

Prove by strong mathematical induction on  $i$ :

$$\forall i, C, s, s'. \langle C, s \rangle \Downarrow_i s' \Rightarrow \langle f(C), s \rangle \Downarrow s'$$

\* Lemma 1:  $\nexists B, s: \langle B, s \rangle \Downarrow_b \text{false} \Rightarrow \langle \neg B, s \rangle \Downarrow_b \text{true}$ .

**Base Case:**  $i=0$ .

Only 3 cases: ①  $\langle \text{skip}, s \rangle \Downarrow_0 s$ , ②  $\langle x := E, s \rangle \Downarrow_0 s'$ , ③  $\langle \text{while } B \text{ do } C, s \rangle \Downarrow_0 s$ ,  
 from pattern matching on rules of While language.   
 (C=skip) (C=x:=E) (C=while B do C<sub>1</sub>)

① To show:  $\langle \text{skip}, s \rangle \Downarrow_0 s \Rightarrow \langle f(\text{skip}), s \rangle \Downarrow s$ .

(a)  $f(\text{skip}) = \text{skip}$ .

by def of  $f()$ .

(b)  $\langle f(\text{skip}), s \rangle \Downarrow s$ .

by skip rule in NONDET., (a).

② To show  $\langle x := E, s \rangle \Downarrow_0 s' \Rightarrow \langle f(x := E), s \rangle \Downarrow s'$

(a)  $f(x := E) = x := E$ .

by def of  $f()$

(b)  $\langle E, s \rangle \Downarrow_e u$ .

> by inversion on Asgn in WHILE

(c)  $s[x \mapsto u] = s'$

(d)  $\langle f(x := E), s \rangle \Downarrow s'$

by rule of Asgn in NONDET, (b), (c).

③ To show  $\langle \text{while } B \text{ do } C, s \rangle \Downarrow_0 s \Rightarrow \langle f(\text{while } B \text{ do } C), s \rangle \Downarrow s$ .

(a)  $f(\text{while } B \text{ do } C) = \text{loop}(\text{assume } B; f(C)); \text{assume } \neg B$

by def of  $f()$

(b)  $\langle B, s \rangle \Downarrow_b \text{false}$

by inversion on while-false in WHILE.

here there's no derivation rule for assume B by (b) and def of NONDET language, we can only apply the second rule for loop.

(c)  $\langle \text{loop}(\text{assume } B; f(C)), s \rangle \Downarrow s$ .

(reason above)

- (d)  $\langle \neg B, s \rangle \Downarrow_b \text{ true}$  by (b) and Lemma 4.
- (e)  $\langle \text{assume } \neg B, s \rangle \Downarrow s$  by (d) and rule of Assume in NONDET.
- (f)  $\langle \text{loop}(\text{assume } B; f(c_1); \text{assume } \neg B), s \rangle \Downarrow s$   
by (c), (e) and rule for SEQ in NONDET.
- (g)  $\langle f(\text{while } B \text{ do } c_1), s \rangle \Downarrow s$  by (f) and (a).

### Inductive Step:

IH:  $\forall n (0 \leq n < k+1), s, s' \quad \langle c, s \rangle \Downarrow_n s' \Rightarrow \langle f(c), s \rangle \Downarrow s'$

To show:  $\forall k+1, s, s' \quad \langle c, s \rangle \Downarrow_{k+1} s' \Rightarrow \langle f(c), s \rangle \Downarrow s'$

①  $C = C_1; C_2$

To show:  $\langle C_1; C_2, s \rangle \Downarrow_{k+1} s' \Rightarrow \langle f(C_1; C_2), s \rangle \Downarrow s'$

- (a) :  $\langle C_1, s \rangle \Downarrow_i s''$   
 (b) :  $\langle C_2, s'' \rangle \Downarrow_j s'$   
 (c)  $k = \max(i, j)$  } by inversion on SEQ rule in WHILE
- (d) :  $\langle C_1, s \rangle \Downarrow_i s'' \Rightarrow \langle f(C_1), s \rangle \Downarrow s''$  by (a), (b)  
 > since we can pick  $s, s'$  arbitrarily from IH.
- (e) :  $\langle C_2, s'' \rangle \Downarrow_j s' \Rightarrow \langle f(C_2), s'' \rangle \Downarrow s'$  and  $i, j < k$  (from (c))
- (f) :  $f(C_1; C_2) = f(C_1); f(C_2)$  by def of  $f()$
- (g)  $\langle f(C_1); f(C_2), s \rangle \Downarrow s'$  by (d), (b), (e) and rule for SEQ in NONDET.
- (h)  $\langle f(C_1; C_2), s \rangle \Downarrow s'$  by (d), (c)

