

1)

a)

$\langle E, s \rangle \rightarrow \langle E', s' \rangle$

$\langle x := E, s \rangle \rightarrow \langle x := E', s' \rangle$

$\langle x := n, s \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{skip}, s[x \rightarrow n] \rangle$

$\langle C_1, s \rangle \rightarrow \langle C_1', s' \rangle$

$\langle C_1; C_2, s \rangle \rightarrow \langle C_1'; C_2, s' \rangle$

$\langle \text{skip}; C_2, s \rangle \rightarrow \langle C_2, s \rangle$

$\langle B, s \rangle \rightarrow \langle B', s' \rangle$

$\langle \text{if } B \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2, s \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{if } B' \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2, s' \rangle$

$\langle \text{if true then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2, s \rangle \rightarrow \langle C_1, s \rangle$

$\langle \text{if false then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2, s \rangle \rightarrow \langle C_2, s \rangle$

$\langle \text{while } B \text{ do } C, s \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{if } B \text{ then } (C; \text{while } B \text{ do } C) \text{ else skip}, s \rangle$

b)

i) $\{-2\}$

$\langle x := x-1, s[x \rightarrow -1] \rangle$

$\rightarrow \langle x := -1-1, s[x \rightarrow -1] \rangle$

$\rightarrow \langle x := -2, s[x \rightarrow -1] \rangle$

$\rightarrow \langle \text{skip}, s[x \rightarrow -2] \rangle$

ii) $\{1\}$

$\langle x := (-1) * x, s[x \rightarrow -1] \rangle$

$\rightarrow \langle x := (-1) * -1, s[x \rightarrow -1] \rangle$

$\rightarrow \langle x := 1, s[x \rightarrow -1] \rangle$

$\rightarrow \langle \text{skip}, s[x \rightarrow 1] \rangle$

iii) $\{1, -2\}$

$\langle x := x-1 \text{ or } x := (-1) * x, s[x \rightarrow -1] \rangle$
-> $\langle x := x-1, s[x \rightarrow -1] \rangle$
-> the same as (i)

$\langle x := x-1 \text{ or } x := (-1) * x, s[x \rightarrow -1] \rangle$
-> $\langle x := (-1) * x, s[x \rightarrow -1] \rangle$
-> the same as (ii)

iv)

Let $W = \text{while } x \leq 0 \text{ do } (x := -1 \text{ or } x := (-1) * x)$

Let $C = x := -1 \text{ or } x := (-1) * x$

$\langle \text{while } x \leq 0 \text{ do } C, s[x \rightarrow -1] \rangle$
-> $\langle \text{if } x \leq 0 \text{ then } C; W \text{ else skip}, s[x \rightarrow -1] \rangle$
-> $\langle \text{if } -1 \leq 0 \text{ then } C; W \text{ else skip}, s[x \rightarrow -1] \rangle$
-> $\langle \text{if true then } C; W \text{ else skip}, s[x \rightarrow -1] \rangle$
-> $\langle C; W, s[x \rightarrow -1] \rangle$
-> $\langle x := (-1) * -1; W, s[x \rightarrow -1] \rangle$
-> $\langle x := 1; W, s[x \rightarrow -1] \rangle$
-> $\langle \text{skip}; W, s[x \rightarrow 1] \rangle$
-> $\langle \text{while } x \leq 0 \text{ do } x := -1 \text{ or } x := (-1) * x, s[x \rightarrow 1] \rangle$
-> $\langle \text{if } x \leq 0 \text{ then } (x := -1 \text{ or } x := (-1) * x; W) \text{ do } x := -1 \text{ or } x := (-1) * x, s[x \rightarrow 1] \rangle$

