Submitted by-Aditya Gautam

Roll No-12

M.sc. 3<sup>rd</sup> semester

Date of Assignment-03/11/2020

Date of Submission-10/11/2020

### **Experiment No -04**

**Topic**- Tracing of Power curve for testing variance of a Normal Population.

**Problem** – A random sample of size 50 is drawn from a normal population with mean 9 and variance  $\sigma^2$ , where  $\sigma^2$  is unknown. Draw the Power curves for testing  $H_0$ :  $\sigma^2 = 6$  against

i) 
$$H_1: \sigma^2 > 6$$

ii) 
$$H_1: \sigma^2 < \epsilon$$

i) 
$$H_1: \sigma^2 > 6$$
 ii)  $H_1: \sigma^2 < 6$  iii)  $H_1: \sigma^2 \neq 6$ 

Assume that,  $\alpha = 0.01$ 

#### **Theory and Calculation-**

Using Neyman's pearson fundamental lemma, the critical region is given by-

$$W = \{x : \frac{L(x, \theta_1)}{L(x, \theta_0)} \ge k\}$$

Here, 
$$f(x, \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2}; \quad -\infty < x < \infty, -\infty < \mu < \infty, \sigma > 0$$

$$\therefore \frac{L(x,\sigma_{1}^{2})}{L(x,\sigma_{0}^{2})} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} f(x_{i}, \mu, \sigma_{1}^{2})}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} f(x_{i}, \mu, \sigma_{0}^{2})} \ge k$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{1}\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{n} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_{1}^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{i}-\mu)^{2}}}{\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{0}\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{n} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_{0}^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{i}-\mu)^{2}}} \geq k$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_1}\right)^n e^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2}\right)} \ge k$$

$$\Rightarrow n \log \left(\frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_1}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2}\right) \ge \log k$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( x_i - \mu \right)^2 \left( \frac{{\sigma_1}^2 - {\sigma_0}^2}{{\sigma_0}^2 {\sigma_1}^2} \right) \ge \log k - n \log \left( \frac{{\sigma_0}}{{\sigma_1}} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \mu)^2 (\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_0^2) \ge 2\sigma_0^2 \sigma_1^2 [\log k - n \log \left(\frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_1}\right)]$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \mu)^2 (\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_0^2) \ge k'$$
 (say)

Where,

$$k' = 2\sigma_0^2 \sigma_1^2 [\log k - n \log \left(\frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_1}\right)]$$

#### **Case 1:**

When  $\sigma_1^2 > \sigma_0^2$ , then the C.R. is,

$$W_1 = \{ \underset{\sim}{x} : \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \mu)^2 \ge k_1 \}$$

#### **Case 2:**

When  $\sigma_1^2 < \sigma_0^2$ , then the C.R. is,

$$W_2 = \{ x : \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \mu)^2 < k_2 \}$$

(i) The C.R. for testing  $H_0: \sigma^2 = 6$  against  $H_1: \sigma^2 > 6$  is given by

$$W_1 = \{ x : \sum_{i=1}^{50} (x_i - 9)^2 > k_1 \}$$

where  $k_{1}$  is a constant to be determined such that the size of the C.R. is equal to  $\,\alpha\,$  ,

i.e., 
$$P(x \in W_1 | H_0) = \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow P\{\sum_{i=1}^{50} (x_i - 9)^2 > k_1 \mid H_0\} = .01$$

$$\Rightarrow P\{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{50} (x_i - 9)^2}{\sigma_0^2} > \frac{k_1}{\sigma_0^2}\} = .01$$

$$\Rightarrow P\{\chi_{(n)}^2 > \frac{k_1}{\sigma_0^2}\} = .01$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 - P\{\chi_{(n)}^2 > \frac{k_1}{\sigma_0^2}\} = 1 - .01$$

$$\Rightarrow P\{\chi_{(n)}^2 < \frac{k_1}{\sigma_0^2}\} = .99$$

To find the value of  $k_1$ , we use the following R-command:

a = qchisq(0.99,50,0) (0 is the non-centrality parameter)

