ transExp e2 (r+1) ++ transExp e2 (r+1) ++ damm s6 transBinop op r (r+1) 3 AddImm s6 ere 4 Pub 1900 Pop: Pop: transBinop Plus r1 r2 - [Add r1 r2] Acc-Store[SP]; SP-wood name: Acc-Store[ame]; Machine with Limited Regs: When free regs of remain, use RM strat, when limit reached (1 reg 1) remain, use RM strat, when limit reached (1 reg 1) left) use acc strat.

Enjuge activitud.

Enjuge activi Multiple Loops: Often 2 natural loops will arise from one source code loop (still consider this as 1 loop). When we have nested loops, nodes of inner loop are a proper subset of those in the outer loop. Control Tree: construct tree to show which loops are nested, what headers and final nodes in each loop are. r := r+Store[SP]; SP:=SP+1;

Time Tutorial Q: Assuming all ops take unit time 1 and infinite time is for nodes never reached: timeOut(n) = timeIn(n) + 1

timeIn(n) = min<sub>p∈pred(n)</sub> timeOut(p) Use iteration to solve system of DFA equations initial assignments of timeIn/ equations, initial assignments of timein/ timeOut are infinity. The entry node needs to be init as 0 however (remember to add a star node to CFGs!!) This is a forward dataflow analysis as info is

propagated from start forwards (timeIn depends on predecessors not successors).

start: a = b + c d = a < 10 ← Code if d goto L1 else L2 b = a - 1 L1: a = f(d,e) if a goto LO else L2 ↓ CFG (as table) Uses | Defs | Succs | Pred start a = b + c d = a < 10if d goto L1 else L2 b = a - 1 t = f(d,e)f a goto L0 else L2 t = ba

Available Expressions Tutorial Q: wailable expressions allow for common subexpression elimination. Define a set of all expressions in the prog

 $U = \{a+b, d+e, g+h, x*2, \}$ Know that the following must hold:  $AvailIn(n) = \bigcap AvailOut(p)$  $\begin{array}{ll} & & & & \\ p \in preds(n) \\ AvailOut(n) & = & gen(n) \cup (AvailIn(n) - kill(n) \\ \text{We know that: } AvailOut(0) = \emptyset \\ \text{Node } 0 = \text{ entree} \end{array}$ Node 0 = entry point of the prog's CFG.

Iteration: AvailOut(0)  $n \in N - \{0\}$  AvailIn(n) = AvailOut(n) = U

for  $n \in N - \{0\}$   $AvailIn(n) = \bigcap_{p \in preds(n)} AvailOut(p)$   $AvailOut(n) = gen(n) \cup (AvailIn(n) - kill(n)$ hile any AvailOut(n) changes

Note we init entry point differently (all others start with all possible exprs). Iteration causes avail set to shrink (find largest set satisfying eqns). This is forward analysis. Loop Invariant Code Motion: Loop

Invariant: d is loop-inv if every def of a u; uses(d) that reaches d is outside the loop (all uses(u) that reaches u is outside the loop. Hence it's val is loop-inv so can move d out. Finding Loop-Inv Instrs: attempt to find nodes of the form [Binop | Copy op | Const]. Reach: def d reaches p if there is a path d → p where d is not killed. For node n we have several sets: Gen(n) = (n) = the set of defs gen by the node. Kill(n) = Set of all defs of t except for n ReachIn(n) = Set of defs reaching up to n. ReachOut(n) = Set of defs reaching after n. Calculate sets as follows (same iter pattern):  $ReachIn(n) \triangleq \bigcup ReachOut(p)$ 

 $eachOut(n) \triangleq Gen(n) \cup (ReachIn(n) \setminus Kill(n))$ This is forward anal as defs reach forw For reaching defs can reduce each set to

relevant reaching defs but consider-(ReachIn) · 1: II -ing only Reachins 2: w=100 that are actually operands of instr.

Note here that 4's 4: x=x+1 4: [1,2,3,4,5]5: [2,3,4,5]6: [2,3,4,5] 5: y=w+z set does not inc 6 (the loop cond 6: if (x<10) check)

Identifying Loops: given set S of nodes which are part of a loop: there is a single header node h ∈ S. There is a path from h to any other node in S. There is a path from any node in S to h. All non-loop nodes can only directly connect to h (and no others in S).

Dominator: node d dominates n if every path from start node to n goes through d (every node dominates itself). To find nodes which dominate curr node: 1. Set Dom to set of all nodes, 2. Apply rules (as below) as start

 $start = \{A\}$   $nodes = \{F, G, K, L\}$ Hoisting Instructions: Pre-Header: A node inserted imm $start = \{B_h\}$   $nodes = \{C_n, D_n\}$  $start = \{E_h\}$   $nodes = \{J_n\}$ ediately before the header node of a natural loop. Caution: When pulling out of while loop, consider what will happen after 0 iterations (pulling out likely changes semantics – loop inv node does not dominate all loop exits!!). If the value is altered later in the while loon can't hoist value is aftered later in the while loop can't noist (second maybe dependent on first/next iters too). Conditions for Hoisting d: 1. all reaching defs used by d occur outside loop (use reaching-definition anal for this), 2. loop inv node must dominate all loop exits as pre-header also does (use dominators anal for this), 3, only one definition of t (count the definitions), 4, t cannot be LiveOut from one definition of t (count the definitions), 4. t cannot be Live-Out from loop's pre-header (if it is then t is used in loop – use live range anal).