Mate this is long

~~~~~ 😭

c)

Base case:  $n = 0$

Is easy

Inductive case:  $n = k$

Assume the inductive hypothesis.

$\langle C_1, s \rangle \rightarrow^k \langle C_1', s' \rangle \Rightarrow \langle C_1; C_2, s \rangle \rightarrow^k \langle C_1; C_2, s' \rangle$

To show

$\langle C_1, s \rangle \rightarrow^{k+1} \langle C_1'', s'' \rangle \Rightarrow \langle C_1; C_2, s \rangle \rightarrow^{k+1} \langle C_1''; C_2, s'' \rangle$

Assume

$$\langle C_1, s \rangle \rightarrow^{k+1} \langle C_1'', s'' \rangle = \langle C_1, s \rangle \rightarrow^k \rightarrow \langle C_1', s' \rangle \rightarrow \langle C_1'', s'' \rangle$$

Then by I.H we have  $\langle C_1; C_2, s \rangle \rightarrow^k \langle C_1'; C_2, s' \rangle$

And

$\langle C_1; C_2, s \rangle \rightarrow^k \langle C_1'; C_2, s' \rangle \rightarrow \langle C_1''; C_2, s'' \rangle$  by the rule fella

Done

d)

i)

ii)

4)

a)

i)

$f$  is computable iff there exists a register machine  $M$  that halts iff  $f(x_1, \dots) \downarrow$ , and in that case  $R_0 = f(x_1, \dots) \downarrow$ .

iii)  $f(x) = 2x$

Register machine explanation: By decrementing  $R_1$  from  $x$  to 0, we +2 to  $R_2$  each loop. When  $R_1$  is 0, we can safely say that  $R_2$  holds  $2x$ . We then just shift the contents of  $R_2$  into  $R_0$ .

b)

We adapt (4aiii) to run  $g(x, z) = (2^x)^z$ . This can be thought of as doing  $f(z)$ ,  $x$  times.

L0:  $R_1- \Rightarrow L1, L6$

L1:  $R_2- \Rightarrow L2, L4$

L2:  $R_3+ \Rightarrow L3$

L3:  $R_3+ \Rightarrow L1$

L4:  $R_3- \Rightarrow L5, L0$

L5:  $R_2+ \Rightarrow L4$

L6:  $R_2- \Rightarrow L7, L8$

L7:  $R_0+ \Rightarrow L6$

L8: HALT

Register machine explanation: We count down R1 from  $x$  to 0, each time we run the doubling function for register R2, using R3 as a scratch, of which we save the doubled result back into R2 for convenience for the next iteration. Once this is done (when we reach L6), we can say that we have  $(2^x \cdot z)$  in register R2 so we just move this over to R0.

c)

i)

L0: R2-  $\Rightarrow$  L1, L3

L1: R3+  $\Rightarrow$  L2

L2: R3+  $\Rightarrow$  L0

L3: R3-  $\Rightarrow$  L4, L5

L4: R2+  $\Rightarrow$  L3

L5: R2+  $\Rightarrow$  L6

# <- Invariant: R1 holds  $x$ , R2 holds  $2y+1$  ->

L6: R1-  $\Rightarrow$  L7, L12

L7: R2-  $\Rightarrow$  L8, L10

L8: R3+  $\Rightarrow$  L9

L9: R3+  $\Rightarrow$  L7

L10: R3-  $\Rightarrow$  L11, L6

L11: R2+  $\Rightarrow$  L10

# <- Invariant: R2 holds  $(2^x) \cdot (2y+1)$  ->

L12: R2-  $\Rightarrow$  L13, L14

L13: R0+  $\Rightarrow$  L12

L14: HALT

Register machine explanation:  $h(x, y) = (2^x) \cdot (2y+1) = g(x, (2y + 1)) = g(x, f(y) + 1)$ .

As such, we just need to write some instructions to change the contents of R2 from  $y$  to  $2y + 1$  by running  $f(y) + 1$  from L0 to L5. After that is done, R1 will still contain  $x$ , R2 now contains  $2y + 1$  and then we can just run the solution from (4b) from L6 downwards.

ii)

Let  $List\ \mathbb{N}$  be the set of all finite lists of natural numbers.

For  $\ell \in List\ \mathbb{N}$ , define  $\lceil \ell \rceil \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on the length of the list

$$\ell: \begin{cases} \lceil [] \rceil \triangleq 0 \\ \lceil x :: \ell \rceil \triangleq \langle\langle x, \lceil \ell \rceil \rangle\rangle = 2^x(2 \cdot \lceil \ell \rceil + 1) \end{cases}$$

Gives a bijection with  $\mathbb{N}$ .

iii)

Since  $\langle\langle -, - \rangle\rangle : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^+$ ,  $\langle -, - \rangle : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lceil - \rceil : List\ \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  are bijections, the functions  $\lceil - \rceil$  from bodies to natural numbers and  $\lceil - \rceil$  from RM programs to  $\mathbb{N}$  are bijections.

WHERE IS THE REST 🙄🙄🙄🙄🙄