This gives us the value  $\frac{k_1}{\sigma_0^2} = 76.15389$ 

$$\therefore k_1 = 76.15389 \times \sigma_0^2 = 76.15389 \times 6 = 456.92334$$

Thus the C.R. is given by,

$$W_1 = \{x : \sum_{i=1}^{50} (x_i - 9)^2 > 456.92334\}$$

Now, the Power of the test is given by,

Power=1- $\beta$ 

$$=P\{Reject H_0|H_1 \text{ is true}\}$$

$$= P(x \in W_1 \mid H_1)$$

$$= P\{\sum_{i=1}^{50} (x_i - 9)^2 > 456.92334 \mid H_1\}$$

$$= P\{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{50} (x_i - 9)^2}{\sigma_1^2} > \frac{456.92334}{\sigma_1^2}\}$$

$$= P\{\chi_{(n)}^2 > \frac{456.92334}{\sigma_1^2}\}$$

$$=1-P\{\chi_{(n)}^{2}<\frac{456.92334}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}\}$$

Where,  $P\{\chi_{(n)}^2 < \frac{456.92334}{\sigma_1^2}\}$  is the distribution function of the chi-square distribution with 'n' d.f.

Now to trace the power curve we consider different trial values of  $\sigma_1^2 > 6$  and construct the following table using R-Programming.

#### Programming in R for case 1

```
a = qchisq(0.99,50,0)

a

var = 6

k1 = var*a

k1

sigma1 =

c(6.3,6.4,6.5,6.6,6.7,6.8,6.9,7.0,7.1,7.2,7.3,7.4,7.5,7.6,7.7,7.8,7.9,8.0,8.1,8.2,8.3,8.4,8.5,8.6,8.7,8

.8,8.9,9.0,9.1,9.2)

sigma11 = k1/sigma1

power = mat.or.vec(30,1)

power1 = mat.or.vec(30,1)

for(i in 1:30){


power[i] = pchisq(sigma11[i],50,0)
```

power1[i] = 1-power[i]}
power1
plot(sigma1,power1)

## TABLE: 1

Trial values of $\sigma_1^2$ (>6)	$\boxed{ \underline{\mathbf{Power}} = 1 - P\{\chi_{(n)}^{2} < \frac{0.375}{\sigma_{1}^{2}} \} }$
6.3	0.02032695
6.4	0.02511999
6.5	0.03069667
6.6	0.03711564
6.7	0.04442920
6.8	0.05268202
6.9	0.06190997
7.0	0.07213921
7.1	0.08338554
7.2	0.09565397
7.3	0.10893859
7.4	0.12322264
7.5	0.13847889
7.6	0.15467016
7.7	0.17175003
7.8	0.18966377
7.9	0.20834932
8.0	0.22773833
8.1	0.24775739
8.2	0.26832909
8.3	0.28937324
8.4	0.31080797
8.5	0.33255078
8.6	0.35451955
8.7	0.37663348
8.8	0.39881386
8.9	0.42098483
9.0	0.44307400
9.1	0.46501294
9.2	0.48673762

## Power curve for case 1



(ii) The C.R. for testing  $H_0$ :  $\sigma^2 = 6$  against  $H_1$ :  $\sigma^2 < 6$  is given by

$$W_2 = \{ x : \sum_{i=1}^{50} (x_i - 9)^2 < k_2 \}$$

where  $k_2$  is a constant to be determined such that the size of the C.R. is equal to  $\alpha$  ,

i.e.,  $P(x \in W_2 \mid H_0) = \alpha$ 

$$\Rightarrow P\{\sum_{i=1}^{50} (x_i - 9)^2 < k_2 \mid H_0\} = .01$$

$$\Rightarrow P\{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{50} (x_i - 9)^2}{\sigma_0^2} < \frac{k_2}{\sigma_0^2}\} = .01$$

$$\Rightarrow P\{\chi_{(n)}^2 < \frac{k_2}{\sigma_0^2}\} = .01$$

To find the value of k<sub>2</sub>,we use the following R-command:

a = qchisq(0.01,50,0) (0 is the non-centrality parameter)

