

446H – Applied Network Security

2. Intrusion detection

Dr Sergio Maffeis

Department of Computing

Course web page: <https://446h.cybersec.fun>

Project 2

- **Intrusion detection based on Web logs**
- Goal: develop tools to analyse web logs and identify attempted or successful attacks against web applications
- 3 phases
 1. signature based detection (25%)
 2. anomaly detection (50%)
 3. comparison of approaches via analysis of real logs (25%)
- You are given 2 months of HTTPS and error logs from the DOC wwwhomes server
 - Respect confidentiality: use only for project, delete after
 - Respect privacy: privacy concerns are out of scope of the project, focus only on security
 - You may or may not want to use the error logs

Budget your effort

- Each group member should aim to spend about 12 hours on the project
- It will not be possible to look for any conceivable kind of attack. Pick your choices, but include at least some form of detection of SQL injection attempts.
- You are very likely to report (many) false positives
 - Tool configuration should allow some form of control of the FP/FN trade off
 - Make sure your tools are tuned to find something in the given log data

Phase 1

- Signature based command line tool
 - inputs
 - a file containing signatures
 - a configuration file
 - a directory containing web logs
 - output
 - log containing only suspicious log entries
 - annotated with a severity score and an indication of the reason why the entry is considered suspicious
 - write your own signatures and/or use relevant Snort or ModSecurity rules

Phase 2

- Anomaly-detection based command line tool
 - inputs
 - configuration file
 - directory containing web logs
 - output: same as phase 1
- Note: you are not provided with data guaranteed to contain only benign behaviour.
- Advice: build different models for different applications
 - requests under different home directories
 - requests for resources of different types

Phase 3

- Run both tools on the given logs
 - analyse and compare the results
 - produce a joint log with the top 10 entries that you consider the most severe and representative
 - irrespective of the severity score that was assigned automatically
 - Write up with attack analysis in the report

Requirements

- Tools should be command line and work on a standard DOC Linux distribution
 - minimal effort for installation and usage
- Tools can be implemented in any language compatible with point above
 - Bash, Python, Node, C, Java
 - ELK, Splunk: out of scope
- Your tools should run successfully and not crash on the provided logs.
 - They will be tested on analogous but different logs from the same set of web applications.
- Performance is not a main concern, but execution on the full dataset should not take more than a few hours.
- Tools should produce annotated output logs
 - sub-log of input log (only suspicious entries)
 - same format as input logs plus 2 fields: a numerical severity score and a reason why

Report

- 3-4 pages long
- Write a section for each of the 2 tools, describing clearly and concisely:
 - what specific issues does your tool aim to identify, and how;
 - how to use the tool and interpret its output;
 - what are the key technical ideas and/or challenges in your implementation;
 - what would you do next if you had more time.
- Write one evaluation section describing phase 3
 - provide a commentary on your top-10 entries.

Discussion

- What attacks can we see from HTTPS web logs?
- Some examples
 - attempts to deliver a typical web application attack, such as
 - SQL injection, XSS, Path traversal, File inclusion, Forceful browsing, etc
 - attempts to identify the presence of known vulnerable web applications
 - suspicious TLS-level activity
 - accesses from malicious IP
 - suspicious UserAgent strings
 - anomalous frequency of requests
 - attempts to brute-force authentication.