Basic Hoisting Process: 1. compute dominance sets for each node, 2. use dom sets to id natural loops+headers, 3. compute reaching sets for nodes

Acc=Acc+Store[SP]: SP=SP+1

Load name:

Acc=Store[name]:

Store name:

Acc=Store[name]:

Store name:

Acc=Store[name]:

Store name:

Load name:

Load name:

Publicad name:

Load name:

Publicad name:

Load name:

Publicad name:

Load name:

Load name:

Load name:

Publicad name:

Load name:

L

Acc:=Acc+Store[SP]; SP:=SP+1; W

transStat (Assign id exp) = transExp exp ++ [Pop id] transStat (Seq s1 s2)

transExp (Unop transExp e ++

= transExp e1 r ++ transBinopImm op r n

A

= transStat s1 ++ transStat s2

transExp (Ident id) = [PushAbs id] transExp (Const v) = [PushImm v] transOp Plus = [Add] transOp Minus = [Sub]

Single Static Assignment (SSA): Representation avoiding side conditions by only allowing single ass to each temporary (temps also immutable). A = simplifies many opt probs, makes many opt passes  $t_2 = a + b$ more efficient, widely used in compilers.  $\mathbf{p} = \text{requires } L_1$   $i_1 = \varphi(i_0, i_2)$ potentially complex transition to+from SSA, Each potentially complex transition to+from SSA. Each reassignment being renamed splits live ranges, so each has only one reaching def. **Phi Function**: Used for branching -  $\phi(a_1,a_2)$  means either  $a_1$  or  $a_2$  could be used. By renaming wars we elim many loop hoisting issues (particularly for variable re-def in  $t_1 = \varphi(t_0, t_2)$  $M[j_0] = t_1$  $i_2 = i_1 + 1$ loops). Phi has no direct translation in programs, E.g.:  $M[i_2] = t_2$ Once in SSA we re-evaluate hoisting requirements:

1. All reaching defs used by d occur outside loop (same as prior to SSA, reaching def anal), 2. Loop inv if  $(i_2 < N)$  goto  $L_1$ node must dom all loop exits (no longer issue), 3.
Only 1 def of t (guaranteed by SSA), 4. t cannot be eOut from loop pre-header (cannot occur with SSA due to single ass

4. use relevant reaching defs to id loop-inv code, 5. attempt to move to

pre-header, 6, check semantics not altered. Can be repeated process

Other Compiler Optimisations: Conventional compilers reduce work done at runtime, but restructuring compilers determine optimal order to do computation, e.g. adding parallelism to loops. Things: Induction Variables, Strength Reduction, Induction variable Elimination, Rewriting Comparisons, Array Bounds Check Elimination, Common Sub-Expressions, Partial Redundance Elimination, Loop Unrolling, Inlining, Dead Code Elimination Rematerialisation, Tail-Call Optimisation. **Higher-Level Optimisations**: subtype polymorphism (may be able to determine method called at runtime so can inline), pattern matching (compiler determine optimal order of tests that still respects matching order semantics), mem management, lazy eval (moving eval of exprs to their uses so no redundant done), arrays (optimising overloads and allow array slicing without ots of data copying).

Points-To Anal Tutorial Q: in program with new or pointer vars/assignments we want to know what each pointer var in the prog might point to. Each new in the code corresponds to an allocation site (the id of the CFG node where the alloc occurred). The PointsIn(n) for a node n is a set of pairs ((reg.id)...), indicating that just before n, reg might point to a heap cell allocated at node id. The effect of each instr on the points-to se is the diff between PointsIn(n) and PointsOut(n). After new dest reg may point to something alloc'd.  $pointsIn(n) = \quad \bigcup \quad pointsOut(p)$ 

 $pointsOut(n) = effect(pointsIn(n))(instruction_n)$ 

effect ::  $PointsToSet \rightarrow CFGNode \rightarrow PointsToSet$ effect :: PointaToSet → CFORNode → PointaToSet effect pts (Rode id (Cmp r1 r2)) = pts effect pts (Rode id (Cmp r1 r2)) = pts effect pts (Rode id (Rw n r)) = pts ∪ ({r, id}) effect pts (Rode id (Rw n r)) = pts ∪ ({r, id}) effect pts (Rode id (Rw n r1 r2)) = (removeTargets r2 pts) = (mn) ([r2, id]) | (r1, id) <- pts] removeTargets r2 pts = [(r, id) | (r, id) <- pts, r | = r2]

New 8 DO New 8 D3 Cmp D1 D2 PointsIn(6) = {(D0,1),(D3,2)} Bgt L Nov D0 D3

node has set {start} and will propagate, reducing other set sizes, 3. Once sets stop changing stop.  $Doms(start) = \{start\}$  Back Edges: edge from  $n \rightarrow h$  where h dominates n as which does not contain h. This represents a loop with header node h.