This gives us the value  $\frac{k_2}{\sigma_0^2} = 29.70668$ 

$$\therefore k_2 = 29.70668 \times \sigma_0^2 = 2.70668 \times 6 = 178.24008$$

Thus the C.R. is given by,

$$W_2 = \{ \underset{\sim}{x} : \sum_{i=1}^{50} (x_i - 9)^2 < 178.24008 \}$$

Now, the Power of the test is given by,

Power=1- $\beta$ 

=P{Reject H<sub>0</sub>|H<sub>1</sub> is true}  
= 
$$P(x \in W_2 \mid H_1)$$
  
=  $P\{\sum_{i=1}^{50} (x_i - 9)^2 < 178.24008 \mid H_1\}$   
=  $P\{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{50} (x_i - 9)^2}{\sigma_1^2} < \frac{178.24008}{\sigma_1^2}\}$ 

$$= P\{\chi_{(n)}^{2} < \frac{178.24008}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}\}$$

Where,  $P\{\chi_{(n)}^2 < \frac{178.24008}{\sigma_1^2}\}$  is the distribution function of the chi-square distribution

with 'n' d.f.

Now to trace the power curve we consider different trial values of  $\sigma_1^2 < 6$  and construct the following table using R-Programming.

### **Programming in R for case 2**

```
a = qchisq(0.01,50,0)

a

var = 6

k1 = var*a

k1

sigma1 =

c(2.5,2.6,2.7,2.8,2.9,3.0,3.1,3.2,3.3,3.4,3.5,3.6,3.7,3.8,3.9,4.0,4.1,4.2,4.3,4.4,4.5,4.6,4.7,4.8,4.9,5
.0,5.1,5.2,5.3,5.4)

sigma11 = k1/sigma1

power = mat.or.vec(30,1)
```


```
for(i in 1:30){
power[i] = pchisq(sigma11[i],50,0)}
power
plot(sigma1,power)
```

**TABLE: 2** 

<b>Trial values of</b> $\sigma_1^2$ (<6)	Power
	$= P\{\chi_{(n)}^{2} < \frac{178.24008}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}\}$
2.5	0.97442065
2.6	0.95822254
2.7	0.93597359
2.8	0.90714817
2.9	0.87166304
3.0	0.82989506
3.1	0.78263377
3.2	0.73098620
3.3	0.67625642
3.4	0.61982173
3.5	0.56302247
3.6	0.50707584
3.7	0.45301798
3.8	0.40167334
3.9	0.35364745
4.0	0.30933744
4.1	0.26895451
4.2	0.23255314
4.3	0.20006264
4.4	0.17131809
4.5	0.14608845

4.6	0.12410084
4.7	0.10506047
4.8	0.08866628
4.9	0.07462258
5.0	0.06264738
5.1	0.05247773
5.2	0.04387292
5.3	0.03661580
5.4	0.03051300

# Power curve for case 2



(iii) The C.R. for testing  $H_0$ :  $\sigma^2 = 6$  against  $H_1$ :  $\sigma^2 \neq 6$  is given by

W<sub>3</sub>={ 
$$x: \sum_{i=1}^{50} (x_i - 9)^2 < k_3 \text{ or } \sum_{i=1}^{50} (x_i - 9)^2 > k_4 }$$

where k<sub>3</sub> and k<sub>4</sub> are constants to be determined such that,

$$P(x \in W_3 \mid H_0) = \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow P\{\sum_{i=1}^{50} (x_i - 9)^2 < k_3 \text{ or } \sum_{i=1}^{50} (x_i - 9)^2 > k_4 | H_0 \} = .01$$

$$\Rightarrow P\{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{50} (x_i - 9)^2}{\sigma_0^2} < \frac{k_3}{\sigma_0^2}\} + P\{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{50} (x_i - 9)^2}{\sigma_0^2} > \frac{k_4}{\sigma_0^2}\} = .01$$

Since, both are mutually exclusive

Assuming that the test is equitailed we have,

$$P\{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{50} (x_i - 9)^2}{\sigma_0^2} < \frac{k_3}{\sigma_0^2}\} = .01/2 = .005 \Rightarrow P\{\chi_{(n)}^2 < c\} = .005$$

$$P\{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{50} (x_i - 9)^2}{\sigma_0^2} > \frac{k_4}{\sigma_0^2}\} = .01/2 = .005 \Rightarrow P\{\chi_{(n)}^2 > d\} = .005 \Rightarrow P\{\chi_{(n)}^2 < d\} = .995$$