②  $C = \text{while } B \text{ do } C_1$

- (a)  $f(\text{while } B \text{ do } C_1) = \text{loop}(\text{assume } B; f(C_1); \text{assume } \neg B)$
- (b)  $\langle B, s \rangle \Downarrow_b \text{ true}$
- (c)  $\langle C_1, s \rangle \Downarrow_i s''$



(d)  $\langle \text{while } B \text{ do } C, s' \rangle \Downarrow j \ s'$

(e)  $k = \max(C_i, j)$

(f)  $\langle f(\text{while } B \text{ do } C), s'' \rangle \Downarrow s'$  by IH, (d), (e). pick  $s, s'$  arbitrary

(g)  $\langle f(C), s \rangle \Downarrow s''$  by IH, (c) pick  $s, s'$  arbitrary.

(i)  $\langle \text{assume } B, s \rangle \Downarrow s$  by (b) and rule for Assume in NONDET.

(j)  $\langle \text{assume } B; f(C), s \rangle \Downarrow s''$  by (g), (i) and rule for SEQ in NONDET.

(k)  $\langle \text{loop}(\text{assume } B; f(C)); \text{assume } \neg B, s'' \rangle \Downarrow s'$  by def of f() and (f).

(l)  $\langle \text{loop}(\text{assume } B; f(C)), s'' \rangle \Downarrow s'$  by (k), (b), def of Assume in NONDET and inversion on SEQ in NONDET

(m)  $\langle \text{loop}(\text{assume } B; f(C)), s \rangle \Downarrow s'$  by (g), (l) and def of While in NONDET.

(n)  $\langle \text{assume } \neg B, s' \rangle \Downarrow s'$

\* Here  $B$  must eval to false in order to escape from while do based on definition, so we have  $\langle \neg B, s' \rangle \Downarrow \text{true}$ , n holds

(o)  $\langle \text{loop}(\text{assume } B; f(C)); \text{assume } \neg B, s \rangle \Downarrow s'$

by (m), (n) and rule for SEQ in NONDET.

(p)  $\langle f(\text{while } B \text{ do } C), s \rangle \Downarrow s'$

②  $C = \text{if } B \text{ then } C_1 \text{ then } C_2.$

To show  $\langle \text{if } B \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2, s \rangle \Downarrow_{k+1} s'$

$\Rightarrow \langle C(\text{if } B \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2), s \rangle \Downarrow s'$

③.1 (a)  $\langle B, s \rangle \Downarrow s \text{ true}$   $\rangle$  by inversion on IF-TRUE in WHILE.

(b)  $\langle C_1, s \rangle \Downarrow_k s'$

(c)  $\langle f(C), s \rangle \Downarrow s'$  from IH and (b)

(d)  $f(C) = \text{or}(\text{assume } B; f(C_1), (\text{assume } \neg B; f(C_2)))$

(e)  $\langle \text{assume } B, s \rangle \Downarrow s$  from (a) and def of Assume in NONDET.

(R) here cannot further eval to RHS since no rule for false assumption

(f)  $\langle \text{assume } B; f(C_1), s \rangle \Downarrow s'$  by (e), (c) and def of SEQ in NONDET.

(g)  $\text{or}(\text{assume } B; f(C_1), (\text{assume } \neg B; f(C_2))) \Downarrow s'$

by (f) and first OR rule in NONDET, and reason (R) above

(h)  $\langle f(C), s \rangle \Downarrow s'$  by (g) and (d)

(B.2) (Similar for true case)

(a)  $\langle B, s \rangle \Downarrow s$  true  $\rangle$  by inversion on IF-TRUE in WHILE.

(b)  $\langle C_2, s \rangle \Downarrow s'$

(c)  $\langle f(C_2), s \rangle \Downarrow s'$  from IH and (b)

(d)  $f(C) = \text{or}(\text{assume } B; f(C_1), (\text{assume } \neg B; f(C_2)))$

(e)  $\langle \neg B, s \rangle \Downarrow \text{true}$  by (a) and Lemma 1

(f)  $\langle \text{assume } \neg B, s \rangle \Downarrow s$  from (a) (e) and def of Assume in NONDET.

(R') here cannot further eval to LHS since no rule for false assumption

(g)  $\langle \text{assume } \neg B; f(C_2), s \rangle \Downarrow s'$  by (f), (c) and def of SEQ in NONDET.

(h)  $\text{or}(\text{assume } B; f(C_1), (\text{assume } \neg B; f(C_2))) \Downarrow s'$

by (g) and second OR rule in NONDET, and reason (R') above

(i)  $\langle f(C), s \rangle \Downarrow s'$  by (h) and (d)