To calculate the value of c and d,we use the following R-command:

$$c = qchisq(0.005,50,0)$$

$$var = 6$$

$$k3 = var*c$$

$$d = qchisq(0.995,50,0)$$

$$var = 6$$

$$k4 = var*d$$

$$\therefore k_3 = 167.9445$$

$$k_4 = 476.9399$$

Thus the C.R. is given by,

W<sub>3</sub>={ 
$$x: \sum_{i=1}^{50} (x_i - 9)^2 < 167.9445 \text{ or } \sum_{i=1}^{50} (x_i - 9)^2 > 476.9399}$$

Now, the Power of the test is given by,

$$Power = P(x \in W_3 \mid H_1)$$

= 
$$P\{x : \sum_{i=1}^{50} (x_i - 9)^2 < 167.9445 \text{ or } \sum_{i=1}^{50} (x_i - 9)^2 > 476.9399 | H_1 \}$$

$$= P\{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{50} (x_i - 9)^2}{\sigma_1^2} < \frac{167.9445}{\sigma_1^2}\} + P\{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{50} (x_i - 9)^2}{\sigma_1^2} > \frac{476.9399}{\sigma_1^2}\}$$

$$=P\{\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle(n)}^{2}<\frac{167.9445}{\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{2}}\}+[1-P\{\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle(n)}^{2}<\frac{476.9399}{\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{2}}\}]$$

Now to trace the power curve we consider different trial values of  $\sigma_1^2 \neq 6$  and construct the following table using R-Programming.

### **Programming in R for case 3**


```
c = qchisq(0.005,50,0)
d = qchisq(0.995,50,0)
var = 6
k3 = var*c
k4 = var*d
k3
k4
sigma1 =
.6,6.7,6.8,6.9,7.0,7.1,7.2,7.3,7.4,7.5,7.6,7.7,7.8,7.9,8.0
sigma11 = k3/sigma1
sigma11
sigma22 = k4/sigma1
sigma22
power1 = mat.or.vec(40,1)
for(i in 1:40){
power1[i] = pchisq(sigma11[i],16,0)+(1-pchisq(sigma22[i],50,0))
power1
plot(sigma1,power1)
```

## TABLE: 3

Trial values of $\sigma_1^2 (\neq 6)$	$ \underline{\mathbf{Power}} = P\{\chi_{(n)}^{2} < \frac{167.9445}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}\} + [1 - P\{\chi_{(n)}^{2} < \frac{476.9399}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}\}] $
4.0	0.9996037
4.1	0.9994379
4.2	0.9992187
4.3	0.9989344
4.4	0.9985719
4.5	0.9981172
4.6	0.9975557
4.7	0.9968723
4.8	0.9960522
4.9	0.9950813
5.0	0.9939468
5.1	0.9926384
5.2	0.9911482
5.3	0.9894724
5.4	0.9876113
5.5	0.9855708
5.6	0.9833622
5.7	0.9810032
5.8	0.9785182
5.9	0.9759379
6.1	0.9706473
6.2	0.9680295
6.3	0.9655001
6.4	0.9631163
6.5	0.9609381
6.6	0.9590267
6.7	0.9574429
6.8	0.9562462
6.9	0.9554934
7.0	0.9552368
7.1	0.9555239
7.2	0.9563955
7.3	0.9578856
7.4	0.9600201
7.5	0.9628170

7.6	0.9662855
7.7	0.9704265
7.8	0.9752323
7.9	0.9806872
8.0	0.9867679

## power curve for case 3



### **Conclusion-**

Thus we get three different power curves for testing  $H_0$ :  $\sigma^2 = 6$  against

i)  $H_1$ :  $\sigma^2 > 6$ , ii)  $H_1$ :  $\sigma^2 < 6$  and iii)  $H_1$ :  $\sigma^2 \neq 6$  respectively at the level of significance  $\alpha = 0.01$ 

.